FORMAL AND ANALYTIC SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL AND DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS BANACH CENTER PUBLICATIONS, VOLUME 97 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES WARSZAWA 2012

## SUMMABILITY OF FIRST INTEGRALS OF A $C^{\omega}$ -NON-INTEGRABLE RESONANT HAMILTONIAN SYSTEM

MASAFUMI YOSHINO

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science Hiroshima University, 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan E-mail: yoshinom@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Abstract. This article studies the summability of first integrals of a  $C^{\omega}$ -non-integrable resonant Hamiltonian system motivated by [BT] and [GZ]. The first integrals are expressed in terms of formal exponential transseries and their Borel sums (cf. [B] and [C]). Smooth Liouville integrability and a relation to the Birkhoff transformation are discussed from the point of view of the summability.

**1. Introduction.** In this article we shall study the summability of first integrals of a resonant  $C^{\omega}$ -non-integrable Hamiltonian system. Let  $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ ). For a Hamiltonian function H = H(q, p) we consider a Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{q} = \nabla_p H, \quad \dot{p} = -\nabla_q H, \tag{1}$$

or a Hamiltonian vector field

$$\chi_H := \{H, \cdot\} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}\right),\tag{2}$$

where  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  denotes the Poisson bracket.

The function  $\phi$  is called a first integral of  $\chi_H$  if  $\chi_H \phi = 0$ . Eq. (1) is said to be  $C^{\omega}$ -Liouville integrable if there exist n first integrals,  $\phi_j \in C^{\omega}$  (j = 1, ..., n) which are functionally independent on an open dense set and Poisson commuting, i.e.,  $\{\phi_j, \phi_k\} = 0$ ,  $\{H, \phi_k\} = 0$  for all j, k = 1, 2, ..., n. If  $\phi_j \in C^{\infty}$  (j = 1, ..., n), then we say that (1) is  $C^{\infty}$ -Liouville integrable.

Key words and phrases: Liouville integrability, transseries, monodromy.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

DOI: 10.4064/bc97-0-13

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 34M30; Secondary 37F50, 37G05.

We assume, for the sake of simplicity, that the Taylor expansion of H at the origin starts from terms of order 2,  $H = H_2 + H_3 + \ldots$ , where  $H_j$  is of homogeneous degree j. Let  $\lambda_j$   $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$  be the eigenvalues of the bilinear form corresponding to  $H_2$ . We assume that (1) has a resonance dimension 1, namely  $\lambda_1 = 0$ .

In the paper [BT] Bolsinov and Taimanov showed that there exists a Hamiltonian related to geodesic flow on a Riemannian manifold which is  $C^{\infty}$ -Liouville integrable and not  $C^{\omega}$ -Liouville integrable. They showed that the  $C^{\omega}$ -non-integrability is closely related to the monodromy structure of a Poincaré map, while they proved the  $C^{\infty}$ -integrability by constructing functionally independent first integrals concretely. The study of such an operator is continued by Gorni and Zampieri in [GZ]. They showed that the Hamiltonian

$$H = -q_2 p_2 \partial_{q_1} r + (r^2 + q_2 \partial_{q_2} r) p_1, \quad r = q_1^2 + q_2^2,$$

is  $C^{\infty}$ -integrable and not  $C^{\omega}$ -integrable in some neighborhood of the origin of  $(q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . We note that  $H_2 = 0$ , namely the Hamiltonian has a resonance dimension 2. The proof of  $C^{\infty}$ -integrability was made by construction of first integrals.

Heuristically speaking, the construction of n-functionally independent first integrals is equivalent to that of n-parameter family of solutions of (1), which can be expanded in a socalled exponential-log series. In view of this we express first integrals in exponential power series and apply the summability method, to show the integrability of (1) in a sector. We then construct smooth first integrals of (1) in terms of Borel-summed exponential power series. We also study the generating function of a Birkhoff normalizing transformation of (1) from the viewpoint of our Borel-summed integrals.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we construct functionally independent formal first integrals and in Section 3 we show the Borel summability of first integrals. In Section 4 we study the relation between the Borel-summed first integrals and the generating function of the symplectic transformation which transforms our Hamiltonian vector field to a resonant normal form. In the last section we briefly state  $C^{\omega}$ -non-integrability and  $C^{\infty}$ -integrability of our operator. The proofs of the theorems in the last section will be published in a future paper.

**2.** Construction of formal first integrals. In the sequel we change a little bit the notation in order to indicate the resonance variables  $q_1$  and  $p_1$ . We write the variables in the form  $(q_1, q_2, q_3, \ldots, q_n) = (q_1, q), (p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_n) = (p_1, p)$ . Let the Hamiltonian  $H := H_0 + H_1$  be given by

$$H_0 = q_1^{2\sigma} p_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j q_j p_j,$$
(3)

$$H_1 = \sum_{j=2}^n q_j^2 B_j(q_1, q_1^{2\sigma} p_1, q), \quad q = (q_2, \dots, q_n), \tag{4}$$

where  $B_j(q_1, t, q)$  are holomorphic at the origin with respect to  $(q_1, t, q) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ . We assume

$$B_j = B_j(q_1, q_1^{2\sigma} p_1, q) = B_{j,0}(q_1, q) + q_1^{2\sigma} p_1 B_{j,1}(q_1, q),$$
(5)

where  $B_{j,0}$  and  $B_{j,1}$  are analytic at  $q_1 = 0, q = 0, 2 \le j \le n$ . Moreover, we suppose

$$\lambda_j \ (j=2,3,\ldots,n)$$
 are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . (6)

We will construct a formal first integral in exponential power series (cf. [B], [C]). Define

$$E_c \equiv E_c(q_1) := \exp\left(\frac{cq_1^{-2\sigma+1}}{2\sigma-1}\right) \tag{7}$$

and construct the formal first integral v in the form

$$v = \sum_{\alpha \ge 0} v^{(\alpha)}(q_1, p_1, q, p) E^{\alpha},$$
 (8)

where  $E^{\alpha} = E_{\lambda_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots E_{\lambda_n}^{\alpha_n}$ , and  $v^{(\alpha)}(q_1, p_1, q, p)$  is a formal power series of  $q_1, q, p_1$  and p. We say that v is a *formal integral* of  $\chi_H$  if  $\chi_H v = 0$  as a formal power series.

By definition we have, for  $\mathcal{L} := \{H_0, \cdot\}$  and  $R := \{H_1, \cdot\}$ ,

$$\mathcal{L} = q_1^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} - 2\sigma q_1^{2\sigma-1} p_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j \Big( q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} - p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \Big), \tag{9}$$

$$R = \sum_{j=2}^{n} \left( -2q_j B_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + q_j^2 (\partial_{p_1} B_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} - q_j^2 (\partial_{q_1} B_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} - q_j^2 \nabla_q B_j \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right).$$
(10)

By using the formula

$$\partial_{p_1} B_j = B_{j,1} q_1^{2\sigma}, \quad q_1^{2\sigma} (\partial/\partial q_1) E^{\alpha} = -\left(\sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j \alpha_j\right) E^{\alpha} = -\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle E^{\alpha},$$

we have

$$\mathcal{L}(v^{(\alpha)}E^{\alpha}) = E^{\alpha} \left( q_1^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} - 2\sigma q_1^{2\sigma-1} p_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j \left( q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} - p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \alpha_j \right) \right) v^{(\alpha)},$$
(11)

and

$$R(v^{(\alpha)}E^{\alpha}) = E^{\alpha} \left( -\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \sum_{j=2}^{n} q_j^2 B_{j,1} + R \right) v^{(\alpha)}.$$
 (12)

It follows that if v is a formal first integral of  $\chi_H$ , then every  $v^{(\alpha)}$  satisfies

$$\left(q_1^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} - 2\sigma q_1^{2\sigma-1} p_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j \left(q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} - p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \alpha_j\right)\right) v^{(\alpha)} + \left(-\sum_{j=2}^n \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle q_j^2 B_{j,1} + R\right) v^{(\alpha)} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Expand  $v^{(\alpha)}$  into the formal power series

$$v^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\nu,k,\ell} v^{(\alpha)}_{\nu,k,\ell}(q_1) p_1^{\nu} p^k q^\ell,$$
(14)

then insert the expansion into (13) and compare the coefficients of  $p_1^{\nu} p^k q^{\ell}$ . One can easily see that the first term of the left-hand side of (13) yields

$$\left(q_1^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} - 2\sigma q_1^{2\sigma-1}\nu + \lambda \cdot (\ell - k - \alpha)\right) v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}(q_1).$$
(15)

Hence we obtain the recurrence relation like

$$\left(q_1^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} - 2\sigma q_1^{2\sigma-1}\nu + \lambda \cdot (\ell - k - \alpha)\right) v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}(q_1) = F,\tag{16}$$

where F denotes terms which appear from the second term of the left-hand side of (13).

In order to get the detailed expression of F we first note that

$$-2q_j B_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} v^{(\alpha)} = -2B_j \sum v^{(\alpha)}_{\nu,k+e_j,\ell-e_j}(q_1) p_1^{\nu} p^k q^\ell(k_j+1).$$
(17)

Expand  $B_j$  into the power series of q and compare the coefficients of  $p_1^{\nu}p^kq^\ell$  of the right-hand side. One can see that the terms containing  $v_{\nu,k+e_j,\mu}^{(\alpha)}(q_1), \mu \leq \ell - e_j$ , appear from (17). Similar terms appear from  $q_j^2 \nabla_q B_j \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p} v^{(\alpha)}$  and  $q_j^2 (\partial_{q_1} B_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} v^{(\alpha)}$ . In the latter case there appear terms  $v_{\nu+1,k,\mu}^{(\alpha)}(q_1)$  with  $\mu \leq \ell - 2e_j$ . In the same way one can see that there appear terms containing the quantities

$$v_{\nu,k,\mu}^{(\alpha)}(q_1), \quad q_1^{2\sigma} B_{j,1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} v_{\nu,k,\mu}^{(\alpha)}(q_1), \quad \mu \le \ell - 2e_j,$$

 $\text{from } -\lambda_j \alpha_j q_j^2 B_{j,1} v^{(\alpha)} \text{ and } q_j^2 q_1^{2\sigma} B_{j,1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} v^{(\alpha)}.$ 

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$  be given. We shall solve (16) inductively with respect to  $\ell$ ,  $|\ell| = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  For this purpose we consider two cases: (A)  $\ell - \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n-1}$ , (B)  $\ell - \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n-1}$ . Let  $(\alpha, \ell)$  satisfy (A). We have  $\ell - k - \alpha \neq 0$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n-1}$ . We want to determine  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}(q_1)$ . By the non-resonance condition (6) we have  $\lambda \cdot (\ell - k - \alpha) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\ell - k - \alpha \neq 0$ . In the right-hand side of (17) there appear  $v_{\nu',k,\ell-\beta}^{(\alpha)}$ 's for which  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$ . It follows that  $\alpha$  and  $\ell - \beta$  satisfy (A). Expand  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  into the formal power series of  $q_1$  and insert it into (16). One easily sees that every coefficient is uniquely determined if the right-hand side F is known, i.e.,  $v_{\nu',k,\ell-\beta}^{(\alpha)}$ 's  $\beta \neq 0$  are given. Next we substitute  $v_{\nu',k,\ell-\beta}^{(\alpha)}$  in F with the recurrence relations for  $v_{\nu',k,\ell-\beta}^{(\alpha)}$ 's which can be constructed similarly as  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}(q_1)$ . By repeating the same argument, we finally arrive at the relation that the right-hand side of (17) vanishes, i.e., F = 0 because we have  $\ell - \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n-1}$  after a finite times of substitutions. Hence, by (16) we obtain  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)} = 0$ .

Next we consider the case (B). We set  $k(\alpha, \ell) = \ell - \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}_+$ . Let  $\ell = 0$ . Because F in (16) vanishes, we have  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)} = 0$  if  $k \neq k(\alpha, 0) = -\alpha$ . If  $k = k(\alpha, 0) = -\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}_+$ , then we have  $(q_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} - 2\sigma\nu)v_{\nu,k,0}^{(\alpha)} = 0$ . We take

$$v_{\nu,-\alpha,0}^{(\alpha)} = c_{\alpha,\nu} q_1^{2\sigma\nu},\tag{18}$$

where  $c_{\alpha,\nu}$  is an arbitrary constant.

Let  $\ell$  be such that  $|\ell| = 1$ . Because  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  vanish unless  $\ell - \alpha \ge 0$  by (A), we may assume  $\ell - \alpha \ge 0$ . By the definition of F the non-vanishing term in F is given by  $v_{\nu,k+e_j,\mu}^{(\alpha)}$ ,  $\mu \le \ell - e_j$  for some j. Hence we have  $|\mu| = 0$ . It follows that, if  $v_{\nu,k+e_j,\mu}^{(\alpha)} \ne 0$ , then we have  $\mu = 0$  and  $k + e_j = -\alpha \ge 0$ . If  $|k| \ge |\ell - \alpha|$ , then we have  $k + e_j \ne -\alpha$ , which yields  $v_{\nu,k+e_j,\mu}^{(\alpha)} = 0$  and F = 0. Hence, by (16) we have the following expression

$$v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)} = 0 \quad (\nu = 0, 1, ...) \text{ if } \ell - \alpha - k \neq 0, \ |k| \ge |\ell - \alpha|,$$
  
$$v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)} = c_{\alpha,\nu,\ell} q_1^{2\sigma\nu} \quad (\nu = 0, 1, ...) \text{ if } \ell - \alpha - k = 0.$$
 (19)

In the case  $|k| < |\ell - \alpha|$ , we have  $\ell - \alpha - k \neq 0$ , and we can recursively determine  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  as the formal power series of  $q_1$ . We note that the Gevrey order of  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  increases at most by  $2\sigma - 1$  if one solves the recurrence relation once.

Suppose that for some integer  $s \ge 0$  we have determined the solutions of (16),  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  for  $\nu = 0, 1, \ldots; k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n-1}; \ell, |\ell| = 0, 1, 2 \ldots, s$ , such that (19) holds with some constant  $c_{\alpha,\nu,\ell}$ . We will solve (16) for  $|\ell| = s + 1$  so that (19) holds. Let k and  $\ell$  satisfy  $|k| \ge |\ell - \alpha|$ . We want to show F = 0 in (16). In view of the definition of F, we first consider  $v_{\nu,k+e_j,\mu}^{(\alpha)}$  where  $\mu \le \ell - e_j$  for some j. In order to show that this term vanishes by (19), we will show that  $|k + e_j| > |\mu - \alpha|$ . This relation follows from  $|k + e_j| > |\ell - e_j - \alpha| \ge |\mu - \alpha|$  because the last inequality follows from the inductive assumption. Next we consider the term  $v_{\nu,k,\mu}^{(\alpha)}$  where  $\mu \le \ell - 2e_j$  for some j. Then it is sufficient to verify  $|k| > |\ell - 2e_j - \alpha|$ , which holds by assumption. One can similarly verify that the other terms vanish, from which we obtain F = 0. Therefore, by (16) we have the first relation of (19). As for the second one we can argue as in the case  $|\ell| = 1$ . Clearly, if  $|k| < |\ell - \alpha|$ , then we can determine  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  by solving (16) recursively. This proves that we can determine  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  in the case  $|\ell| = s + 1$  so that (19) holds. This proves the assertion.

We remark that if we take arbitrary constants  $c_{\alpha,\nu,\ell}$ ,  $\ell - \alpha = k$ , to be zero except for a finite number of k's or  $\nu$ 's, then we see that the formal solution is a polynomial of  $p_1$ and p. We note that the sum with respect to  $\ell$  is an infinite sum, in general. Moreover, in view of the arbitrariness of  $\alpha$  and  $c_{\alpha,\nu,\ell}$  in (19) we obtain at least 2(n-1) functionally independent formal first integrals. Therefore we have

THEOREM 2.1. Assume (5) and (6). Then the Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian  $H = H_0 + H_1$  given by (3)–(4) has 2(n-1) functionally independent formal first integrals of the form (8) which are polynomials of  $p_1$  and p.

**3. Summability of formal integrals.** We first show the  $(2\sigma - 1)$ -summability of  $v^{(\alpha)}$  for every  $\alpha$  in (14). We define the set of singular directions

$$S_0 := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \exists \nu \ge 0, \ \exists k \ge 0, \ \exists \ell \ge 0, \ \exists \alpha \ge 0 \text{ such that} \\ (2\sigma - 1)z^{2\sigma - 1} + \lambda \cdot (\ell - \alpha - k) = 0, \ v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)} \ne 0, \ \ell - \alpha - k \ge 0 \right\} \setminus 0.$$
(20)

For a neighborhood  $\Omega_0$  of the origin and the convex cone  $\Omega_1$  with vertex at the origin, we define  $\Sigma_0 := \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1$ . Then we assume that there exists  $\Sigma_0$  such that the closure  $\overline{S_0}$  satisfies

$$\overline{S_0} \cap \Sigma_0 = \emptyset. \tag{21}$$

THEOREM 3.1. Assume (5), (6) and (21). Let v be a formal first integral given in Theorem 2.1 which is a polynomial in p and  $p_1$ . Then, for each  $\alpha \ge 0$  in (8))  $v^{(\alpha)}$  is  $(2\sigma - 1)$ summable in every direction of  $\Omega_1$  with respect to  $q_1$ . More precisely, for every  $\xi \in \Omega_1$  there exists a neighborhood  $V_0$  of the origin q = 0 such that  $v^{(\alpha)}$  is analytic in  $q \in V_0$  and  $(2\sigma - 1)$ -summable with respect to  $q_1$  in the direction  $\xi$ .

Before proving the theorem we give a corollary, in which we have the summability of  $v_{\alpha}$ .

COROLLARY 3.2. Suppose (6). Assume

$$B_j = B_{j,0}(q_1, q), \quad 2 \le j \le n,$$
(22)

for some  $B_{j,0}$  analytic at  $q_1 = 0$  and polynomial in  $q = (q_2, \ldots, q_n)$ . Let  $v = \sum_{\alpha \ge 0} v^{(\alpha)} E^{\alpha}$ be the formal first integral as in Theorem 2.1 which is a polynomial in p and  $p_1$ . Then the set of singular directions  $S_0$  is finite, and for each  $\alpha v^{(\alpha)}$  is a polynomial in q and  $(2\sigma-1)$ summable with respect to  $q_1$ . More precisely, for every  $\xi \notin S_0 v^{(\alpha)}$  is  $(2\sigma - 1)$ -summable with respect to  $q_1$  in the direction  $\xi$ .

In order to prove Theorem 3.1 we prepare a lemma. Let  $\kappa > 0$ , r > 0 and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$  be given. Let  $\gamma_{\kappa}$  denote the path from the origin along arg  $z = \theta + (\varepsilon + \pi)/(2\kappa)$  to some  $z_1$  of modulus r, then along the circle |z| = r to the ray arg  $z = \theta - (\varepsilon + \pi)/(2\kappa)$ , and back to the origin along this ray. Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}$  denote the Borel transform

$$(\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}f)(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_{\kappa}} t^{\kappa} f(t) \exp(\zeta^{\kappa} t^{-\kappa}) dt^{-\kappa}.$$
 (23)

Then, by simple computations we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}\left(t^{\kappa+1}\frac{d}{dt}f\right)(\zeta) = \kappa\zeta^{\kappa}\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(f)(\zeta) - \kappa\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(t^{\kappa}f)(\zeta).$$
(24)

Let c > 0 and  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Define  $H_c(\Omega)$  as the Banach space of all f which is holomorphic and of exponential growth of order c in  $\Omega$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_c := \sup_{z \in \Omega} \left| f(z) e^{-cz^{\kappa}} \right| < \infty.$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

Then we have

LEMMA 3.3. Let  $\lambda > 0$ . Then there exists  $K_0 > 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(t^{\lambda}f)\|_{c} \leq K_{0}\|\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(f)\|_{c}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(f) \in H_{c}(\Omega).$$
(26)

Moreover,  $K_0$  can be taken arbitrarily small if we take c > 0 sufficiently large.

For the proof we refer the reader to [BY].

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We define  $\Omega = \Sigma_0$ . In view of the inductive definition of  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$ 's with respect to  $\ell$ , the first non-vanishing term  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  is a polynomial of  $q_1$ . Hence it is  $(2\sigma - 1)$ -summable in  $q_1$ . Therefore it is sufficient to show, by induction, that if F in (16) is  $(2\sigma - 1)$ -summable, then  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  is  $(2\sigma - 1)$ -summable as well.

Set  $\kappa = 2\sigma - 1$ . In the following we omit the suffix ( $\alpha$ ) in  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  for the sake of simplicity. Suppose that there exists an integer N such that  $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(v_{\nu,k,\mu}) \in H_c(\Omega)$  for all  $\nu$ , k and  $\mu$ ,  $|\mu| \leq N$ . We want to show  $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(v_{\nu,k,\ell}) \in H_c(\Omega)$ ,  $|\ell| = N + 1$ . Let  $\zeta$  be the dual variable of  $q_1$  with respect to the Borel transform. Let  $\chi_{\lambda}(D)$  be defined by

$$\chi_{\lambda}(D)\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(f)(\zeta) := \mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(q_{1}^{\lambda}f)(\zeta), \quad \mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(f) \in H_{c}(\Omega).$$

By Lemma 3.3  $\chi_{\lambda}(D)$  is a linear continuous operator on  $H_c(\Omega)$ . Moreover, by taking c > 0 sufficiently large, we may assume that the norm can be made arbitrarily small.

We apply the  $(2\sigma - 1)$ -Borel transform to both sides of (16) with respect to  $q_1$ . Then we have

$$\left((2\sigma-1)\zeta^{2\sigma-1} - (2\sigma(\nu+1)-1)\chi_{2\sigma-1}(D) + \lambda \cdot (\ell-k-\alpha)\right)\mathcal{B}_{2\sigma-1}(v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}) = g(\zeta), \quad (27)$$
  
where  $g(\zeta)$  is the partial Borel transform of  $F$  with respect to  $q_1$ .

First we note that the formal Borel transform  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2\sigma-1}(v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)})$  satisfies a relation similar to (27). In view of the construction of formal series  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2\sigma-1}(v_{\nu,k,0}^{(\alpha)})(\zeta)$  is an entire function of  $\zeta$ . In order to determine  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2\sigma-1}(v_{\nu,k,e_j}^{(\alpha)})$  for  $e_j = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$  $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$  we note that the right-hand side  $g(\zeta)$  is an entire function of  $\zeta$  because it contains only the formal Borel transform of  $v_{\nu,k,0}^{(\alpha)}$ . By inverting the operator  $((2\sigma - 1)\zeta^{2\sigma-1} - (2\sigma(\nu+1)-1)\chi_{2\sigma-1}(D) + \lambda \cdot (\ell-k-\alpha))$  we see that  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2\sigma-1}(v_{\nu,k,e_j}^{(\alpha)})$ is holomorphic in some neighborhood of the origin  $\zeta = 0$  because the right-hand side is analytic at the origin. By the inductive argument we see that  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2\sigma-1}(v_{\nu,k,\ell}^{(\alpha)})$  is holomorphic at the origin  $\zeta = 0$ .

We shall show that  $g(\zeta) \in H_c(\Omega)$ . Indeed, in view of the definition of R in (10) F is the sum of products of some  $v_{\nu',k',\mu}$  and holomorphic functions of  $q_1$ . This implies that their Borel transforms are in  $H_c(\Omega)$ . Hence we have the assertion.

We also note that the Borel transform of the differentiation  $q_1^{2\sigma}(\partial/\partial q_1)$  in R is equal to  $(2\sigma - 1)\zeta^{2\sigma-1} - (2\sigma - 1)\chi_{2\sigma-1}(D)$ . In order to show that  $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(v_{\nu,k,\ell}) \in H_c(\Omega)$  we may assume that  $\ell - k - \alpha \neq 0$ . Indeed, the number of terms satisfying  $\ell - k - \alpha = 0$  is finite in view of the finiteness of k, and, by definition, the corresponding  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}$  is a polynomial of  $q_1$ .

Assume that there exists K > 0 such that

$$\left\| \zeta^{2\sigma-1} \big( (2\sigma-1)\zeta^{2\sigma-1} - (2\sigma(\nu+1)-1)\chi_{2\sigma-1}(D) + \lambda \cdot (\ell-k-\alpha) \big)^{-1} \right\| \le K$$
 (28)

for  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}_+$  and  $\zeta \in \Omega$ . Then we obtain  $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(v_{\nu,k,\ell}) \in H_c(\Omega)$  by the recurrence relation whose norm of the right-hand side is bounded by constant times of that of  $v_{\nu,k,\mu}$  for  $|\mu| < |\ell|$ . Hence we have proved the  $(2\sigma - 1)$ -summability of every coefficient of our formal integral with respect to  $q_1$  as desired.

As for the convergence with respect to  $\ell$ , we obtain the inductive estimate of  $v_{\nu,k,\ell}$ with respect to  $|\ell|$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}(v_{\nu,k,\ell})$  is calculated from the recurrence relation from the previous ones by operating the bounded operator as the one in (28) to the right-hand side.

Hence it remains to show (28). Because the number of pairs of  $\nu$ , k and  $\alpha$  is finite we take arbitrary  $\nu$ , k and  $\alpha$  and we fix them. Let  $\zeta_{\ell}$  satisfy  $(2\sigma - 1)\zeta_{\ell}^{2\sigma-1} + \lambda \cdot (\ell - k - \alpha) = 0$  and let  $\omega_j$   $(j = 1, 2, ..., 2\sigma - 1)$  be the  $(2\sigma - 1)$ -th root of unity. Then we have

$$(2\sigma - 1)\zeta^{2\sigma - 1} - (2\sigma(\nu + 1) - 1)\chi_{2\sigma - 1}(D) + \lambda \cdot (\ell - k - \alpha)$$
  
=  $(2\sigma - 1)(\zeta^{2\sigma - 1} - \zeta_{\ell}^{2\sigma - 1}) - (2\sigma(\nu + 1) - 1)\chi_{2\sigma - 1}(D).$ 

We have  $\zeta^{2\sigma-1} - \zeta_{\ell}^{2\sigma-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{2\sigma-1} (\zeta - \zeta_{\ell}\omega_j)$ . By (21) there exists  $c_1 > 0$  such that  $|\zeta - \zeta_{\ell}\omega_j| \ge c_1|\zeta|$  for all  $\zeta \in \Omega$  and  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2\sigma - 1$ . It follows that there exists  $c_2 > 0$  such that  $\zeta^{2\sigma-1} - \zeta_{\ell}^{2\sigma-1} \ge c_2(|\zeta|^{2\sigma-1} + 1)$ . Recalling that the norm of  $(2\sigma(\nu+1)-1)\chi_{2\sigma-1}(D)$  can be made arbitrarily small by the preceding lemma we obtain (28).

4. Normalizing transformation. In the next theorem we study the relation between our formal solution in the preceding theorem and the generating function of a normalizing symplectic transformation. Assume  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n-1}$ . Let  $V_m^{(\alpha)}$  (m = 2, ..., n) be the first integrals of  $\chi_H$  constructed as in Theorem 3.1. Namely, the coefficients of  $q^{\ell}$  for  $\ell \geq e_m + \alpha$ vanish, while for  $\ell = e_m + \alpha$  they are equal to  $p_m q_m q^{\alpha}$ . We inductively construct the coefficients for  $\ell \geq e_m + \alpha$  as in Theorem 3.1. We say that  $\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  satisfy the Poincaré condition if the convex hull of  $\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  does not contain the origin. Then we have

THEOREM 4.1. Assume (6). Suppose that

$$B_j(q_1, t, q) = B_j(t, q), \quad j = 2, \dots, n,$$
(29)

where  $\tilde{B}_j$  is a polynomial of t with coefficients analytic at q = 0. Suppose that the Poincaré condition is satisfied. Let  $2 \leq m \leq n$  be an integer. Then  $V_m^{(\alpha)}$  ( $\alpha \in A$ ) are analytic at the origin and are functionally independent.

Expand  $\sum_j q_j^2 \tilde{B}_j = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} q^{\mu}$ , and let W be the analytic function whose coefficient of  $q^{\ell}$  is given by  $c_{\ell}/\lambda \cdot \ell$  if  $|\ell| \ge 2$ , and 0 if otherwise. Then W satisfies

$$q_m \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m} W = q_m p_m - V_m^{(0)}.$$
(30)

If we define  $\tilde{W}$  by  $\tilde{W} := \sum_{j=2}^{n} q_j y_j - W(q)$ , then the (partial) symplectic transformation  $(q, p) \mapsto (y, -x)$  given by

$$q_1 = x_1, \ p_1 = y_1, \ x_j = \tilde{W}_{y_j} = q_j, \ p_j = \tilde{W}_{q_j} = y_j - W_{q_j} \ (j = 2, \dots, n)$$
(31)

transforms  $\chi_H$  to  $\chi_{\tilde{H}_0}$ , where  $H_0 := x_1^{2\sigma} y_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j x_j y_j$ .

REMARK. By Theorem 4.1  $\chi_H$  is  $C^{\omega}$ -Liouville integrable and the transformation (31) is the (resonant) Birkhoff transformation. Indeed, W gives the generating function of the partial symplectic transformation (cf. [I]).

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let  $m \ (2 \le m \le n)$  be an integer and let  $V_m$  be the first integral as in Theorem 4.1. Then the functional independentness of  $V_m \ (m = 2, ..., n)$  is clear in view of the above constructions.

In order to have the representation of  $V_m$ , set  $V_m = E^{\alpha} \sum_{\ell} v_{\ell}^{(\alpha)}(q_1, q_1^{2\sigma} p_1, p) q^{\ell}$ . We will show that  $v_{\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  is analytic at  $q_1 = 0$  for all  $\ell$ . For  $|\ell| \leq 1$  the assertion is trivial from the choice of arbitrary functions. We also note that  $v_{e_m}^{(\alpha)} = p_m$ . In order to determine  $v_{\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  for  $|\ell| \geq 2$ , we substitute the expansion into  $\chi_H v = 0$  and compare the coefficients of  $(p_1 q_1^{2\sigma})^{\nu} p^k q^{\ell}$ . Then we have the recurrence relation similar to (16)

$$(q_1^{2\sigma}\partial_{q_1} - \lambda \cdot (\ell - \alpha)) v_\ell^{(\alpha)} = F_\ell(v_\gamma^{(\alpha)}, \gamma < \ell),$$
(32)

where  $\ell - \alpha \neq 0$  and we regard  $t := q_1^{2\sigma} p_1$  as an independent variable. Indeed, by (29) the term  $-2\sigma q_1^{2\sigma-1}\nu$  in (16) vanishes because  $p_1$  appears in  $V_m$  in the form  $q_1^{2\sigma} p_1$ . In view of (10) we see that the term  $\partial_{p_1} B_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} - \partial_{q_1} B_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}$  vanishes because, by induction, the inhomogeneous term depends on  $q_1^{2\sigma} p_1$ . Hence (10) decreases the power of p. It follows that  $v_{\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  ( $|\ell| \geq 2$ ) is a function of q,  $t = q_1^{2\sigma} p_1$  and  $q_1$ .

In view of (10) and (12)  $F_{\ell}(v_{\gamma}^{(\alpha)}, \gamma < \ell)$  is equal to the coefficient of  $q^{\ell}$  in

$$\sum_{j} \nabla_{q}(q_{j}^{2}\tilde{B}_{j}) \cdot \nabla_{p} \left( \sum_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{(\alpha)} q^{\gamma} \right) - \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \sum_{j=2}^{n} q_{j}^{2} B_{j,1} \left( \sum_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{(\alpha)} q^{\gamma} \right).$$
(33)

Recalling that  $\partial_{p_1} B_j = B_{j,1} q_1^{2\sigma}, B_j = \tilde{B}_j$  we obtain  $\sum q_j^2 B_{j,1} = \partial_t \sum q_j^2 \tilde{B}_j(t,q)$ .

Let  $|\ell| = 2$ . Because  $\nabla_p v_{\gamma}^{(\alpha)}$  does not vanish only for  $\gamma = e_m$  and  $v_{e_m}^{(\alpha)} = p_m$  it follows that the first term of (33) is equal to  $\sum_j q_m \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m} (q_j^2 \tilde{B}_j)$ . If we expand  $\sum_j q_j^2 \tilde{B}_j = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu}(t) q^{\mu}$ , then we have

$$F_{\ell}(v_{\gamma}^{(\alpha)}) = \ell_m c_{\ell} - \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \sum_{\gamma + \mu = \ell, |\mu| \ge 2} (\partial_t c_{\mu}) v_{\gamma}^{(\alpha)}.$$
(34)

By the inductive assumption on  $v_{\ell}^{(\alpha)}$ ,  $F_{\ell}$  in (32) is independent of  $q_1$ . Hence the unique formal solution is given by  $v_{\ell}^{(\alpha)} = -F_{\ell}/\lambda \cdot (\ell - \alpha)$ , which is independent of  $q_1$ . Therefore, by induction on  $|\ell|$ , we can determine  $v_{\ell}^{(\alpha)}$  from (32) being independent of  $q_1$ . Hence we obtain a formal integral. As for the convergence of the formal series, the Poincaré condition implies the convergence of the formal solution.

Let  $\alpha = 0$ . Then we have  $F_{\ell}(v_{\gamma}^{(0)}) = \ell_m c_{\ell}$ , which implies  $v_{\ell}^{(0)} = -\ell_m c_{\ell}/\lambda \cdot \ell$ . Therefore we have

$$V_m^{(0)} = p_m q_m - \sum_{|\ell| \ge 2} \frac{\ell_m c_\ell}{\lambda \cdot \ell} q^\ell$$
(35)

and W satisfies (30). Moreover, the Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}_0$  is transformed to

$$q_1^{2\sigma}p_1 + \sum \lambda_j p_j q_j + \sum \lambda_m q_m W_{q_m} = H_0 + \sum_m \lambda_m (q_m p_m - V_m^{(0)})$$
$$= H_0 + \sum_m \lambda_m \Big(\sum_{|\ell| \ge 2} \frac{\ell_m c_\ell}{\lambda \cdot \ell} q^\ell\Big) = H_0 + \sum q_j^2 \tilde{B}_j = H.$$

Hence we see that (31) transforms  $\chi_H$  to  $\chi_{\tilde{H}_0}$ . This ends the proof.

5.  $C^{\omega}$ -non-integrability and  $C^{\infty}$ -integrability. As we stated in the introduction, our Hamiltonian system is not  $C^{\omega}$ -integrable in general. Although this fact is not used in the proofs of the preceding theorems, we will briefly state the  $C^{\omega}$ -non-integrability for the readers' convenience.

THEOREM 5.1. Assume that (6) and the following condition (M) are satisfied.

(M) For  $k = 2, 3, \ldots, n$  the equation

$$q_1^{2\sigma} \frac{dv}{dq_1} + 2\lambda_k v = B_k(q_1, 0, 0)$$
(36)

has no analytic solution v at the origin.

Then the Hamiltonian system (1) with the Hamiltonian  $H = H_0 + H_1$  given by (3) and (4) is not  $C^{\omega}$ -Liouville integrable.

Condition (M) corresponds to the non-Abelian property of the fundamental group introduced in [BT]. We can also prove that (M) holds if and only if the monodromy of an analytic continuation of every solution of (36) along a path encircling the origin does not vanish (cf. Lemma 6 of [Y]).

Let  $v = \sum_{\alpha \ge 0} v^{(\alpha)} E^{\alpha}$  be the first integral given by (8). By Theorem 3.1 every  $v^{(\alpha)}$  is  $(2\sigma - 1)$ -summable in every direction of  $\Omega_1 \equiv \Omega_1(v^{(\alpha)})$ . Hence we write the summed one

with the same letter for the sake of simplicity. We define

$$\Sigma_{v} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z - \arg \xi| < \frac{\pi}{2(2\sigma - 1)}, \ \xi \in \Omega_{1} \right\}.$$
(37)

Then we have

THEOREM 5.2. Assume (5), (6) and (21). Then

(i) Let α ≥ 0 and suppose Ω<sub>1</sub>(v<sup>(α)</sup>) ≠ Ø. Then there exists an ε<sub>0</sub> > 0 and a sector S<sub>1</sub> ⊂ Σ<sub>v</sub> such that the summed v = v<sup>(α)</sup> in Theorem 3.1 is holomorphic and is the first integral of χ<sub>H</sub> in the domain

$$q_1 \in \Sigma_v, \ |q_1| < \varepsilon_0, \ p_1 \in \mathbb{C}, \ p_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ |q_j| < \varepsilon_0, \ j = 2, \dots, n.$$
 (38)

Moreover, it is  $C^{\infty}$  at  $q_1 = 0$  when  $q_1 \in S_1, q_1 \to 0$ .

(ii) Assume either the Poincaré condition is satisfied or v<sup>(e<sub>j</sub>)</sup> and v<sup>(2e<sub>j</sub>)</sup> exist for which S<sub>0</sub> is a finite set. Set v = v<sup>(e<sub>j</sub>)</sup> or v = v<sup>(2e<sub>j</sub>)</sup> and let Σ<sub>v</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> ⊂ Σ<sub>v</sub> be given by (i) and choose θ ∈ S<sub>1</sub>. Then we have Ω<sub>1</sub>(v) ≠ Ø, and v is extended as a C<sup>∞</sup> first integral with respect to q<sub>1</sub> on R<sub>θ</sub> ∪ -R<sub>θ</sub> ∪ {0} being analytic in q ∈ ℝ<sup>n-1</sup> at q = 0. Moreover, there exists a neighborhood of the origin U in ℝ such that χ<sub>H</sub> is C<sup>∞</sup>-integrable when q<sub>1</sub> ∈ (R<sub>θ</sub> ∪ {0}) ∩ U, p<sub>1</sub>, p<sub>j</sub>, q<sub>j</sub> ∈ ℝ, |q<sub>j</sub>| < ε<sub>0</sub> (j ≥ 2).

The proofs of these theorems will be published elsewhere.

Acknowledgments. Research of M. Yoshino was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 20540172), JSPS, Japan.

## References

- [B] W. Balser, Formal Power Series and Linear Systems of Meromorphic Ordinary Differential Equations, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2000.
- [BY] W. Balser, M. Yoshino, Integrability of Hamiltonian systems and transseries expansions, Math. Z. 268 (2011), 257–280.
- [BT] A. V. Bolsinov, I. A. Taimanov, Integrable geodesic flows with positive topological entropy, Invent. Math. 140 (2000), 639–650.
- [C] O. Costin, Asymptotics and Borel Summability, Chapman Hall/CRC Monogr. Surv. Pure Appl. Math. 141, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009.
- [E] J. Écalle, Six lectures on transseries, analysable functions and the constructive proof of Dulac's conjecture, in: Bifurcations and Periodic Orbits of Vector Fields (Montreal, PQ, 1992), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. 408, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993, 75-184.
- [GZ] G. Gorni, G. Zampieri, Analytic-non-integrability of an integrable analytic Hamiltonian system, Differential Geom. Appl. 22 (2005), 287–296.
- H. Ito, Integrability of Hamiltonian systems and Birkhoff normal forms in the simple resonance case, Math. Ann. 292 (1992), 411–444.
- M. Yoshino, Analytic non-integrable Hamiltonian systems and irregular singularity, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 187 (2008), 555–562.

178