

On Stochastic Differential Equations with Reflecting Boundary Condition in Convex Domains

by

Weronika ŁAUKAJTYS

Presented by Jerzy ZABCZYK

Summary. Let D be an open convex set in \mathbb{R}^d and let F be a Lipschitz operator defined on the space of adapted càdlàg processes. We show that for any adapted process H and any semimartingale Z there exists a unique strong solution of the following stochastic differential equation (SDE) with reflection on the boundary of D :

$$X_t = H_t + \int_0^t \langle F(X)_{s-}, dZ_s \rangle + K_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Our proofs are based on new *a priori* estimates for solutions of the deterministic Skorokhod problem.

1. Introduction. In the present paper we consider the following SDE with reflection on the boundary ∂D of an open convex set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$(1.1) \quad X_t = H_t + \int_0^t \langle F(X)_{s-}, dZ_s \rangle + K_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Here $Z = (Z_t)$ is an (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted semimartingale with $Z_0 = 0$, $H = (H_t)$ is an (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted process with $H_0 \in \bar{D} = D \cup \partial D$ and F is a Lipschitz operator on the space of adapted càdlàg processes (for a precise definition see Section 3).

The problem of existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.1) was discussed for the first time by Skorokhod [7] in the case where $d = 1$, $D =$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 60H20.

Key words and phrases: stochastic differential equation with reflecting boundary, Skorokhod problem.

Research supported by Komitet Badań Naukowych under grant 1 P03A 022 26.

$(0, \infty)$, $H = X_0 \in [0, \infty)$ and Z is a standard Wiener process W . Next, many attempts have been made to generalize Skorokhod's results to a larger class of domains or a larger class of driving processes Z . In particular, existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.1) with $Z = W$ for an arbitrary convex set D was proved by Tanaka [11]. SDEs driven by general semimartingales were considered in detail by Słomiński [8, 9]. Let us stress, however, that in the above mentioned papers [8, 9, 11] it is assumed that $H = X_0$ and that $F(X)_{s-} = f(X_{s-})$, where f is a Lipschitz continuous function.

In the present paper we show existence and uniqueness of solutions of the SDE (1.1) for an arbitrary (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted process $H = (H_t)$ with $H_0 \in \bar{D}$ and an arbitrary Lipschitz operator F , and thus we generalize the results of [8, 9, 11] considerably. The proof of our main result is based on new *a priori* estimates for the solution of the deterministic Skorokhod problem

$$(1.2) \quad x_t = y_t + k_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

associated with a $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $y_0 \in \bar{D}$. These estimates say that for any $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and any $a \in D$, $\sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a|$ and $|k|_T$ are bounded by constants depending only on y_0 , $\sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|$ and the modulus of continuity ω'_y . As a consequence, we prove existence and uniqueness of solutions of the Skorokhod problem (1.2) in an arbitrary open convex set D . In this way we solve Tanaka's problem (see [11, Remark 2.3]) concerning existence of a solution of the Skorokhod problem associated with $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ (in the case where y is continuous, Tanaka's problem was solved earlier by Cépa [2]).

Notation. $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of all mappings $y : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ which are right-continuous and admit left-hand limits. Every process appearing in what follows is assumed to have its trajectories in the space $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ endowed with the Skorokhod topology J_1 . If $X = (X^1, \dots, X^d)$ is a semimartingale then $[X]_t = \sum_{i=1}^d [X^i]_t$, where $[X^i]$ stands for the quadratic variation process of X^i , $i = 1, \dots, d$. Similarly, $\langle X \rangle_t = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle X^i \rangle_t$, where $\langle X^i \rangle_t$ stands for the predictable compensator of $[X^i]$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. If $K = (K^1, \dots, K^d)$ is a process with locally finite variation, then $|K|_t = \sum_{i=1}^d |K^i|_t$, where $|K^i|_t$ is the total variation of K^i on $[0, t]$. For $x \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\delta > 0$, $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we denote by $\omega'_x(\delta, T)$ the modulus of continuity of x on $[0, T]$, i.e.

$$\omega'_x(\delta, T) = \inf \left\{ \max_{i < r} \omega_x([t_{i-1}, t_i]); 0 = t_0 < \dots < t_r = T, \inf_{i < r} (t_i - t_{i-1}) \geq \delta \right\},$$

where $\omega_x(I) = \sup_{s, t \in I} |x_s - x_t|$.

2. The Skorokhod problem. Let D be an open convex domain in \mathbb{R}^d and let \mathcal{N}_x denote the set of inward normal unit vectors at $x \in \partial D$.

The following remark can be found in Menaldi [4] and Storm [10].

- REMARK 2.1. (i) $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{N}_x$ if and only if $\langle y - x, \mathbf{n} \rangle \geq 0$ for every $y \in \bar{D}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in \mathbb{R}^d .
 (ii) If $\text{dist}(x, \bar{D}) > 0$, then there exists a unique $\Pi(x) \in \partial D$ such that $|\Pi(x) - x| = \text{dist}(x, \bar{D})$. Moreover, $(\Pi(x) - x)/|\Pi(x) - x| \in \mathcal{N}_{\Pi(x)}$.
 (iii) For every $a \in D$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{N}_x$,

$$\langle x - a, \mathbf{n} \rangle \leq -\text{dist}(a, \partial D).$$

Let $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $y_0 \in \bar{D}$. We say that a pair (x, k) is a *solution of the Skorokhod problem* associated with y if

$$(2.1) \quad x_t = y_t + k_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

$$(2.2) \quad x_t \in \bar{D}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

$$(2.3) \quad k \text{ is a function with locally bounded variation, } k_0 = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$k_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{n}_s d|k|_s, \quad |k|_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{x_s \in \partial D\}} d|k|_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

where $\mathbf{n}_s \in \mathcal{N}_{x_s}$ if $x_s \in \partial D$.

The following estimates on the solution of the Skorokhod problem will prove extremely useful in the proofs of our main results.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let (x, k) be a solution of the Skorokhod problem associated with y , and let $y_0 \in \bar{D}$. Then for any $T > 0$, $\eta > 0$ and $a \in D$ such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \omega'_y(\eta, T) < \frac{\text{dist}(a, \partial D)}{2}$$

we have

$$(i) \quad \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a| \leq 6([T/\eta] + 1) \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|,$$

$$(ii) \quad |k|_T \leq \frac{71([T/\eta] + 1)^3}{\text{dist}(a, \partial D)} \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2$$

($[T/\eta]$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to T/η).

Proof. (i) We proceed along the lines of the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [2]. Let $0 \leq t \leq T$. It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_t - a|^2 &= |y_t - a|^2 + \langle k_t, k_t \rangle + 2 \int_0^t \langle y_t - a, dk_u \rangle \\ &= |y_t - a|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle k_u, dk_u \rangle - \sum_{u \leq t} |\Delta k_u|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle y_t - a, dk_u \rangle \\ &= |y_t - a|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle x_u - a, dk_u \rangle + 2 \int_0^t \langle y_t - y_u, dk_u \rangle - \sum_{u \leq t} |\Delta k_u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$|x_t - a|^2 - |x_s - a|^2 = |y_t - a|^2 - |y_s - a|^2 + 2 \int_s^t \langle x_u - a, dk_u \rangle - 2 \int_s^t \langle y_u - y_s, dk_u \rangle + 2 \langle k_t, y_t - y_s \rangle - \sum_{s < u \leq t} |\Delta k_u|^2.$$

By Remark 2.1(iii), $2 \int_s^t \langle x_u - a, dk_u \rangle \leq -2 \operatorname{dist}(a, \partial D) |k|_s^t$, where $|k|_s^t = |k|_t - |k|_s$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |x_t - a|^2 - |x_s - a|^2 &\leq |y_t - a|^2 - |y_s - a|^2 - 2 \operatorname{dist}(a, \partial D) |k|_s^t \\ &\quad - 2 \int_s^t \langle y_u - y_s, dk_u \rangle - 2 \langle y_t - a, y_t - y_s \rangle \\ &\quad - 2 \langle a - x_t, y_t - y_s \rangle - \sum_{s < u \leq t} |\Delta k_u|^2 \\ &\leq 5 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2 + 4 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a| \cdot \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a| \\ &\quad - 2 \operatorname{dist}(a, \partial D) |k|_s^t - 2 \int_s^t \langle y_u - y_s, dk_u \rangle - \sum_{s < u \leq t} |\Delta k_u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ it follows that there exist $\eta > 0$ and a subdivision (s_k) of $[0, T]$ such that $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_r = T$, $\eta \leq s_k - s_{k-1}$, $k = 1, \dots, r - 1$, where $r = [T/\eta] + 1$, and

$$(2.5) \quad \omega_y([s_{k-1}, s_k]) < \frac{\operatorname{dist}(a, \partial D)}{2}.$$

Using (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} \langle y_u - y_{s_{k-1}}, dk_u \rangle &\leq \left| \int_{(s_{k-1}, s_k)} \langle y_u - y_{s_{k-1}}, dk_u \rangle \right| - \langle \Delta y_{s_k}, \Delta k_{s_k} \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{\operatorname{dist}(a, \partial D)}{2} |k|_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} - \langle \Delta y_{s_k}, \Delta k_{s_k} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \left(- \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} \langle y_u - y_{s_{k-1}}, dk_u \rangle - \operatorname{dist}(a, \partial D) |k|_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} \right) \\ \leq 2 \left(\frac{\operatorname{dist}(a, \partial D)}{2} |k|_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} - \operatorname{dist}(a, \partial D) |k|_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} - \langle \Delta y_{s_k}, \Delta k_{s_k} \rangle \right) \\ = - \operatorname{dist}(a, \partial D) |k|_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} - 2 \langle \Delta y_{s_k}, \Delta k_{s_k} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and, as a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad & |x_{s_k} - a|^2 - |x_{s_{k-1}} - a|^2 \\
 & \leq 5 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2 + 4 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a| \cdot \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a| \\
 & \quad - \text{dist}(a, \partial D) |k|_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} - 2 \langle \Delta y_{s_k}, \Delta k_{s_k} \rangle - \sum_{s_{k-1} < u \leq s_k} |\Delta k_u|^2 \\
 & \leq 5 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2 + 4 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a| \cdot \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a| - \text{dist}(a, \partial D) |k|_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} + |\Delta y_{s_k}|^2 \\
 & \leq 9 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2 + 4 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a| \cdot \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a| - \text{dist}(a, \partial D) |k|_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.6) it follows immediately that

$$|x_{s_k} - a|^2 - |x_{s_{k-1}} - a|^2 \leq 9 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2 + 4 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a| \cdot \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a|.$$

For given $t \in [0, T]$ set $k_0 = \max\{k; s_k \leq t\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x_t - a|^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} (|x_{s_k} - a|^2 - |x_{s_{k-1}} - a|^2) + |x_t - a|^2 - |x_{s_{k_0}} - a|^2 + |x_0 - a|^2 \\
 &\leq r(9 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2 + 4 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a| \cdot \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a|) + \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a|^2 \leq 18r^2 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2 + \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a|^2/2.$$

Hence

$$(2.7) \quad \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a|^2 \leq 36r^2 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2,$$

and the proof of (i) is complete.

(ii) Using (2.6) and (2.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{dist}(a, \partial D) |k|_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} &\leq 9 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2 + 4 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a| \cdot \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a| \\
 &\quad + |x_{s_{k-1}} - a|^2 - |x_{s_k} - a|^2 \\
 &\leq 17 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t - a|^2 \leq 71r^2 \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t - a|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, r$. Since $|k|_T \leq \sum_{k=1}^r |k|_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k}$, this proves (ii). ■

COROLLARY 2.3. *If $\{y^n\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ then*

- (i) $\sup_n \sup_{t \leq T} |x_t^n| < \infty$ and $\sup_n |k^n|_T < \infty$ for every $T > 0$,
- (ii) $\{(x^n, k^n)\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Proof. (i) Clearly, $\sup_n |x_0^n| = \sup_n |y_0^n| < \infty$. Since $\{y^n\}$ is relatively compact, for any $a \in D$, $T > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\sup_n \omega'_{y^n}(\eta, T) < \text{dist}(a, \partial D)/2$. Moreover, relative compactness of $\{y^n\}$ implies that $\sup_n \sup_{t \leq T} |y_t^n| < \infty$. Therefore (i) follows from Theorem 2.2.

(ii) Since $\{y^n\}$ is relatively compact, for any $T > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta > 0$ and $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_r = T$ such that $\delta \leq s_k - s_{k-1}$ and $\omega_{y^n}([s_{k-1}, s_k]) \leq \varepsilon$ for $k = 1, \dots, r - 1$. By [11, Lemma 2.2], for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have

$$|x_t^n - x_s^n|^2 \leq |y_t^n - y_s^n|^2 + \int_s^t \langle y_t^n - y_u^n, dk_u^n \rangle.$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{s_{k-1} \leq t < s_k} |x_t^n - x_{s_{k-1}}^n|^2 \leq \omega_{y^n}^2([s_{k-1}, s_k]) + \omega_{y^n}([s_{k-1}, s_k]) |k^n|_T \leq \varepsilon(\varepsilon + |k^n|_T),$$

and hence, $\max_{k \leq r} \omega_{x^n}([s_{k-1}, s_k]) \leq (\varepsilon(\varepsilon + |k^n|_T))^{1/2}$. As a consequence,

$$\limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \sup_n \omega'_{(x^n, y^n)}(\delta, T) = 0,$$

which together with (i) shows that $\{(x^n, y^n)\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Since $k^n = x^n - y^n$, (ii) follows. ■

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let (x, k) be a solution of the Skorokhod problem associated with y such that $y_0 \in \bar{D}$, and let $a \in D$. Set $\tau = \inf\{t > 0; |y_t - y_0| \geq \text{dist}(a, \partial D)/2\}$. Then*

$$\sup_{t < \tau} |x_t - a| \leq 6 \sup_{t < \tau} |y_t - a|, \quad |k|_{\tau-} \leq \frac{71}{\text{dist}(a, \partial D)} \sup_{t < \tau} |y_t - a|^2.$$

Proof. It suffices to put $r = 1$, $s_0 = 0$, $s_1 = \tau$ in the proof of Theorem 2.2. ■

THEOREM 2.5. *Assume $\{y^n\} \subset \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $y_0^n \in \bar{D}$ and let (x^n, k^n) denote the solution of the Skorokhod problem associated with y^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $y^n \rightarrow y$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $y_0 \in \bar{D}$ then there exists a unique solution (x, k) of the Skorokhod problem associated with y and*

$$(x^n, k^n) \rightarrow (x, k) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.3(ii), the sequence $\{(x^n, y^n, k^n)\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^{3d})$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $(n') \subset (n)$ and a pair (x', k') such that

$$(2.8) \quad (x^{n'}, y^{n'}, k^{n'}) \rightarrow (x', y, k') \quad \text{in } \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^{3d}).$$

By [11, Lemma 2.2] the solution of the Skorokhod problem associated with y is unique. Therefore, the proof is completed by showing that (x', k') is a solution of the Skorokhod problem. Obviously $x' = y + k'$. Moreover, by Corollary 2.3(i), $\sup_n |k^n|_T < \infty$, $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$, which implies that $|k'|_T < \infty$,

$T \in \mathbb{R}^+$. To check (2.3), we first note that it is equivalent to the following two conditions: for any bounded continuous $f : \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial D$ we have

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^t \langle f(x_s), dk_s \rangle = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

and for any continuous $\hat{x} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}$ the function

$$(2.10) \quad t \mapsto \int_0^t \langle \hat{x}_s - x_s, dk_s \rangle, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \text{ is non-decreasing}$$

(see e.g. [1]).

By (2.8) and [3, Proposition 2.9],

$$(2.11) \quad \int_0^t \langle f(x_s^{n'}), dk_s^{n'} \rangle \rightarrow \int_0^t \langle f(x'_s), dk'_s \rangle \quad \text{in } \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}).$$

On the other hand, since $(x^{n'}, k^{n'})$ is a solution of the Skorokhod problem, for each n' we have $\int_0^t \langle f(x_s^{n'}), dk_s^{n'} \rangle = 0$. Therefore (2.11) gives (2.9). Furthermore, by (2.8), $(\hat{x}, x^{n'}, k^{n'}) \rightarrow (\hat{x}, x', k')$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^{3d})$. Hence, using once again [3, Proposition 2.9], we obtain

$$\int_0^t \langle \hat{x}_s - x_s^{n'}, dk_s^{n'} \rangle \rightarrow \int_0^t \langle \hat{x}_s - x'_s, dk'_s \rangle \quad \text{in } \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}),$$

which implies (2.10), because the functions $t \mapsto \int_0^t \langle \hat{x}_s - x_s^{n'}, dk_s^{n'} \rangle$ are non-decreasing. ■

THEOREM 2.6. *For every $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $y_0 \in \bar{D}$ there exists a unique solution of the Skorokhod problem associated with y .*

Proof. Let $\{y^n\}$ be the sequence of discretizations of y defined by $y_t^n = y_{k/n}$, $t \in [k/n, (k+1)/n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We check at once that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the pair (x^n, k^n) defined by

$$\begin{cases} x_0^n = y_0, \\ x_{(k+1)/n}^n = \Pi(x_{k/n}^n + y_{(k+1)/n} - y_{k/n}) \end{cases}$$

and $x_t^n = x_{k/n}^n$, $k_t^n = x_t^n - y_t^n$, $t \in [k/n, (k+1)/n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, solves the Skorokhod problem for y^n . Since $y^n \rightarrow y$ in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, the result follows from Theorem 2.5. ■

3. SDEs in convex domains. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)$ be a filtered probability space and let Y be an (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted process with $Y_0 \in \bar{D}$.

We say that a pair (X, K) of (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted processes *solves the Skorokhod problem associated with Y* if for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ the pair $(X(\omega), K(\omega))$ is a solution of the Skorokhod problem associated with $Y(\omega)$.

From Theorem 2.6 it follows that for any process Y with $Y_0 \in \bar{D}$ there exists a unique solution of the Skorokhod problem associated with Y . The following remark is due to Słomiński (see [8, Corollary 1]).

REMARK 3.1. Let Y, \hat{Y} be (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted processes of the form $Y = H + M + V, \hat{Y} = H + \hat{M} + \hat{V}$, where M, \hat{M} are local martingales, V, \hat{V} are processes with locally bounded variation and $M_0 = \hat{M}_0 = V_0 = \hat{V}_0 = 0$. If $(X, K), (\hat{X}, \hat{K})$ are solutions of the Skorokhod problem associated with Y, \hat{Y} , respectively, then for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists C_p such that

$$E \sup_{t < \tau} |X_t - \hat{X}_t|^{2p} \leq C_p E([M - \hat{M}]_{\tau-}^p + |V - \hat{V}|_{\tau-}^{2p} + \langle M - \hat{M} \rangle_{\tau-}^p)$$

for every (\mathcal{F}_t) stopping time τ .

Let us denote by \mathbb{F}^d the class of d -dimensional (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted processes and by \mathbb{M}^d the class of (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted processes with values in the set $\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ of d -dimensional matrices.

We say that an operator $F : \mathbb{F}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^d$ is *Lipschitz* if

- (i) for every $X, Y \in \mathbb{F}^d$ and every stopping time τ , if $X^{\tau-} = Y^{\tau-}$ then $F(X)^{\tau-} = F(Y)^{\tau-}$,
- (ii) there exists a one-dimensional, (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted, non-decreasing process $L = (L_t)$ such that $P(\sup_t L_t < \infty) = 1$ and, for every $X, Y \in \mathbb{F}^d$,

$$\|F(X)_t - F(Y)_t\| \leq L_t \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s - Y_s|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Clearly, if $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ is Lipschitz, that is, there exists $L > 0$ such that $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq L|x - y|$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then the operator $F(X)$ defined by $F(X)_t = f(X_t)$ for $X \in \mathbb{F}^d$ is Lipschitz.

Let $F : \mathbb{F}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^d$. We say that a pair (X, K) of (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted processes is a *strong solution* of the SDE (1.1) if (1.1) is satisfied and (X, K) is a solution of the Skorokhod problem associated with $Y_t = H_t + \int_0^t \langle F(X)_{s-}, dZ_s \rangle$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

We can now formulate our main result.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let H be an (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted process such that $H_0 \in \bar{D}$, and let Z be an (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted semimartingale with $Z_0 = 0$. Then for any Lipschitz operator $F : \mathbb{F}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^d$ there exists a unique strong solution of the SDE (1.1).*

Proof. By using the arguments from the proof of [6, Chapter V, Theorem 7], we may and do assume that $F(0)_t = 0$ and $L_t < L$ for some constant

$L > 0$. Since Z is a semimartingale, it admits a unique decomposition

$$Z_t = J_t + M_t + B_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

where $J_t = \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta Z_u \mathbb{I}\{|\Delta Z_s| > 1\}$, M is a local square-integrable martingale, $|\Delta M| \leq 2$, and B is a predictable process with locally bounded variation, $|\Delta B| \leq 1$. Let C_1 be a constant from Remark 3.1. For given $a' \in D$ set $a^2 = (12C_1L^2)^{-1}$ and $\tau' = \inf\{t > 0; |H_t - H_0| \geq \text{dist}(a', \partial D)/2\}$.

We first prove existence and uniqueness of a solution of (1.1) on the interval $[0, \tau]$, where $\tau = \inf\{t > 0; \max([M]_t, \langle M \rangle_t, |B|_t^2, |J|_t^2) > a^2\} \wedge \tau' \wedge 1$. To this end we set $\tau_k = \inf\{t > 0; \sup_{s \leq t} |H_s| > k\} \wedge \tau$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by S^2 the class of (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted processes $Y = (Y_t)$ on $[0, 1]$ such that $Y_0 \in \bar{D}$, $Y = Y^{\tau_k-}$ and $E \sup_{t \leq 1} |Y_t|^2 < \infty$. Then S^2 is a Banach space with the norm $\|Y\|_{S^2} = (E \sup_{t \leq 1} |Y_t|^2)^{1/2}$. Define the mapping Φ on S^2 by letting $\Phi(Y)$ be the first component X of the solution (X, K) of the Skorokhod problem associated with $H^{\tau_k-} + \int_0^\cdot F(Y)_{s-} dZ_s^{\tau_k-}$. We will show that Φ is a contraction mapping on S^2 . To see this, we first observe that $\Phi(Y \equiv 0) = H^{\tau_k-} + K^{\tau_k-}$, since $F(0) \equiv 0$. Hence

$$E \sup_{t \leq 1} |\Phi(0)_t|^2 \leq 2E \sup_{t \leq 1} |H_t^{\tau_k-}|^2 + 2E \sup_{t \leq 1} |K_t^{\tau_k-}|^2 \leq 2k^2 + 2E \sup_{t \leq 1} |K_t^{\tau_k-}|^2.$$

Therefore, by Corollary 2.4, $\Phi(Y) \in S^2$. Furthermore, by Remark 3.1, for any $Y, \hat{Y} \in S^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{t \leq 1} |\Phi(Y)_t - \Phi(\hat{Y})_t|^2 &\leq C_1 \left(E \int_0^{\tau_k-} \|F(Y)_{s-} - F(\hat{Y})_{s-}\|^2 d([M]_s + \langle M \rangle_s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + E \left(|B + J|_{\tau_k-} \cdot \int_0^{\tau_k-} \|F(Y)_{s-} - F(\hat{Y})_{s-}\|^2 d|B + J|_s \right) \right) \\ &\leq C_1 (E \sup_{t \leq 1} \|F(Y)_{t-} - F(\hat{Y})_{t-}\|^2 ([M]_{\tau_k-} + \langle M \rangle_{\tau_k-}) \\ &\quad + E \sup_{t \leq 1} \|F(Y)_{t-} - F(\hat{Y})_{t-}\|^2 |B + J|_{\tau_k-}^2) \\ &\leq 6C_1 a^2 E \sup_{t \leq 1} \|F(Y)_{t-} - F(\hat{Y})_{t-}\|^2 \\ &\leq 6C_1 a^2 L^2 E \sup_{t \leq 1} |Y_t - \hat{Y}_t|^2 = \frac{1}{2} E \sup_{t \leq 1} |Y_t - \hat{Y}_t|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From the above we see that $\Phi : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ is a contraction. Hence, by the Banach contraction principle, it has a fixed point X^k , which is a unique

solution of (1.1) on $[0, \tau_k[$. Since $P(\tau_k = \tau) \uparrow 1$, putting $X = X^k$ on $[0, \tau_k[$ we obtain a unique solution on $[0, \tau[$. Moreover, putting

$$X_\tau = \Pi(X_{\tau-} + \Delta H_\tau + \langle F(X)_{\tau-}, \Delta Z_\tau \rangle)$$

we obtain a solution on $[0, \tau]$, because $F(X^{\tau-})_{\tau-} = F(X)_{\tau-}$ by the definition of F .

Now, we define a sequence of stopping times

$$\tau^0 = \tau, \quad \tau^{k+1} = \tau^k + \inf\{t > 0; \max([\bar{M}]_t, |\bar{B}|_t^2, |\bar{J}|_t^2) > a^2\} \wedge (\tau'_k \wedge 1),$$

where $\bar{M}. = M_{\tau^{k+}.} - M_{\tau^k}$, $\bar{B}. = B_{\tau^{k+}.} - B_{\tau^k}$, $\bar{J}. = J_{\tau^{k+}.} - J_{\tau^k}$, $\bar{H}. = H_{\tau^{k+}.} - H_{\tau^k}$, $\tau'_k = \inf\{t > 0, |\bar{H}_t| \geq \text{dist}(a', \partial D)/2\}$. By what has been proved there exists a unique solution of (1.1) on $[0, \tau^0]$. By the same method as above, having a unique solution on $[0, \tau^k]$, we can construct a solution of (1.1) on $[0, \tau^{k+1}]$. Since $\tau^k \uparrow \infty$, the theorem follows. ■

COROLLARY 3.3. *If $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ is Lipschitz then for every (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted process H with $H_0 \in \bar{D}$ and every (\mathcal{F}_t) adapted semimartingale Z with $Z_0 = 0$ there exists a unique strong solution of the SDE*

$$X_t = H_t + \int_0^t \langle f(X_{s-}), dZ_s \rangle + K_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+ . \quad \blacksquare$$

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Prof. Leszek Słomiński for suggesting the problem and for many stimulating conversations.

References

- [1] S. V. Anulova and R. Sh. Liptser, *Diffusional approximation for processes with the normal reflection*, Theory Probab. Appl. 35 (1990), 411–423.
- [2] E. Cépa, *Problème de Skorohod multivoque*, Ann. Probab. 26 (1998), 500–532.
- [3] A. Jakubowski, J. Mémin and G. Pagés, *Convergence en loi des suites d'intégrales stochastiques sur l'espace Δ^1 de Skorokhod*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 81 (1989), 111–137.
- [4] J. L. Menaldi, *Stochastic variational inequality for reflected diffusion*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 32 (1983), 733–744.
- [5] P. E. Protter, *On the existence, uniqueness, convergence and explosions of solutions of systems of stochastic integral equations*, Ann. Probab. 5 (1977), 243–261.
- [6] —, *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [7] A. V. Skorokhod, *Stochastic equations for diffusion processes in a bounded region 1, 2*, Theory Probab. Appl. 6 (1961), 264–274, 7 (1962), 3–23.
- [8] L. Słomiński, *On approximation of solutions of multidimensional SDE's with reflecting boundary conditions*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 50 (1994), 197–219.
- [9] —, *On the L^p -distance between semimartingales reflecting in different domains*, Stoch. Stoch. Rep. 71 (2000), 91–118.
- [10] A. Storm, *Stochastic differential equations with a convex constraint*, *ibid.* 53 (1995), 241–274.

- [11] H. Tanaka, *Stochastic differential equations with reflecting boundary condition in convex regions*, Hiroshima Math. J. 9 (1979), 163–177.

Weronika Łaukajtys
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Nicolaus Copernicus University
Chopina 12/18
87-100 Toruń, Poland
E-mail: vera@mat.uni.torun.pl

Received May 5, 2004;
received in final form October 6, 2004

(7395)