

## On the complexification of real-analytic polynomial mappings of $\mathbb{R}^2$

by EWA LIGOCKA (Warszawa)

**Abstract.** We give a simple algebraic condition on the leading homogeneous term of a polynomial mapping from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which is equivalent to the fact that the complexification of this mapping can be extended to a polynomial endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ . We also prove that this extension acts on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}^2$  as a quotient of finite Blaschke products.

**1. Introduction and preliminaries.** The two-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can be identified with the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Each real-analytic polynomial mapping on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can be written in complex coordinates as

$$Q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n Q_k(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^k a_{ki} z^i \bar{z}^{k-i}.$$

We can now complexify the mapping  $Q(z)$ , in the same manner as in [Li2], [Li3], putting

$$f(z, w) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^k a_{ki} z^i w^{k-i}, \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^k \bar{a}_{ki} w^i z^{k-i} \right).$$

The mapping  $f$  is a polynomial endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  preserving the completely real subset  $\{(z, \bar{z})\}_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$ . In [Li3] we proved that if  $Q$  is a quasiregular polynomial which has algebraic degree two, then  $f$  extends to a polynomial endomorphism of the complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ .

A simple example of  $Q(z) = z|z|^2 = z^2\bar{z}$  shows that this is not true in general. The aim of the present paper is to give conditions on  $Q(z)$  which are equivalent to the existence of the extension of  $f(z, w)$  to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  and to study the behavior of the extended map on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}^2$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C99, 30D50, 30C10, 32H02; Secondary 32H50, 32D15, 30C62.

*Key words and phrases*: polynomial mapping, quasiregular mapping, complexification.

The mapping  $f$  is extendable to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  iff the mapping  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$  defined by

$$\tilde{f}(z, w, t) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \sum_{i=0}^k a_{ki} z^i w^{k-i} \right) t^{n-k}, \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \sum_{i=0}^k \bar{a}_{ki} w^i z^{k-i} \right) t^{n-k}, t^n \right),$$

vanishes only at  $w = z = t = 0$ . This is equivalent to the fact that

$$f_n(z, w) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} z^i w^{n-i}, \sum_{i=0}^n \bar{a}_{ni} w^i z^{n-i} \right)$$

vanishes only at  $w = z = 0$ .

The mapping

$$Q_n(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} z^i \bar{z}^{n-i}$$

can be written (for  $z \neq 0$ ) as

$$Q_n(z) = \bar{z}^n \cdot \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} \left( \frac{z}{\bar{z}} \right)^i = \bar{z}^n \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} \xi^i, \quad \xi = \frac{z}{\bar{z}}.$$

Let  $i_0$  be the greatest number for which  $a_{ni} \neq 0$ . The polynomial

$$P(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} \xi^i$$

can be written as

$$P(\xi) = a_{ni_0} \prod_{j=1}^{i_0} (\xi - p_j)$$

where the  $p_j$  are roots of  $P(\xi)$ . We have

$$Q_n(z) = a_{ni_0} \bar{z}^{n-i_0} \prod_{j=1}^{i_0} (z - p_j \bar{z}).$$

## 2. Results

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The complexified mapping  $f$  extends to a polynomial endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  iff one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $i_0 = n$  and  $p_i \bar{p}_j \neq 1$  for each  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ;
- (2)  $i_0 < n$ ,  $p_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, i_0$  and  $p_i \bar{p}_j \neq 1$  for each  $i, j$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$f_n(z, w) = \left( a_{ni_0} w^{n-i_0} \prod_{j=1}^{i_0} (z - p_j w), \bar{a}_{ni_0} z^{n-i_0} \prod_{j=1}^{i_0} (w - \bar{p}_j z) \right).$$

Assume that (2) holds.

$f_n(z, w)$  can vanish in the following three cases:

- (a)  $w = 0$  and  $w - \bar{p}_j z = 0$  for some  $j$ ,
- (b)  $z = 0$  and  $z - p_j w = 0$  for some  $j$ ,
- (c)  $z - p_i w = 0$  and  $w - \bar{p}_j z = 0$  for some  $i$  and  $j$ .

Since  $p_j \neq 0$  conditions (a) and (b) imply that  $z = w = 0$ . In case (c) we have

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -p_i \\ -\bar{p}_j & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1 - p_i \bar{p}_j \neq 0$$

and again  $w = z = 0$ .

If condition (1) holds, we only have case (c) and thus the conditions  $p_i \neq 0$  are not needed.

In the opposite direction if neither (1) nor (2) is fulfilled then  $f(z, w) = 0$  on some one-dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . ■

Suppose now that one of the conditions of Theorem 2.1 is valid. We have

**THEOREM 2.2.** *The restriction of the extended map  $f$  to  $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}^2$  is a rational function which is equal to a quotient of two finite Blaschke products.*

*Proof.* We can write

$$f_n(z, w) = (f_1(z, w), f_2(z, w)).$$

The mapping  $f$  acts on  $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}^2$  as

$$\phi \left( \frac{z}{w} \right) = \frac{f_1(z, w)}{f_2(z, w)} := \phi(\xi), \quad \xi = \frac{z}{w}.$$

If condition (1) of Theorem 2.1 is fulfilled then

$$\frac{f_1(z, w)}{f_2(z, w)} = \frac{a}{\bar{a}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{z - p_j w}{w - \bar{p}_j z} = \frac{a}{\bar{a}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\frac{z}{w} - p_j}{1 - \bar{p}_j \frac{z}{w}} = \frac{a}{\bar{a}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\xi - p_j}{1 - \bar{p}_j \xi} = \phi(\xi)$$

where  $a = a_{nn}$ . Since  $p_i \bar{p}_j \neq 1$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , none of  $p_j$  can have modulus one.

Let  $p_1, \dots, p_s$  have modulus less than one and  $p_{s+1}, \dots, p_n$  have modulus greater than one. We now have

$$\phi(\xi) = \frac{a}{\bar{a}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\xi - p_j}{1 - \bar{p}_j \xi} = \frac{B_1(\xi)}{B_2(\xi)}$$

where

$$B_1(\xi) = \frac{a}{\bar{a}} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\xi - p_j}{1 - \bar{p}_j \xi}$$

and

$$B_2(\xi) = \prod_{j=s+1}^n \frac{1 - \bar{p}_j \xi}{\xi - p_j} = \prod_{j=s+1}^n \frac{\bar{p}_j}{p_j} \frac{\xi - \frac{1}{\bar{p}_j}}{1 - \frac{\xi}{p_j}}$$

are finite Blaschke products.

If condition (2) is fulfilled then

$$\phi(\xi) = \frac{a}{\bar{a}} \frac{1}{\xi^{n-i_0}} \prod_{j=1}^{i_0} \frac{\xi - p_j}{1 - \bar{p}_j \xi}, \quad a = a_{ni_0}.$$

We can again assume that  $p_1, \dots, p_s$  have modulus less than one and  $p_{s+1}, \dots, p_{i_0}$  have modulus greater than one. We have

$$\phi(\xi) = \frac{B_1(\xi)}{B_2(\xi)}$$

where

$$B_1(\xi) = \frac{a}{\bar{a}} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\xi - p_j}{1 - \bar{p}_j \xi}$$

and

$$B_2(\xi) = \xi^{n-i_0} \prod_{j=s+1}^{i_0} \frac{\bar{p}_j}{p_j} \frac{\xi - \frac{1}{\bar{p}_j}}{1 - \frac{\xi}{p_j}}$$

are finite Blaschke products. ■

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $Q(z) = |z|^2 - p\bar{z}^2$ ,  $|p| \neq 0, 1$ . Then  $Q(z) = \bar{z}(z - p\bar{z})$  and condition (2) of Theorem 2.1 is fulfilled. We have

$$f(z, w) = (zw - pw^2, zw - \bar{p}z^2)$$

and

$$\phi(\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{\xi - p}{1 - \bar{p}\xi} = \frac{B_1(\xi)}{B_2(\xi)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(\xi) &= \frac{\xi - p}{1 - \bar{p}\xi}, & B_2(\xi) &= \xi, & \text{if } |p| < 1, \\ B_1(\xi) &= 1, & B_2(\xi) &= \frac{\bar{p}}{p} \xi \left( \frac{\xi - \frac{1}{\bar{p}}}{1 - \frac{\xi}{p}} \right) & \text{if } |p| > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for every  $p$ ,  $|p| \neq 0, 1$ ,  $Q(z)$  is not quasiregular. Hence our Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are more general than Theorem 3.1 (and remarks after it) in [Li3] even in the case of  $n = 2$ .

In order to show the possible use of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we shall give the following two propositions.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Assume that  $n = i_0$  and  $|p_j| < 1$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then:

- (1)  $Q_n(z) = a \prod_{j=1}^n (z - p_j \bar{z})$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , is quasiregular.
- (2) If  $Q(z) = Q_n(z) +$  lower degree homogeneous terms then  $Q(z)$  can be complexified and extended to a polynomial endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ . This extension acts on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}^2$  as a Blaschke product of degree  $n$ .

*Proof.* Part (2) follows immediately from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 since condition (1) of Theorem 2.1 holds. By Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\phi(\xi) = \frac{a}{\bar{a}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\xi - p_j}{1 - \bar{p}_j \xi}$$

on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}^2$ . Part (1) follows from

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $Q_n(z) = a \prod_{j=1}^n (z - p_j \bar{z})$  where  $a \neq 0$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . The polynomial  $Q_n$  is quasiregular iff for all  $\xi$  with  $|\xi| = 1$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1 - |p_j|^2}{|\xi - p_j|^2} > 0.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$Q_n(z) = a \bar{z}^n \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{z}{\bar{z}} - p_i \right) = a \bar{z}^n w(\xi)$$

where  $\xi = z/\bar{z}$ . Hence

$$\frac{\frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial \bar{z}}}{\frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial z}}(z) = \frac{n \bar{z}^{n-1} w(\xi) - \bar{z}^n \cdot \frac{z}{\bar{z}^2} w'(\xi)}{\bar{z}^n \cdot \frac{1}{\bar{z}} w'(\xi)} = n \frac{w(\xi)}{w'(\xi)} - \xi.$$

$Q_n(z)$  is quasiregular iff

$$\left| n \frac{w(\xi)}{w'(\xi)} - \xi \right| < 1$$

for  $|\xi| = 1$ . This is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \frac{w'(\xi)}{w(\xi)}} - \xi \right| < 1 \Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\xi - p_i}} - \xi \right| < 1 \Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\xi}{\xi - p_i}} - 1 \right| < 1$$

since  $|\xi| = 1$ . We have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\xi}{\xi - p_i}} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum \frac{p_i}{\xi - p_i}} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i}{\xi - p_i}}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i}{\xi - p_i}} \right|.$$

The inequality

$$\left| \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i}{\xi - p_i}}{1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i}{\xi - p_i}} \right| < 1$$

is equivalent to the inequality

$$\Re \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i}{\xi - p_i} > -\frac{1}{2}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Re \frac{\xi + p_i}{\xi - p_i} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - |p_i|^2}{|\xi - p_i|^2} > 0. \blacksquare$$

For more information on quasiregular polynomials see [Li1].

REMARK 2.6. For  $n = 1$  or  $n = 2$ , if  $Q_n$  is quasiregular then all  $p_j$  must have modulus less than one. This is not true for  $n > 2$ .

If  $Q$  is a polynomial mapping such that  $Q^{-1}$  exists and is also a polynomial map then we say that  $Q$  is a *polynomial automorphism*.

We have the following

PROPOSITION 2.7. *The complexification of a polynomial automorphism can be extended to a polynomial endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  iff it is an affine mapping.*

*Proof.* The Jung–van der Kulk theorem ([J, K]) shows that each polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a finite superposition of a nondegenerate affine map and so-called shears, i.e., mappings

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + h(x))$$

where  $h(x)$  is a polynomial of one variable. The complexification of a nondegenerate affine map extends to an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ . Hence it suffices to prove our proposition for maps of the type

$$g_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_2 \circ g_2 \circ f_1 \circ g_1$$

where the  $g_i$  are shears and the  $f_j$  are affine. If some of the  $g_i$  are not affine then the leading homogeneous term of

$$Q = g_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1 \circ g_1$$

has the form  $ax^m$ ,  $m > 1$ . In complex coordinates it has the form

$$Q_n(z) = a \left( \frac{z + \bar{z}}{z} \right)^m.$$

Hence neither condition (1) nor condition (2) of Theorem 2.1 can be fulfilled and  $Q$  is not extendable to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ .

Of course, we can give another proof of Proposition 2.7 by complexifying  $Q$  and  $Q^{-1}$ . Since  $\{(z, \bar{z})_{z \in \mathbb{C}}\}$  is a uniqueness set for holomorphic functions, we conclude that the complexified map  $f$  is a polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Thus one can use the Jung–van der Kulk theorem to show that it is not extendable to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  unless it is affine.  $\blacksquare$

### 3. Further remarks

REMARK 3.1. If the assumptions of Proposition 2.4 are fulfilled then, similarly to [Li3], we can use the vast knowledge of the dynamics of finite Blaschke products (see [C-G, H, Sh-Su]) to study the dynamics of  $Q_n$  and try to generalize Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.1 of [Li3].

REMARK 3.2. If condition (2) of Theorem 2.1 holds or some  $p_j$  have modulus less than one and some greater than one then, in general, nothing is known about the dynamics of  $\phi$  on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}^2$ . However, one can still try to use the results of Hubbard–Papadopol [H-P] in the homogeneous case.

Nothing is known when  $Q$  is nonhomogeneous except the last theorem of [Li3].

### References

- [C-G] L. Carleson and T. W. Gamelin, *Complex Dynamics*, Springer, New York, 1993.
- [H] D. H. Hamilton, *Absolutely continuous conjugation of Blaschke products*, (I), *Adv. Math.* 121 (1996), 1–20; (II), *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 51 (1995), 279–285; (III), *J. Anal. Math.* 63 (1994), 333–343.
- [H-P] J. Hubbard and J. Papadopol, *Superattractive fixed points in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 43 (1994), 321–365.
- [J] H. W. E. Jung, *Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 184 (1942), 161–174.
- [K] W. van der Kulk, *On polynomial rings in two variables*, *Nieuw. Arch. Wisk.* 1 (1953), 33–41.
- [Li1] E. Ligocka, *On quasiregular polynomial mappings*, *Colloq. Math.* 75 (1998), 79–89.
- [Li2] —, *On fixed points of holomorphic type*, *ibid.* 94 (2002), 61–66.
- [Li3] —, *On complexification and iteration of quasiregular polynomials which have algebraic degree two*, *Fund. Math.* 186 (2005), 269–285.
- [Sh-Su] M. Shub and D. P. Sullivan, *Expanding isomorphisms of the circle revisited*, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 5 (1985), 285–289.

Institute of Mathematics  
 Department of Mathematics, Computer Science and Mechanics  
 Warsaw University  
 Banacha 2  
 02-097 Warszawa, Poland  
 E-mail: elig@mimuw.edu.pl