

Bundle functors with the point property which admit prolongation of connections

by W. M. MIKULSKI (Kraków)

Abstract. Let $F : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be a bundle functor with the point property $F(pt) = pt$, where pt is a one-point manifold. We prove that F is product preserving if and only if for any m and n there is an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -canonical construction D of general connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $Fp : FY \rightarrow FM$ from general connections Γ on fibred manifolds $p : Y \rightarrow M$.

1. Introduction. A general connection on a fibred manifold $p : Y \rightarrow M$ is a smooth section $\Gamma : Y \rightarrow J^1Y$ of the first jet prolongation of Y , which can also be interpreted as the lifting map (denoted by the same symbol) $\Gamma : Y \times_M TM \rightarrow TY$, or as the connection projection affiner $\Gamma : TY \rightarrow VY \subset TY$ on Y , or as the horizontal distribution $\Gamma \subset TY$ with $\Gamma \oplus VY = TY$, [3].

Let $F : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be a bundle functor and let $\Gamma : Y \times_M TM \rightarrow TY$ be a general connection on $p : Y \rightarrow M$. If F preserves products, then Γ induces a connection $\mathcal{F}\Gamma$ on $Fp : FY \rightarrow FM$. More precisely, there is the canonical flow identification $TFM = FTM$ and the product preserving identification $F(Y \times_M TM) = FY \times_{FM} FTM$, and the lifting map of $\mathcal{F}\Gamma$ is $F\Gamma : FY \times_{FM} TFM \rightarrow TFY$ (modulo the identifications). We recall that the connection $\mathcal{F}\Gamma$ has been constructed by I. Kolář [2] in the case of higher order velocities functor and then by J. Slovák [5] in the general case.

A simple example of a bundle functor $F : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ with the *point property* $F(pt) = pt$ (where pt is a one-point manifold) which is not product preserving is the vector second order tangent functor $T^{(2)} = (J^2(-.\mathbb{R})_0)^* : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{VB}$. In [4], we gave a negative answer to the question (formulated by I. Kolář) about the existence of natural operators D transforming general connections Γ on fibred manifolds $p : Y \rightarrow M$ into general connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $T^{(2)}p : T^{(2)}Y \rightarrow T^{(2)}M$. In fact, in [4], we proved the following general result.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 58A20.

Key words and phrases: general connection, natural operator.

THEOREM A. *A vector bundle functor $F : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{VB}$ with the point property is product preserving if and only if for any m and n there is an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operator D transforming general connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibred manifolds $p : Y \rightarrow M$ into general connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $Fp : FY \rightarrow FM$.*

In [4], to prove Theorem A we used essentially an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -canonical identification $F(M \times \mathbb{R}^n) = \ker(F \text{pr}_1 : F(M \times \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow FM) \oplus_M FM$, defined by means of operations in the vector bundle $F(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. For other (not vector) bundle functors $F : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ with the point property we do not know similar identifications. So, it seems that the proof from [4] works in the vector bundle functor situation only.

The purpose of the present note is to extend the result of Theorem A to all (not necessarily vector) bundle functors $F : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ with the point property.

In the present note we use the terminology and notations from the book [3]. In particular, $\mathcal{M}f$ is the category of all manifolds and all maps, \mathcal{FM} is the category of all fibred manifolds and fibred maps and $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ is the category of all fibred manifolds with m -dimensional bases and n -dimensional fibres and their fibred local diffeomorphisms. All manifolds and maps are assumed to be of class C^∞ .

2. The main result. Let $F : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be a bundle functor with the point property, which means that $F(pt) = pt$, where pt is a one-point manifold.

Given a manifold M we have a canonical section $e_M : M \rightarrow FM$ of $FM \rightarrow M$ given by $e_M(x) \in \text{im}(Fx)$, $x \in M$, where $x : pt \rightarrow M$ is the constant map. (Since F satisfies the point property, $\text{im}(Fx)$ is a one-point set. Consequently, $e_M(x)$ is well-defined.) Hence $M \subset FM$ modulo the embedding $e_M : M \rightarrow FM$. Then given a fibred manifold $p : Y \rightarrow M$ we have obvious fibred manifolds $Fp : FY \rightarrow FM$ and $\tilde{F}Y := (Fp)^{-1}(M) \rightarrow Y$, the restriction of the bundle functor projection $FY \rightarrow Y$. If $f : Y \rightarrow Y_1$ is a fibred map between fibred manifolds $p : Y \rightarrow M$ and $p_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow M_1$ with the base map $\underline{f} : M \rightarrow M_1$ we have the fibred map $Ff : FY \rightarrow FY_1$ between the fibred manifolds $Fp : FY \rightarrow FM$ and $Fp_1 : FY_1 \rightarrow FM_1$ covering $F\underline{f} : FM \rightarrow FM_1$. Since $Ff(\tilde{F}Y) \subset \tilde{F}Y_1$, we have (by restriction of Ff) the fibred map $\tilde{F}f : \tilde{F}Y \rightarrow \tilde{F}Y_1$ covering $f : Y \rightarrow Y_1$. Consequently, we have the bundle functor $\tilde{F} : \mathcal{FM} \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$.

EXAMPLE 1. Any general connection $\Theta : TFY \rightarrow V(FY \rightarrow FM) \subset TFY$ on $Fp : FY \rightarrow FM$ induces (by restriction) a general connection $\tilde{\Theta} : T\tilde{F}Y \rightarrow V\tilde{F}Y \subset T\tilde{F}Y$ on $\tilde{F}Y \rightarrow M$. More precisely, given $v \in \tilde{F}_x Y$, $x \in M$, we have $V_v(FY \rightarrow FM) = V_v(\tilde{F}Y \rightarrow M)$ as $V_v(FY \rightarrow FM) =$

$T_v(F_{e_M(x)}Y) = T_v\tilde{F}_xY = V_v(\tilde{F}Y \rightarrow M)$. So, given $w \in T_v\tilde{F}Y$ and $v \in \tilde{F}Y \subset FY$, we can put $\tilde{\Theta}(w) := \Theta(w) \in V_v(FY \rightarrow FM) = V_v(\tilde{F}Y \rightarrow M) \subset T_v\tilde{F}Y$.

The main result of the present note is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $F : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}$ be a bundle functor with the point property. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *For any non-negative integers m and n there is an $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ -canonical construction (an $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ -natural operator) D of general connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $Fp : FY \rightarrow FM$ from general connections Γ on $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ -objects $p : Y \rightarrow M$.*
- (ii) *For any non-negative integers m and n there is an $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ -canonical construction \tilde{D} of general connections $\tilde{D}(\Gamma)$ on $\tilde{F}Y \rightarrow M$ from general connections Γ on $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ -objects $p : Y \rightarrow M$.*
- (iii) *For any non-negative integers m and n the bundle functor $\tilde{F} : \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}$ is of order $(0, s, 0)$ for some finite $s = s(m, n, F)$.*
- (iv) *F is product preserving.*

Proof. Condition (i) implies (ii) because of Example 1. More precisely, suppose we have D . Then given a general connection Γ on $p : Y \rightarrow M$ we have the general connection $D(\Gamma)$ on $Fp : FY \rightarrow FM$. Then (by Example 1 for $\Theta = D(\Gamma)$) we have the general connection $\tilde{D}(\Gamma)$ on $\tilde{F}Y \rightarrow M$. Clearly, the construction \tilde{D} is $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ -canonical.

Condition (ii) implies (iii) by Corollary 2 in [1] for $\tilde{F} : \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}$ in place of $G : \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}$.

Now, we prove that (iii) implies (iv). We recall that a bundle functor $G : \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}$ is said to be of order $(0, s, 0)$ if for any $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ -map $f : Y \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ between $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ -objects $p : Y \rightarrow M$ and \bar{Y} and any point $w \in GY$ over $y \in Y$, the value $Gf(w)$ depends on the s -jet $j_y^s(f|Y_x)$ at y of the restriction $f|Y_x$ of f to the fibre Y_x of Y over $x = p(y)$. Assume (iii), i.e. that $\tilde{F} : \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}$ is of order $(0, s, 0)$ for some finite s . Let $\mathbb{R}^{m,n}$ be the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. Then $\tilde{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^{m,n}) = F(t \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})(\tilde{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^{m,n}))$ for $t \neq 0$. Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\tilde{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^{m,n}) = F(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n) = F\mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\dim(F_{(0,0)}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n)) = \dim(F_0\mathbb{R}^m) + \dim(F_0\mathbb{R}^n)$, and Proposition 38.14 in [3] completes the proof of (iv).

Finally, if $F : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}$ is product preserving, then there is a well-known (mentioned in the Introduction) canonical construction by J. Slovák [4] of general connections $\mathcal{F}\Gamma$ on $Fp : FY \rightarrow FM$ from general connections Γ on $p : Y \rightarrow M$. Thus (iv) implies (i). ■

EXAMPLE 2. Let us observe that the assumption “ F has the point property” is essential. For example, given a general connection Γ on $Y \rightarrow M$ we have the general connection $\mathcal{T}\Gamma$ on $TY \rightarrow TM$ (because the tangent bundle functor $T : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}$ is product preserving), and then we have the

general connection $\mathcal{T}\Gamma \times \Gamma_o$ on $TY \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TM \times \mathbb{R}$, where Γ_o is the trivial general connection on $\text{id} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, the bundle functor $F := T \times \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}$ is not product preserving.

References

- [1] M. Doupovec and W. M. Mikulski, *On the existence of prolongations of connections*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 56 (131) (2006), 1323–1334.
- [2] I. Kolář, *On generalized connections*, Beiträge Algebra Geom. 11 (1981), 29–34.
- [3] I. Kolář, P. W. Michor and J. Slovák, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [4] W. M. Mikulski, *Non-existence of some canonical constructions on connections*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 44 (2003), 691–695.
- [5] J. Slovák, *Prolongations of connections and sprays with respect to Weil functors*, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 14 (1987), 143–155.

W. M. Mikulski
 Institute of Mathematics
 Jagiellonian University
 Łojasiewicza 6
 30-348 Kraków, Poland
 E-mail: Wlodzimierz.Mikulski@im.uj.edu.pl

*Received 24.2.2009
 and in final form 30.4.2009*

(1983)