

## A Remark on Variational Principles of Choban, Kenderov and Revalski

by

Adrian KRÓLAK

*Presented by Czesław BESSAGA*

**Summary.** We consider some variational principles in the spaces  $C^*(X)$  of bounded continuous functions on metrizable spaces  $X$ , introduced by M. M. Choban, P. S. Kenderov and J. P. Revalski. In particular we give an answer (consistent with ZFC) to a question stated by these authors.

**1. Introduction.** We denote by  $C^*(X)$  the Banach algebra of bounded continuous real-valued functions on a completely regular space  $X$ , equipped with the norm  $\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$ .

Choban, Kenderov and Revalski [CKR] considered a game  $G(X)$  on  $X$ , a variation of the Banach–Mazur game, played by two players  $\Sigma$  and  $\Omega$ , and showed (cf. [CKR, Theorem 3.1]) that if  $\Omega$  has a winning strategy then for any lower-semicontinuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , bounded from below and not everywhere equal to  $+\infty$ , the set

$$(1) \quad S(f) = \{g \in C^*(X) : f + g \text{ attains its infimum on } X\}$$

is residual in  $C^*(X)$ .

These authors asked ([CKR, Question 5.4]) if this “generic variational principle” for  $X$  implies that  $\Omega$  has a winning strategy in the game  $G(X)$ .

The following result contains some observations concerning this question, and in particular, its second part shows that a negative answer is consistent with the ZFC-axioms of set theory.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 54E52, 49J27, 49J53.

*Key words and phrases:* topological game, variational principle.

This note is part of the author’s Master Thesis written at the University of Warsaw under the supervision of Roman Pol.

THEOREM 1.1. *There exists a subset  $X$  of the real line for which  $\Omega$  has no winning strategy in the game  $G(X)$ , but for every lsc function  $f$ :*

- (i) *any separable subset of  $C^*(X)$  is contained in a separable Banach subalgebra  $Z$  of  $C^*(X)$  such that  $S(f) \cap Z$  is residual in  $Z$ ,*
- (ii) *it is consistent with ZFC that  $S(f)$  is residual in  $C^*(X)$ .*

REMARK 1.2. More specifically, the set  $X$  in Theorem 1.1 has the following property. Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the collection of all separable uniformly closed subalgebras of  $C^*(X)$  containing the constants and separating points from closed sets in  $X$  (each separable subset of  $C^*(X)$  is contained in some member of  $\mathcal{Z}$ ). Then, for any  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $S(f) \cap Z$  is residual in  $Z$ . We do not know if, in the realm of the usual set theory, one can define a non-residual set  $C$  in  $C^*(X)$  such that each intersection  $C \cap Z$  with  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  is residual in  $Z$ .

**2. Some background.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a lower-semicontinuous function on a completely regular space  $X$ , which is bounded from below and takes some real values. By [CKR, Proposition 2.4(a)], the set

$$(2) \quad \text{Gr}(M_f) = \{(g, x) \in C^*(X) \times X : (f + g)(x) = \inf(f + g)\}$$

is closed in the product  $C^*(X) \times X$ .

Let us notice that for  $S(f)$  defined in (1), we have

$$(3) \quad S(f) = \text{proj Gr}(M_f),$$

where  $\text{proj}$  is the projection from  $C^*(X) \times X$  onto the first factor.

Given a compactification  $\alpha X$  of  $X$ ,

$$(4) \quad C_\alpha^*(X) = \{g \in C^*(X) : g \text{ extends continuously over } \alpha X\}$$

is a Banach subalgebra of  $C^*(X)$  containing the constants and separating points from closed sets in  $X$ , and each subalgebra of  $C^*(X)$  with these properties is of the form (4) (cf. [En, 3.12.22(e)]).

If  $X$  is separable metrizable, then for each separable  $A \subset C^*(X)$  there is a metrizable compactification  $\alpha X$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subset C_\alpha^*(X)$ ; since  $C_\alpha^*(X)$  can be identified with  $C^*(\alpha X)$ ,  $C_\alpha^*(X)$  is separable (cf. [En, 3.12.22]).

Let  $X$  be a subset of the unit interval whose complement contains no Cantor sets. Then Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 5.1 in [CKR] show that  $S(f)$  is everywhere of second category in  $C^*(X)$ . The reasoning of Choban, Kenderov and Revalski can be repeated to deduce that for any compactification  $\alpha X$  of  $X$ ,  $S(f) \cap C_\alpha^*(X)$  is everywhere of second category in  $C_\alpha^*(X)$ .

Now let  $E \subset [0, 1]$  be a  $\lambda$ -set of cardinality  $\aleph_1$ , i.e. each countable set in  $E$  is a relative  $G_\delta$ -set in  $E$  (cf. [Ku, §40, III]). The set  $X$  in Theorem 1.1 is  $X = [0, 1] \setminus E$ . Assuming  $\mathfrak{p} > \aleph_1$ ,  $X$  is an analytic set (cf. [Fr, 23.J]).

For this set  $X$  (and in fact, for any metrizable space), the game  $G(X)$  considered by Choban, Kenderov and Revalski is equivalent to the game

$G^*(X)$  introduced by Michael [Mi, p. 520], and since  $X$  is not completely metrizable, Corollary 7.5 in [Mi] shows that  $\Omega$  has no winning strategy in the game  $G(X)$ .

We close this section by recalling that a *Suslin set* in a completely metrizable (but not necessarily separable) space  $H$  is a set obtained by the Suslin operation on closed subsets of  $H$  (separable Suslin sets coincide with analytic ones). The Suslin sets are open modulo first category sets, the projection on  $H$  of a Suslin set in the product of  $H$  with a compact metrizable space is a Suslin set, and in particular, if  $f : H \rightarrow T$  is a Borel map into a compact metrizable space and  $L \subset T$  is analytic then  $f^{-1}(L)$  is Suslin (cf. [Ku, §11, VII], [JR, 2.3, 2.6.6, 2.9.4], [Ke]).

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.1(i).** Let  $X = [0, 1] \setminus E$  be the complement of a  $\lambda$ -set  $E$  of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  in  $[0, 1]$  (cf. Section 2). As was noticed in Section 2,  $\Omega$  has no winning strategy in the game  $G(X)$ .

Let (cf. (2))

$$(5) \quad \overline{\text{Gr}(M_f)} = \text{the closure of } \text{Gr}(M_f) \text{ in } C^*(X) \times [0, 1],$$

and, for  $g \in C^*(X)$ ,

$$(6) \quad K(g) = \{x \in [0, 1] : (g, x) \in \overline{\text{Gr}(M_f)}\}.$$

Since  $\text{Gr}(M_f)$  is closed in  $C^*(X) \times X$  (cf. Section 2), we have, by (1),

$$(7) \quad C^*(X) \setminus S(f) = \{g \in C^*(X) : K(g) \subset E\}.$$

The map  $g \mapsto K(g)$  is upper-semicontinuous, and hence it is a Borel map from  $C^*(X)$  to the space  $\mathcal{K}[0, 1]$  of compact subsets of  $[0, 1]$ , equipped with the Vietoris topology (cf. [Ke, 12.C]; recall that  $\mathcal{K}[0, 1]$  is a compact metrizable space).

Let  $\alpha X$  be any compact metrizable extension of  $X$ . By comments in Section 2, it is enough to make sure that the  $C_\alpha^*(X) \setminus S(f)$  is of first category in  $C_\alpha^*(X)$  (cf. (4)).

To that end, let us consider  $g_1, g_2, \dots$  dense in  $C_\alpha^*(X) \setminus S(f)$ . Since compact subsets of  $E$  are countable, we infer from (7) that the set

$$(8) \quad A = \bigcup_i K(g_i) \subset E \text{ is countable.}$$

Therefore, since  $\{L \in \mathcal{K}[0, 1] : L \setminus A \neq \emptyset\}$  is an analytic set, we conclude that

$$(9) \quad A^* = \{g \in C_\alpha^*(X) : K(g) \subset A\}$$

is the complement of a Suslin set in  $C_\alpha^*(X)$ , hence open modulo first category sets in  $C_\alpha^*(X)$ .

Moreover, as was explained in Section 2,  $S(f) \cap C_\alpha^*(X)$  is everywhere of second category in  $C_\alpha^*(X)$ , and in effect, we conclude that

$$(10) \quad A^* \text{ is of first category in } C_\alpha^*(X).$$

Because  $E$  is a  $\lambda$ -set, (8) yields an existence of open sets  $V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \dots$  in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $A = E \cap \bigcap_n V_n$ . Since the map  $g \mapsto K(g)$  is upper-semicontinuous, each set

$$V_n^* = \{g \in C_\alpha^*(X) : K(g) \subset E \cap V_n\}$$

is an open set in  $C_\alpha^*(X) \setminus S(f)$  (cf. (7)) and

$$A^* = \bigcap_n V_n^*$$

(cf. (9)). By (8), (9),  $g_i \in A^*$ , so  $A^*$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -set in  $C_\alpha^*(X) \setminus S(f)$  and hence  $(C_\alpha^*(X) \setminus S(f)) \setminus A^*$  is of first category in  $C_\alpha^*(X) \setminus S(f)$ . This, combined with (10), implies that  $S(f) \cap C_\alpha^*(X)$  is residual in  $C_\alpha^*(X)$ .

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.1(ii).** Let  $E$  and  $X$  be as in Section 3. As was recalled in Section 2, under  $\mathfrak{p} > \aleph_1$ ,  $X$  is an analytic set and hence  $\text{Gr}(M_f) \subset C^*(X) \times [0, 1]$  is Suslin in  $C^*(X) \times X$ . Therefore, by (1),  $S(f)$  is Suslin in  $C^*(X)$ , hence open modulo first category sets. Since, as was established in [CKR],  $S(f)$  is everywhere of second category in  $C^*(X)$ , we conclude that  $S(f)$  is residual in  $C^*(X)$ .

Basing on the idea of this paper, it is possible to give another negative answer to a question from [CKR]. If the mapping  $M_f$  is non-empty-valued for a residual part of the space  $C^*(X)$ , then it is also single-valued and continuous for residually many  $g$ . This follows from quasicontinuity of  $M_f$  and metrizability of  $X$ . Therefore it settles the similar question related to strong minima as well.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Professor Roman Pol for many valuable discussions and suggestions which helped to improve the paper significantly. The last remark concerning solution of the second question is due to P. Kenderov; I would like to thank him for reading the manuscript and making this remark.

## References

- [CKR] M. M. Choban, P. S. Kenderov and J. P. Revalski, *Variational principles and topological games*, Topology Appl. 159 (2012), 3550–3562.
- [En] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann, 1989.
- [Fr] D. H. Fremlin, *Consequences of Martin's Axiom*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1984.
- [JR] C. A. Rogers and J. E. Jayne, *K-analytic sets*, in: Analytic Sets, C. A. Rogers et al. (eds.), Academic Press, 1980, 1–181.

- [Ke] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer, 1994.  
[Ku] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I, Academic Press, 1966.  
[Mi] E. Michael, *A note on completely metrizable spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1986), 513–522.

Adrian Królak  
Institute of Mathematics  
Warsaw University  
Banacha 2  
02-097 Warszawa, Poland  
E-mail: ak262651@students.mimuw.edu.pl

*Received September 10, 2013*

(7941)

