

## Hyperspaces of Finite Sets in Universal Spaces for Absolute Borel Classes

by

Kotaro MINE, Katsuro SAKAI and Masato YAGUCHI

*Presented by Czesław BESSAGA*

**Summary.** By  $\text{Fin}(X)$  (resp.  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$ ), we denote the hyperspace of all non-empty finite subsets of  $X$  (resp. consisting of at most  $k$  points) with the Vietoris topology. Let  $\ell_2(\tau)$  be the Hilbert space with weight  $\tau$  and  $\ell_2^f(\tau)$  the linear span of the canonical orthonormal basis of  $\ell_2(\tau)$ . It is shown that if  $E = \ell_2^f(\tau)$  or  $E$  is an absorbing set in  $\ell_2(\tau)$  for one of the absolute Borel classes  $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha(\tau)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\tau)$  of weight  $\leq \tau$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ) then  $\text{Fin}(E)$  and each  $\text{Fin}^k(E)$  are homeomorphic to  $E$ . More generally, if  $X$  is a connected  $E$ -manifold then  $\text{Fin}(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $E$  and each  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$  is a connected  $E$ -manifold.

**1. Introduction.** Throughout the paper, spaces are metrizable and maps are continuous. Let  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal. Let  $\ell_2(\tau)$  be the Hilbert space of weight  $\tau$  and  $\ell_2^f(\tau)$  the linear span of the canonical orthonormal basis of  $\ell_2(\tau)$ . We write  $\ell_2(\aleph_0) = \ell_2$  and  $\ell_2^f(\aleph_0) = \ell_2^f$ . Given a space  $E$ , a paracompact Hausdorff space is called a *manifold modeled on  $E$*  or an  *$E$ -manifold* if it can be covered by open sets which are homeomorphic to ( $\approx$ ) open sets in  $E$ .

In [1], Bestvina and Mogilski constructed universal spaces for separable absolute Borel classes as absorbing sets in the Hilbert cube  $Q = \mathbf{I}^{\aleph}$  or the pseudo-interior  $s = (0, 1)^{\aleph}$ , and they also gave topological characterizations of those spaces and manifolds modeled on them. Recently, in [14] and [8], the present authors generalized the results of [1] to non-separable absolute Borel classes. For each countable ordinal  $\alpha > 0$ , let  $\Lambda_\alpha(\tau)$  and  $\Omega_\alpha(\tau)$  be absorbing sets in  $\ell_2(\tau)$  for the classes  $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha(\tau)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\tau)$ , respectively, where  $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha(\tau)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\tau)$  are respectively the additive and multiplicative absolute

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54B20, 57N20, 54H05.

*Key words and phrases*: hyperspace of finite subsets, Vietoris topology, Hausdorff metric, universal spaces, absolute Borel classes, Hilbert space, absorbing set.

Borel classes  $\alpha$  with weight  $\leq \tau$ . Then  $\Lambda_\alpha(\tau)$  ( $\alpha \geq 1$ ) and  $\Omega_\alpha(\tau)$  ( $\alpha \geq 2$ ) are universal spaces in the classes  $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha(\tau)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\tau)$ , respectively. The space  $\Omega_1(\tau)$  is homeomorphic to  $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$ , which is a universal space in the class of  $\sigma$ -completely metrizable spaces <sup>(1)</sup> with weight  $\leq \tau$ . Note that this class is a proper subclass of  $\mathfrak{a}_2(\tau)$ . Although  $\mathfrak{a}_1(\aleph_0)$  is the class of  $\sigma$ -compact metrizable spaces,  $\mathfrak{a}_1(\tau)$  is in general the class of  $\sigma$ -locally compact metrizable <sup>(2)</sup> spaces with weight  $\leq \tau$  (cf. [15]). Moreover,  $\Lambda_1(\tau) \approx \ell_2^f(\tau) \times Q$  and  $\Omega_2(\tau) \approx \Omega_1(\tau)^\mathbb{N} \approx \Lambda_1(\tau)^\mathbb{N}$  (see [8]).

On the other hand, in [3], Curtis and Nguyen To Nhu proved that *the hyperspace  $\text{Fin}(X)$  of non-empty finite subsets of  $X$  with the Vietoris topology is homeomorphic to  $\ell_2^f$  if and only if  $X$  is non-degenerate, connected, locally path-connected  $\sigma$ -compact and strongly countable-dimensional.*

Moreover, Curtis [2] showed that

$$\text{Fin}(Q) \approx \text{Fin}(\ell_2^f \times Q) \approx \ell_2^f \times Q.$$

Recently, the last author [19] proved that

$$\text{Fin}(\ell_2(\tau)) \approx \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f (\approx \Omega_1(\tau)).$$

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$  be the subspace of  $\text{Fin}(X)$  consisting of subsets with cardinality  $\leq k$ . For this hyperspace, the following are known:

- (1)  $\text{Fin}^k(Q) \approx Q$  (Fedorchuk [6]),
- (2)  $\text{Fin}^k(\ell_2) \approx \ell_2$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(\ell_2^f \times Q) \approx \ell_2^f \times Q$  (Nguyen To Nhu [10]),
- (3)  $\text{Fin}^k(\ell_2 \times \ell_2^f) \approx \ell_2 \times \ell_2^f$  and  $\text{Fin}^k((\ell_2^f)^\mathbb{N}) \approx (\ell_2^f)^\mathbb{N}$  (Nguyen To Nhu and the second author [11]),

where  $\ell_2^f \times Q \approx \Lambda_1(\aleph_0)$ ,  $\ell_2 \times \ell_2^f \approx \Omega_1(\aleph_0)$  and  $(\ell_2^f)^\mathbb{N} \approx \Omega_2(\aleph_0)$ . In this paper, we show the following theorem:

**MAIN THEOREM.** *Let  $E$  be one of the spaces  $\ell_2^f(\tau)$ ,  $\Lambda_\alpha(\tau)$ ,  $\Omega_\alpha(\tau)$ , where  $\alpha > 0$ . Then  $\text{Fin}(E) \approx E$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(E) \approx E$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . More generally, if  $X$  is a connected  $E$ -manifold then  $\text{Fin}(X) \approx E$  and each  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$  is a connected  $E$ -manifold.*

**2. Preliminaries.** For a metrizable space  $X$ , let  $\mathfrak{a}_0(X)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_0(X)$  be the collections of all open sets and of all closed sets in  $X$ , respectively. For a countable ordinal  $\alpha > 0$ , by transfinite induction, we define  $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha(X)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X)$ ) as the collection of all countable unions (resp. intersections) of sets in  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathfrak{a}_\beta(X) \cup \mathfrak{M}_\beta(X)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_1(X)$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_1(X)$  are the collections of all

---

<sup>(1)</sup> A metrizable space is  $\sigma$ -completely metrizable if it is a countable union of completely metrizable closed subsets.  
<sup>(2)</sup> A metrizable space is  $\sigma$ -locally compact metrizable if it is a countable union of locally compact (closed) subsets. See footnote 1 in [8].

$G_\delta$  sets and of all  $F_\sigma$  sets in  $X$ , respectively. Sets in  $\mathfrak{a}_2(X), \mathfrak{M}_2(X), \dots$  are said to be  $G_{\delta\sigma}, F_{\sigma\delta}, \dots$  in  $X$ , respectively. For each subset  $A \subset X$ , we have  $\text{Fin}(A) \subset \text{Fin}(X)$ . It is easy to see that  $\text{Fin}(A)$  is open (resp. closed) in  $\text{Fin}(X)$  if  $A$  is open (resp. closed) in  $X$ . Then, by transfinite induction on  $\alpha$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \in \mathfrak{a}_\alpha(X) &\Rightarrow \text{Fin}(A) \in \mathfrak{a}_\alpha(\text{Fin}(X)), \\ A \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(X) &\Rightarrow \text{Fin}(A) \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\text{Fin}(X)). \end{aligned}$$

For an infinite cardinal  $\tau$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha(\tau)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\tau)$ ) the class of all metrizable spaces  $X$  with  $w(X) \leq \tau$  such that  $X \in \mathfrak{a}_\alpha(Y)$  (resp.  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(Y)$ ) whenever  $X$  is embedded in a metrizable space  $Y$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau), \mathfrak{a}_1(\tau), \mathfrak{M}_2(\tau), \mathfrak{a}_2(\tau), \dots$  are the classes of *absolutely  $G_\delta$* , *absolutely  $F_\sigma$* , *absolutely  $G_{\delta\sigma}$* , *absolutely  $F_{\sigma\delta}$* ,  $\dots$  spaces with weight  $\leq \tau$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{a}_0(\tau) = \emptyset$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_0(\tau) = \mathfrak{M}_0(\aleph_0)$  is the class of compact metrizable spaces. As is well known, absolutely  $G_\delta$  spaces are nothing else than completely metrizable spaces. A separable metrizable space is absolutely  $F_\sigma$  if and only if it is  $\sigma$ -compact. In the general case, a metrizable space is absolutely  $F_\sigma$  if and only if it is  $\sigma$ -locally compact (cf. [15]). We denote by  $\mathfrak{a}_1^\omega(\tau)$  the class of all spaces with weight  $\leq \tau$  which are countable unions of locally compact, locally finite-dimensional closed sets.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of spaces. Then

- $\mathcal{C}$  is *topological* if  $(X \in \mathcal{C}, X \approx Y) \Rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{C}$ ,
- $\mathcal{C}$  is *closed* (resp. *open*) *hereditary* if  $(X \in \mathcal{C}, A \subset X \text{ is closed (resp. open) in } X) \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{C}$ ,
- $\mathcal{C}$  is *additive* if  $(X = X_1 \cup X_2 \text{ and } X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{C} \text{ are closed in } X) \Rightarrow X \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- $\mathcal{C}$  is *productive* if  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow X_1 \times X_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ .

By  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ , we denote the class consisting of all metrizable spaces which can be expressed as countable unions of closed subspaces contained in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)_\sigma$  is the class of  $\sigma$ -completely metrizable spaces with weight  $\leq \tau$ . Clearly, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed hereditary then  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$  is closed and open hereditary.

Now, suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is the topological class  $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha(\tau)$  ( $\alpha \geq 1$ ),  $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\tau)$  ( $\alpha \geq 2$ ) or  $\mathfrak{a}_1^\omega(\tau)$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_\sigma$  is open and closed hereditary, additive, productive and contains  $\mathbb{I}^n \times D(\tau)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $D(\tau)$  is the discrete space with  $\text{card } D(\tau) = \tau$ .

For each space  $X$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  the class of all spaces which are homeomorphic to a closed subset of  $X$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(A_\alpha(\tau)) &= \mathfrak{a}_\alpha(\tau) \quad (\alpha \geq 1), & \mathcal{E}(\Omega_\alpha(\tau)) &= \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\tau) \quad (\alpha \geq 2), \\ \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1(\tau)) &= \mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)_\sigma & \text{and } \mathcal{E}(\ell_2^\tau(\tau)) &= \mathfrak{a}_1^\omega(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

For each open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$ , two maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close (or  $f$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $g$ ) if each  $\{f(x), g(x)\}$  is contained in some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . A closed set  $A \subset X$  is called a  $Z$ -set (resp. a *strong*  $Z$ -set) in  $X$  provided, for each open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there is a map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $\text{id}_X$  and  $f(X) \cap A = \emptyset$  (resp.  $\text{cl } f(X) \cap A = \emptyset$ ). A subset  $X \subset Y$  is *homotopy dense* in  $Y$  if there is a homotopy  $h : Y \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow Y$  such that  $h_0 = \text{id}_Y$  and  $h_t(Y) \subset X$  for every  $t > 0$ . When  $X$  is an ANR, a closed set  $A$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $X$  if and only if  $X \setminus A$  is homotopy dense in  $X$  (cf. Corollary 3.3 of [16]). A countable union of (strong)  $Z$ -sets in  $X$  is called a (strong)  $Z_\sigma$ -set in  $X$ . A (strong)  $Z_\sigma$ -space is a (strong)  $Z_\sigma$ -set in itself. A  $Z$ -embedding is an embedding whose image is a  $Z$ -set.

A space  $X$  is said to be *universal for a class*  $\mathcal{C}$  (simply,  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal) if every map  $f : C \rightarrow X$  of  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  can be approximated by  $Z$ -embeddings. We say that  $X$  is *strongly universal for*  $\mathcal{C}$  (simply, *strongly*  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal) when the following condition is satisfied:

(suc) for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and each closed set  $D \subset C$ , if  $f : C \rightarrow X$  is a map such that  $f|_D$  is a  $Z$ -embedding, then, for each open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there is a  $Z$ -embedding  $h : C \rightarrow X$  such that  $h|_D = f|_D$  and  $h$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $f$ .

A  $\mathcal{C}$ -absorbing set in  $Y$  is a homotopy dense subset  $X \subset Y$  such that  $X \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$  and  $X$  is a strongly  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal strong  $Z_\sigma$ -space. In [13, Theorem 3.8], Sakai and Yaguchi generalized a characterization of  $\mathcal{C}$ -absorbing sets by Bestvina and Mogilski [1, Theorem 5.3] to the following non-separable case:

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a closed hereditary additive topological class of spaces such that  $\mathbf{I}^n \times D(\tau) \in \mathcal{C}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that there exists a  $\mathcal{C}$ -absorbing set  $E$  in  $\ell_2(\tau)$ . Then an AR  $X$  with  $w(X) \leq \tau$  is homeomorphic to  $E$  if and only if  $X \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ ,  $X$  is strongly  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal and  $X$  is a strong  $Z_\sigma$ -space.*

The open embedding theorem of  $E$ -manifolds [1, Corollary 5.7] can also be generalized to the non-separable case [13, Theorem 3.9] as follows:

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Under the assumption of Theorem 2.1, every connected  $E$ -manifold can be embedded in  $E$  as an open set.*

In this paper,  $X_f^{\mathbb{N}}$  denotes the weak product of  $X$  with a base point  $* \in X$ , that is,

$$X_f^{\mathbb{N}} = \{(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}} \mid x_i = * \text{ except for finitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

In order to make the base point clear, we write  $X_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*)$ . As is easily observed, Proposition 2.5 of [1] is valid for a non-separable AR  $X$ . Then we have the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is productive then  $E \approx E_f^{\mathbb{N}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}(E) \subset \mathcal{E}(E_f^{\mathbb{N}})$ , it follows from Proposition 2.5 of [1] that  $E_f^{\mathbb{N}}$  is strongly  $\mathcal{E}(E)$ -universal. Moreover,  $E_f^{\mathbb{N}} \subset \ell_2(\tau)^{\mathbb{N}} \approx \ell_2(\tau)$  and  $E$  is homotopy dense in  $\ell_2(\tau)$ . Then  $E_f^{\mathbb{N}}$  can be embedded into  $\ell_2(\tau)^{\mathbb{N}}$  as a homotopy dense subset. By Lemma 2.2 of [8],  $E_f^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a strong  $Z_\sigma$ -space. Since  $E \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is productive, we have  $E_f^{\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ . Hence,  $E \approx E_f^{\mathbb{N}}$  by Theorem 2.1. ■

The following is due to Nguyen To Nhu [9, Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.3]:

THEOREM 2.4. *For every ANR (resp. AR)  $X$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the hyperspaces  $\text{Fin}(X)$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$  are also ANR's (resp. AR's).*

Note that every map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  induces a map  $\tilde{f} : \text{Fin}(X) \rightarrow \text{Fin}(Y)$  defined by  $\tilde{f}(A) = f(A) = \{f(x) \mid x \in A\}$ . Moreover, for a homotopy  $h : X \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow Y$ , we define  $\tilde{h} : \text{Fin}(X) \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Fin}(Y)$  and  $\tilde{h}^k : \text{Fin}^k(X) \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Fin}^k(Y)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by  $\tilde{h}_t(A) = \tilde{h}_t^k(A) = h_t(A) = \{h_t(x) \mid x \in A\}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\tilde{h}$  and  $\tilde{h}^k$  are continuous, so they are also homotopies.

**3. Universality.** Given an admissible metric  $d$  for  $X$ , we use the admissible metric for  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$  defined as follows:

$$\varrho((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \min\{d(x_i, y_i), 2^{-i}\}.$$

Then  $\varrho_H$  is the Hausdorff metric induced by the metric  $\varrho$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let  $X$  be a non-degenerate AR and  $W$  an open set in  $\text{Fin}(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  or  $\text{Fin}^k(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $W$  is universal for  $\mathcal{E}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Because of similarity, we shall prove only the case of  $\text{Fin}(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$ . Let  $Y = X_f^{\mathbb{N}} \subset X^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Since the weak product  $Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*)$  of  $Y$  with a base point  $* \in Y$  is homeomorphic to  $X_f^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we may show the universality of every open set  $W$  in  $\text{Fin}(Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*)$ ). Let  $f : A \rightarrow W$  be a map of  $A \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ . For each open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $W$ , take a collection  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  of open sets of  $\text{Fin}((X^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}})$  such that  $\mathcal{U} = \{U \cap \text{Fin}(Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*) \mid U \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}\}$ . Then  $\tilde{W} = \bigcup \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  is an open subset of  $\text{Fin}((X^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}})$ . Suppose that  $\alpha : \tilde{W} \rightarrow (0, 1)$  is a map such that if a map  $g : Y \rightarrow \text{Fin}((X^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}})$  is  $\alpha$ -close to  $f$  then  $g(Y) \subset \tilde{W}$  and  $g$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ -close to  $f$ . Since  $Y$  is an AR, we have a map  $\lambda : Y \times Y \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\lambda(x, x, t) = x$  for every  $t \in \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\lambda(x, y, 0) = x$  and  $\lambda(x, y, 1) = y$  (such a map is called an *equi-connecting map*). Using this map, we can define a homotopy  $\varphi : Y^{\mathbb{N}} \times Y \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow Y^{\mathbb{N}}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, z, 1) &= (x_1, z, z, z, *, *, \dots), \\ \varphi(x, z, 2^{-1}) &= (x_1, x_2, z, z, z, *, *, \dots), \\ &\dots \\ \varphi(x, z, 2^{-n}) &= (x_1, \dots, x_n, z, z, z, *, *, \dots), \\ &\dots \\ \varphi(x, z, 0) &= (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = x \end{aligned}$$

and for  $2^{-n} < t < 2^{-n+1}$ ,

$$\varphi(x, z, t) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda(x_{n+1}, z, 2^n t - 1), z, z, \lambda(z, *, 2^n t - 1), *, *, \dots).$$

Observe that  $\varrho(x, \varphi(x, z, t)) < t$  for any  $t > 0$ .

Since  $A \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ , we can take a closed embedding  $h : A \hookrightarrow Y = X_f^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $* \notin h(A)$  and  $h(A)$  is closed in  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Define  $g : A \rightarrow \text{Fin}(Y^{\mathbb{N}})$  by

$$g(y) = \{\varphi(x, h(y), \alpha(f(y))) \mid x \in f(y)\}.$$

It is clear that  $g$  is continuous. Since  $\varrho(x, \varphi(x, h(y), \alpha(f(y)))) < \alpha(f(y))$ , it follows that  $\varrho_H(f(y), g(y)) < \alpha(f(y))$ , that is,  $g$  is  $\alpha$ -close to  $f$ . Note that  $\varphi(Y^{\mathbb{N}} \times Y \times (0, 1]) \subset Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*)$ , which means  $g(A) \subset W$ . Thus, it remains to prove that  $g : A \rightarrow W$  is a  $Z$ -embedding.

To see that  $g$  is injective, let  $g(y) = g(y')$  and fix a point

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, *, *, *, \dots) \in g(y) = g(y')$$

with  $x_n \neq *$ . Then  $x_{n-1} = h(y) = h(y')$  by the definition of  $\varphi$ . Since  $h$  is an embedding, we have  $y = y'$ .

To see that  $g$  is closed, let  $a_i \in A$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $G \in \widetilde{W}$  with  $g(a_i) \rightarrow G$ . We show that  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a convergent subsequence. By taking a subsequence, we may assume that  $\alpha(f(a_i)) \rightarrow t \in \mathbf{I}$ . Then  $t > 0$ . Otherwise,  $f(a_i) \rightarrow G$  because  $\varrho_H(f(a_i), g(a_i)) < \alpha(f(a_i)) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,  $\alpha(f(a_i)) \rightarrow \alpha(G) > 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus, we can choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $2^{-n} < t < 2^{-n+2}$ . Take  $z = (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in G \subset (X^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Note that  $\varrho(z, g(a_i)) \rightarrow 0$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can choose  $x_i \in f(a_i)$  so that  $\varphi(x_i, h(a_i), \alpha(f(a_i))) \rightarrow z$ . For sufficiently large  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $2^{-n} < \alpha(f(y_i)) < 2^{-n+2}$ , in which case

$$\text{pr}_{n+2} \circ \varphi(x_i, h(a_i), \alpha(f(a_i))) = h(a_i),$$

where  $\text{pr}_n : Y^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow Y$  is the projection onto the  $n$ th factor. Therefore,  $h(a_i) \rightarrow z_{n+2} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Since  $h$  is a closed embedding of  $A$  not only into  $Y$  but also into  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$ , it follows that  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $A$ . Thus,  $g : A \rightarrow W$  is closed. Moreover,  $g(A)$  is a closed subset of  $\widetilde{W}$ .

Now, we shall show that  $g(A)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $W$ . Let  $Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*)$  be the weak product of  $Y$  with a base point  $*'$  different from  $*$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{V}$  is an open cover of  $W$ . Choose a collection  $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$  of open sets of  $\text{Fin}((X^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}})$  so that  $\mathcal{V} = \{U \cap \text{Fin}(Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*) \mid U \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_1\}$  and  $\widetilde{V} = \bigcup \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_1 \subset \widetilde{W}$ . Let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$  be an open cover

of  $V$  which is a star-refinement of  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$ . Since  $\text{Fin}(Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*'))$  is homotopy dense in  $\text{Fin}((X^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}})$ , there exists a map  $i_1 : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{V} \cap \text{Fin}(Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*'))$  such that  $i_1$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ -close to  $\text{id}_{\tilde{V}}$ . Recall that  $g(A)$  is closed in  $\tilde{V}$ . Hence,  $\tilde{V} \setminus g(A)$  is open in  $\text{Fin}((X^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}})$ . Thus, we can find a map

$$i_2 : \tilde{V} \setminus g(A) \rightarrow (\tilde{V} \setminus g(A)) \cap \text{Fin}(Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*))$$

such that  $i_2$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ -close to  $\text{id}_{\tilde{V}}$ . Observe that

$$g(A) \subset \text{Fin}(Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Fin}(Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*)) \cap \text{Fin}(Y_f^{\mathbb{N}}(*')) = \emptyset.$$

Then we have  $i_1(\tilde{V}) \subset \tilde{V} \setminus g(A)$ . The map  $i = i_2 \circ i_1 : W \rightarrow W$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -close to  $\text{id}_W$  and  $i(W) \cap g(A) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $g(A)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $W$ . ■

By replacing  $Y = X_f^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $Y = X^{\mathbb{N}}$  in the proof above, we can also show the following proposition:

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a non-degenerate AR and  $W$  an open set in  $\text{Fin}(X^{\mathbb{N}})$  or  $\text{Fin}^k(X^{\mathbb{N}})$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $W$  is universal for  $\mathcal{E}(X)$ . ■*

By the same proof as for Proposition 2.2 of [1], we can obtain the following non-separable version:

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an open and closed hereditary topological class. If each open subset of an ANR  $X$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal and every  $Z$ -set in  $X$  is a strong  $Z$ -set, then  $X$  is strongly  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal.*

Due to Proposition 2.4 in [14], when  $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$  in the above, it is not necessary to assume that every  $Z$ -set in  $X$  is a strong  $Z$ -set. Thus, we have the following generalization of Proposition 7.3 of [19]:

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a non-degenerate AR such that  $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau) \subset \mathcal{E}(X)$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\text{Fin}(X^{\mathbb{N}})$ ,  $\text{Fin}(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$ ,  $\text{Fin}^k(X^{\mathbb{N}})$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  are strongly  $\mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)$ -universal. ■*

By Toruńczyk’s characterization of Hilbert spaces [17] (cf. [18]), Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 3.4 imply the following non-separable version of Corollary 2.4 of [9]:

**THEOREM 3.5.** *For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the hyperspace  $\text{Fin}^k(\ell_2(\tau))$  of the Hilbert space  $\ell_2(\tau)$  with weight  $\tau$  is homeomorphic to  $\ell_2(\tau)$ . ■*

**REMARK 1.** Due to Proposition 6.1 of [19],  $\text{Fin}(X)$  is a strong  $Z$ -space for every normed linear space  $X$  with  $\dim X \geq 1$ . As a combination of Theorems 2.1, 2.4 and Corollary 3.4, we have the main result of [19], that is,

**THEOREM 3.6.** *The hyperspace  $\text{Fin}(\ell_2(\tau))$  of the Hilbert space  $\ell_2(\tau)$  with weight  $\tau$  is homeomorphic to  $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^1$ . ■*

**4.  $Z$ -sets in  $\text{Fin}(X)$**

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $X$  be an ANR and  $A$  a  $Z$ -set in  $X$ . Then  $\text{Fin}(A)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\text{Fin}(X)$ , and  $\text{Fin}^k(A)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, if  $X$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -space then  $\text{Fin}(A)$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(A)$  are also  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces.*

*Proof.* We deal with the case of  $\text{Fin}(A)$ . Since  $X$  is an ANR,  $X \setminus A$  is homotopy dense in  $X$ , hence  $\text{Fin}(X \setminus A)$  is homotopy dense in  $\text{Fin}(X)$ . Since  $\text{Fin}(A) \subset \text{Fin}(X) \setminus \text{Fin}(X \setminus A)$ , it follows that  $\text{Fin}(A)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\text{Fin}(X)$ . It can be similarly shown that  $\text{Fin}^k(A)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . ■

Note that every  $Z$ -set in  $\ell_2(\tau)$  is a strong  $Z$ -set [7]. Since  $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$  is homotopy dense in  $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2 \approx \ell_2(\tau)$ , every  $Z$ -set in  $\ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$  is a strong  $Z$ -set by Lemma 2.2 of [8].

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let  $X$  be a non-degenerate AR. In the spaces  $\text{Fin}(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , every  $Z$ -set is a strong  $Z$ -set. Thus,  $\text{Fin}(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  are strong  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $X_f^{\mathbb{N}}$  can be embedded into Hilbert space as a homotopy dense subset. Indeed,  $X$  can be embedded into a completely metrizable AR  $\tilde{X}$  as a homotopy dense subset [12]. Hence,  $X_f^{\mathbb{N}}$  is homotopy dense in  $\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$  which is homeomorphic to  $\ell_2(\tau)$  [17]. Thus,  $\text{Fin}(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  are homotopy dense subsets of  $\text{Fin}(\ell_2(\tau))$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(\ell_2(\tau))$ , respectively. Since  $\text{Fin}(\ell_2(\tau)) \approx \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(\ell_2(\tau)) \approx \ell_2(\tau)$  (Theorems 3.6 and 3.5), it follows from Lemma 2.2 of [8] that every  $Z$ -set in  $\text{Fin}(X)$  is a strong  $Z$ -set. Since  $X_f^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -space,  $\text{Fin}(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(X_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  are  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces. Thus, they are strong  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces. ■

REMARK 2. It can also be shown that  $\text{Fin}(X^{\mathbb{N}})$  is a strong  $Z_\sigma$ -space if  $X$  is a non-degenerate AR. Indeed,  $\text{Fin}(X^{\mathbb{N}})$  can also be embedded into the strong  $Z_\sigma$ -space  $\text{Fin}(\ell_2(\tau)) \approx \ell_2(\tau) \times \ell_2^f$  (Theorem 3.6). Since every AR which is a homotopy dense subset of a  $Z_\sigma$ -space is also a  $Z_\sigma$ -space, we see that  $\text{Fin}(X^{\mathbb{N}})$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -space.

**5. Absolute Borel classes.** Let  $d$  be an admissible metric for  $X$ . Then the Vietoris topology on  $\text{Fin}(X)$  is induced by the Hausdorff metric

$$d_H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A) \right\},$$

where  $d(a, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y)$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\varrho$  be the metric for  $X^k$  defined as follows:

$$\varrho(x, y) = \max_{i \leq k} d(x_i, y_i).$$

Let  $q_k : X^k \rightarrow \text{Fin}^k(X)$  be the natural surjection defined by

$$q_k((x_1, \dots, x_k)) = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}.$$



Then it is clear that

$$d_H(q_k(x), q_k(y)) \leq \varrho(x, y) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in X^k.$$

This means that  $q_k$  is uniformly continuous. Note that

$$\text{card } q_k^{-1}(A) \leq k! \quad \text{for each } A \in \text{Fin}^k(X).$$

LEMMA 5.1. *The map  $q_k$  is perfect.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X^k$  has a convergent subsequence if  $(q_k(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$ . Let  $q_k(x_n)$  be convergent to  $A \in \text{Fin}^k(X)$ . For each  $j \leq k$ ,

$$d(\text{pr}_j(x_n), A) \leq d_H(q_k(x_n), A) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where  $\text{pr}_j : X^k \rightarrow X$  is the projection onto the  $j$ th factor. Since  $A$  is finite, any subsequence of  $(\text{pr}_j(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a convergent subsequence. Then it is easy to find a subsequence  $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(\text{pr}_j(x_{n_i}))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $X$  for every  $j \leq k$ , which means that  $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $X^k$ . ■

PROPOSITION 5.2. *For a  $\sigma$ -locally compact metric space  $X$ ,  $\text{Fin}(X)$  is also  $\sigma$ -locally compact, i.e.,  $X \in \mathfrak{a}_1(\tau) \Rightarrow \text{Fin}(X) \in \mathfrak{a}_1(\tau)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ , where  $X_n$  is a locally compact subset of  $X$  with  $X_n \subset X_{n+1}$ . Since the perfect image of a locally compact space is also locally compact ([4, Theorem 3.7.12]), it follows from Lemma 5.1 that  $\text{Fin}^k(X_k)$  is locally compact. Then  $\text{Fin}(X) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Fin}^k(X_k)$  is  $\sigma$ -locally compact. ■

Note that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed map and  $0 < \text{card } f^{-1}(y) \leq k (< \infty)$  for every  $y \in Y$  then  $\dim Y \leq \dim X + k - 1$  [5, Theorem 3.3.7]. Then, by the same proof as for Proposition 5.2 above, we have the following:

PROPOSITION 5.3.  $X \in \mathfrak{a}_1^\omega(\tau) \Rightarrow \text{Fin}(X) \in \mathfrak{a}_1^\omega(\tau)$ . ■

By Proposition 5.1 of [19], if  $X$  is completely metrizable then  $\text{Fin}(X)$  is  $\sigma$ -completely metrizable, that is,

$$X \in \mathfrak{M}_1(\tau) \Rightarrow \text{Fin}(X) \in \mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)_\sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}_2(\tau).$$

We also have the following:

$$X \in \mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)_\sigma \Rightarrow \text{Fin}(X) \in \mathfrak{M}_1(\tau)_\sigma.$$

PROPOSITION 5.4. *For each countable ordinal  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} X \in \mathfrak{a}_\alpha(\tau) &\Rightarrow \text{Fin}(X) \in \mathfrak{a}_\alpha(\tau), \\ X \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\tau) &\Rightarrow \text{Fin}(X) \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We handle the cases of  $X \in \mathfrak{a}_2(\tau)$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_2(\tau)$ . Then the result can be obtained by transfinite induction.

If  $X \in \mathfrak{a}_2(\tau)$ , let  $X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$ , where each  $X_i$  is completely metrizable. Without loss of generality, we may assume  $X_i \subset X_{i+1}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ; then  $\text{Fin}(X) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Fin}(X_i)$ . Since each  $\text{Fin}(X_i)$  is  $\sigma$ -completely metrizable,  $\text{Fin}(X)$  is also  $\sigma$ -completely metrizable. This means  $\text{Fin}(X) \in \mathfrak{a}_2(\tau)$ .

If  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_2(\tau)$ , let  $\tilde{X}$  be the completion of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $\text{Fin}(X)$  is also  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in  $\text{Fin}(\tilde{X})$ . By Proposition 5.1 of [19],  $\text{Fin}(\tilde{X})$  is  $F_\sigma$  in the completely metrizable space  $\text{Cld}_H(\tilde{X})$ . This means  $\text{Fin}(X)$  is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in  $\text{Cld}_H(\tilde{X})$ . Thus,  $\text{Fin}(X) \in \mathfrak{M}_2(\tau)$ . ■

REMARK 3. For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$  is closed in  $\text{Fin}(X)$ . For the spaces  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have the same results as for  $\text{Fin}(X)$  above.

**6. Proof of the Main Theorem.** First, we prove the following:

THEOREM 6.1. *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an open and closed hereditary, additive, productive and topological class of spaces such that  $\mathbf{I}^n \times D(\tau) \in \mathcal{C}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that there exists a  $\mathcal{C}$ -absorbing set  $E$  in  $\ell_2(\tau)$ . Then  $\text{Fin}(E)$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(E)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are strongly  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal.*

*Proof.* By the  $\mathcal{C}$ -universality of  $E$ , we have  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}(E)$ . Since  $\text{Fin}(E_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(E_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  are AR's by Theorem 2.4 and every  $Z$ -set is a strong  $Z$ -set in these spaces, it follows from Propositions 3.1 and 3.3 that  $\text{Fin}(E_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(E_f^{\mathbb{N}})$  are strongly  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal. On the other hand,  $E_f^{\mathbb{N}} \approx E$  by Proposition 2.3, hence  $\text{Fin}(E) \approx \text{Fin}(E_f^{\mathbb{N}})$ . Thus, we have the result. ■

Now, we shall prove the main theorem.

THEOREM 6.2. *Suppose that  $E$  is homeomorphic to  $\ell_2^f(\tau)$ ,  $\Lambda_\alpha(\tau)$  or  $\Omega_\alpha(\tau)$ , where  $\alpha \geq 1$  is a countable ordinal. Then the hyperspaces  $\text{Fin}(E)$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(E)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are homeomorphic to  $E$ .*

*Proof.* First, note that  $E$  is strongly universal for the class  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E}(E)$  and  $E \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma = \mathcal{C}$ . In §5, we have shown that  $\text{Fin}(E), \text{Fin}^k(E) \in \mathcal{C}$ . These spaces are strong  $Z_\sigma$ -spaces by Proposition 4.2 and are strongly  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal by Theorem 6.1. Thus,  $\text{Fin}(E) \approx \text{Fin}^k(E) \approx E$  by Theorem 2.1. ■

Since every connected  $E$ -manifold  $X$  can be embedded into  $E$  as an open set by Theorem 2.2,  $\text{Fin}(X)$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$  can also be embedded into  $\text{Fin}(E)$  and  $\text{Fin}^k(E)$  as open sets, respectively. Since  $X$  is connected,  $\text{Fin}(X)$  is an AR (cf. Proposition 3.1 of [19]) and each  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$  is connected. Hence, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.3. *Suppose that  $E$  is homeomorphic to  $\ell_2^f(\tau)$ ,  $\Lambda_\alpha(\tau)$  or  $\Omega_\alpha(\tau)$ , where  $\alpha \geq 1$  is a countable ordinal. Let  $X$  be a connected  $E$ -manifold. Then  $\text{Fin}(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $E$  and each  $\text{Fin}^k(X)$  is a connected  $E$ -manifold. ■*

## References

- [1] M. Bestvina and J. Mogilski, *Characterizing certain incomplete infinite-dimensional absolute retracts*, Michigan Math. J. 33 (1986), 291–313.
- [2] D. Curtis, *Hyperspaces of finite subsets as boundary sets*, Topology Appl. 22 (1986), 97–107.
- [3] D. Curtis and Nguyen To Nhu, *Hyperspaces of finite subsets which are homeomorphic to  $\aleph_0$ -dimensional linear metric spaces*, *ibid.* 19 (1985), 251–260.
- [4] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, rev. ed., Sigma Ser. Pure Math. 6, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] —, *Theory of Dimensions, Finite and Infinite*, Sigma Ser. Pure Math. 10, Heldermann, Berlin, 1995.
- [6] V. V. Fedorchuk, *Covariant functors in the category of compacta, absolute retracts, and  $Q$ -manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 36 (1981), no. 3, 177–195 (in Russian); English transl.: Russian Math. Surveys 36 (1981), no. 3, 211–233.
- [7] D.W. Henderson, *Z-sets in ANR's*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 213 (1975), 205–216.
- [8] K. Mine, *Universal spaces of non-separable absolute Borel classes*, Tsukuba J. Math., to appear.
- [9] Nguyen To Nhu, *Investigating the ANR-property of metric spaces*, Fund. Math. 124 (1984), 243–254.
- [10] —, *Hyperspaces of compact sets in metric linear spaces*, Topology Appl. 22 (1986), 109–122.
- [11] Nguyen To Nhu and K. Sakai, *Probability measure functions preserving infinite-dimensional spaces*, Colloq. Math. 70 (1996), 291–304.
- [12] K. Sakai, *The completion of metric ANR's and homotopy dense subsets*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 52 (2000), 835–846.
- [13] K. Sakai and M. Yaguchi, *Characterizing manifolds modeled on certain dense subspaces of non-separable Hilbert spaces*, Tsukuba J. Math. 27 (2003), 143–159.
- [14] —, —, *Hyperspaces of Banach spaces with the Attouch–Wets topology*, Set-Valued Anal. 12 (2004), 329–344.
- [15] A. H. Stone, *Absolute  $F_\sigma$  spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 495–499.
- [16] H. Toruńczyk, *Concerning locally homotopy negligible sets and characterization of  $\ell_2$ -manifolds*, Fund. Math. 101 (1978), 93–110.
- [17] —, *Characterizing Hilbert space topology*, *ibid.* 111 (1981), 247–262.
- [18] —, *A correction of two papers concerning Hilbert manifolds*, *ibid.* 125 (1985), 89–93.
- [19] M. Yaguchi, *Hyperspaces of finite subsets of non-separable Hilbert spaces*, Tsukuba J. Math., to appear.

K. Mine, K. Sakai and M. Yaguchi  
Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Tsukuba, 305-8571 Japan  
E-mail: pen@math.tsukuba.ac.jp  
sakaiktr@sakura.cc.tsukuba.ac.jp  
masato@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

Received May 30, 2005;  
received in final form February 20, 2006

(7461)