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#### Abstract

The existence of a solution for a class of quasilinear integrodifferential equations of Volterra-Hammerstein type with nonlinear boundary conditions is established. Such equations occur in the study of the $p$-Laplace equation, generalized reactiondiffusion theory, non-Newtonian fluid theory, and in the study of turbulent flows of a gas in a porous medium. The results are obtained by using upper and lower solutions, and extend some previously known results.


In this paper we study existence results for the integrodifferential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, u, T_{1} u, T_{2} u, u^{\prime}\right), \quad t \in I=[0,1] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to one of the following boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(u(0), u(1), u^{\prime}(0), u^{\prime}(1)\right)=0, \quad h(u(0))=u(1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(u(0), u^{\prime}(0)\right)=0=q\left(u(0), u^{\prime}(0), u(1), u^{\prime}(1)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(u(1), u^{\prime}(1)\right)=0=w\left(u(0), u^{\prime}(0), u(1), u^{\prime}(1)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
T_{1} u(t)=\psi_{1}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} K_{1}(t, s) u(s) d s, \quad T_{1} u(t)=\psi_{2}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} K_{2}(t, s) u(s) d s
$$

[^0]$K_{i} \in C\left([0,1] \times[0,1], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), \psi_{i} \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}), i=1,2, f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and $\Phi_{m}(s)=|s|^{m-2} s$ for $m>1$. Equations of the above form are mathematical models occurring in the study of the $m$-Laplace equation, in generalized reaction-diffusion theory ([6]), non-Newtonian fluid theory, and in the study of turbulent flows of a gas in a porous medium ([4]). In the non-Newtonian fluid theory, the quantity $m$ is a characteristic of the medium. Media with $m>2$ are called dilatant fluids and those with $m<2$ are called pseudoplastics. If $m=2$, they are Newtonian fluids.

The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right), \quad t \in I=[0,1], \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with various boundary conditions has been studied by many authors (see $[1-4,6,8-15]$ and references therein). On the contrary, it seems that little is known about problems (1)-(2), (1)-(3), and (1)-(4). Our results were motivated by the papers $[1,2,5,7]$ which studied periodic and Neumann nonlinear boundary conditions for equation (5). When $p=2$, some related results have been obtained in $[5,7]$. Our results extend those of $[1,2,5,7]$.

Definition 1. A function $\alpha \in C^{1}[0,1]$ with $\Phi_{m}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is called a lower solution of (5) on $I=[0,1]$ if

$$
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \geq f\left(t, \alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } t \in I
$$

Likewise, $\beta \in C^{1}[0,1]$ with $\Phi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is an upper solution of (5) on $I$ if

$$
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \leq f\left(t, \beta, \beta^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } t \in I
$$

In what follows we shall assume that

$$
\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t), \quad t \in I
$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in C(I), \alpha \leq \beta$, we define the set

$$
E=\left\{u \in C^{1}(I) \mid \alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t), \forall t \in I\right\} .
$$

In the following theorems we will use the following hypotheses:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \quad f$ is a continuous function in $\Omega=\left\{(t, y, z) \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1,(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\}$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \quad f(t, y, z)$ satisfies the Nagumo condition in $E$, i.e. there exists a function $\Psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $1 / \Psi$ integrable on every bounded interval $(a, b) \subset[0, \infty)$, such that

$$
|f(t, y, z)| \leq \Psi(|z|) \quad \text { for }(t, y) \in E, z \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\Psi$ satisfies

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi_{m}^{-1}(u) / \Psi\left(\Phi_{m}^{-1}(u)\right) d u=\infty .
$$

From [11, 15], we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha, \beta \in C^{1}[0,1]$ be lower and upper solutions of (5), respectively, with $\alpha \leq \beta$ in I. Assume that hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ are satisfied. Then for any $\alpha(0) \leq A \leq \beta(0), \alpha(1) \leq B \leq \beta(1)$ there exists a solution $u$ of the boundary value problem

$$
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right), \quad u(0)=A, \quad u(1)=B
$$

satisfying $\alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t)$ on $[0,1]$.
Before stating the main result on existence of solutions for problems (1)-(2), (1)-(3), and (1)-(4), we give the following

Definition 2. A function $\alpha \in C^{1}[0,1]$ with $\Phi_{m}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is called a lower solution of $(1)$ on $[0,1]$ if

$$
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \geq f\left(t, \alpha, T_{1} \alpha, T_{2} \alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } t \in I
$$

Likewise, $\beta \in C^{1}[0,1]$ with $\Phi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is an upper solution of (1) on $[0,1]$ if

$$
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \leq f\left(t, \beta, T_{1} \beta, T_{2} \beta, \beta^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } t \in I
$$

Moreover, we define the following sets:
$F=\{(y, z, u, v)| | \alpha(0) \leq y \leq \beta(0), \alpha(1) \leq z \leq \beta(1), u, v \in \mathbb{R}\} ;$
$G=\{g=g(y, z, u, v) \in C(F) \mid g$ is nondecreasing in $u$, nonincreasing in $v$, and $\left.g\left(\alpha(0), \alpha(1), \alpha^{\prime}(0), \alpha^{\prime}(1)\right) \geq 0 \geq g\left(\beta(0), \beta(1), \beta^{\prime}(0), \beta^{\prime}(1)\right)\right\} ;$
$H=\{h \mid h:[\alpha(0), \beta(0)] \rightarrow[\alpha(1), \beta(1)]$ is a homeomorphism, and $h(\alpha(0))=\alpha(1), h(\beta(0))=\beta(1)\} ;$
$P=\{p=p(s, t) \mid p:[\alpha(0), \beta(0)] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and nonincreasing in $t$ and $\left.p\left(\alpha(0), \alpha^{\prime}(0)\right) \leq 0 \leq p\left(\beta(0), \beta^{\prime}(0)\right)\right\} ;$
$\Gamma=\{(s, t, u, v) \mid \alpha(0) \leq s \leq \beta(0), \alpha(1) \leq u \leq \beta(1), t, v \in \mathbb{R}\} ;$
$Z(p)=\{(s, t) \mid p(s, t)=0, \alpha(0) \leq s \leq \beta(0), t \in \mathbb{R}\} ;$
$Q=\{q=q(s, t, u, v) \in C(\Gamma) \mid q$ is nondecreasing in $v$, and $q\left(s, t, \alpha(1), \alpha^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 0 \leq q\left(s, t, \beta(1), \beta^{\prime}(1)\right)$ for $\left.(s, t) \in Z(p)\right\} ;$
$Z(r)=\{(u, v) \mid r(u, v)=0, \alpha(1) \leq u \leq \beta(1), v \in \mathbb{R}\} ;$
$R=\{r=r(u, v) \mid r:[\alpha(1), \beta(1)] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, nondecreasing in $v$, and $\left.r\left(\alpha(1), \alpha^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 0 \leq r\left(\beta(1), \beta^{\prime}(1)\right)\right\}$;
$W=\{w=w(s, t, u, v) \in C(\Gamma) \mid w$ is nonincreasing in $t$, and $w\left(\alpha(0), \alpha^{\prime}(0), u, v\right) \leq 0 \leq w\left(\alpha(1), \alpha^{\prime}(1), u, v\right)$ for $\left.(u, v) \in Z(r)\right\}$.

In what follows we impose the following conditions on (1):
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \quad f(t, u, v, w, z)$ is nonincreasing in $v$ and in $w$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad f \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{4}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and there exists a continuous function $h$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
|f(t, u, v, w, z)| \leq h(|z|) \quad \text { for }(t, u, v, w, z) \in \Omega
$$

where $\Omega=\left\{(t, u, v, w, z) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^{3}:|u| \leq r_{1},|v| \leq r_{2},|w| \leq r_{3}\right.$, $z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for some $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}>0$, and also that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi_{m}^{-1}(u) / h\left(\Phi_{m}^{-1}(u)\right) d u=\infty
$$

Now, we can prove our main results.
Theorem 2. Let $\alpha, \beta \in C^{1}[0,1]$ be lower and upper solutions of (1), respectively, with $\alpha \leq \beta$ on $I=[0,1]$. Assume that hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ are satisfied. Then for any $\alpha(0) \leq A \leq \beta(0), \alpha(1) \leq B \leq \beta(1)$ there exists a solution $u$ of the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, u, T_{1} u, T_{2} u, u^{\prime}\right), \quad u(0)=A, \quad u(1)=B \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying $\alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t)$ on $[0,1]$.
Proof. Let $u_{0}(t)=\beta(t)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(t, \alpha(t),\left[T_{1} u_{0}\right](t),\left[T_{2} u_{0}\right](t), \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right) & \leq f\left(t, \alpha,\left[T_{1} \alpha\right](t),\left[T_{2} \alpha\right](t), \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& \leq\left(\Phi_{m}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
f\left(t, \beta(t),\left[T_{1} u_{0}\right](t),\left[T_{2} u_{0}\right](t), \beta^{\prime}(t)\right) & \geq\left(\Phi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}, \quad t \in I=[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 1, there exists a solution $u_{1}$ of the boundary value problem

$$
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, u, T_{1} u_{0}, T_{2} u_{0}, u^{\prime}\right), \quad u(0)=A, \quad u(1)=B
$$

satisfying $\alpha(t) \leq u_{1}(t) \leq \beta(t)=u_{0}(t)$ on $[0,1]$.
We now consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, u, T_{1} u_{1}, T_{2} u_{1}, u^{\prime}\right), \quad u(0)=A, \quad u(1)=B \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
f\left(t, \alpha, T_{1} u_{1}, T_{2} u_{1}, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \leq\left(\Phi_{m}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
f\left(t, u_{1}, T_{1} u_{1}, T_{2} u_{1}, u_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq f\left(t, u_{1}, T_{1} u_{0}, T_{1} u_{0}, u_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\Phi_{m}\left(u_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}
$$

By Theorem 1, there exists a solution $u_{2}$ of (7) satisfying $\alpha(t) \leq u_{2}(t)$ $\leq u_{1}(t)$ on $[0,1]$.

By induction, we can construct a nonincreasing sequence $\left\{u_{n}(t)\right\}$ such that

$$
\alpha(t) \leq u_{n}(t) \leq u_{n-1}(t) \leq \cdots \leq u_{0}(t)=\beta(t)
$$

From condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, there exists a positive constant $N>0$ such that $\left|u_{n}(t)\right| \leq N, t \in I, n=1,2, \ldots$. On the other hand, $\left\{\left(\Phi_{m}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded on $I$ by equation (6). Therefore, $\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{\Phi_{m}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. Applying the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem to the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, we find that there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}$ satisfying $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{m}\left(u_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right)=v$. Thus, we obtain $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{n_{k}}^{\prime}=\Phi_{m}^{-1}(v)$, and so

$$
u_{n_{k}}(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} u_{n_{k}}^{\prime}(s) d s \rightarrow A+\int_{0}^{t} \Phi_{m}^{-1}(v) d s=\bar{u}(t) \quad(k \rightarrow \infty)
$$

So there exists $\bar{u} \in C^{1}(I)$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{n_{k}}(t)=\bar{u}(t)$. By the dominated convergence theorem, we know that $\bar{u}$ is a solution of problem (6).

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha, \beta \in C^{1}[0,1]$ be lower and upper solutions of (1), respectively, with $\alpha \leq \beta$ on $I=[0,1]$. Assume that hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ are satisfied, and $g \in G, h \in H$. Then the boundary value problem (1)-(2) has a solution $u=u(t)$ with $\alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t)$ on $[0,1]$.

Proof. For each $\alpha(0) \leq c \leq \beta(0)$, there exists (by Theorem 2) a solution $u_{c}$ of the BVP

$$
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, u, T_{1} u, T_{2} u, u^{\prime}\right), \quad u(0)=c, \quad u(1)=h(c),
$$

satisfying $\alpha(t) \leq u_{c}(t) \leq \beta(t)$ on $[0,1]$. If $c=\alpha(0)$, then $u_{c}^{\prime}(0) \geq \alpha^{\prime}(0)$ and $u_{c}^{\prime}(1) \leq \alpha^{\prime}(1)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(u_{c}(0), u_{c}(1), u_{c}^{\prime}(0), u_{c}^{\prime}(1)\right) & =g\left(\alpha(0), \alpha(1), u_{c}^{\prime}(0), u_{c}^{\prime}(1)\right)  \tag{8}\\
& \geq g\left(\alpha(0), \alpha(1), \alpha^{\prime}(0), \alpha^{\prime}(1)\right) \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

by the monotonicity of $g$ in the last two variables. Similarly, if $c=\beta(0)$, we have $u_{c}^{\prime}(0) \leq \beta^{\prime}(0), u_{c}^{\prime}(1) \geq \beta^{\prime}(1)$, and therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(u_{c}(0), u_{c}(1), u_{c}^{\prime}(0), u_{c}^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq g\left(\beta(0), \beta(1), \beta^{\prime}(0), \beta^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 0 &  \tag{9}\\
c & =\beta(0) .
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\left\{c \in[\alpha(0), \beta(0)]: g\left(u_{c}(0), u_{c}(1), u_{c}^{\prime}(0), u_{c}^{\prime}(1)\right)<0\right\}, \\
N & =\left\{c \in[\alpha(0), \beta(0)]: g\left(u_{c}(0), u_{c}(1), u_{c}^{\prime}(0), u_{c}^{\prime}(1)\right)>0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the theorem is not true, then $M \cup N=[\alpha(0), \beta(0)]$ and both $M, N$ are nonempty by (8)-(9). We claim that $M$ is closed. To see this, let $c_{n} \in M$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=c_{0}$. Then with $u_{n}=u_{c_{n}}$, it follows that $g\left(u_{n}(0), u_{n}(1), u_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right.$, $\left.u_{n}^{\prime}(1)\right)<0$ and there exists a subsequence of $u_{n}$ which converges, uniformly on $[0,1]$, to a solution $u_{0}$ of (1) satisfying $u_{0}(0)=c_{0}, u_{0}(1)=h\left(c_{0}\right)$ and $g\left(u_{0}(0), u_{0}(1), u_{0}^{\prime}(0), u_{0}^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 0$. By assumption, equality cannot occur, so that $g\left(u_{0}(0), u_{0}(1), u_{0}^{\prime}(0), u_{0}^{\prime}(1)\right)<0$, and thus $c_{0} \in M$. Therefore, $M$ is
closed, so $N$ is open. Likewise, we may show $N$ is closed. This is a contradiction which proves the theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha, \beta \in C^{1}[0,1]$ be lower and upper solutions of (1), respectively, with $\alpha \leq \beta$ on $I=[0,1]$. Assume that hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ are satisfied, and $p \in P, q \in Q$. Then the boundary value problem (1)-(3) has a solution $u=u(t)$ with $\alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t)$ on $[0,1]$.

ThEOREM 5. Let $\alpha, \beta \in C^{1}[0,1]$ be lower and upper solutions of (1), respectively, with $\alpha \leq \beta$ on $I=[0,1]$. Assume that hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ are satisfied, and $r \in R, w \in W$. Then the boundary value problem (1)-(4) has a solution $u=u(t)$ with $\alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t)$ on $[0,1]$.

Corollary 1. Let $\alpha, \beta \in C^{1}[0,1]$ be lower and upper solutions of (1), respectively, with $\alpha \leq \beta$ on $I=[0,1]$. Assume that hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ are satisfied, and $m=(\beta(1)-\alpha(1)) /(\beta(0)-\alpha(0))$. Furthermore, suppose there exists $c>0$ such that $\beta^{\prime}(1)-\alpha^{\prime}(1) \geq c\left(\beta^{\prime}(0)-\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right)$ and let d satisfy $\beta^{\prime}(1)-c \beta^{\prime}(0) \geq d \geq \alpha^{\prime}(1)-c \alpha^{\prime}(0)$. Then equation (1) has a solution $u$ with $\alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta$ and $u(1)=m u(0)+\alpha(1)-m \alpha(0), u^{\prime}(1)=c u^{\prime}(0)+d$.

Corollary 2. Let $\alpha, \beta \in C^{1}[0,1]$ be lower and upper solutions of (1), respectively, with $\alpha \leq \beta$ on $I=[0,1]$. Suppose there exists a constant $L>0$ such that for all $(t, u) \in E$ and $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left|f\left(t, u, T_{1} u, T_{2} u, u_{1}^{\prime}\right)-f\left(t, u, T_{1} u, T_{2} u, u_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq L\left|u_{1}^{\prime}-u_{2}^{\prime}\right|
$$

Let $A, B, a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ be real numbers such that $a_{i}, b_{i} \geq 0(i=1,2)$, $a_{1}+a_{2}>0, b_{1}+b_{2}>0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} \alpha(0)-a_{2} \alpha^{\prime}(0)-A \leq 0 \leq a_{1} \beta(0)-a_{2} \beta^{\prime}(0)-A, \\
& b_{1} \alpha(1)+b_{2} \alpha^{\prime}(1)-B \leq 0 \leq b_{1} \beta(1)+b_{2} \beta^{\prime}(1)-B .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then equation (1) has a solution $u$ such that

$$
a_{1} u(0)-a_{2} u^{\prime}(0)-A=0=b_{1} u(1)+b_{2} u(1)-B, \quad \alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t)
$$

Example. To illustrate Theorem 4 for the case when the boundary conditions are nonlinear, let $f$ satisfy conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ and assume

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(t,-1, \psi_{1}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} K_{1}(t, s) d s, \psi_{2}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} K_{2}(t, s) d s, 0\right) \leq 0 \\
& \leq f\left(t, 1, \psi_{1}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} K_{1}(t, s) d s, \psi_{2}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} K_{2}(t, s) d s, 0\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{i} \in C\left([0,1] \times[0,1], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), \psi_{i} \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}), i=1,2$, so that $\alpha=-1$, $\beta=1$ are lower and upper solutions, respectively, of (1). Let $p=p(s, t)$,
$q=q(s, t, u, v)$ be defined by

$$
p(s, t)=s^{2}-t-1, \quad q(s, t, u, v)=s+t+c u+d v
$$

where $c \geq 5 / 4, d>0$ are real constants. It is easy to check that $p \in P$, $q \in Q$, so that by Theorem 4, there exists a solution $u$ of the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\Phi_{m}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, u, T_{1} u, T_{2} u, u^{\prime}\right) \\
(u(0))^{2}-u^{\prime}(0)-1=0=u(0)+u^{\prime}(0)+c u(1)+d u^{\prime}(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

satisfying $-1 \leq u(x) \leq 1$ on $[0,1]$.
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