

Weighted composition operators between a weighted-type space and the Hardy space on the unit ball

by ZE-HUA ZHOU, YU-XIA LIANG and XING-TANG DONG (Tianjin)

Abstract. This paper characterizes the boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators between a weighted-type space and the Hardy space on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n .

1. Introduction. Let \mathbb{B} be the open unit ball in the n -dimensional complex vector space \mathbb{C}^n , $H(\mathbb{B})$ the class of all holomorphic functions on \mathbb{B} , $H^\infty(\mathbb{B})$ the class of all bounded holomorphic functions with the norm $\|f\|_{H^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} |f(z)|$, and $S(\mathbb{B})$ the collection of all the holomorphic self-maps of \mathbb{B} . Let $d\sigma$ be the normalized rotation invariant measure on the boundary $S = \partial\mathbb{B}$ of \mathbb{B} .

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A function $f \in H(\mathbb{B})$ is said to belong to the weighted-type space H_{\log_k} (see [5, p. 3112], and also [2] for the corresponding Bloch-type space) if

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_{H_{\log_k}} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} (1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) |f(z)| < \infty,$$

where $e^{[k]}$ is defined inductively by $e^{[1]} = e$, $e^{[k]} = e^{e^{[k-1]}}$ and $\ln^{[j]} z$ is the j times applied logarithm function. It is easy to show that H_{\log_k} is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\log_k}}$.

For each p with $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Hardy space H^p in \mathbb{B} is defined by

$$H^p(\mathbb{B}) = \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{B}) : \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |f(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < \infty \right\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47B38; Secondary 32A37, 32H02, 42B30, 47B33.

Key words and phrases: weighted composition operator, weighted-type space, Hardy space.

It is well known [28] that H^p is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_p^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |f(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta).$$

If X is a Banach space, we denote by B_X the closed unit ball in X . Let $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{B})$. The *composition operator* C_φ induced by φ is defined by

$$(C_\varphi f)(z) = f(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{B}), z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

This operator has been intensively studied for four decades (see, for example, [1] and [11]). For some recent results, see [22–25, 27] and the references therein.

Let $u \in H(\mathbb{B})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{B})$. The *weighted composition operator* uC_φ is defined by

$$uC_\varphi(f) = u(f \circ \varphi), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{B}), z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

It is obvious that when $u = 1$, we have the composition operator C_φ . When $\varphi(z) = z$, we obtain the multiplication operator $M_u f(z) = u(z)f(z)$. Therefore weighted composition operators can be regarded as a generalization of multiplication operators and composition operators.

Recently, there has been an increasing interest in describing the boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators acting on different spaces of holomorphic functions in terms of the inducing functions; see, for example, [1, 6–10, 12, 17, 19–21, 26] and the references therein. For some product-type operators, containing composition operators, see, for example, [2–4, 14–16, 18], and numerous references therein.

The present paper continues this line of research. The remainder is assembled as follows: In Section 2, we state a couple of lemmas. In Sections 3 and 4, we characterize the boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators between a weighted-type space and the Hardy space on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n .

Throughout the paper, C will denote a positive constant, the exact value of which may vary from one appearance to the next. The notation $A \asymp B$ means that there is a positive constant C such that $B/C \leq A \leq CB$.

2. Some lemmas. To begin, let us state a couple of lemmas, which are used in the proofs of the main results.

LEMMA 2.1 (see Lemma 2 in [13]). *The function*

$$h_k(x) = x \prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{x}$$

is increasing on the interval $(0, 1]$.

REMARK. From Lemma 2.1, it follows that the weight function

$$w(z) = (1 - |z|^2) \prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2}$$

is a decreasing function of $|z|$ and $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} w(z) = 0$.

The following lemma was proved in [5, Lemma 6]. For related results, see also [16, Lemma 7], [17, Lemma 3] and [18, Lemma 6].

LEMMA 2.2. *There exist a positive constant $N = N(n)$ and functions $f_1, \dots, f_N \in H_{\log_k}(\mathbb{B})$ such that*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{m=1}^N |f_m(z)| \geq \frac{C}{(1 - |z|^2) \prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B},$$

where C is a positive constant.

LEMMA 2.3 (Theorem 4.17 in [28]). *Suppose that $0 < p < \infty$ and $f \in H^p(\mathbb{B})$. Then*

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_p}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n/p}}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}$. Furthermore, the exponent n/p is the best possible.

The following compactness criterion follows from an easy modification of Proposition 3.11 of [1]. Hence we omit the details.

LEMMA 2.4. *Assume that $u \in H(\mathbb{B})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{B})$. Let X or Y be one of the spaces H_{\log_k} and H^p . Then $uC_\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is compact if and only if $uC_\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is bounded and for any bounded sequence $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X which converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\|uC_\varphi f_j\|_Y \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.*

The proof of the following lemma is well-known, so it is omitted here.

LEMMA 2.5. *For $0 < p < \infty$, there is a positive constant C_p , depending on p and N , such that $(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i)^p \leq C_p (\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^p)$ for all $x_i \in (0, \infty)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.*

3. Boundedness and compactness of $uC_\varphi : H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p$. In this section we characterize the boundedness and compactness of the operator $uC_\varphi : H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $u \in H(\mathbb{B})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{B})$. Then $uC_\varphi : H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p$ is bounded if and only if*

$$(3) \quad M := \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S \frac{|u(r\zeta)|^p}{(1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2)^p \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2}\right)^p} d\sigma(\zeta) < \infty.$$

Proof. Assume that (3) holds. For any $f \in H_{\log_k}$, by (1) and (3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi f\|_{H^p}^p &= \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |uC_\varphi f(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |u(r\zeta)|^p |f(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{H_{\log_k}}^p \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S \frac{|u(r\zeta)|^p}{(1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2)^p \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2}\right)^p} d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\leq M \|f\|_{H_{\log_k}}^p < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so $uC_\varphi : H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p$ is bounded.

Conversely, suppose that $uC_\varphi : H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p$ is bounded. Let $f_1, \dots, f_N \in H_{\log_k}$ satisfy (2). Using Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> C \|uC_\varphi\|_{H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p}^p \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \|f_i\|_{H_{\log_k}}^p \|uC_\varphi\|_{H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p}^p \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \|uC_\varphi f_i\|_{H^p}^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |(uC_\varphi f_i)(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |u(r\zeta) f_i(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\geq \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |f_i(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p \right) |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\geq C \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |f_i(\varphi(r\zeta))| \right)^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\geq C \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S \frac{|u(r\zeta)|^p}{(1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2)^p \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2}\right)^p} d\sigma(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

so (3) holds. ■

Next we characterize the compactness of $uC_\varphi : H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $u \in H(\mathbb{B})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{B})$. Then $uC_\varphi : H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p$ is compact if and only if $uC_\varphi : H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p$ is bounded and*

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} \frac{|u(r\zeta)|^p}{(1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2)^p \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2}\right)^p} d\sigma(\zeta) = 0.$$

Proof. Assume $uC_\varphi : H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p$ is bounded and (4) holds. Let $f(z) = 1 \in H_{\log_k}$. Then we can easily get

$$M_1 := \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < \infty.$$

By (4), for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $\delta \in (\delta_0, 1)$,

we have

$$(5) \quad \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} \frac{|u(r\zeta)|^p}{(1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2)^p \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2}\right)^p} d\sigma(\zeta) < \varepsilon.$$

Now let $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions with $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_m\|_{H_{\log_k}} \leq 1$, converging to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\delta \in (\delta_0, 1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi f_m\|_{H^p}^p &= \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |f_m(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| \leq \delta\}} |f_m(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\quad + \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |f_m(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $K = \{w : |w| \leq \delta\}$. Note that it is a compact subset of \mathbb{B} . Then

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \sup_{w \in K} |f_m(w)|^p \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| \leq \delta\}} |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\leq M_1 \sup_{w \in K} |f_m(w)|^p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $f_m \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

On the other hand, by (1) and (5), we have

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \|f_m\|_{H_{\log_k}}^p \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} \frac{|u(r\zeta)|^p}{(1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2)^p \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2}\right)^p} d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (6) with (7), since ε is an arbitrary positive number, we obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|uC_\varphi f_m\|_{H^p} = 0.$$

Hence $uC_\varphi : H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p$ is compact by Lemma 2.4.

Conversely, suppose that $uC_\varphi : H_{\log_k} \rightarrow H^p$ is compact. Then its boundedness is obvious.

Next we prove (4). Let $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $f_l^{(m)}(z) = z_l^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.1, it is easy to check $\|f_l^{(m)}\|_{H_{\log_k}} \leq C$, and $f_l^{(m)} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} as $m \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.4, $\|uC_\varphi f_l^{(m)}\|_{H^p} \rightarrow 0$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi f_l^{(m)}\|_{H^p}^p &= \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |f_l^{(m)}(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |\varphi_l(r\zeta)|^{mp} |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Lemma 2.5 and (8) that as $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |\varphi(r\zeta)|^{mp} |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) &\leq \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S \left(\sum_{l=1}^n |\varphi_l(r\zeta)| \right)^{mp} |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\leq C \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S \left(\sum_{l=1}^n |\varphi_l(r\zeta)|^{mp} \right) |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\delta^{m_0 p} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \leq \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |\varphi(r\zeta)|^{m_0 p} |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\delta > 2^{-1/(m_0 p)}$. By the above inequality we obtain

$$(8) \quad \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < 2\varepsilon.$$

Let $f \in B_{H_{\log k}}$. Define $f_t(z) = f(tz)$, $t \in (0, 1)$. It is easy to check that $\|f_t\|_{H_{\log k}} \leq 1$ and f_t converges to f uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} as $t \rightarrow 1$. So by Lemma 2.4,

$$\|uC_\varphi f_t - uC_\varphi f\|_{H^p} = \|uC_\varphi(f_t - f)\|_{H^p} \rightarrow 0$$

as $t \rightarrow 1$. It follows that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $t \in (t_0, 1)$,

$$(9) \quad \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |f(\varphi(r\zeta)) - f_t(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < \varepsilon.$$

Now fix t . By Lemma 2.5, (8) and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |f(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) &\leq C \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |f(\varphi(r\zeta)) - f_t(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\quad + C \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |f_t(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\varepsilon + C\|f_t\|_{H^\infty}^p \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \leq C\varepsilon(1 + \|f_t\|_{H^\infty}).$$

Combining this with (8) shows that for every $f \in B_{H_{\log k}}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\rho(f, \varepsilon)$, depending on f and ε , such that for $\delta \in [\rho(f, \varepsilon), 1)$,

$$(10) \quad \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |f(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < \varepsilon.$$

Since $uC_\varphi : H_{\log k} \rightarrow H^p$ is compact, $uC_\varphi(B_{H_{\log k}})$ is a relatively compact subset of H^p . So for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite collection of functions g_1, \dots, g_{N_1} in $B_{H_{\log k}}$ such that for each f in $B_{H_{\log k}}$, there is a $k \in \{1, \dots, N_1\}$ with $\|uC_\varphi f - uC_\varphi g_k\|_{H^p} < \varepsilon$, which implies that

$$(11) \quad \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |f(\varphi(r\zeta)) - g_k(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < \varepsilon.$$

By (10), it follows that for $\rho = \max_{1 \leq k \leq N_1} \rho(g_k, \varepsilon)$ and $\delta \in [\rho, 1)$,

$$(12) \quad \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |g_k(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < \varepsilon,$$

for every $k \in \{1, \dots, N_1\}$.

Thus from inequalities (11) and (12), we get

$$(13) \quad \sup_{f \in B_{H_{\log k}}} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |f(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < 2C\varepsilon.$$

Choosing $f_1, \dots, f_N \in H_{\log k}$ as in Lemma 2.2 with $\|f_i\|_{H_{\log k}} \leq 1$ (if necessary, take $f_i/\|f_i\|$) in (13), we have

$$\sup_{f \in B_{H_{\log k}}} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |f_i(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < 2C\varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} \frac{|u(r\zeta)|^p}{(1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2)^p \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2}\right)^p} d\sigma(\zeta) \\ & \leq \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |f_i(\varphi(r\zeta))|\right)^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\{|\varphi(r\zeta)| > \delta\}} |f_i(\varphi(r\zeta))|^p |u(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \leq C\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4) holds. ■

4. Boundedness and compactness of $uC_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H_{\log_k}$. In this section we characterize the boundedness and compactness of the operator $uC_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H_{\log_k}$. The results in this section are somewhat easier to obtain than those in Section 3, but we will give complete proofs for the benefit of the reader.

THEOREM 4.1. *Assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $u \in H(\mathbb{B})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{B})$. Then $uC_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H_{\log_k}$ is bounded if and only if*

$$(14) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} (1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) \frac{|u(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{n/p}} < \infty.$$

Proof. Assume that $uC_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H_{\log_k}$ is bounded. For any $w \in \mathbb{B}$, choose the test function

$$f_w(z) = \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^a}{(1 - \langle z, \varphi(w) \rangle)^{n/p+a}}, \quad a > 0.$$

By Theorem 1.12 in [28] we get

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f_w\|_{H^p}^p &= \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |f_w(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{pa}}{|1 - r\langle \zeta, \varphi(w) \rangle|^{n+pa}} d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\leq C \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{ap}}{(1 - r^2|\varphi(w)|^2)^{ap}} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f_w\|_{H^p} \|uC_\varphi\|_{H^p \rightarrow H_{\log_k}} &\geq \|uC_\varphi f_w(z)\|_{H_{\log_k}} \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} (1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) |u(z)f_w(\varphi(z))| \\ &\geq (1 - |w|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |w|^2} \right) |u(w)f_w(\varphi(w))| \\ &= (1 - |w|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |w|^2} \right) \frac{|u(w)|}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{n/p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since w is an arbitrary element in \mathbb{B} , condition (14) follows.

Conversely, suppose that (14) holds. Then for any $f \in H^p$, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi f\|_{H_{\log_k}} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} (1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) |u(z)f(\varphi(z))| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{H^p} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} (1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) \frac{|u(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{n/p}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

proving the boundedness of $uC_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H_{\log_k}$. ■

THEOREM 4.2. *Assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $u \in H(\mathbb{B})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{B})$. Then $uC_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H_{\log_k}$ is compact if and only if $uC_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H_{\log_k}$ is bounded and*

$$(17) \quad \lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln[j] \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) \frac{|u(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{n/p}} = 0.$$

Proof. First, assume that $uC_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H_{\log_k}$ is bounded and (17) holds. Taking $f(z) = 1$, it is easy to show that

$$M_2 := (1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln[j] \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) |u(z)| < \infty.$$

It follows from (17) that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln[j] \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) \frac{|u(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{1/p}} < \varepsilon,$$

when $\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1$. Let $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in H^p , say by L , converging to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then from the above condition we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi f_k\|_{H_{\log_k}} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} (1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln[j] \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) |u(z) f_k(\varphi(z))| \\ &= \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta} (1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln[j] \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) |u(z) f_k(\varphi(z))| \\ &\quad + \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > \delta} (1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln[j] \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) |u(z) f_k(\varphi(z))| \\ &\leq M_2 \sup_{|w| \leq \delta} |f_k(w)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > \delta} (1 - |z|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln[j] \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) \frac{|u(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{n/p}} \|f_k\|_{H^p} \\ &\leq M_2 \sup_{|w| \leq \delta} |f_k(w)| + \varepsilon L^p \rightarrow \varepsilon L^p, \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is an arbitrary number, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|uC_\varphi f_k\|_{H_{\log_k}} = 0.$$

Lemma 2.4 now yields the compactness of $uC_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H_{\log_k}$.

Conversely, suppose that $uC_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow H_{\log_k}$ is compact. Then it is bounded. Let $\{z_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{B}$ be such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(z_k)| = 1$ (if such a

sequence does not exist then (17) obviously holds). Let

$$f_k(z) = \frac{(1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2)^a}{(1 - \langle z, \varphi(z_k) \rangle)^{n/p+a}}, \quad a > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then from (15) we know that $f_k \in H^p$ with $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{H^p} \leq C$, and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{B} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Lemma 2.4 yields

$$(18) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u C_\varphi f_k\|_{H_{\log k}} = 0.$$

From (16) we easily see that

$$\|u C_\varphi f_k\|_{H_{\log k}} \geq (1 - |z_k|^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \ln^{[j]} \frac{e^{[k]}}{1 - |z_k|^2} \right) \frac{|u(z_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2)^{n/p}}.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (18) we obtain (17). ■

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for many useful comments and suggestions. This research was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 10971153, 10671141).

References

- [1] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [2] S. G. Krantz and S. Stević, *On the iterated logarithmic Bloch space on the unit ball*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009), 1772–1795.
- [3] S. Li and S. Stević, *Generalized composition operators on Zygmund spaces and Bloch type spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 338 (2008), 1282–1295.
- [4] —, —, *Composition followed by differentiation from mixed-norm spaces to α -Bloch spaces*, *Sb. Math.* 199 (2008), 1847–1857.
- [5] —, —, *On an integral-type operator from iterated logarithmic Bloch spaces into Bloch-type spaces*, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 215 (2009), 3106-3-115.
- [6] L. Luo and S. Ueki, *Weighted composition operators between weighted Bergman spaces and Hardy spaces on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 326 (2007), 88–100.
- [7] B. D. MacCluer and R. H. Zhao, *Essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 33 (2003), 1437–1458.
- [8] S. Ohno, *Weighted composition operators between H^∞ and the Bloch space*, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 5 (2001), 555–563.
- [9] S. Ohno, K. Stroethoff and R. Zhao, *Weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 33 (2003), 191–215.
- [10] A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 61 (2000), 872–884.
- [11] J. H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Springer, 1993.
- [12] S. Stević, *Weighted composition operators between mixed-norm spaces and H^∞_α spaces in the unit ball*, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2007, art. ID 28629, 9 pp.

- [13] S. Stević, *Bloch-type functions with Hadamard gaps*, Appl. Math. Comput. 208 (2009), 416–422.
- [14] —, *On a new integral-type operator from the Bloch space to Bloch-type spaces on the unit ball*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 354 (2009), 426–434.
- [15] —, *On an integral operator between Bloch-type spaces on the unit ball*, Bull. Sci. Math. 134 (2010), 329–339.
- [16] —, *On an integral-type operator from logarithmic Bloch-type spaces to mixed-norm spaces on the unit ball*, Appl. Math. Comput. 215 (2010), 3817–3823.
- [17] —, *Weighted composition operator from the logarithmic weighted-type space to the weighted Bergman space in \mathbb{C}^n* , *ibid.* 216 (2010), 924–928.
- [18] —, *On operator $P_{\mathcal{G}}^p$ from the logarithmic Bloch-type space to the mixed-norm space on the unit ball*, *ibid.* 215 (2010), 4248–4255.
- [19] S. Ueki and L. Luo, *Essential norms of weighted composition operators between weighted Bergman spaces of the ball*, Acta Sci. Math. 74 (2008), 827–841.
- [20] —, —, *Compact weighted composition operators and multiplication operators between Hardy spaces*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2008, art. ID 196498, 12 pp.
- [21] S. Stević and S. Ueki, *Weighted composition operators from the weighted Bergman space to the weighted Hardy space on the unit ball*, Appl. Math. Comput. 215 (2010), 3526–3533.
- [22] J. Xiao, *Composition operators associated with Bloch-type spaces*, Complex Variables 46 (2001), 109–121.
- [23] H. G. Zeng and Z. H. Zhou, *An estimate of the essential norm of a composition operator from $F(p, q, s)$ to \mathcal{B}^α in the unit ball*, J. Inequal. Appl. 2010, art. ID 132970, 18 pp.
- [24] X. J. Zhang, *Composition type operator from Bergman space to μ -Bloch type space in \mathbb{C}^n* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 298 (2004), 710–721.
- [25] X. J. Zhang and J. C. Liu, *Composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces to μ -Bloch spaces*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 28 (2007), 255–266.
- [26] Z. H. Zhou and R. Y. Chen, *Weighted composition operators from $F(p, q, s)$ to Bloch type spaces*, Int. J. Math. 19 (2008), 899–926.
- [27] Z. H. Zhou and J. H. Shi, *Compactness of composition operators on the Bloch space in classical bounded symmetric domains*, Michigan Math. J. 50 (2002), 381–405.
- [28] K. H. Zhu, *Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball*, Grad. Texts in Math. 226, Springer, New York, 2005.

Ze-Hua Zhou, Yu-Xia Liang, Xing-Tang Dong (corresponding author)
Department of Mathematics
Tianjin University
Tianjin 300072, P.R. China
E-mail: zehuazhou2003@yahoo.com.cn
liangyx1986@126.com
dongxingtang@163.com

Received 11.8.2011
and in final form 12.9.2011

(2516)

