Note on the Jacobian condition and the non-proper value set

by NGUYEN VAN CHAU (Hanoi)

Abstract. We show that the non-proper value set of a polynomial map (P,Q): $\mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying the Jacobian condition det $D(P,Q) \equiv \text{const} \neq 0$, if non-empty, must be a plane curve with one point at infinity.

1. Let $f = (P,Q) : \mathbb{C}^2_{(x,y)} \to \mathbb{C}^2_{(u,v)}$ be a dominant polynomial map, $P,Q \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$, and define $J(P,Q) := P_xQ_y - P_yQ_x$. Recall that the so-called *non-proper value set* A_f of f consists of all points $a \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that the inverse $f^{-1}(K)$ is not compact for any compact neighborhood $K \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ of a. This set A_f , if non-empty, must be a plane curve such that each of its irreducible components can be parameterized by a non-constant polynomial map from \mathbb{C} into \mathbb{C}^2 (see [J]). The mysterious Jacobian conjecture (see [BCW] and [E]), posed first by Keller in 1939 and still open, asserts that a polynomial map f = (P, Q) of \mathbb{C}^2 with $J(P, Q) \equiv \text{const} \neq 0$ must have a polynomial inverse. This conjecture can be reduced to proving that the non-proper value set A_f is empty. Anyway one may think that in a counterexample to the Jacobian conjecture, if one exists, the non-proper value set must have a very special form. In [C] it was observed that in such a counterexample the irreducible components of A_f can be parameterized by polynomial maps $\xi \mapsto (p(\xi), q(\xi))$ with deg p/deg q = deg P/deg Q. In this paper we notice that the non-proper value set of a nonsingular polynomial map from \mathbb{C}^2 into itself, if non-empty, must be a curve with one point at infinity.

THEOREM 1. Suppose f = (P, Q) is a polynomial map of \mathbb{C}^2 with $J(P, Q) \equiv \text{const} \neq 0$, deg $P = \text{deg}_y P = Kd$ and deg $Q = \text{deg}_y Q = Ke$, gcd(d, e) = 1,

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14R15.

Key words and phrases: non-proper value set, Jacobian condition.

Supported in part by the National Basic Program on Natural Science, Vietnam, and the associate program of ICTP, Trieste, Italy.

Nguyen Van Chau

(1)
$$P(x,y) = Ay^{Kd} + \dots + a_1(x)y + a_0(x), \quad A \neq 0,$$
$$Q(x,y) = By^{Ke} + \dots + b_1(x)y + b_0(x), \quad B \neq 0.$$

If the non-proper value set A_f is not empty, then every irreducible component of A_f can be parameterized by polynomial maps of the form

(2)
$$\xi \mapsto (A\xi^{md} + lower \text{ order terms in } \xi, B\xi^{me} + lower \text{ order terms in } \xi), m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By definition A_f is the set of all values $a \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that the number of solutions counted with multiplicities of the equation f(x, y) = a is different from those for generic values in \mathbb{C}^2 . Then, considering the components P(x, y) and Q(x, y) as elements of $\mathbb{C}[x][y]$, we can define the resultant

(3)
$$\operatorname{Res}_{y}(P-u,Q-v) = R_{0}(u,v)x^{N} + \dots + R_{N}(u,v)x^{N}$$

where $R_i \in \mathbb{C}[u, v]$, $R_0 \neq 0$. From the basic properties of the resultant function we know that N is the geometric degree of f and $A_f = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : R_0(u, v) = 0\}$. Note that a curve given by a polynomial parameter of the form (1) can be defined by a polynomial of the form $(A^e u^e - B^d v^d)^m + \sum_{0 \leq id+je < mde} c_{ij} u^i v^j$ and its branch at infinity has a Newton–Puiseux series of the form $u = cv^{d/e} +$ lower order terms in v, where c is a dth root of B^d/A^e . Thus, Theorem 1 leads to

COROLLARY 1. Let f be as in Theorem 1. Then

(4)
$$R_0(u,v) = C(A^e u^e - B^d v^d)^M + \sum_{0 \le id + je < Mde} c_{ij} u^i v^j$$

with $0 \neq C \in \mathbb{C}$ and $M \geq 0$.

COROLLARY 2. Let f be as in Theorem 1. If $A_f \neq \emptyset$, then A_f is a curve with one point at infinity and the irreducible branches at infinity of A_f have Newton-Puiseux series of the form

 $u = cv^{d/e} + lower \text{ order terms in } v$

with coefficients c being dth roots of B^d/A^e .

As seen later, the representation in (1) of P and Q is only used to visualize the coefficient B^d/A^e . In fact, when $A_f \neq \emptyset$ the numbers d, e, B^d/A^e and the polynomial $R_0(u, v)$ are invariant under right actions of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 , since the set A_f does not depend on the coordinate (x, y). Furthermore, the coefficient B^d/A^e is uniquely determined from the relation

$$P^{e}_{+}(x,y) = (B^{d}/A^{e})Q^{d}_{+}(x,y),$$

which is a consequence of the Jacobian condition when $\deg P > 1$ and $\deg Q > 1$. Here, P_+ and Q_+ are the leading homogeneous components of P and Q, respectively.

204

Theorem 1 will be proved in Sections 2–5 in an elementary way by using Newton–Puiseux expansions and the Newton theorem. It would be interesting to determine the form of $R_0(u, v)$ by examining directly the resultant function $\operatorname{Res}_y(P-u, Q-v)$.

2. Dicritical series of f. From now on, $f = (P,Q) : \mathbb{C}^2_{(x,y)} \to \mathbb{C}^2_{(u,v)}$ is a given polynomial map with $J(P,Q) \equiv \text{const} \neq 0$, deg P = Kd > 0and deg Q = Ke > 0, $\gcd(d, e) = 1$. The Jacobian condition will be used really in Lemma 3 and the proof of Theorem 1. Since A_f does not depend on the coordinate (x, y), to examine it we can assume that deg_y $P = \deg P$, $\deg_y Q = \deg Q$ and

(5)
$$P(x,y) = Ay^{Kd} + \dots + a_1(x)y + a_0(x), \quad A \neq 0, Q(x,y) = By^{Ke} + \dots + b_1(x)y + b_0(x), \quad B \neq 0.$$

With this representation the Newton–Puiseux roots at infinity y(x) of each of the equations P(x, y) = 0 and Q(x, y) = 0 are fractional power series of the form

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^{1-k/m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \ \gcd\{k : c_k \neq 0\} = 1,$$

for which the map $\tau \mapsto (\tau^m, y(\tau^m))$ is meromorphic and injective for τ large enough. In view of the Newton theorem we can represent

(6)
$$P(x,y) = A \prod_{i=1}^{\deg P} (y - u_i(x)), \quad Q(x,y) = B \prod_{j=1}^{\deg Q} (y - v_j(x)),$$

where $u_i(x)$ and $v_j(x)$ are the Newton–Puiseux roots at infinity of the equations P = 0 and Q = 0, respectively. We refer the readers to [A] and [BK] for the Newton theorem and the Newton–Puiseux roots.

We begin with the description of the non-proper value set A_f of f via Newton–Puiseux expansions. We will work with finite fractional power series $\varphi(x,\xi)$ of the form

(7)
$$\varphi(x,\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} a_k x^{1-k/m} + \xi x^{1-K/m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \ \gcd\{k : a_k \neq 0\} = 1,$$

where ξ is a parameter. For convenience, we set $\operatorname{mult}(\varphi) := m$. Such a series φ is called a *dicritical series* of f if

$$f(x, \varphi(x, \xi)) = f_{\varphi}(\xi) + \text{lower order terms in } x, \quad \deg f_{\varphi} > 0.$$

The following description of A_f was given in [C].

LEMMA 1 ([C, Theorem 4.4]).

$$A_f = \bigcup_{\varphi \text{ is a dicritical series of } f_{\varphi}(\mathbb{C}).$$

To see this, note that by definition the non-proper value set A_f consists of all values $a \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that there exists a sequence $\mathbb{C}^2 \ni p_i \to \infty$ with $f(p_i) \to a$. If φ is a dicritical series of f of the form (7), we can define the map $\Phi(t,\xi) := (t^{-m}, \varphi(t^{-m},\xi))$. Then Φ sends $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ to \mathbb{C}^2 and the line $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ to the line at infinity of \mathbb{CP}^2 . The polynomial map $F_{\varphi}(t,\xi) := f \circ \Phi(t,\xi)$ sends the line $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ to $A_f \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. Therefore, $f_{\varphi}(\mathbb{C})$ is an irreducible component of A_f , since deg $f_{\varphi} > 0$. Conversely, if ℓ is an irreducible component of A_f , one can choose a smooth point (u_0, v_0) of A_f , $(u_0, v_0) \in \ell$, and an irreducible branch at infinity γ of the curve $P = u_0$ (or $Q = v_0$) such that the image $f(\gamma)$ is a branch curve intersecting ℓ transversally at (u_0, v_0) . Let u(x) be the Newton–Puiseux expansion of γ at infinity. Then we can construct a unique dicritical series $\varphi(x, \xi)$ such that $u(x) = \varphi(x, \xi_0 + \text{lower order terms in } x)$. For this dicritical series φ we have $f_{\varphi}(\mathbb{C}) = \ell$.

3. Associated sequence of a distribution distribution of f. Let us represent it as

(8)
$$\varphi(x,\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} c_k x^{1-n_k/m_k} + \xi x^{1-n_K/m_K},$$

where $0 \le n_0/m_0 < n_1/m_1 < \cdots < n_{K-1}/m_{K-1} < n_K/m_K = n_{\varphi}/m_{\varphi}$ and $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ may be zero, so that the sequence $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,K}$ of series defined by

(9)
$$\varphi_i(x,\xi) := \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} c_k x^{1-n_k/m_k} + \xi x^{1-n_i/m_i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, K-1,$$

and $\varphi_K := \varphi$ has the following properties:

- (S1) $\operatorname{mult}(\varphi_i) = m_i.$
- (S2) For every i < K at least one of the polynomials p_{φ_i} and q_{φ_i} has a root different from zero.
- (S3) For every $\psi(x,\xi) = \varphi_i(x,c_i) + \xi x^{1-\alpha}$, $n_i/m_i < \alpha < n_{i+1}/m_{i+1}$, each of the polynomials p_{ψ} and q_{ψ} is either constant or a monomial in ξ .

The representation (8) of φ is thus the longest representation such that for each *i* there is a Newton–Puiseux root y(x) of P = 0 or Q = 0 such that $y(x) = \varphi_i(x, c + \text{lower order terms in } x)$ and $c \neq 0$ if $c_i = 0$. This representation and the associated sequence $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=0,1,\ldots,K}$ are well defined and unique. Further, $\varphi_0(x,\xi) = \xi x$.

We will use the sequence $\{\varphi_i\}$ to determine the form of the polynomials $f_{\varphi}(\xi)$. For simplicity of notation, below we use lower indices "*i*" instead of the lower indices " φ_i ".

For each φ_i , $i = 0, \ldots, K$, let us write

(10)
$$P(x,\varphi_i(x,\xi)) = p_i(\xi)x^{a_i/m_i} + \text{lower order terms in } x,$$
$$Q(x,\varphi_i(x,\xi)) = q_i(\xi)x^{b_i/m_i} + \text{lower order terms in } x,$$

where $p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{C}[\xi] \setminus \{0\}, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m_i := \text{mult}(\varphi_i)$.

Let $\{u_i(x) : i = 1, ..., \deg P\}$ and $\{v_j(x) : j = 1, ..., \deg Q\}$ be the collections of the Newton-Puiseux roots of P = 0 and Q = 0, respectively. As shown in Section 2, by the Newton theorem the polynomials P(x, y) and Q(x, y) can be factorized as

(11)
$$P(x,y) = A \prod_{i=1}^{\deg P} (y - u_i(x)), \quad Q(x,y) = B \prod_{j=1}^{\deg Q} (y - v_j(x)).$$

For each $i = 0, \ldots, K$, define

$$S_i := \{k : 1 \le k \le \deg P, \\ u_k(x) = \varphi_i(x, a_{ik} + \text{lower order terms in } x), a_{ik} \in \mathbb{C}\}, \\ T_i := \{k : 1 \le k \le \deg Q, v_k(x) = \varphi_i(x, b_{ik} + \text{lower terms in } x), b_{ik} \in \mathbb{C}\}, \\ S_i^0 := \{k \in S_i : a_{ik} = c_i\}, \quad T_i^0 := \{k \in T_i : b_{ik} = c_i\}.$$

Write

$$p_i(\xi) = A_i \bar{p}_i(\xi) (\xi - c_i)^{\#S_i^0}, \quad \bar{p}_i(\xi) := \prod_{k \in S_i \setminus S_i^0} (\xi - a_{ik}),$$
$$q_i(\xi) = B_i \bar{q}_i(\xi) (\xi - c_i)^{\#T_i^0}, \quad \bar{q}_i(\xi) := \prod_{k \in T_i \setminus T_i^0} (\xi - b_{ik}).$$

LEMMA 2. (i) $n_0 = 0, m_0 = 1$ and

$$A_0 = A, \quad \deg p_0 = a_0 = Kd,$$

 $B_0 = B, \quad \deg q_0 = b_0 = Ke.$

(ii) For
$$i = 1, ..., K$$
,
 $A_i = A_{i-1}\bar{p}_{i-1}(c_{i-1}), \quad \deg p_i = \#S_i = \#S_{i-1}^0,$
 $\frac{a_i}{m_i} = \frac{a_{i-1}}{m_{i-1}} + \#S_{i-1}^0 \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{m_{i-1}} - \frac{n_i}{m_i}\right),$
 $B_i = B_{i-1}\bar{q}_{i-1}(c_{i-1}), \quad \deg q_i = \#T_i = \#T_{i-1}^0,$
 $\frac{b_i}{m_i} = \frac{b_{i-1}}{m_{i-1}} + \#T_{i-1}^0 \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{m_{i-1}} - \frac{n_i}{m_i}\right).$

Proof. Note that $\varphi_0(x,\xi) = \xi x$ and $\varphi_i(x,\xi) = \varphi_{i-1}(x,c_{i-1}) + \xi x^{1-n_i/m_i}$ for i > 0. Then, substituting $y = \varphi_i(x,\xi)$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, K$, into the Newton factorizations of P(x,y) and Q(x,y) in (11) one can easily verify the conclusions.

4. The Jacobian condition. Let φ be a distribution of f and $\{\varphi_i\}$ be its associated series. Define

$$J_i(\xi) := a_i p_i(\xi) \dot{q}_i(\xi) - b_i \dot{p}_i(\xi) q_i(\xi).$$

The Jacobian condition will be considered in the following sense.

LEMMA 3. Let $0 \leq i < K$. If $a_i > 0$ and $b_i > 0$, then

$$J_{i}(\xi) \equiv \begin{cases} -m_{i}J(P,Q) & \text{if } a_{i} + b_{i} = 2m_{i} - n_{i}, \\ 0 & \text{if } a_{i} + b_{i} > 2m_{i} - n_{i}. \end{cases}$$

Further, $J_i(\xi) \equiv 0$ if and only if $p_i(\xi)$ and $q_i(\xi)$ have a common root. In this case

$$p_i(\xi)^{b_i} = Cq_i(\xi)^{a_i}, \quad C \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Proof. Since $a_i > 0$ and $b_i > 0$, differentiating $f(t^{-m_i}, \varphi_i(t^{-m_i}, \xi))$ with respect to t, we obtain

$$m_i J(P,Q) t^{n_i - 2m_i - 1} + \text{higher order terms in } t$$

= $-J_i(\xi) t^{-a_i - b_i - 1} + \text{higher order terms in } t.$

Comparing the two sides we get the first conclusion. The remaining ones are left to the reader as an elementary exercise. \blacksquare

5. Proof of Theorem 1. (i) Assume that $A_f \neq \emptyset$. Then A_f is a plane curve in \mathbb{C}^2 . Let ℓ be an irreducible component of A_f . By Lemma 1 there is a district series φ of f such that ℓ can be parameterized by the polynomial map $f_{\varphi}(\xi) = (p_{\varphi}(\xi), q_{\varphi}(\xi))$, i.e. $\ell = f_{\varphi}(\mathbb{C})$. We will show that

(12)
$$f_{\varphi}(\xi) = (AC_{\varphi}^{d}\xi^{D_{\varphi}d} + \cdots, BC_{\varphi}^{e}\xi^{D_{\varphi}e} + \cdots), \quad C_{\varphi} \neq 0, \ D_{\varphi} \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then by changing variable $\xi \mapsto C_{\varphi}^{-1}\xi$ we get the desired parameterization $\xi \mapsto (A\xi^{D_{\varphi}d} + \cdots, B\xi^{D_{\varphi}e} + \cdots)$ of ℓ .

(ii) Consider the associated sequence $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^K$ of φ . Since $A_f \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$\deg P > 1, \quad \deg Q > 1.$$

Otherwise, f is bijective and $A_f = \emptyset$. Since φ is a distribution of f, without loss of generality we can assume that

$$\deg p_K > 0, \quad a_K = 0, \quad b_K \le 0.$$

Then from the construction of the sequence φ_i it follows that

(13)
$$\begin{cases} p_i(c_i) = 0 \text{ and } a_i > 0, & i = 0, 1, \dots, K-1, \\ q_i(c_i) = 0 & \text{if } b_i > 0. \end{cases}$$

This allows us to use the Jacobian condition in the sense of Lemma 3. Then, by induction using Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and (13) we can obtain without difficulty the following.

208

ASSERTION. For $i = 0, 1, \ldots, K - 1$ we have

(a)
$$a_i > 0, \quad b_i > 0,$$

(b)
$$\frac{a_i}{b_i} = \frac{\#S_i}{\#T_i} = \frac{d}{e},$$

(c)
$$\frac{\#S_i^0}{\#T_i^0} = \frac{d}{e}, \quad \bar{p}_i(\xi)^e = \bar{q}_i(\xi)^d.$$

(iii) Now, we prove (12). By Lemma 2(iii) and (b-c) we have

$$\frac{b_K}{m_K} = \frac{b_{K-1}}{m_{K-1}} + \#T^0_{K-1} \left(\frac{n_{K-1}}{m_{K-1}} - \frac{n_K}{m_K}\right)$$
$$= \frac{e}{d} \left[\frac{a_{K-1}}{m_{K-1}} + \#S^0_{K-1} \left(\frac{n_{K-1}}{m_{K-1}} \frac{n_K}{m_K}\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{e}{d} \frac{a_K}{m_K} = 0,$$

as $a_K = 0$. Hence, $f_{\varphi}(\xi) = (p_K(\xi), q_K(\xi))$ by definition and (a). Using Lemma 2(ii)–(iii) to compute the coefficients A_K and B_K we get

$$A_K = A\Big(\prod_{k \le K-1} \bar{p}_k(c_k)\Big), \quad B_K = B\Big(\prod_{k \le K-1} \bar{q}_k(c_k)\Big).$$

Let C_{φ} be a *d*th root of $\prod_{k \leq K-1} \bar{p}_k(c_k)$ and $D_{\varphi} := \gcd(\#S^0_{K-1}, \#T^0_{K-1})$. Then, by Lemma 2(ii) and (b-c) we have $A_K = AC^d_{\varphi}, B_K = BC^e_{\varphi}, \deg p_K = \#S^0_{K-1} = D_{\varphi}d$ and $\deg q_K = \#T^0_{K-1} = D_{\varphi}e$. Thus,

$$f_{\varphi}(\xi) = (AC_{\varphi}^{d}\xi^{D_{\varphi}d} + \cdots, BC_{\varphi}^{e}\xi^{D_{\varphi}e} + \cdots). \quad \blacksquare$$

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank Prof. C. Olech and Prof. A. van den Essen for many valuable suggestions and useful discussions.

References

- [A] S. S. Abhyankar, Expansion Techniques in Algebraic Geometry, Tata Inst. Fund. Research, 1977.
- [AM] S. S. Abhyankar and T. T. Moh, *Embeddings of the line in the plane*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 276 (1975), 148–166.
- [BCW] H. Bass, E. Connell and D. Wright, The Jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 7 (1982), 287–330.
- [BK] E. Brieskorn und H. Knörrer, *Ebene algebraische Kurven*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981.
- [C] Nguyen Van Chau, Non-zero constant Jacobian polynomial maps of \mathbb{C}^2 , Ann. Polon. Math. 71 (1999), 287–310.
- [E] A. van den Essen, Polynomial Automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture, Progr. Math. 190, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.

[J] Z. Jelonek, The set of points at which a polynomial map is not proper, Ann. Polon. Math. 58 (1993), 259–266.

Hanoi Institute of Mathematics 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, 10307 Hanoi, Vietnam E-mail: nvchau@math.ac.vn

 $Reçu \ par \ la \ Rédaction \ le \ 29.3.2004 \tag{1510}$

210