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#### Abstract

This note extends the algorithm of [Hes2] for computing unimodular Galois groups of irreducible differential equations of order four. The main tool is invariant theory.


1. Introduction. In her thesis [Hes1] and the revised version [Hes2], Hessinger gives a strategy for determining unimodular Galois groups of irreducible linear homogeneous differential equations of order four with the help of representation theory: Similar to what is done in [SU], she uses factorisation of symmetric (and exterior) powers of differential equations into irreducible parts and the fact that these correspond to irreducible constituents of the respective powers of the corresponding representations.

These methods do not yield a complete identification of the groups. The purpose of this note is to fill in some of the remaining gaps (see below for more details) to obtain a decision procedure for determining the Galois groups, especially for equations with non-Liouvillan solutions. The main tool is invariant theory, and the idea is very basic:

Suppose that $L(y)=0$ is a linear homogeneous differential equation defined over a differential field with algebraically closed field of constants $C$. The Galois group $G$ of this equation acts linearly on the solution space $V$. Consequently, after choosing a basis of fundamental solutions $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ of $V$, we may consider $G$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{m}(C)$. The action of $G$ on the solution space extends to an action on symmetric powers of $V$, and hence to an action on $C[V]=C\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$, the polynomial ring over $C$ in $m$ variables, on which the group acts in the same way as on the $y_{i}$. An element of $C[V]$ which remains unchanged under this action is called an invariant.

For a fixed degree, the invariants of the Galois group of some irreducible linear homogeneous differential equation can be computed using an algorithm of van Hoeij and Weil [HW]. The key observation is that the actual Galois group must be contained in the largest group leaving those invariants fixed. We will see that in many cases, this criterion

[^0]will be enough to distinguish the groups, once a predecision using representation theory has been made.

For different choices of bases, one obtains conjugate (in $\mathrm{GL}_{m}(C)$ ) representations of the differential Galois group. Two such conjugate groups will in general not have the same invariants, but their invariant rings will be isomorphic. In particular, they will have the same number of invariants in each degree. This number is encoded in the so called Poincaré series of the invariant ring.

Most of the groups in degree four (the finite collineation groups) have been listed by Blichfeldt in [Bli] (see also the reference to several papers of Blichfeldt's there).

The imprimitive groups fall into the classes of monomial and nonmonomial groups (note that degree four is the first occurance of imprimitive nonmonomial groups). Given an imprimitive nonmonomial group $G$, it is shown in [Hes2], Theorem 2.2.2, that $G$ contains an index two subgroup $H$ which is in block diagonal form and that there is a primitive subgroup $T$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(C)$ such that $H \subseteq T \times T$ and the projections of $H$ onto the first and last two coordinates, respectively, equal $T$. Those groups split up further into the ones where $T$ maps to a finite or infinite subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(C)$ under the canonical projection $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(C) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(C)$. We call such groups projectively finite or projectively infinite, respectively. Finally, the primitive groups can be separated into the finite and infinite ones. Altogether, we obtain the five different classes listed below:

- monomial groups,
- imprimitive nonmonomial groups with $T$ projectively finite,
- imprimitive nonmonomial groups with $T$ projectively infinite,
- finite primitive groups,
- infinite primitive groups.

The algorithm described in [Hes2] decides to which of these classes the Galois group of a given differential equation belongs. In the sequel, we will explain a decision procedure for determining the Galois groups in the last three cases plus some information in the case of an imprimitive nonmonomial group with $T$ projectively finite. It will become apparent that the method works best in the primitive cases, where there are not many similar groups to distinguish. We do not determine the Galois group in the monomial case. Note that this is also a case which the degree three algorithms (see, for example, [SU]) do not handle. We use [Hes2] as a main reference. To keep the two articles compatible, we adhere to the notation used there.

The main advantage of our method is that with the information at hand, it is often only necessary to compute invariants of a few fixed degrees which are known in advance. So for computational purposes, this strategy should be a good addition to the representation-theoretic methods.

This note should be considered as a report on what may be done using invariant theory. In the purely computational cases (Sections 2 and 4), we have therefore not included complete lists of the separating degrees.

The letter $I$ will always denote an identity matrix. Its size should be clear from context. For a representation $\rho: G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$, we define the $k$-fold representation $k \rho$ of $\rho$ to be the representation given by simultaneous action of $G$ on $k$ copies of $V$.
2. The imprimitive nonmonomial groups with $T$ projectively finite. In this case, $T$ has to be projectively equivalent (two groups are called projectively equivalent if their images under the canonical projection GL $\rightarrow$ PGL coincide) to one of the primitive subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)$, i.e., to $A_{4}^{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}, A_{5}^{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}$ or $S_{4}^{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}$ (in the notation of [SU]). Let $P_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ denote the central extension of a group $P$ by the group of $n$-th roots of unity (as scalar matrices), and $P_{\infty}$ the central extension by $\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{SL}(2, C))$. Let further $K \leq A_{4}$ denote the Klein four group. The following theorem is taken from [Hes2] (Theorem 2.2.3):

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be an imprimitive, nonmonomial subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{4}(C)$ containing a normal subgroup $H$ of index two where $H \leq T \times T$, with $T$ projectively finite. Then there exists a nonnegative integer, n, and a subgroup $F \leq \mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)$ of $T$ such that $G$ is conjugate to one of the following groups.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } \left.\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & \pm \operatorname{det}(A)^{-1} B
\end{array}\right): A, B \in P_{n}, B A^{-1} \in F\right\} \cup \\
& \left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
\pm \operatorname{det}(A)^{-1} B & 0
\end{array}\right): A, B \in P_{n}, B A^{-1} \in F\right\} \text { where } \\
& \\
& \text { (a) } P=A_{5} \text { and } \pi(F) \cong\{I\} \text { or } A_{5} . \\
& \\
& \text { (b) } P=S_{4} \text { and } \pi(F) \cong\{I\}, K, A_{4} \text {, or } S_{4} . \\
& \text { (c) } P=A_{4} \text { and } \pi(F) \cong\{I\}, K, \text { or } A_{4} . \\
& \text { 2. }\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & \pm \operatorname{det}(A)^{-1} B
\end{array}\right): A, B \in P_{n}, B A^{-1} \in F\right\} \cup \\
& \left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
\pm \operatorname{det}(A)^{-1} B & 0
\end{array}\right): A O_{S_{4}}^{-1}, B O_{S_{4}}^{-1} \in P_{n}, B A^{-1} \in F\right\} \text { where } \\
& P=A_{4}, \pi(F) \cong\{I\}, K, \text { or } A_{4} \text { and } O_{S_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote a group of the first or second type by $G_{1}(P, F)_{n}$ or $G_{2}(P, F)_{n}$, respectively.
In [Hes2], the groups with different $P$ and $F$ are distinguished, except for groups of the type $G_{1}\left(A_{4}, I\right), G_{2}\left(A_{4}, I\right)$ and $G_{1}\left(S_{4}, I\right)$ or $G_{1}\left(A_{4}, K\right), G_{2}\left(A_{4}, K\right)$ and $G_{1}\left(S_{4}, K\right)$.

The Poincaré series of the invariant rings of the finite groups may be computed using the computer algebra system Magma. For the Poincaré series of the infinite groups, we have used the fact that the central extension defines a normal subgroup $N$ of the whole group $G$, so the invariants can be computed succesively. The invariants under the normal subgroup $N=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(c, c, c^{-1}, c^{-1}\right) \mid c \in C^{*}\right\}$ are generated as an algebra by the four polynomials $f_{1}=x_{1} x_{3}, f_{2}=x_{1} x_{4}, f_{3}=x_{2} x_{3}, f_{4}=x_{2} x_{4}$ subject to one relation: $r=f_{1} f_{4}=f_{2} f_{3}$, which is an invariant for all groups under consideration. Consequently, we have a surjective homomorphism $C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\right] \rightarrow C\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]^{N}$ with kernel ( $r$ ) which yields an exact sequence of graded $C$-vector spaces

$$
0 \rightarrow C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\right]^{G / N} \cap(r) \rightarrow C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\right]^{G / N} \rightarrow C\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]^{G} \rightarrow 0
$$

since $G / N$ is reductive. Hence the Poincaré series of $C\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]^{G}$ is given as

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(C\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]^{G}, t\right)=\mathcal{P}\left(C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\right]^{G / N}, t^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{1-t^{4}},
$$

where we have substituted $t$ by $t^{2}$ on the right hand side since the $f_{i}$ have degree two. The group $G / N$ is finite, and hence its Poincaré series can be computed in the standard way. This leads to the following.

Proposition 2. The three types of groups $G_{1}\left(A_{4}, I\right)_{n}, G_{2}\left(A_{4}, I\right)_{n}$ and $G_{1}\left(S_{4}, I\right)_{n}$ or $G_{1}\left(A_{4}, K\right)_{n}, G_{2}\left(A_{4}, K\right)_{n}$ and $G_{1}\left(S_{4}, K\right)_{n}$, respectively, may be distinguished by computing invariants of degree at most 20 and 24, respectively.

Proof. The groups $G_{1}\left(A_{4}, I\right)_{1}$ and $G_{1}\left(S_{4}, I\right)_{1}$ both have an invariant of degree four, which remains invariant under all central extensions we have to consider. The group $G_{2}\left(A_{4}, I\right)_{1}$ does not have any invariant in that degree, and therefore none of the extended groups does. Hence in degree four we can separate the groups of type $G_{2}\left(A_{4}, I\right)_{n}$ from the other two types. In degree $12, G_{1}\left(A_{4}, I\right)_{1}$ has four invariants which remain invariant under all central extensions under consideration, whereas $G_{1}\left(S_{4}, I\right)_{1}$ has only three such invariants. So in this degree we may separate the two types of groups as long as there are no more invariants resulting from small values of $n$. Clearly, that may only happen for $n \leq 12$. A list of invariants of degree 12 for the groups $G_{1}\left(A_{4}, I\right)_{n}$ and $G_{1}\left(S_{4}, I\right)_{n}$ for $n \leq 12$ shows that the only ambiguous case is when four invariants in degree 12 are found. Computing Poincaré series of the respective groups, one sees that in the worst case one needs to compute invariants of degree 20 to separate the candidates.

For the other three types of groups, we proceed in a similar manner (with a little more computational effort) to find that computing invariants up to degree 24 is sufficient to distinguish the groups.
3. The imprimitive nonmonomial groups with $T$ projectively infinite. Let $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)_{n}:=\left\{A \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(C): \operatorname{det}(A)^{n}=1\right\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} .^{1}$ By [Hes2], Theorem 2.2.2 (Notation of 3.2.2.), $H$ is conjugate (in $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(C)$ ) to either of the following groups:

1. $H_{n}^{1}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}B & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{det}(B)^{-1} B\end{array}\right): B \in\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)_{n}\right\}$.
2. $H_{n}^{2}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}B & 0 \\ 0 & \pm \operatorname{det}(B)^{-1} B\end{array}\right): B \in\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)_{n}\right\}$.
3. $H_{n}^{3}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right): A, B \in\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)_{n}, \operatorname{det}(A B)=1\right\}$.

Let $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & I \\ I & 0\end{array}\right)$. Then $G_{n}^{i}=H_{n}^{i} \cup \gamma H_{n}^{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are all possible Galois groups. Using [Hes2], Case 3 of 3.2.2. (see also Table 2 of the Appendix), one can tell if $H=H_{n}^{3}$ for some $n$.

Lemma 1. The types of groups $G_{n}^{1}$ and $G_{n}^{2}$ may be separated by their number of invariants of degree two in the twofold representation.

Proof. To separate the groups $G_{n}^{1}$ and $G_{n}^{2}$, we need to work with the degree two invariants of the twofold representation. In this representation and degree, $G_{n}^{2}$ does not have any invariant (for $n>1$ or $n=0$ ), whereas $G_{n}^{1}$ has the invariant $x_{1} x_{8}-x_{2} x_{7}+$ $x_{3} x_{6}-x_{4} x_{5}$ (actually, the vector space dimension of the invariant ring of $G_{n}^{1}$ for $n>1$ or $n=0$ in degree 2 is 1 ). For $n=1, G_{n}^{1}$ has two linearly independent invariants in degree two (still in the twofold representation), but $G_{n}^{2}$ has only one.

[^1]We continue working with the twofold representation. Once the three types of groups have been separated (the first two are separated using Lemma 1, the third type is detected by the methods of [Hes2] as explained above), $n$ is the smallest positive number such that $\left(x_{1} x_{6}-x_{2} x_{5}\right)^{n}+\left(x_{3} x_{8}-x_{4} x_{7}\right)^{n}$ is an invariant. That is to say, we have to look for the first occurrence of an "extra invariant" (it is clear that the extra condition $\operatorname{det} A^{n}=1$ cannot "cause" any invariants in lower degrees than degree $2 n$ ). This may be done by comparing the number of invariants computed in each degree to the Poincaré series of the groups $G_{0}^{1}, G_{0}^{2}$ and $G_{0}^{3}$ for the three types of Galois groups, respectively.

Proposition 3. The Poincaré series of the above groups in their twofold representation read:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}\left(G_{1}, t\right) & =\frac{1+3 t^{4}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{4}} \\
\mathcal{P}\left(G_{2}, t\right) & =\frac{1+3 t^{4}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{4}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{4}} \\
\mathcal{P}\left(G_{3}, t\right) & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let us first compute the Poincaré series of the twofold representation of $H_{0}^{1}$. Since $H_{0}^{1}$ is connected, the Poincaré series can be computed from the roots and weights as follows ([DK], 4.5). A maximal torus of $H_{0}^{1}$ is $T=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{2}^{-1}, z_{1}^{-1}\right) \mid z_{i} \in C\right\}$. The roots are $\alpha_{1}=z_{1} z_{2}^{-1}$ and $-\alpha_{1}$. Thus the Poincaré series of the twofold representation is the coefficient of $z_{1}^{0} z_{2}^{0}$ in the formal expansion of

$$
f=\frac{1-z_{1}^{-1} z_{2}}{\left(1-z_{1} t\right)^{2}\left(1-z_{2} t\right)^{2}\left(1-z_{1}^{-1} t\right)^{2}\left(1-z_{2}^{-1} t\right)^{2}} .
$$

We compute this coefficient using the residue theorem of complex analysis. Assume that $\left|z_{1}\right|=1$ and $|t|<1$. The only pole of $f$ as a function of $z_{2}$ inside the unit disk is $z_{2}=t$. The residue is

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(z_{2}^{-1} f, t\right)=\frac{1-z_{1}^{-1} t}{\left(1-z_{1} t\right)^{2}\left(1-z_{1}^{-1} t\right)^{2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left(1-z_{1} t\right)^{2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-z_{1}^{-1} t\right)}=: g
$$

The only pole of $g$ as a function of $z_{1}$ inside the unit disk (still assume $|t|<1$ ) is $z_{1}=t$, and the residue is

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(z_{1}^{-1} g, t\right)=\frac{1}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{4}}
$$

This already suggests that the invariant ring might be a free polynomial algebra on four generators of degree two.

We find the following invariants in degree two (labelling the eight variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$ ):

$$
f_{1}=x_{3} x_{2}-x_{1} x_{4}, \quad f_{2}=x_{5} x_{4}-x_{6} x_{3}, \quad f_{3}=x_{7} x_{2}-x_{8} x_{1}, \quad f_{4}=x_{7} x_{6}-x_{8} x_{5}
$$

Specialising $x_{1}=x_{3}=x_{6}=x_{8}=1$ gives

$$
\tilde{f}_{1}=x_{2}-x_{4}, \quad \tilde{f}_{2}=x_{5} x_{4}-1, \quad \tilde{f}_{3}=x_{2} x_{7}-1, \quad \tilde{f}_{4}=x_{7}-x_{5}
$$

The Jacobian determinant $J\left(\tilde{f}_{1}, \tilde{f}_{2}, \tilde{f}_{3}, \tilde{f}_{4}\right)$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_{5} & x_{4} & 0 \\
x_{7} & 0 & 0 & x_{2} \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right)=x_{5} x_{2}-x_{7} x_{4}
$$

which is nonzero, so the $\tilde{f}_{i}$ are in fact algebraically independent. Hence the $f_{i}$ are algebraically independent. Since the subalgebra $S \leq C[V]^{H_{0}^{1}}$ they generate has the same Poincaré series as $C[V]^{H_{0}^{1}}$, we conclude that $S=C[V]^{H_{0}^{1}}$, i.e., that the $f_{i}$ generate the invariants of $H_{0}^{1}$ as a free polynomial algebra.

Next consider $G_{0}^{1}$. $H_{0}^{1}$ is normal in $G_{1}$, hence the invariants of $G_{0}^{1}$ can be computed from those of $H_{0}^{1}$. The action of $G_{0}^{1}$ on the invariants of $H_{0}^{1}$ is given by

$$
\gamma f_{1}=-f_{1}, \quad \gamma f_{2}=f_{3}, \quad \gamma f_{3}=f_{2}, \quad \gamma f_{4}=-f_{4}
$$

The Poincaré series of $G_{0}^{1}$ on the invariants of $H_{0}^{1}$ can then be computed with the help of Molien's formula as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1+s & & & \\
& 1 & -s & \\
& -s & 1 & \\
& & & 1+s
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\right. & +\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1-s & & \\
& 1-s & & \\
& & 1-s & \\
& & & \\
& & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{(1+s)^{2}(1-s)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(1-s)^{4}}\right) \frac{1+3 s^{2}}{(1+s)^{3}(1-s)^{4}}
\end{array}\right. \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the desired Poincaré series for $G_{0}^{1}$ after resubstituting $s=t^{2}$.
$H_{0}^{1}$ is also normal in $G_{0}^{2}$, and the quotient is generated by the images of $\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & 0 \\ 0 & -I\end{array}\right)$ and $\gamma$. The action on the invariants of $H_{0}^{1}$ is given by

$$
f_{1} \stackrel{\sigma}{\mapsto}-f_{1} \stackrel{\gamma}{\mapsto} f_{1}, \quad f_{2} \stackrel{\sigma}{\mapsto}-f_{2} \stackrel{\gamma}{\mapsto}-f_{3}, \quad f_{3} \stackrel{\sigma}{\mapsto}-f_{3} \stackrel{\gamma}{\mapsto}-f_{2}, \quad f_{4} \stackrel{\sigma}{\mapsto}-f_{4} \stackrel{\gamma}{\mapsto} f_{4}
$$

which is the action of a Klein four group. Again, the Poincaré series is computed using Molien's formula as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1-s & & & \\
& 1-s & & \\
& & 1-s & \\
& & & 1-s
\end{array}\right)^{-1}+\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1+s & & & \\
& 1 & -s & \\
& -s & 1 & \\
& & & 1+s
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1+s & & & \\
& 1+s & & \\
& & 1+s & \\
& & & 1+s
\end{array}\right)^{-1}+\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1-s & & \\
& 1 & s & \\
& s & 1 & \\
& & & 1-s
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{(1-s)^{4}}+\frac{1}{(1+s)^{2}(1-s)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(1+s)^{4}}+\frac{1}{(1-s)^{2}\left(1-s^{2}\right)}\right)=\frac{1+3 s^{2}}{(1-s)^{4}(1+s)^{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, we resubstitute $s=t^{2}$ to obtain the Poincaré series of $G_{0}^{2}$.
Finally, consider the normal subgroup $H_{0}^{3}$ of $G_{0}^{3}$ of $2 \times 2$-block diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_{4}(C)$. A similar calculation as above shows that there are in fact no invariants of $H_{0}^{3}$ in its twofold representation, which implies that $G_{0}^{3}$ does not have any invariants in this representation.
4. The finite primitive groups. Up to projective equivalence, the finite primitive subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_{4}(C)$ have been listed by Blichfeldt. Matrix generators for these groups can be found in Hessinger's thesis [Hes1]. In [Hes2], it is explained how to distinguish the groups up to projective equivalence except for one case (FP20 and FP21 in the notation of [Hes2]). Two projectively equivalent groups can at most differ by a central extension by a four dimensional scalar representation of the group of fourth roots of unity. Computing the number of invariants of degree at most 18 (but a lot smaller in most cases), one can distinguish between all groups with the following exception: In three cases (namely FP14, FP15, and FP30) there are two index two nonconjugate subgroups with the same Poincaré series. ${ }^{2}$ In these cases it is necessary to use more information about the invariants. The invariant ring is generated by elements of degree at most the group order by Noether's degree bound (see, for example, [DK], Corollary 3.7.4.). On the other hand, the differential Galois group is already determined by its invariants (see [Com]), i.e., in theory, calculating a set of generating invariants is sufficient to distinguish the groups.
5. The infinite primitive groups. Suppose that $G$ is infinite primitive, and denote by $G^{0}$ the connected component of the identity of $G$. We will first deal with the case when $G^{0}$ is irreducible.
5.1. $G^{0}$ irreducible: According to [Hes2], proof of Theorem 2.1.2, the irreducible linear algebraic subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_{4}(C)$ are:

1. $\mathrm{SL}_{4}(C)$ in its standard representation $V$ or the dual representation $V^{*}$.
2. $\mathrm{Sp}_{4}(C)$ in its standard representation.
3. $\mathrm{SO}_{4}(C)$ in its standard representation.
4. $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)$ in the third symmetric power of its standard representation.

It is shown in [Hes2] that these different possibilities for the identity component can be distinguished via decomposing symmetric powers of the representations (Table 4 of the Appendix), and which possibilities then remain for the whole group (loc.cit., Theorem 2.1.2).

Lemma 2. Let $G \leq \mathrm{SL}_{n}(C)$ and denote by $Z$ the set of scalar matrices in $G$. Then every invariant of $G$ has degree divisible by $|Z|$.

Proof. The group $Z$ is finite cyclic, and $f \in C\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is invariant under $Z$ if and only if $f$ is a sum of monomials of the form $x_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{k_{n}}$ for $k_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_{1}+\ldots+k_{n} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod |Z|)$. Every invariant of $G$ is in particular an invariant of $Z \leq G$, from which the claim follows.

Proposition 4. Suppose the identity component $G^{0}$ of an infinite primitive differential Galois group $G$ is known, and assume that it is irreducible. Then $G$ may be determined by the number of its degree two invariants in the usual representation and in the twofold representation.

[^2]Proof. We will show that in each of these cases, the bigger possible group will not have any invariants in degree two, whereas the smaller groups do. Obviously, there is nothing to do in the case $G^{0}=\mathrm{SL}_{4}(C)$ since we assumed $G \leq \mathrm{SL}_{4}(C)$.

Assume $G^{0}=\operatorname{Sp}_{4}(C)$. Then $G \leq\left\langle\omega I, G^{0}\right\rangle$, where $\omega$ denotes a primitive fourth root of unity, but since $\omega^{2}=-1$, and $-1 I \in G^{0}$, either $G=G^{0}$ or $G=G^{0} \times_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \mathbb{Z} / 4$, the subdirect product of $G^{0}$ and $\mathbb{Z} / 4$ by $\mathbb{Z} / 2$. A separating invariant for these two possible groups in the twofold representation is simply the defining skew-symmetric form of $\mathrm{Sp}_{4}(C)$, which is of degree two and therefore not invariant under $G^{0} \times_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \mathbb{Z} / 4$ by Lemma 2 .

If $G^{0}=\mathrm{SO}_{4}(C)$, then $G \leq\left\langle\omega I, J, G^{0}\right\rangle$, where $\omega$ is a primitive fourth root of unity and $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & I \\ I & 0\end{array}\right)$. Since $\omega^{2}=-1$, and $-1 I \in G^{0}$, and further $J \in G^{0}$, we find again that $G=G^{0}$ or $G=G^{0} \times_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \mathbb{Z} / 4$. Again the invariant separating these two can be found in degree two, namely the defining form of the orthogonal group, $x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}$ (which is not invariant under the bigger group by Lemma 2 ).

If $G^{0}=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)$, then $G \leq\left\langle\omega I, G^{0}\right\rangle$, where $\omega$ is a primitive fourth root of unity. Since $\omega^{2}=-1$, and $-1 I \in G^{0}$, we see that either $G=G^{0}$ or $G=G^{0} \times_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \mathbb{Z} / 4$. Any invariant of the given representation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)$ is of degree divisible by four, so any of them will be invariant under $G^{0} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} / 4$ also. What we need is an invariant which is of degree divisible by two, but not by four (Lemma 2). If we use the twofold of the given representation, with basis $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$, we find the invariant $-x_{1} x_{8}+\frac{1}{3} x_{2} x_{7}-\frac{1}{3} x_{3} x_{6}+x_{4} x_{5}$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)$, which separates the two groups.
5.2. $G^{0}$ reducible: By [Hes2], Lemma 2.1.1, $G^{0}$ is conjugate to

$$
2 \mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & A
\end{array}\right): A \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)\right\} .
$$

Further, if $G^{0}$ equals the image of the twofold representation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)$ as above, then by [Hes2], Theorem 2.1.1, there exists a finite primitive subgroup $\tilde{H}$ of $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)_{2}:=\{A \in$ $\left.\mathrm{GL}_{2}(C): \operatorname{det}(A)^{2}=1\right\}$ such that $G=H G^{0}$ where

$$
H=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a I & b I \\
c I & d I
\end{array}\right):\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \tilde{H}\right\} .
$$

Moreover, any such construction yields a primitive subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{4}(C)$.
The finite primitive subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(C)$ are the groups $A_{4}^{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}, A_{5}^{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}, S_{4}^{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}$ (in the notation of $[\mathrm{SU}]$ ) and extension of one of these groups by $\omega I$, where $\omega$ is a primitive fourth root of unity.

The algorithm in [Hes2] identifies the corresponding finite subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(C)\left(A_{4}^{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}\right.$, $A_{5}^{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}, S_{4}^{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}$ ), but does not distinguish between those and the respective extensions ([Hes2], Table 4 of the Appendix).

Lemma 3. Suppose that $G^{0}$ is reducible and that $G$ is known up to a central extension by the group of fourth roots of unity (as scalar matrices). Then $G$ may be determined by its number of degree two invariants.

Proof. The extended groups do not have invariants of degree two (by Lemma 2), so a separating invariant is $x_{1} x_{4}-x_{2} x_{3}$, which can be checked by direct computation.
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