FUNCTION SPACES VIII BANACH CENTER PUBLICATIONS, VOLUME 79 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES WARSZAWA 2008

WEAKLY COMPACT OPERATORS ON KÖTHE-BOCHNER SPACES WITH THE MIXED TOPOLOGY

KRZYSZTOF FELEDZIAK

Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Econometrics, University of Zielona Góra Szafrana 4a, 65-516 Zielona Góra, Poland E-mail: K.Feledziak@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

Abstract. Let E be a Banach function space and let X be a real Banach space. We examine weakly compact linear operators from a Köthe-Bochner space E(X) endowed with some natural mixed topology $\gamma_{E(X)}$ (in the sense of Wiweger) to a Banach space Y.

1. Introduction and preliminaries. The paper is devoted to the study of weakly compact operators acting from a Köthe-Bochner space E(X) endowed with the mixed topology $\gamma_{E(X)}$ to a Banach space Y.

The problem of characterizing weakly compact operators has been considered by many authors (see [Ga], [G], [D], [Ru]). In [G, III. 3, Corollary 1 of Theorem 11] A. Grothendieck has proved that every linear continuous operator from a quasinormable Hausdorff locally convex space X into a Banach space Y, which transforms bounded sets into relatively weakly compact sets is weakly compact. Grothendieck's result was partially extended by D. van Dulst to the case when Y is Fréchet space, but under the additional assumption about the space X (see [D] for more details). Both those results were later extended by W. Ruess in [Ru] for operators acting between gDF-spaces and Fréchet spaces. gDF spaces have been introduced and studied by K. Noureddine in [No₁], [No₂] under the name of D_b -spaces ("espaces D_b " in French). Since not only many properties of classical DF-spaces of Grothendieck carry over to D_b -spaces, but also some fruitful DF-techniques can be applied to them, W. Ruess decided in [Ru] to change their original name of Noureddine to the gDF-spaces (generalized DF-spaces), in order to stress their close relationship with DF-spaces.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B38, 46E40, 46E30.

Key words and phrases: Köthe-Bochner spaces, mixed topologies, weakly compact operators, DF-spaces, gDF-spaces, conditional weak compactness, weak compactness, almost reflexivity.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

K. FELEDZIAK

Given a topological vector space (L,ξ) , by $(L,\xi)^*$ or L_{ξ}^* we will denote its topological dual and by $Bd(L,\xi)$ we will denote the family of all ξ -bounded subsets of L. We denote by $\sigma(L,K)$ and $\tau(L,K)$ the weak topology and the Mackey topology on L with respect to a dual system $\langle L,K \rangle$ respectively. Recall that a subset Z of L is said to be conditionally $\sigma(L,K)$ -compact (resp. relatively $\sigma(L,K)$ -sequentially compact) whenever each sequence in Z contains a $\sigma(L,K)$ -cauchy subsequence (resp. each sequence in Zcontains a subsequence which is $\sigma(L,K)$ -convergent to some element of L).

For terminology concerning Riesz spaces and function spaces we refer to [AB], [KA]. Throughout the paper let (Ω, Σ, μ) be an atomless, complete and σ -finite measure space and let L^0 denote the corresponding space of μ -equivalence classes of Σ -measurable real valued functions. Then L^0 is super Dedekind complete Riesz space under the ordering $u_1 \leq u_2$ whenever $u_1(\omega) \leq u_2(\omega)$ a.e. on Ω . A Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is assumed to be a *Banach function space*, that is, E is an ideal of L^0 with supp $E = \Omega$ and $\|\cdot\|_E$ is a Riesz norm. The Köthe dual of E is defined by

$$E' = \left\{ v \in L^0 : \int_{\Omega} |u(\omega)v(\omega)| d\mu < \infty \text{ for all } u \in E \right\}.$$

The associated norm $\|\cdot\|_{E'}$ on E' is defined for $v \in E'$ by

$$\|v\|_{E'} = \sup\left\{ \left| \int_{\Omega} u(\omega)v(\omega)d\mu \right| : u \in E, \|u\|_{E} \le 1 \right\}.$$

It is known that supp $E' = \Omega$ (see [KA, Theorem 6.1.3]). Recall that a Banach function space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is said to be *perfect* if E'' = E and $\|u\|_{E''} = \|u\|_E$ for $u \in E$. It is well known that E is perfect if and only if the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ satisfies both the σ -Fatou property (i.e., $0 \leq u_n \uparrow u$ in E implies $\|u_n\|_E \uparrow \|u\|_E$) and the σ -Levy property (i.e., if $0 \leq u_n \uparrow$ in E and $\sup \|u_n\|_E < \infty$, then there exists u in E such that $u_n \uparrow u$) (see [KA, Theorem 6.1.7]). We denote by E_a the ideal of elements of order continuous norm in E, i.e.,

 $E_a = \{ u \in E : |u| \ge u_n \downarrow 0 \text{ in } E \text{ imply } ||u_n|| \to 0 \}.$

From now on in this paper we assume that $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a perfect Banach function space and $\operatorname{supp}(E')_a = \Omega$. Note that $(L^1)' = L^\infty$ and $(L^\infty)_a = \{0\}$. Hence the space L^1 is excluded.

Let Z be a $\sigma(E, (E')_a)$ -bounded subset of E. Then Z is also $|\sigma|(E, (E')_a)$ -bounded (see [AB, Theorem 2.33]), so one can define a Riesz seminorm p_Z on $(E')_a$ by

$$p_Z(v) = \sup\left\{\int_{\Omega} |u(\omega)v(\omega)| d\mu : u \in Z\right\}.$$

Then by $[N_2, \text{ Theorem 1.1}]$ we have

$$Bd(E, \sigma(E, (E')_a)) = Bd(E, \|\cdot\|_E).$$

Hence for every $\sigma(E, (E')_a)$ -bounded subset Z of E the seminorm p_Z on $(E')_a$ is order continuous. Making use of [N₄, Proposition 1.1] and [BD, Corollary 5.2] we get

PROPOSITION 1.1. For a subset Z of E the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) Z is conditionally $\sigma(E, (E')_a)$ -compact.
- (ii) Z is relatively $\sigma(E, (E')_a)$ -sequentially compact.

- (iii) Z is $\sigma(E, (E')_a)$ -bounded.
- (iv) Z is $\|\cdot\|_E$ -bounded.

Now we establish terminology and some basic results concerning vector-valued function spaces (see [Bu₁], [Bu₂], [L, Chap. 3]). Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a real Banach space and let X^* stand for the Banach dual of X. Let B_X denote the closed unit ball in X. By $L^0(X)$ we denote the set of μ -equivalence classes of all strongly Σ -measurable functions $f: \Omega \to X$. The *F*-norm

$$||f||_{L^0(X)} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{||f(\omega)||_X}{1 + ||f(\omega)||_X} w(\omega) d\mu \quad \text{for} \ f \in L^0(X).$$

where $w : \Omega \to (0, \infty)$ is a Σ -measurable function with $\int_{\Omega} w(\omega) d\mu = 1$, determines the topology $\mathcal{T}_0(X)$ on $L^0(X)$ of convergence in measure on sets of finite measure.

For $f \in L^0(X)$ let us set $\widetilde{f}(\omega) = ||f(\omega)||_X$ for $\omega \in \Omega$. The linear space

$$E(X) = \{ f \in L^0(X) : f \in E \}$$

provided with the norm $||f||_{E(X)} := ||f||_E$ is a Banach space and is called a *Köthe-Bochner* space (see [L]). For r > 0 we will write

$$B_{E(X)}(r) = \{ f \in E(X) : ||f||_{E(X)} \le r \}.$$

Let $L^0(X^*, X)$ be the set of weak^{*}-equivalence classes of all weak^{*}-measurable functions $g : \Omega \to X^*$. One can define the so-called *abstract norm* $\vartheta : L^0(X^*, X) \to L^0$ by $\vartheta(g) = \sup\{|g_x| : x \in B_X\}$, where $g_x(\omega) = g(\omega)(x)$ for $\omega \in \Omega$ and $x \in X$. Then for $f \in L^0(X)$ and $g \in L^0(X^*, X)$ the function $\langle f, g \rangle : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\langle f, g \rangle(\omega) = \langle f(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle$ is measurable and $|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \tilde{f}\vartheta(g)$. Moreover, $\vartheta(g) = \tilde{g}$ for $g \in L^0(X^*)$. For an ideal M of E' let

$$M(X^*, X) = \{g \in L^0(X^*, X) : \vartheta(g) \in M\}.$$

Then $M(X^*, X)$ is an ideal of $E'(X^*, X)$, i.e., if $\vartheta(g_1) \leq \vartheta(g_2)$ with $g_1 \in E'(X^*, X)$ and $g_2 \in M(X^*, X)$, then $g_1 \in M(X^*, X)$. $M(X^*, X)$ can be provided with the norm

$$||g||_{M(X^*,X)} := ||\vartheta(g)||_{E'} \text{ for } g \in M(X^*,X).$$

In particular, we will consider the dual pair $\langle E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X) \rangle$ with the duality:

$$\langle f,g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle f(\omega),g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \quad \text{for } f \in E(X), \ g \in (E')_a(X^*,X)$$

2. Mixed topologies on Köthe-Bochner spaces. In this section we consider the mixed topology $\gamma[\mathcal{T}_E(X), \mathcal{T}_0(X)|_{E(X)}]$ on E(X) (briefly $\gamma_{E(X)})$, where $\mathcal{T}_E(X)$ stands for the topology on E(X) of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}$. For the definition and basic properties of $\gamma_{E(X)}$ see [F], [W]. In case when $X = \mathbb{R}$ the mixed topology $\gamma_E (= \gamma_{E(\mathbb{R})})$ on E has been studied in [N₁] and [N₂]. It is known that $\mathcal{T}_0(X)|_{E(X)} \subset \gamma_{E(X)} \subset \mathcal{T}_E(X)$. We will need the following:

PROPOSITION 2.1. We have $Bd(E(X), \gamma_{E(X)}) = Bd(E(X), \|\cdot\|_{E(X)})$.

Proof. Using [KA, Lemma 4.3.4] we see that $B_{E(X)}(1)$ is closed in $(E(X), \mathcal{T}_0(X)|_{E(X)})$. Hence by [W, Theorem 2.4.1] we get $Bd(E(X), \gamma_{E(X)}) = Bd(E(X), \|\cdot\|_{E(X)})$.

The mixed topology $\gamma_{E(X)}$ is a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology on E(X) (see [F, §3]) and it is the finest locally convex topology on E(X) which agrees with $\mathcal{T}_0(X)$ on $\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}$ -bounded sets in E(X) (see [W, 2.2.2]). Since $(B_{E(X)}(2^n) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a fundamental sequence of $\gamma_{E(X)}$ -bounded sets in E(X), $(E(X), \gamma_{E(X)})$ is a generalized $DF \ space \ (see | Ru, Definition 1.1 |).$

Recall that a locally solid topology τ on E(X) is said to be uniformly Lebesgue if $f_n \to 0$ for τ in E(X) whenever $||f_n||_{L^0(X)} \to 0$ with $\sup_n ||f_n||_{E(X)} < \infty$ (see [F, Definition 2.2]).

The basic properties of $\gamma_{E(X)}$ are given in the following (see [F, Theorem 3.1]):

PROPOSITION 2.2. We have

- (i) $\gamma_{E(X)}$ is the finest uniformly Lebesgue topology on E(X).
- (ii) $f_n \to 0$ in E(X) for $\gamma_{E(X)}$ if and only if $||f_n||_{L^0(X)} \to 0$ and $\sup_n ||f_n||_{E(X)} < \infty$.

A linear operator $T: E(X) \to Y$ is said to be γ -linear if $||T(f_n)||_Y \to 0$ whenever $||f_n||_{L^0(X)} \to 0 \text{ and } \sup_n ||f_n||_{E(X)} < \infty.$

PROPOSITION 2.3. For a linear operator $T: E(X) \to Y$ the following statements are equaivalent:

- (i) T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous.
- (ii) T is sequentially $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous.
- (iii) T is γ -linear.
- (iv) T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}|_{B_{E(X)}(r)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous for every r > 0.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) It is obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) It follows from Proposition 2.2(i).

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) It is obvious, because $\gamma_{E(X)}|_{B_{E(X)}(r)} = \mathcal{T}_0(X)|_{B_{E(X)}(r)}$ for r > 0.

(iv)⇔(i) See [W, 2.2.4]. ■

Recall that a Banach space X is said to be *almost reflexive* if every norm-bounded subset of X is conditionally weakly compact (see [C], [H]). The fundamental l^1 -Rosenthal theorem $[\mathbf{R}]$ says that X is almost reflexive if and only if it contains no isomorphic copy of l^1 .

From now on for a subset H of E(X) we will denote

$$\tilde{H} = \{ \tilde{f} : f \in H \}.$$

The following result extends Proposition 1.1 to the vector-valued setting.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let X be an almost reflexive Banach space. Then for a subset H of E(X) the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) sup_{f∈H} ||f||_{E(X)} < ∞.
 (ii) H is conditionally σ(E(X), (E')_a(X*, X))-compact.

Moreover, if X is a reflexive Banach space, then the statements (i)-(ii) are equivalent to the following:

(iii) H is relatively $\sigma(E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X))$ -compact.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that $\sup_{f \in H} ||f||_{E(X)} < \infty$, i.e., \widetilde{H} is a $||\cdot||_E$ -bounded subset of *E*. Then by Proposition 1.1 \widetilde{H} is conditionally $\sigma(E, (E')_a)$ -compact. Making use of [N₄, Corollary 2.3] we obtain that *H* is conditionally $\sigma(E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X))$ -compact.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that H is conditionally $\sigma(E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X))$ -compact. Then \hat{H} is conditionally $\sigma(E, (E')_a)$ -compact in E (see [N₄, Theorem 2.2]), so by Proposition 1.1 $\sup_{f \in H} ||f||_{E(X)} < \infty$.

(i)⇔(iii) See $[N_3, \text{ Corollary 2.4}]$. ■

3. Weakly compact operators on Köthe-Bochner spaces. In this section we examine linear operators $T: E(X) \to Y$ whenever E(X) is provided with the mixed topology $\gamma_{E(X)}$. Recall that a linear operator $T: E(X) \to Y$ is said to be $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact if there exists a neighbourhood V of 0 for $\gamma_{E(X)}$ such that T(V) is a relatively $\sigma(Y, Y^*)$ -compact subset of Y.

THEOREM 3.1. For a linear operator $T : E(X) \to Y$ the following statements are equivalent:

(i) T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact.

(ii) T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous and $(\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) It is obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous and $(\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact. Hence for every r > 0, $T(B_{E(X)}(r))$ is a relatively $\sigma(Y, Y^*)$ -compact subset of Y. Since $Bd(E(X), \gamma_{E(X)}) = Bd(E(X), \|\cdot\|_{E(X)})$ (see Proposition 2.1), in view of [Ru, Theorem 3.1] T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_{E(X)})$ -weakly compact, as desired.

REMARK. For the proof of the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) one can also use the earlier result of Grothendieck. Indeed, since $(E(X), \gamma_{E(X)})$ is a generalized DF-space, it is as well a quasinormable Hausdorff locally convex space. Moreover, T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous and $(\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|)$ -weakly compact, so T transforms $\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}$ -bounded sets into relatively $\sigma(Y, Y^*)$ -compact sets in Y. Thus by [G, III. 3, Corollary 1 of Theorem 11] T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact, because $Bd(E(X), \gamma_{E(X)}) = Bd(E(X), \|\cdot\|_{E(X)})$.

COROLLARY 3.2. Assume that a linear operator $T : E(X) \to Y$ is $(\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact.
- (ii) T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous.

COROLLARY 3.3. Assume that a linear operator $T : E(X) \to Y$ is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ continuous. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact.
- (ii) T is $(\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact.

Now we are ready to present our main results.

THEOREM 3.4. Let X be an almost reflexive Banach space and let Y be a weakly sequentially complete Banach space. Then for a linear operator $T: E(X) \to Y$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous.
- (ii) T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous. In view of Corollary 3.3 it is enough to show that T transforms $\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}$ -bounded sets in E(X) into relatively $\sigma(Y, Y^*)$ -compact sets in Y. Indeed, let H be a $\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}$ -bounded set in E(X). Then by Proposition 2.4 H is conditionally $\sigma(E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X))$ -compact. In view of [F, Theorem 3.2] it is seen that the topology $\gamma_{E(X)}$ is coarser than the Mackey topology $\tau(E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X))$. Hence T is also $(\tau(E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X)), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous and it follows that T is $(\sigma(E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X)), \sigma(Y, Y^*))$ -continuous. Thus T(H) is a conditionally $\sigma(Y, Y^*)$ -compact subset of Y, and since Y is $\sigma(Y, Y^*)$ -sequentially complete, we obtain that T(H) is relatively $\sigma(Y, Y^*)$ -sequentially compact in Y. It follows that T(H)is relatively $\sigma(Y, Y^*)$ -compact in Y, as desired.

(ii)⇒(i) See Theorem 3.1. ■

THEOREM 3.5. Let X be a reflexive Banach space. Then for a linear operator $T : E(X) \to Y$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous.
- (ii) T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous. Since $\gamma_{E(X)} \subset \tau(E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X)), T$ is also $(\tau(E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X)), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous. It follows that T is also $(\sigma(E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X)), \sigma(Y, Y^*))$ -continuous. Note that T transforms $\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}$ -bounded sets in E(X) into relatively $\sigma(Y, Y^*)$ -compact sets in Y. Indeed, let H be a $\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}$ -bounded set in E(X). Then by Proposition 2.4, H is relatively $(\sigma(E(X), (E')_a(X^*, X))$ -compact, and consequently T(H) is relatively $\sigma(Y, Y^*)$ -compact in Y. By Corollary 3.3, T is $(\gamma_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -weakly compact.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ It is obvious.

References

- [AB] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, Locally Solid Riesz Spaces with Applications to Economics, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 105, 2003.
- [BD] O. Burkinshaw and P. Dodds, Weak sequential compactness and completeness in Riesz spaces, Canad. J. Math. 28 (1976), 1332–1339.
- [Bu1] A. V. Bukhvalov, On an analytic representation of operators with abstract norm, Izv. Vyssh. Ucheb. Zaved. 11 (1975), 21–32.
- [Bu₂] A. V. Bukhvalov, On an analytic representation of linear operators by means of measurable vector-valued functions, Izv. Vyssh. Ucheb. Zaved. 7 (1977), 21–32.
- [C] R. Cross, A characterization of almost reflexivity of normed function spaces, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. Sect. A 92 (1992), 225–228.
- [Co] J. B. Cooper, Saks Spaces and Applications to Functional Analysis, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.

- [D] D. van Dulst, (Weakly) compact mappings into (F) spaces, Math. Ann. 224 (1976), 111-115.
- [F] K. Feledziak, Uniformly Lebesgue topologies on Köthe-Bochner spaces, Comment. Math. 37 (1997), 487–511.
- [G] A. Grothendieck, Sur les espaces (F) et (DF), Summa Brasil. Math. 3 (1954), 57–122.
- [Ga] V. Gantmacher, Über schwache totalstetige Operatoren, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 7 (49) (1940), 301–308.
- [H] J. Howard, A generalization of reflexive Banach spaces and weakly compact operators, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 13 (1972), 673–684.
- [KA] L. V. Kantorovich and A. V. Akilov, Functional Analysis, 3rd ed., Nauka, Moscow, 1984 (in Russian).
- [L] P.-K. Lin, *Köthe-Bochner Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- [N₁] M. Nowak, Mixed topology on normed function spaces I, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math. 36 (1988), 251-262.
- [N₂] —, Mixed topology on normed function spaces II, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math. 36 (1988), 263–267.
- [N₃] M. Nowak, Weak compactness in Köthe-Bochner spaces and Orlicz-Bochner spaces, Indag. Math., N.S. 10 (1999), 73–86.
- [N4] M. Nowak, Conditional and relative weak compactness in vector-valued function spaces, J. Convex Analysis 12 (2005), 447–463.
- [No₁] K. Noureddine, Espaces du type D_b , C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 276 (1973), A1301–A1303.
- [No₂] K. Noureddine, Nouvelles classes d'espaces localement convexes, Publ. Dept. Math. Lyon, 10 (1973), 105–123.
- [R] H. Rosenthal, A characterization of Banach spaces containing l₁, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 71 (1974), 2411–2413.
- [Ru] W. Ruess, [Weakly] compact operators and DF spaces, Pacific J. Math. 98 (1982), 419–441.
- [W] A. Wiweger, *Linear spaces with mixed topology*, Studia Math. 20 (1961), 47–68.