

On the Dirichlet problem in the Cegrell classes

by RAFAŁ CZYŻ (Kraków) and PER ÅRHAG (Taipei)

Abstract. Let μ be a non-negative measure with finite mass given by $\varphi(dd^c\psi)^n$, where ψ is a bounded plurisubharmonic function with zero boundary values and $\varphi \in L^q((dd^c\psi)^n)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. The Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge–Ampère operator with the measure μ is studied.

1. Introduction. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be a bounded hyperconvex domain, i.e., a bounded, connected and open set that admits a negative plurisubharmonic exhaustion function. A bounded plurisubharmonic function u defined on Ω belongs to the class \mathcal{E}_0 if

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \xi \\ z \in \Omega}} u(z) = 0$$

for every $\xi \in \partial\Omega$, and

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n < \infty,$$

where $(dd^c \cdot)^n$ is the complex Monge–Ampère operator. Let the measure μ defined on Ω be given by

$$\mu = \varphi(dd^c\psi)^n,$$

where $\varphi \in L^q((dd^c\psi)^n)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0$. It is proved in Theorem 5.11 of [4] that every non-negative measure $\tilde{\mu}$ defined on Ω can be decomposed into

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\varphi}(dd^c\tilde{\psi})^n + \nu,$$

where $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\tilde{\varphi} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}((dd^c\tilde{\psi})^n)$, $\tilde{\varphi} \geq 0$. The non-negative measure ν is such that there exists a pluripolar set $A \subseteq \Omega$ such that $\nu(A) = \nu(\Omega)$. For $q = 1$, the measure μ has finite mass and it puts no mass on pluripolar sets, by (1.1). Lemma 5.14 in [4] implies that there exists a unique function $u \in \mathcal{F}$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 32W20; Secondary 32U15.

Key words and phrases: complex Monge–Ampère operator, Dirichlet problem, plurisubharmonic function.

The research of the first-named author was partially supported by KBN, under grant number 1 P03A 037 26.

such that $(dd^c u)^n = \mu$ as measures defined on Ω (see Definition 2.1 for the class \mathcal{F}).

In this article some results concerning the solution of this Dirichlet problem will be obtained for the case $q > 1$. If $q > 1$ is such that $n(q - 1) \geq 1$, then our Theorem 2.3 states that the unique solution $u \in \mathcal{F}$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_p , where $p = n(q - 1)$ (see Definition 2.1 for the class \mathcal{F}_p). On the other hand, if $q > 1$ is such that $n(q - 1) < 1$, then it is proved in Theorem 2.4 that the unique solution $u \in \mathcal{F}$ is such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (-u)^p (dd^c u)^n < \infty,$$

where $p = n(q - 1)$. Moreover there exists a decreasing sequence $[u_j]_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $u_j \in \mathcal{E}_0$, which converges pointwise to u on Ω as j tends to ∞ and satisfies

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^n < \infty, \quad \sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n < \infty.$$

If there can be no misinterpretation a sequence $[\cdot]_{j=1}^{\infty}$ will be denoted by $[\cdot]$. The results of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 will be extended to the corresponding classes with continuous boundary values. The note ends by recalling a theorem for the case when $q = \infty$ (see Theorem 2.7). For an introduction to pluripotential theory we recommend [7].

The authors would like to thank Jonas Andersson and Sławomir Kołodziej. They have both made many valuable comments on and suggestions for this manuscript.

2. Dirichlet problem. A domain is an open and connected set. A domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is called *hyperconvex* if there exists a plurisubharmonic function $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$ such that the closure of the set

$$\{z \in \Omega : \varphi(z) < c\}$$

is compact in Ω for every $c \in (-\infty, 0)$. Throughout this note Ω will be a bounded hyperconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Define \mathcal{F} ($= \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$) to be the class of plurisubharmonic functions φ defined on Ω such that there exists a decreasing sequence $[\varphi_j]$, $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}_0$, which converges pointwise to φ on Ω as j tends to ∞ , and

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_j)^n < \infty.$$

Let $p \geq 1$. If $[\varphi_j]$ can also be chosen such that

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (-\varphi_j)^p (dd^c \varphi_j)^n < \infty,$$

then φ is said to be in the class \mathcal{F}_p ($= \mathcal{F}_p(\Omega)$).

The classes \mathcal{F}_p were first introduced in [3] and \mathcal{F} in [4]. These classes are two of the so-called *Cegrell classes*. For further information about the Cegrell classes see, e.g., [3]–[6] and [9].

LEMMA 2.2. *If $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_0$, then for each $p \geq 0$,*

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\phi)^{p+n} (dd^c \psi)^n \leq C \int_{\Omega} (-\phi)^p (dd^c \phi)^n,$$

where $C \geq 0$ is a constant, depending only on n, p and the supremum of ψ .

Proof. Cf. [1] (see also [2]). ■

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\varphi \in L^q((dd^c \psi)^n)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, $n \geq 2$. If $1 < q < \infty$ is such that $n(q - 1) \geq 1$, then there exists a unique function $u \in \mathcal{F}_p$ such that*

$$(dd^c u)^n = \varphi (dd^c \psi)^n,$$

where $p = n(q - 1)$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0$. Hölder’s inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} (-\phi)^p \varphi (dd^c \psi)^n &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi^q (dd^c \psi)^n \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\phi)^{pq/(q-1)} (dd^c \psi)^n \right)^{(q-1)/q} \\ &= C_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\phi)^{p+n} (dd^c \psi)^n \right)^{p/(n+p)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 \geq 0$ is a constant and $p = n(q - 1)$. Since $p \geq 1$ by assumption, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} (-\phi)^{p+n} (dd^c \psi)^n \leq C_2 \int_{\Omega} (-\phi)^p (dd^c \phi)^n,$$

where $C_2 \geq 0$ is a constant. Inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) imply that there exists a constant A such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\phi)^p \varphi (dd^c \psi)^n \leq A \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\phi)^p (dd^c \phi)^n \right)^{p/(p+n)}$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0$, hence Theorem 5.1 in [3] shows that there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{F}_p$ such that

$$(dd^c u)^n = \varphi (dd^c \psi)^n. \quad \blacksquare$$

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\varphi \in L^q((dd^c \psi)^n)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, $n \geq 2$. If $1 < q < \infty$ is such that $n(q - 1) < 1$, then there exists a unique function $u \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $(dd^c u)^n = \varphi (dd^c \psi)^n$ and*

$$\int_{\Omega} (-u)^p (dd^c u)^n < \infty,$$

where $p = n(q - 1)$. Moreover there exists a decreasing sequence $[u_j]$, $u_j \in \mathcal{E}_0$, which converges pointwise to u on Ω as j tends to ∞ and satisfies

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^n < \infty, \quad \sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0$. By using the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 it follows that there exists a constant A not depending on ϕ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} (-\phi)^p \varphi (dd^c \psi)^n \leq A \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\phi)^p (dd^c \phi)^n \right)^{p/(n+p)}.$$

In particular, this shows that the measure $\varphi (dd^c \psi)^n$ vanishes on pluripolar sets. Lemma 5.14 in [4] implies that there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$(dd^c u)^n = \varphi (dd^c \psi)^n,$$

since the given measure has finite total mass. Let $u_j \in \mathcal{E}_0$ be such that

$$(dd^c u_j)^n = \min(j, \varphi) (dd^c \psi)^n.$$

The comparison principle shows that the sequence $[u_j]$ is decreasing and converges pointwise to u on Ω as j tends to ∞ . Inequality (2.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^n &= \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p \min(j, \varphi) (dd^c \psi)^n \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u)^n \leq A \left(\int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^n \right)^{p/(n+p)}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^n \leq A^{(p+n)/n},$$

hence

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^n \leq A^{(p+n)/n}.$$

Inequality (2.3) implies that

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u)^n \leq A \left(\int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u_j)^n \right)^{p/(n+p)} \leq A^{(p+n)/n}.$$

By the monotone convergence theorem,

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (-u_j)^p (dd^c u)^n = \int_{\Omega} (-u)^p (dd^c u)^n.$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.5) yields

$$\int_{\Omega} (-u)^p (dd^c u)^n \leq A^{(p+n)/n}. \quad \blacksquare$$

The next step is to generalize the results in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 to some more general classes of bounded plurisubharmonic functions. Recall that the Perron–Bremermann envelope is defined by

$$PB_f(z) := \sup\{w(z) : w \in \mathcal{PSH}(\Omega), \limsup_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow \xi \\ \zeta \in \Omega}} w(\zeta) \leq f(\xi) \ \forall \xi \in \partial\Omega\},$$

where $\mathcal{PSH}(\Omega)$ denotes the class of plurisubharmonic functions defined on Ω and $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given function. Recall that if Ω is a bounded hyperconvex domain and $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then $PB_f \in \mathcal{PSH}(\Omega)$.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let $\mathcal{K} \in \{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{F}_p, \mathcal{F}\}$ and $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \xi \\ z \in \Omega}} PB_f(z) = f(\xi),$$

for every $\xi \in \partial\Omega$. A plurisubharmonic function u defined on Ω belongs to the class $\mathcal{K}(f)$ ($= \mathcal{K}(\Omega, f)$) if there exists a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$PB_f \geq u \geq \varphi + PB_f.$$

REMARK. If $\mathcal{K} \in \{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{F}_p, \mathcal{F}\}$, then $\mathcal{K}(0) = \mathcal{K}$.

REMARK. Theorem 2.3 is also valid for the Cegrell class $\mathcal{F}_p(f)$.

The classes $\mathcal{E}_0(f)$ and $\mathcal{F}_p(f)$ were first introduced in [3] and the class $\mathcal{F}(f)$ in [9].

THEOREM 2.6. Assume that $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \xi \\ z \in \Omega}} PB_f(z) = f(\xi)$$

for every $\xi \in \partial\Omega$, and $PB_f + PB_{-f} \in \mathcal{E}_0$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\varphi \in L^q((dd^c\psi)^n)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, $n \geq 2$. If $1 < q < \infty$ is such that $n(q - 1) < 1$, then there exists a unique function $u \in \mathcal{F}(f)$ such that $(dd^cu)^n = \varphi(dd^c\psi)^n$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} (-u - PB_{-f})^p (dd^cu)^n < \infty,$$

where $p = n(q - 1)$. Moreover there exists a decreasing sequence $[u_j]$, $u_j \in \mathcal{E}_0(f)$, which converges pointwise to u on Ω as j tends to ∞ and satisfies

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (-u_j - PB_{-f})^p (dd^cu_j)^n < \infty, \quad \sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^cu_j)^n < \infty.$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.4 it follows that there exists $v \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$(dd^cv)^n = \varphi(dd^c\psi)^n, \quad \int_{\Omega} (-v)^p (dd^cv)^n < \infty,$$

where $p = n(q - 1)$. Theorem 7.4 in [9] implies that there exists $u \in \mathcal{F}(f)$ such that $(dd^c u)^n = \varphi(dd^c \psi)^n$. The function $v + \text{PB}_f$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}(f)$ and

$$(dd^c u)^n = (dd^c v)^n \leq (dd^c(v + \text{PB}_f))^n,$$

hence

$$v + \text{PB}_f \leq u,$$

by Corollary 7.7 in [9]. Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} (-u - \text{PB}_{-f})^p (dd^c u)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} (-v - \text{PB}_f - \text{PB}_{-f})^p (dd^c v)^n < \infty.$$

Now for the second part of the theorem. Theorem 4.10 in [9] together with the assumption that $\text{PB}_f + \text{PB}_{-f} \in \mathcal{E}_0$ implies that there exists $u_j \in \mathcal{E}_0(f)$ such that

$$(dd^c u_j)^n = \min(j, \varphi)(dd^c \psi)^n.$$

Moreover $[u_j]$ is a decreasing sequence which converges pointwise to u on Ω as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n < \infty.$$

Furthermore, $u_j + \text{PB}_{-f} \in \mathcal{E}_0$. The assertion then follows by repeating the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.4. ■

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\varphi \in L^q((dd^c \psi)^n)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, $n \geq 2$. If $q = \infty$, then there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{E}_0(f)$ such that $(dd^c u)^n = \varphi(dd^c \psi)^n$. In particular, if $f = 0$, then there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{E}_0$ such that $(dd^c u)^n = \varphi(dd^c \psi)^n$.*

Proof. There exists a constant $c \geq 0$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi(dd^c \psi)^n \leq c(dd^c \psi)^n = (dd^c(c^{1/n} \psi))^n,$$

hence there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{E}_0(f)$ such that $(dd^c u)^n = \varphi(dd^c \psi)^n$, by the proof of Theorem 7.4 in [9]. ■

REMARK. Theorem 2.7 is a special case of Theorem A in [8].

References

- [1] Z. Błocki, *Estimates for the complex Monge–Ampère operator*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 41 (1993), 151–157.
- [2] —, *The Complex Monge–Ampère Operator in Pluripotential Theory*, lecture notes, manuscript, 2002.
- [3] U. Cegrell, *Pluricomplex energy*, Acta Math. 180 (1998), 187–217.
- [4] —, *The general definition of the complex Monge–Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 54 (2004), 159–179.
- [5] R. Czyż, *Convergence in capacity of the Perron–Bremermann envelope*, IMUJ Preprint 2004/3, Jagiellonian Univ.

- [6] R. Czyż and P. Åhag, *On the Cegrell classes*, IMUJ Preprint 2004/11, Jagiellonian Univ.
- [7] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential Theory*, Oxford Sci. Publ., Oxford Univ. Press, 1991.
- [8] S. Kołodziej, *The range of the complex Monge–Ampère operator, II*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 44 (1995), 765–782.
- [9] P. Åhag, *The complex Monge–Ampère operator on bounded hyperconvex domains*, Ph.D. thesis, Umeå Univ., 2002.

Institute of Mathematics

Jagiellonian University

Reymonta 4

30-059 Kraków, Poland

E-mail: Rafal.Czyz@im.uj.edu.pl

Institute of Mathematics

Academia Sinica

Taipei 11529, Taiwan

E-mail: per@math.sinica.edu.tw

Reçu par la Rédaction le 25.11.2004

(1547)