DOI: 10.4064/ba62-2-2

ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

A Note on the Rational Cuspidal Curves

by

Piotr NAYAR and Barbara PILAT

Presented by Andrzej BIAŁYNICKI-BIRULA

Summary. In this short note we give an elementary combinatorial argument, showing that the conjecture of J. Fernández de Bobadilla, I. Luengo-Velasco, A. Melle-Hernández and A. Némethi [Proc. London Math. Soc. 92 (2006), 99–138, Conjecture 1] follows from Theorem 5.4 of Brodzik and Livingston [arXiv:1304.1062] in the case of rational cuspidal curves with two critical points.

1. Introduction. In this short note we deal with irreducible algebraic curves $C \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$. Such a curve has a finite set $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of singular points such that a neighbourhood of each singular point intersects C in a cone over a link $K_i \subset S^3$. We would like to know what possible configurations $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of links arise in this way. We consider only the case in which each K_i is connected (in this case K_i is a knot), and thus C is a rational curve, meaning that there is a rational surjective map $\mathbb{C}P^1 \to C$. Such a curve is called rational cuspidal. We refer to [M] for a survey on rational cuspidal curves.

Suppose that z is a cuspidal singular point of a curve C, and B is a sufficiently small ball around z. Let $\Psi(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ be a local parametrization of $C \cap B$ near z. For any polynomial P(x,y) we look at the order at 0 of the analytic map $t \mapsto P(x(t), y(t)) \in \mathbb{C}$. Let S be the set of integers which can be realized as the order for some P. Then S is a subsemigroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We call it the semigroup of the singular point (see [W] for the details and proofs). The gap sequence, $G = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \backslash S$, has precisely $\mu/2$ elements, where the largest one is $\mu - 1$. Here μ stands for the Milnor number. Assume that

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification:$ Primary 14H50; Secondary 14B05, 57M25, 57R58.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases$: rational cuspidal curve, Alexander polynomial, infimum convolution.

K is the link of the singular point z. The Alexander polynomial of K can be written in the form

$$\Delta_K(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2m} (-1)^i t^{n_i},$$

where $(n_i)_{i=0}^{2m}$ is an increasing sequence with $n_0=0$ and $n_{2m}=2g$, for g=g(K) being the genus of K. Writing $t^{2n_i}-t^{2n_{i-1}}=(t-1)(t^{2n_i-1}+t^{2n_i-2}+\cdots+t^{2n_{i-1}})$ yields the representation

(1)
$$\Delta_K(t) = 1 + (t-1) \sum_{j=1}^k t^{g_j}$$

for some finite sequence $0 < g_1 < \cdots < g_k$. We have the following lemma (see [W, Exercise 5.7.7]), which relates the Alexander polynomial to the gap sequence of a singular point.

LEMMA 1. The sequence g_1, \ldots, g_k in (1) is the gap sequence of the semigroup of the singular point. In particular, $k = |G| = \mu/2$, where μ is the Milnor number, so |G| is the genus.

If we write $t^{g_j} = (t-1)(t^{g_j-1} + t^{g_j-2} + \dots + 1) + 1$, we obtain

$$\Delta_K(t) = 1 + (t-1)g(K) + (t-1)^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-2} k_j t^j,$$

where $k_j = |\{m > j : m \notin S\}|$. This motivates the following definition.

DEFINITION. For any finite increasing sequence ${\cal G}$ of positive integers we define

$$I_G(m) = |\{k \in G \cup \mathbb{Z}_{<0} : k \ge m\}|.$$

We shall call I_G the gap function, because in most applications G will be the gap sequence of some semigroup.

Clearly, for $j = 0, 1, ..., \mu - 2$ we have $I_G(j + 1) = k_j$. In [FLMN] the following conjecture was proposed.

Conjecture 1. Suppose that a rational cuspidal curve C of degree d has critical points z_1, \ldots, z_n . Let K_1, \ldots, K_n be the corresponding links of singular points and let $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n$ be their Alexander polynomials. Let $g = \sum g(K_i)$. Let $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \Delta_n$, expanded as

$$\Delta(t) = 1 + \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}(t-1) + (t-1)^2 \sum_{j=0}^{2g-2} k_j t^j.$$

Then for any j = 0, ..., d-3 we have $k_{d(d-j-3)} \leq (j+1)(j+2)/2$, with equality for n = 1.

This conjecture was verified in the case n=1 by Borodzik and Livingston [BL].

We define the infimum convolution of n functions.

DEFINITION. Let $I_1, \ldots, I_n : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We define

$$(I_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond I_n)(k) = \min_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_n = k}} (I_1(k_1) + \dots + I_n(k_n)).$$

In [BL] the authors proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 1 (see [BL, Theorem 5.4]). Let C be a rational cuspidal curve of degree d. Let I_1, \ldots, I_n be all the gap functions associated to singular points on C. Then for any $j \in \{-1, 0, \ldots, d-2\}$ we have

$$I_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond I_n(jd+1) = \frac{1}{2}(j-d+1)(j-d+2).$$

Note that $|G_1| + \cdots + |G_n| = (d-1)(d-2)/2$. Therefore, one can give an equivalent reformulation of Conjecture 1.

Conjecture 2. Suppose that a rational cuspidal curve C of degree d has critical points z_1, \ldots, z_n . Let K_1, \ldots, K_n be the corresponding links of singular points and let $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n$ be their Alexander polynomials. Moreover, let G_1, \ldots, G_n be the gap sequences of these points. Let $g = |G_1| + \cdots + |G_n|$ be the genus of K. Let $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \Delta_n$, expanded as

$$\Delta(t) = 1 + (t-1)g + (t-1)^2 \sum_{j=0}^{2g-2} k_j t^j,$$

and let $I = I_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond I_n$. Then for any $j = 0, \ldots, d-3$ we have $k_{d(d-j-3)} \leq I(d(d-j-3)+1)$, with equality for n = 1.

In this note we give an elementary argument, showing that Conjecture 1 follows from Theorem 1 for n = 2. The idea of our proof is to forget about the specific structure of the problem coming from the theory of singularities and to prove Conjecture 2 for general sets G_1, G_2 . Namely, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let G, H be two finite sets of positive integers and let $I_G, I_H : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be their gap functions. Define the polynomials

$$\Delta_G(t) = 1 + (t-1)|G| + (t-1)^2 \sum_{j \ge 0} k_j^G t^j,$$

$$\Delta_H(t) = 1 + (t-1)|H| + (t-1)^2 \sum_{j \ge 0} k_j^H t^j,$$

where $k_j^G = I_G(j+1)$, $k_j^H = I_H(j+1)$, $j \ge 0$. Set $\Delta = \Delta_G \cdot \Delta_H$ and $I = I_G \diamond I_H$. Then

$$\Delta(t) = 1 + (t-1)(|G| + |H|) + (t-1)^2 \sum_{j \ge 0} k_j t^j,$$

where $k_j \leq I(j+1)$ for $j \geq 0$.

This gives the proof of Conjecture 1 in the case n=2.

It is natural to ask whether the above theorem is valid for arbitrary $n \geq 2$. Recently, after we found our elementary combinatorial argument for n = 2, J. Bodnár and A. Némethi [BN] showed that Conjecture 1 is false for $n \geq 3$. We provide their example below. They also found yet another proof of Conjecture 1 in the case of two singularities.

EXAMPLE. Consider the semigroups $S_1 = \{6k+7l: k, l \geq 0\}$, $S_2 = \{2k+9l: k, l \geq 0\}$ and $S_3 = \{2k+5l: k, l \geq 0\}$. The corresponding gap sequences are $G_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 22, 23, 29\}$, $G_2 = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ and $G_3 = \{1, 3\}$. Then

$$\Delta_{1}(t) = 1 + (t-1)(t+t^{2}+t^{3}+t^{4}+t^{5}+t^{8}+t^{9}+t^{10}+t^{11} + t^{15}+t^{16}+t^{17}+t^{22}+t^{23}+t^{29}),$$

$$\Delta_{2}(t) = 1 + (t-1)(t+t^{3}+t^{5}+t^{7}),$$

$$\Delta_{3}(t) = 1 + (t-1)(t+t^{3}).$$

We write $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cdot \Delta_2 \cdot \Delta_3$ in the form

$$\Delta(t) = 1 + (|G_1| + |G_2| + |G_3|)(t - 1) + (t - 1)^2 \sum_{i>0} k_i t^i.$$

One can check that

We can see that $k_8 = 16$. From Theorem 1 we have I(9) = 15. Consequently, $k_8 > I(9)$.

2. Proof of the main result. We begin with a simple lemma.

LEMMA 2. Take $j \geq 1$. Then the minimum of the function $J(l) = I_G(j-l) + I_H(l)$ is attained for some $0 \leq l \leq j$.

Proof. Let
$$l \leq 0$$
. Then $I_H(l) = |H| - l$ and $I_G(j - l) \geq I_G(j) + l$. Thus, $J(l) = I_G(j - l) + I_H(l) \geq I_G(j) + |H| = J(0)$.

In the case when $l \geq j$ we can take l' = j - l and use the above inequality, exchanging the roles of G and H, to get $J(l) \geq J(j)$.

Proof. Our goal is to express the numbers k_j in terms of k_j^G and k_j^H . We have

$$\begin{split} \Delta(t) &= \Delta_G(t)\Delta_H(t) \\ &= 1 + (t-1)(|G| + |H|) + (t-1)^2 \Big[|G| \cdot |H| \\ &+ \sum_{j \ge 0} (k_j^G + k_j^H) t^j + (t-1) \Big(|G| \sum_{j \ge 0} k_j^H t^j + |H| \sum_{j \ge 0} k_j^G t^j \Big) \\ &+ (t-1)^2 \Big(\sum_{j \ge 0} k_j^G t^j \Big) \Big(\sum_{j \ge 0} k_j^H t^j \Big) \Big] \\ &= 1 + (t-1)(|G| + |H|) + (t-1)^2 \Theta(t), \end{split}$$

with

$$\Theta(t) = |G| \cdot |H| + k_0^G (1 - |H|) + k_0^H (1 - |G|) + k_0^G k_0^H + \sum_{i \ge 1} t^i k_i,$$

where

$$k_j = k_j^G(1 - |H|) + |H|k_{j-1}^G + k_j^H(1 - |G|) + |G|k_{j-1}^H + l_j$$

and

$$l_j = \sum_{u+v=j,\,u,v \geq 0} k_u^G k_v^H - 2 \sum_{u+v=j-1,\,u,v \geq 0} k_u^G k_v^H + \sum_{u+v=j-2,\,u,v \geq 0} k_u^G k_v^H.$$

Note that $k_0^G = |G|$ and $k_0^H = |H|$. Therefore,

$$k_0 = |G| \cdot |H| + k_0^G (1 - |H|) + k_0^H (1 - |G|) + k_0^G k_0^H$$

= |G| \cdot |H| + |G|(1 - |H|) + |H|(1 - |G|) + |G| \cdot |H| = |G| + |H|.

From Lemma 2 we get

$$I(1) = \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (I_G(1-k) + I_H(k)) = \min_{k=0,1} (I_G(1-k) + I_H(k)) = |G| + |H| = k_0.$$

From now on, our goal is to prove that $k_j \leq I(j+1)$ for $j \geq 1$. Note that

$$\begin{split} l_{j} &= \sum_{u+v=j,\,u,v\geq 0} k_{u}^{G} k_{v}^{H} - \sum_{u+v=j,\,u\geq 0,v\geq 1} k_{u}^{G} k_{v-1}^{H} - \sum_{u+v=j,\,u\geq 1,v\geq 0} k_{u-1}^{G} k_{v}^{H} \\ &+ \sum_{u+v=j,\,u,v\geq 1} k_{u-1}^{G} k_{v-1}^{H} = \sum_{u+v=j,\,u,v\geq 1} (k_{u}^{G} - k_{u-1}^{G})(k_{v}^{H} - k_{v-1}^{H}) \\ &+ k_{0}^{G} k_{i}^{H} + k_{i}^{G} k_{0}^{H} - k_{0}^{G} k_{i-1}^{H} - k_{j-1}^{G} k_{0}^{H}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$k_j = \sum_{u+v=j, u, v \ge 1} (k_u^G - k_{u-1}^G)(k_v^H - k_{v-1}^H) + m_j,$$

where, miraculously,

$$\begin{split} m_{j} &= k_{0}^{G} k_{j}^{H} + k_{j}^{G} k_{0}^{H} - k_{0}^{G} k_{j-1}^{H} - k_{j-1}^{G} k_{0}^{H} \\ &+ k_{j}^{G} (1 - |H|) + |H| k_{j-1}^{G} + k_{j}^{H} (1 - |G|) + |G| k_{j-1}^{H} \\ &= |G| k_{j}^{H} + k_{j}^{G} |H| - |G| k_{j-1}^{H} - k_{j-1}^{G} |H| \\ &+ k_{j}^{G} (1 - |H|) + |H| k_{j-1}^{G} + k_{j}^{H} (1 - |G|) + |G| k_{j-1}^{H} \\ &= k_{j}^{G} + k_{j}^{H} \,. \end{split}$$

We get

$$k_j = k_j^G + k_j^H + \sum_{u+v=j, u, v \ge 1} (k_{u-1}^G - k_u^G)(k_{v-1}^H - k_v^H).$$

We are to prove that $k_j \leq (I_G \diamond I_H)(j+1)$. It suffices to prove that $k_j \leq I_G(j+1-l) + I_H(l)$ for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we have to deal with the inequality

$$k_j^G + k_j^H + \sum_{u+v=j, u, v \ge 1} (k_u^G - k_{u-1}^G)(k_v^H - k_{v-1}^H)$$

$$\leq I_G(j+1-l) + I_H(l), \quad j \ge 1, l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By Lemma 2 it suffices to consider $0 \le l \le j+1$. Note that if u+v=j then either $u \ge j-l+1$ or $v \ge l$. Thus,

$$\mathbf{1}_{u \in G} \mathbf{1}_{v \in H} \mathbf{1}_{u+v=j} \le \mathbf{1}_{u \in G \cap [j-l+1,j]} + \mathbf{1}_{v \in H \cap [l,j]},$$

where the indicator functions in the above expression are functions of two variables u and v. We have also used the convention $[a, b] = \emptyset$ for a > b. We obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{u+v=j,u,v\geq 1} (k_{u-1}^G - k_u^G) (k_{v-1}^H - k_v^H) &= \sum_{u+v=j,u,v\geq 0} (k_{u-1}^G - k_u^G) (k_{v-1}^H - k_v^H) \\ &= \sum_{u+v=j,u,v\geq 0} \mathbf{1}_{u\in G} \mathbf{1}_{v\in H} \\ &\leq \sum_{u+v=j,u,v\geq 0} (\mathbf{1}_{u\in G\cap[j-l+1,j]} + \mathbf{1}_{v\in H\cap[l,j]}) \\ &= (I_G(j-l+1) - I_G(j+1)) + (I_H(l) - I_H(j+1)) \\ &- (k_i^G + k_i^H) + I_G(j+1-l) + I_H(l). \end{split}$$

This concludes the proof.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Maciej Borodzik for his valuable comments about the meaning of our result.

Barbara Pilat

Koszykowa 75

Warsaw University of Technology

E-mail: B.Pilat@mini.pw.edu.pl

00-662 Warszawa, Poland

References

- [BN] J. Bodnár and A. Némethi, Lattice cohomology and rational cuspidal curves, arXiv:1405.0437.
- [BL]M. Borodzik and C. Livingston, Heegaard Floer homology and rational cuspidal curves, arXiv:1304.1062.
- J. Fernández de Bobadilla, I. Luengo-Velasco, A. Melle-Hernández and [FLMN] A. Némethi, On rational cuspidal projective plane curves, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 92 (2006), 99-138.
- [M]K. Moe, Rational cuspidal curves, Master Thesis, Univ. of Oslo, https://www. duo.uio.no/handle/123456789/10759.
- [W] C. T. C. Wall, Singular Points of Plane Curves, London Math. Soc. Student Texts 63, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

Institute of Mathematics Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science University of Warsaw Banacha 2 02-097 Warszawa, Poland E-mail: nayar@mimuw.edu.pl Institute for Mathematics and its Applications College of Science and Engineering University of Minnesota 207 Church Street SE 306 Lind Hall Minneapolis, MN 55455, U.S.A.

Piotr Nayar

Received July 10, 2014; received in final form October 8, 2014 (7976)