

A Lifting Result for Locally Pseudo-Convex Subspaces of L_0

by

Félix CABELLO SÁNCHEZ

Presented by Aleksander PEŁCZYŃSKI

Summary. It is shown that if F is a topological vector space containing a complete, locally pseudo-convex subspace E such that $F/E = L_0$ then E is complemented in F and so $F = E \oplus L_0$. This generalizes results by Kalton and Peck and Faber.

Introduction. Let L_0 denote the space of all (equivalence classes of) measurable functions on $[0, 1]$ equipped with the topology of convergence in measure, E a closed subspace of L_0 , $\pi : L_0 \rightarrow L_0/E$ the natural quotient map and $T : L_0 \rightarrow L_0/E$ a (linear, continuous) operator. Under what conditions does T lift to an operator $S : L_0 \rightarrow L_0$ in the sense that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & L_0 \\ & \nearrow S & \downarrow \pi \\ L_0 & \xrightarrow{T} & L_0/E \end{array}$$

commutes? As far as I know this problem was raised by Pełczyński. Kalton and Peck [5, Theorem 3.6] proved that such an S exists if E is locally bounded (that is, a quasi-Banach space); see also [6, Theorem 6.4]. The same is true if E is isomorphic to ω , the space of all sequences, as follows from results of Peck and Starbird [7, Corollary]. The interesting work of Domański about the

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46M18, 46A16, 46A22.

Key words and phrases: space of measurable functions, lifting, extension, pull-back, push-out.

Supported in part by DGICYT project MTM2004-02635.

structure of extensions [2] contains alternative proofs of both results. Finally, Faber [3, Theorem 2.1] got the corresponding result for locally convex E .

In this short note we generalize the previous results to locally pseudo-convex subspaces of L_0 . Actually, we will show that if E is locally pseudo-convex and complete and F is any topological vector space (TVS) containing it, then every operator $L_0 \rightarrow F/E$ lifts to F . Thus, the fact that E is a subspace of L_0 plays no rôle here. However we emphasize that there are locally pseudo-convex subspaces of L_0 that are neither locally convex nor locally bounded (nor even locally p -convex for any fixed p): $\prod_{n=1}^\infty L_{p(n)}$ is an example if the sequence $0 < p(n) \leq 2$ converges to zero.

In contrast to Faber’s proof (which is quite “hard” and depends on specific features of the locally convex subspaces of L_0) our result is obtained straightforwardly from the locally bounded case by means of the universal properties of three basic (and simple) homological constructions: pull-back, push-out and inverse limit.

Before going further we make some conventions. TVSs are assumed to be Hausdorff. Operator means linear and continuous map. If E and F are TVSs, then $L(E, F)$ denotes the space of all operators from E to F . The identity on E is written 1_E .

Let us translate the problem into the language of extensions. An *extension* (of G by E) is a short exact sequence of TVSs and relatively open operators

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\iota} F \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 0.$$

Less technically we can regard F as a TVS containing E as a subspace in such a way that F/E is (isomorphic to) G . We say that (1) *splits* if there is $S \in L(G, F)$ such that $\pi \circ S = 1_G$. And this happens if and only if there is $P \in L(F, E)$ such that $P \circ \iota = 1_E$, that is, if ιE is a complemented subspace of F .

We now describe the algebraic constructions we shall use in the proof. Some verifications are left to the reader. They are really easy: just try or adapt the corresponding proof for (quasi-) Banach spaces in [4] or [1, Appendix].

1. The pull-back extension. Suppose we are given an extension (1) and an operator $L : H \rightarrow G$, where H is a TVS. Then we can construct a commutative diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\iota} & F & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow \pi_F & & \uparrow L \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & \text{PB} & \xrightarrow{\pi_H} & H \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

as follows: the pull-back space is $PB = \{(f, h) \in F \times H : \pi f = Lh\}$, with the relative product topology. The maps from PB are the restrictions of the projections. The map $E \rightarrow PB$ is just $e \mapsto (\iota(e), 0)$. It is easily verified that the lower row in (2) is an extension which splits if and only if L lifts to F . And this is so by the following universal property of the pull-back square: if I is a TVS and α and β are operators making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G \\ \alpha \uparrow & & \uparrow L \\ I & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H \end{array}$$

commutative, then there is a unique operator $\gamma : I \rightarrow PB$ such that $\alpha = \pi_F \circ \gamma$ and $\beta = \pi_H \circ \gamma$ (the converse is obvious).

Hence the following statements about a pair of TVSSs E and H are equivalent:

- Whenever F is a TVS containing E every operator $H \rightarrow F/E$ lifts to F .
- Every extension $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow I \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ splits.

Thus, the promised generalization of Faber’s result is contained in the following:

FACT. *Every extension of L_0 by a complete, locally pseudo-convex space splits.*

Before going into the proof, let us describe

2. The push-out extension. The push-out construction is just the categorical dual of the pull-back. So assume we are given an extension (1) and an operator $T : E \rightarrow J$. The push-out of the operators ι and T is the quotient space $PO = (F \oplus J)/\Delta$, where $\Delta = \{-\iota(e) \oplus T(e) : e \in E\}$. In our setting Δ is closed because ι has closed range. We have a commutative diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\iota} & F & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow T & & \downarrow \iota_F & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J & \xrightarrow{\iota_J} & PO & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The arrows ending in PO are induced by the inclusions of F and J into their direct sum $F \oplus J$. The operator $PO \rightarrow G$ sends $(f \oplus j) + \Delta$ to $\pi(f)$. This is clearly a quotient operator and it is easily seen that the lower sequence in (3) is an extension. Moreover this extension splits if and only if T extends to F (in the sense that there is $\tau \in L(F, J)$ such that $\tau \circ \iota = T$). Again, this is immediate from the universal property of the push-out construction: if α

and β are operators making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\iota} & F \\ T \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ J & \xrightarrow{\beta} & K \end{array}$$

commutative, then there is a unique operator $\gamma : PO \rightarrow K$ such that $\alpha = \gamma \circ \iota_F$ and $\beta = \gamma \circ \iota_J$ (the converse is obvious).

3. The inverse limit. The topology of a locally pseudo-convex space E can be obtained through a system of functions

$$\varrho : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \quad (\varrho \in \Gamma),$$

where each ϱ is a homogeneous semi- p_ϱ -norm [8, Theorem 3.1.4]. We may assume that given $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ there is $\delta \in \Gamma$ such that $\delta \geq \alpha, \beta$ (in the pointwise sense). For $\varrho \in \Gamma$, let E_ϱ denote the completion of $E/\ker \varrho$. This is clearly a p_ϱ -Banach space and we have an obvious operator $\pi_\varrho : E \rightarrow E_\varrho$. Moreover, if $\alpha \geq \beta$ the map π_β factors through E_α and we have a further operator $\pi_\beta^\alpha : E_\alpha \rightarrow E_\beta$. It is clear that these form a projective system in the sense that for $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$ the map $E_\alpha \rightarrow E_\gamma$ coincides with the composition $E_\alpha \rightarrow E_\beta \rightarrow E_\gamma$.

Just as in the locally convex case, it is easily seen that if E is complete, then it is isomorphic to the inverse (projective) limit of the system $\{E_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, that is, the space

$$\text{proj } E_\gamma = \left\{ (e_\gamma) \in \prod E_\gamma : \pi_\beta^\alpha(e_\alpha) = e_\beta \text{ for all } \alpha \geq \beta \right\}$$

equipped with the relative product topology. We leave to the reader the verification that the map $e \in E \mapsto (\pi_\gamma(e))_\gamma \in \prod E_\gamma$ defines an isomorphism between E and $\text{proj } E_\gamma$. Every operator $T : F \rightarrow E$ gives rise to a system of operators $T_\gamma : F \rightarrow E_\gamma$ (namely, $T_\gamma = \pi_\gamma \circ T$), compatible in the sense that for $\alpha \geq \beta$ we have $T_\beta = \pi_\beta^\alpha \circ T_\alpha$.

The universal property of the inverse limit states the converse: if $T_\gamma : F \rightarrow E_\gamma$ is a compatible system, then there is a unique operator $T : F \rightarrow E$ such that $T_\gamma = \pi_\gamma \circ T$.

Proof of the Fact. Let E be a complete, locally pseudo-convex space. We show that every extension

$$0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\iota} F \xrightarrow{\pi} L_0 \rightarrow 0$$

splits. If ϱ is a semi- p -norm on E we can apply the push-out procedure to

π_ϱ and obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\iota} & F & \xrightarrow{\pi} & L_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \pi_\varrho \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E_\varrho & \longrightarrow & \text{PO} & \longrightarrow & L_0 \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

We know from [5] that the push-out extension splits and so there is $P_\varrho : F \rightarrow E_\varrho$ such that $\pi_\varrho = \iota \circ P_\varrho$. In fact P_ϱ is unique: for if $P : F \rightarrow E_\varrho$ is another extension of π_ϱ we have $(P - P_\varrho) \circ \iota = 0$ and so $P - P_\varrho$ factors through L_0 . But the only operator from L_0 to a quasi-Banach space is zero, and so $P = P_\varrho$.

We claim that the system $(P_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ defines an operator $P : F \rightarrow E$ such that $P \circ \iota = 1_E$. Suppose $\alpha \geq \beta$ and let P_α and P_β be as above. We have $\pi_\alpha = P_\alpha \circ \iota$ and $\pi_\beta = P_\beta \circ \iota$. Since $\pi_\beta = \pi_\beta^\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha$ we have $\pi_\beta = \pi_\beta^\alpha \circ P_\alpha \circ \iota$ and by the uniqueness of P_β we see that $P_\beta = \pi_\beta^\alpha \circ P_\alpha$. This implies that there is an operator $P : F \rightarrow E$ such that $P_\gamma = \pi_\gamma \circ P$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, which clearly implies that $P \circ \iota = 1_E$ and completes the proof.

CONCLUDING REMARKS. Of course, the result just proved implies that if E and F are locally pseudo-convex (closed) subspaces of L_0 such that L_0/E and L_0/F are isomorphic, then there is an automorphism of L_0 mapping E onto F .

Let us say that a TVS G has L_0 -structure if for every neighborhood of the origin U there is a topological decomposition $G = G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_k$ with $G_i \subset U$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. By [5, Theorem 3.6] (or [2, Proposition 4.3]) every extension of such a G by any quasi-Banach space splits. Moreover, there is no nonzero operator from G into any quasi-Banach space, and so the above proof shows that every extension of G by a complete, locally pseudo-convex space splits. The condition on the operators cannot be removed: indeed, ω has “almost” L_0 -structure: if U is a neighborhood of zero, we can write $\omega = F \oplus G$, where F is finite-dimensional and $G \subset U$. It follows that every extension of ω by a quasi-Banach space splits. However, it is shown in [2] (see the counterexamples on p. 166) that there exists an extension $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow \omega \rightarrow 0$ in which F (and so E) is a Fréchet space that does not split.

The completeness hypothesis is also necessary in the Fact. Indeed, assume E is locally pseudo-convex but not complete and let \widehat{E} be its completion (clearly locally pseudo-convex). Consider the extension $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \widehat{E} \rightarrow \widehat{E}/E \rightarrow 0$, where the quotient space carries the trivial topology (the only open sets are the empty one and the whole space). Now, let $T : L_0 \rightarrow \widehat{E}/E$ be any nonzero linear map; this is clearly an operator that cannot be lifted to \widehat{E} since $L(L_0, \widehat{E}) = 0$. Thus, the lower extension in the pull-back diagram

(which can be defined as in the Hausdorff case and has the same properties)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & \widehat{E} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \widehat{E}/E \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow T \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & \text{PB} & \longrightarrow & L_0 \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

does not split. This is clearly a rewording of [2, “only if” part of Proposition 4.3(c)].

We close with the following

PROBLEM. Does every extension $0 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow 0$ split?

Acknowledgements. I thank Jesús M. F. Castillo for explaining to me—again—how a projective limit works (and many other things), and Javier Cabello Sánchez for reading a preliminary L^AT_EX-script of this note.

References

- [1] F. Cabello Sánchez and J. M. F. Castillo, *The long homology sequence of quasi-Banach spaces, with applications*, Positivity 8 (2004), 379–394.
- [2] P. Domański, *On the splitting of twisted sums and the three space problem for local convexity*, Studia Math. 82 (1985), 155–189.
- [3] R. G. Faber, *A lifting result for locally convex subspaces of L_0* , ibid. 115 (1995), 73–85.
- [4] W. B. Johnson, *Extensions of c_0* , Positivity 1 (1997), 55–74.
- [5] N. J. Kalton and N. T. Peck, *Quotients of L_p for $0 \leq p < 1$* , Studia Math. 64 (1979), 65–75.
- [6] N. J. Kalton, N. T. Peck, and J. W. Roberts, *An F -Space Sampler*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 89, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1984.
- [7] N. T. Peck and T. Starbird, *L_0 is ω -transitive*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1981), 700–704.
- [8] S. Rolewicz, *Metric Linear Spaces*, Reidel and PWN, Dordrecht–Warszawa, 1985.

Félix Cabello Sánchez
 Departamento de Matemáticas
 Universidad de Extremadura
 Avenida de Elvas
 06071 Badajoz, Spain
 E-mail: fcabello@unex.es
 Web: <http://kolmogorov.unex.es/~fcabello>

Received March 15, 2006;
received in final form October 10, 2006

(7518)