

BASIC RELATIONS
VALID FOR THE BERNSTEIN SPACES B_σ^2
AND THEIR EXTENSIONS
TO LARGER FUNCTION SPACES
VIA A UNIFIED DISTANCE CONCEPT

P. L. BUTZER, R. L. STENS

*Lehrstuhl A für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen University
Templergraben 55, 52062 Aachen, Germany
E-mail: Butzer@rwth-aachen.de, Stens@matha.rwth-aachen.de*

G. SCHMEISSER

*Department of Mathematics, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg
Cauerstr. 11, 91058 Erlangen, Germany
E-mail: Schmeisser@mi.uni-erlangen.de*

Abstract. Some basic theorems and formulae (equations and inequalities) of several areas of mathematics that hold in Bernstein spaces B_σ^p are no longer valid in larger spaces. However, when a function f is in some sense close to a Bernstein space, then the corresponding relation holds with a remainder or error term. This paper presents a new, unified approach to these errors in terms of the distance of f from B_σ^p . The difficult situation of derivative-free error estimates is also covered.

This paper¹ is first concerned with several basic theorems which hold in Bernstein spaces B_σ^2 , but are no longer valid in larger function spaces. These include the Whittaker–

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 42A38; Secondary 30H10, 41A17, 46E35, 65D25, 94A20.

Key words and phrases: Bandlimited functions, Non-bandlimited functions, Bernstein spaces, Sobolev spaces, Modulation spaces, Hardy spaces, Derivative-free error estimates, Sampling formulae, Differentiation formulae, Poisson's summation formula, Reproducing kernel formula, General Parseval formula, Bernstein's inequality.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

¹This paper is the basis of the invited lecture of the first of the three authors at the international Conference *Function Spaces X*, held at Poznań, July 9–14, 2012, the chairmen being J. Musielak and H. Hudzik.

Kotel'nikov–Shannon sampling theorem, the Valiron–Tschakaloff interpolation formula, Poisson's summation formula (special case), the general Parseval formula and the reproducing kernel formula.

Bernstein's inequality and Boas type formulae for higher order derivatives are treated as well.

Now if a function belongs to a space which is in some sense close to B_σ^2 , such as Sobolev, Lipschitz, modulation or Hardy spaces, it is to be expected that the foregoing theorems are not violated drastically, but that their extensions to these spaces should be valid with a remainder which involves the distance of f in the wider space from B_σ^2 .

The second part is devoted to the introduction of an appropriate metric for describing the distance between a function belonging to such a space from B_σ^2 . A number of propositions and corollaries are presented involving estimates for this distance in case of the spaces mentioned. An innovation of this lecture are derivative free error estimates. Rates of convergence are also covered in detail.

In the third part this new unified theoretical approach is applied to estimate the remainders occurring in the extended versions of the theorems mentioned, including the approximate sampling theorem, the generalized Parseval decomposition formula, and the approximate reproducing kernel formula.

The four formulae for B_σ^2 presented in Section 1 are all equivalent to each other in the sense that each is a corollary of the others. Likewise the six formulae of Section 2 for the space F^2 , the largest space in which the Fourier transform, our basic tool, can be applied effectively, are also equivalent to each other. What is surprising is that all ten formulae are even equivalent. Proofs of the results not given here or in [7] will follow in later papers.

1. Motivation: Basic theorems for bandlimited functions. Let B_σ^p for $\sigma > 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the Bernstein space comprising all entire functions $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that belong to $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ when restricted to the real axis and are as well of exponential type σ , so that they satisfy the inequality $f(z) = \mathcal{O}_f(\exp(\sigma |\Im z|))$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.

According to the Paley–Wiener theorem, the (distributional) Fourier transform of those functions has compact support contained in $[-\sigma, \sigma]$, where the Fourier transform is normalized by $\hat{f}(v) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) e^{-iv u} du$.

There exist numerous relations (equations or inequalities) of the form

$$U(f) = V_\sigma(f) \quad \text{or} \quad U(f) \leq V_\sigma(f) \quad (f \in B_\sigma^2), \quad (1)$$

where U and V_σ are functionals; see [3]. Relations of this type are no longer valid outside B_σ^2 . But if f belongs to a larger space, close to B_σ^2 , then they should hold with an additional remainder $R_\sigma f$, such that

$$U(f) = V_\sigma(f) + R_\sigma f \quad \text{or} \quad U(f) \leq V_\sigma(f) + R_\sigma f \quad (2)$$

with $R_\sigma f$ depending on the distance of f from B_σ^2 .

An example of an inequality is Bernstein's inequality:

$$\|f^{(s)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \sigma^s \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \quad (f \in B_\sigma^2; s \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (3)$$

with $U(f) = \|f^{(s)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ and $V_\sigma(f) = \sigma^s \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. If f belongs to the larger space, $f \in F^2$ (see below), and $v^s \widehat{f}(v) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then (3) holds only with an additional remainder $R_\sigma f = R_{\sigma,s}^{\text{Bern}} f$, i.e., we have the approximate Bernstein inequality

$$\|f^{(s)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \sigma^s \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + R_{\sigma,s}^{\text{Bern}} f,$$

where the remainder can be estimated by

$$|R_{\sigma,s}^{\text{Bern}} f| \leq \left\{ \int_{|v|>\sigma} |v^s \widehat{f}(v)|^2 dv \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Now the integral on the right can be expressed in terms of the so-called distance functional $\text{dist}_2(f^{(s)}, B_\sigma^2)$ (see (21)), measuring the distance of the function $f^{(s)} \in F^2$ from B_σ^2 . Furthermore, this functional can be estimated in terms of the modulus of smoothness giving (see Proposition 4.2, Corollary 4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{\sigma,s}^{\text{Bern}} f| &\leq \text{dist}_2(f^{(s)}, B_\sigma^2) \leq c \left\{ \int_\sigma^\infty v^{-1} [\omega_r(f^{(s)}, v^{-1}, L^2(\mathbb{R}))]^2 dv \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-\alpha}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

the latter \mathcal{O} -estimate holding if additionally $f^{(s)} \in \text{Lip}_r(\alpha, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, $0 < \alpha \leq r$.

The distance functional itself as well as various estimates for it, one given e.g. in (4), are the new basic concepts of this paper; see Section 4.

Let us now consider some examples of equalities in (1). The classical sampling theorem of signal analysis, connected with the names of C. Shannon (1948/49), V. A. Kotel'nikov (1933), E. T. Whittaker (1915), and many others, states that a function $f \in B_\sigma^2$ has the following representation:

CLASSICAL SAMPLING THEOREM (CST). For $f \in B_\sigma^2$ with some $\sigma > 0$ we have

$$f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \text{sinc} \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \left(z - \frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}), \quad (5)$$

the convergence being absolute and uniform on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} .

The sinc-function is given by $\text{sinc} z := \sin(\pi z)/(\pi z)$ for $z \neq 0$, and $\text{sinc} z := 1$ for $z = 0$. In the words of the motivation

$$U(f) = f(z), \quad V_\sigma(f) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \text{sinc} \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \left(z - \frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right).$$

Let us first emphasize that this formula is equivalent to several other striking formulae of mathematical analysis (see [3]) such as:

POISSON'S SUMMATION FORMULA (PSF, PARTICULAR CASE). For $f \in B_\sigma^1$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) dt = \frac{2\pi}{\sigma} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{2k\pi}{\sigma}\right). \quad (6)$$

GENERAL PARSEVAL FORMULA (GPF). For $f, g \in B_\sigma^2$ with $\sigma > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \bar{g}(t) dt = \frac{\pi}{\sigma} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \bar{g}\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right). \quad (7)$$

REPRODUCING KERNEL FORMULA (RKF). For $f \in B_\sigma^2$ with $\sigma > 0$

$$f(z) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\pi}(z-t)\right) dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (8)$$

This means that B_σ^2 is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, i.e., there exists a kernel function $k(\cdot, z)$ which belongs to B_σ^2 for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$f(z) = \langle f(\cdot), k(\cdot, z) \rangle \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

VALIRON'S OR TSCHAKALOFF'S SAMPLING/INTERPOLATION FORMULA (VSF). For $f \in B_\sigma^\infty$ with $\sigma > 0$, we have for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$f(z) = (f'(0)z + f(0)) \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma z}{\pi}\right) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \frac{\sigma z}{k\pi} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma z}{\pi} - k\right), \quad (9)$$

the convergence being absolute and uniform on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} .

2. Extensions to non-bandlimited functions. We now weaken the assumption of $f \in B_\sigma^2$, i.e., the Fourier transform \widehat{f} has support contained in $[-\sigma, \sigma]$, to $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. In this respect we introduce the *Fourier inversion class* for $p \in [1, 2]$,

$$F^p := \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}), \widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})\},$$

as well as the ℓ^p *summability class* for *step size* $h > 0$

$$S_h^p := \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : (f(hk))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z})\}.$$

In the frame of these spaces all the formulae mentioned above hold only approximately in the sense that they have to be equipped with (additional) remainder terms. More precisely, the classical sampling theorem (5) is replaced by the

APPROXIMATE/EXTENDED SAMPLING THEOREM (AST). For $f \in F^2 \cap S_{\pi/\sigma}^1$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \operatorname{sinc} \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \left(t - \frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) + (R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}} f)(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}), \\ (R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}} f)(t) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - e^{-i2k\sigma t}\right) \int_{(2k-1)\sigma}^{(2k+1)\sigma} \widehat{f}(v) e^{ivt} dv, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

the series converging absolutely and uniformly on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, the remainder $R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}} f$ can be estimated by

$$|(R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}} f)(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{|v| \geq \sigma} |\widehat{f}(u)| du = o(1) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty), \quad (11)$$

which yields

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \operatorname{sinc} \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \left(t - \frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) = f(t) \quad (\text{uniformly for } t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

This theorem is associated with the names of Weiss (1963), Brown (1967) and Butzer–Splettstößer (1977).

The particular case of Poisson's summation formula for $f \in B_\sigma^1$, thus (6), is generalized to the classical form:

POISSON'S SUMMATION FORMULA (PSF). For $f \in F^1$ with $\widehat{f} \in S_{\pi/\sigma}^1$

$$\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(x + \frac{2k\sigma}{\pi}\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) e^{ik\pi x/\sigma} \quad (\text{a. e.}). \quad (12)$$

In case of the general Parseval formula (7) one has even to add two remainder terms, leading to

GENERALIZED PARSEVAL DECOMPOSITION FORMULA (GPDF). For $f \in F^2 \cap S_{\pi/\sigma}^1$, $\sigma > 0$, and $g \in F^2$, there holds $R_{\sigma}^{\text{WKS}} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) \overline{g}(u) du = \frac{\pi}{\sigma} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \overline{g}\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) + R_{\sigma}(f, g) \quad (13)$$

with

$$R_{\sigma}(f, g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} (R_{\sigma}^{\text{WKS}} f)(u) \overline{g}(u) du + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \int_{|v| \geq \sigma} \widehat{g}(v) e^{ik\pi v/\sigma} dv,$$

where $R_{\sigma}^{\text{WKS}} f$ is given by (2).

This extended Parseval decomposition formula is due to Butzer–Gessinger [4].

Similarly, the reproducing kernel formula (8) is equipped with two additional terms:

APPROXIMATE REPRODUCING KERNEL FORMULA (ARKF). For $f \in F^2$:

$$f(t) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\pi}(t-u)\right) du + (R_{\sigma}^{\text{RKf}} f)(t) \quad (14)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{\sigma}^{\text{RKf}} f)(t) &:= (R_{\sigma}^{\text{WKS}} f)(t) - \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (R_{\sigma}^{\text{WKS}} f)(u) \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\pi}(t-u)\right) du \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|v| \geq \sigma} \widehat{f}(v) e^{ivt} dv. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$|(R_{\sigma}^{\text{RKf}} f)(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|v| \geq \sigma} |\widehat{f}(v)| dv.$$

The extension of the Valiron–Tschakaloff sampling formula (VSF) to non-bandlimited functions reads:

APPROXIMATE VALIRON–TSCHAKALOFF SAMPLING FORMULA (AVSF). Let $f \in F^2$ and let $v\widehat{f}(v)$ be absolutely integrable. Then for $\sigma > 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$f(t) = (f'(0)t + f(0)) \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma t}{\pi}\right) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \frac{\sigma t}{k\pi} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma t}{\pi} - k\right) + (R_{\sigma}^{\text{VT}} f)(t),$$

where the series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R} and

$$(R_{\sigma}^{\text{VT}} f)(t) = (R_{\sigma}^{\text{WKS}} f)(t) - i \sin(\sigma t) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2k}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{(2k-1)\sigma}^{(2k+1)\sigma} \widehat{f}(v) dv \quad (15)$$

with $R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}}f$ again given by (2). Furthermore,

$$|(R_\sigma^{\text{VT}}f)(t)| \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|v| \geq \sigma} |\widehat{f}(v)| dv + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{|v| \geq \sigma} |v\widehat{f}(v)| dv = o(1) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

Clearly, if the functions involved belong to the (particular) Bernstein space B_σ^2 , then, according to the Paley–Wiener theorem, the remainder terms in (11), (13), (14) and (15) vanish, and one obtains the particular versions (5), (7), (8) and (9). Similarly, for $f \in B_\sigma^1$ and $x = 0$, Poisson’s summation formula (12) reduces to the particular case (6).

3. Boas-type formulae for higher derivatives. The following differentiation formula is due to Boas [1], who used it to give an elementary proof of Bernstein’s inequality (3) for $f \in B_\sigma^\infty$.

Let $f \in B_{\pi/h}^\infty$, where $h > 0$. Then, for $h = \pi/\sigma$, we have

$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\pi(k-1/2)^2} f(t + h(k-1/2)). \quad (16)$$

As usual in numerical analysis, we have replaced the step size parameter σ by π/h . Formula (16) can be generalized to higher order derivatives (see [16, 6]):

THEOREM 3.1. Let $f \in B_{\pi/h}^\infty$ for some $h > 0$. Then for $s \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f^{(2s-1)}(t) = \frac{1}{h^{2s-1}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} A_{s,k} f\left(t + h\left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}), \quad (17)$$

where

$$A_{s,k} := \frac{(2s-1)!}{\pi(k-1/2)^{2s}} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{(2j)!} \left[\pi\left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]^{2j} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

The extension to non-bandlimited functions reads [6]:

THEOREM 3.2. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in F^2$ and let $v^{2s-1}f(v)$ be absolutely integrable. Then $f^{(2s-1)}$ exists and for $h > 0$ formula (17) extends to

$$f^{(2s-1)}(t) = \frac{1}{h^{2s-1}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{k+1} A_{s,k} f\left(t + h\left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) + (R_{2s-1,h}^{\text{Boas}}f)(t), \quad (18)$$

where

$$(R_{2s-1,h}^{\text{Boas}}f)(t) = \frac{i(-1)^{s-1}}{\sqrt{2\pi}h^{2s-1}} \int_{|v| \geq \pi/h} [(hv)^{2s-1} - \phi_{2s-1}(hv)] \widehat{f}(v) e^{ivt} dv$$

with ϕ_{2s-1} being the 4π -periodic function defined by

$$\phi_{2s-1}(v) = \begin{cases} v^{2s-1}, & -\pi \leq v \leq \pi, \\ (2\pi - v)^{2s-1}, & \pi < v \leq 3\pi. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$|(R_{2s-1,h}^{\text{Boas}}f)(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{|v| \geq \pi/h} |v|^{2s-1} |\widehat{f}(v)| dv = o(1) \quad (h \rightarrow 0).$$

Similar results hold for even order derivatives.

4. Foundations for a unified approach to extensions: A hierarchy of wider spaces and estimates for the distance of f from B_σ^2 . We have seen that there exist formulae for functions in B_σ^p that hold for $f \in F^p$ (or a subspace of it) with a remainder $R_\sigma f$ tending to zero as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. Now we aim at a unified approach to such extensions with error estimates presented in terms of the distance of f from B_σ^p .

A hierarchy of spaces. In our approach, the Fourier inversion class F^p for $p \in [1, 2]$ is the largest space beyond B_σ^p for which the remainder $R_\sigma f$ in the representation (2) can be evaluated and estimated effectively. However, if we want $R_\sigma f$ to converge rapidly to zero as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, we should rather consider a subspace of F^p . It is therefore desirable to know a hierarchy of spaces lying between B_σ^p and F^p . Our considerations include:

- The *modulation space* $M^{2,1}$ comprising all functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{M^{2,1}} := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \int_n^{n+1} |\widehat{f}(v)|^2 dv \right\}^{1/2} < \infty. \quad (19)$$

- The subspace $M_*^{2,1}$ comprising all functions $f \in M^{2,1}$ such that the series

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{h} \left\{ \int_n^{n+1} \left| \widehat{f}\left(\frac{v}{h}\right) \right|^2 dv \right\}^{1/2}$$

converges uniformly with respect to h on bounded subintervals of $(0, \infty)$.

- The *Lipschitz spaces* for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq r$,

$$\text{Lip}_r(\alpha; L^2(\mathbb{R})) := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \omega_r(f; \delta; L^2(\mathbb{R})) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^\alpha), \delta \rightarrow 0+\},$$

where

$$\omega_r(f; \delta; L^2(\mathbb{R})) := \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|\Delta_h^r f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

is the modulus of smoothness of order r with respect to the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ norm, and $(\Delta_h^r f)(u)$ is the forward difference of order r , defined by

$$(\Delta_h^r f)(u) := \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^{r-j} \binom{r}{j} f(u + jh).$$

- The *Sobolev spaces*

$$W^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) : v^r \widehat{f}(v) = \widehat{g}(v), g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})\},$$

$$\|f\|_{W^{r,p}(\mathbb{R})} := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^r \|f^{(k)}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p \right\}^{1/p}.$$

- The *Hardy spaces* of functions f analytic in the strip

$$\mathcal{S}_d := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Im z| < d\}$$

such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p(\mathcal{S}_d)} := \left[\sup_{0 < y < d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(t - iy)|^p + |f(t + iy)|^p}{2} dt \right]^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Let us observe that modulation spaces are connected with the well-known Wiener amalgam spaces $W(L^p, \ell^q)$ which comprise all measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{p,q} := \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \int_n^{n+1} |f(t)|^p dt \right\}^{q/p} \right\}^{1/q} = \left\| \|f\|_{L^p(n, n+1)} \right\|_{\ell^q} < \infty$$

with the usual convention applying when p or q is infinite. Note that $L^p(\mathbb{R}) = W(L^p, \ell^p)$. In fact, the elements f of $M^{2,1}$ are exactly the Fourier transforms of the elements g in the amalgam space $W(L^2, \ell^1)$; this gives the norm in (19). Whereas amalgam spaces were introduced by N. Wiener [17], and first studied systematically by F. Holland [14] (based on earlier work by J. L. B. Cooper [10] on positive definite functions), modulation spaces were first presented by H. G. Feichtinger at Oberwolfach [11, 12].

For the spaces above, we have the following inclusions

$$B_\sigma^p|_{\mathbb{R}} \subsetneq H^p(\mathcal{S}_d)|_{\mathbb{R}} \subsetneq W^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}) \subsetneq F^p \cap S_h^p \subsetneq F^p \subsetneq L^p(\mathbb{R}). \quad (20)$$

Here $|_{\mathbb{R}}$ means that the functions of the corresponding space are restricted to \mathbb{R} . For $p = 2$ these inclusions can be further refined by invoking the Lipschitz and the modulation spaces. We have

$$W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}) \subsetneq M_*^{2,1} \subsetneq M^{2,1} \subsetneq F^2 \cap S_h^2$$

and

$$M_*^{2,1} \subsetneq \text{Lip}_r(\tfrac{1}{2}, L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap F^2.$$

The strict inclusion relation $M^{2,1} \subsetneq F^2 \cap S_h^2$ will be proven in Section 6.

Norms and distances. In order to measure the distance of a function f belonging to F^2 (or to one of its subspaces) from B_σ^2 , we need to introduce a metric in F^2 . For $q \in [1, 2]$ and $f \in F^2$, we define

$$\|f\|_q := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(v)|^q dv \right\}^{1/q} \equiv \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})},$$

which endows F^2 with a norm. It induces a metric

$$\|f - g\|_q :=: \text{dist}_q(f, g) \quad (f, g \in F^2),$$

which allows us to define the distance of $f \in F^2$ from B_σ^2 as

$$\text{dist}_q(f, B_\sigma^2) := \inf_{g \in B_\sigma^2} \|f - g\|_q \equiv \inf_{g \in B_\sigma^2} \|\widehat{f} - \widehat{g}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (21)$$

For $q = 2$, $\text{dist}_2(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, by the isometry of the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -Fourier transform.

Classical Banach space norms are generally too strong for measuring distances in our problems. Indeed, an example in the instance of Sobolev spaces with $p = 2$ is given in

PROPOSITION 4.1. *There exists $f \in B_\sigma^2$ and a sequence $f_n \in W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_2(f_n, f) = 0$, but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R})} = \infty$.*

In fact for the sequence

$$f_n(t) := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{i\pi n^2 t}}{n} \text{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{n\pi}\right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$\widehat{f}_n(v) = \text{rect}(n\pi v - n^2\pi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |v - n| < \frac{1}{n}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } |v - n| = \frac{1}{n}, \\ 0 & \text{if } |v - n| > \frac{1}{n}. \end{cases}$$

Using the isometry of the Fourier transform, we see that

$$\|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \frac{2}{n}$$

and, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|f_n^{(k)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v^k \widehat{f}_n(v)|^2 dv = \int_{n-1/n}^{n+1/n} v^{2k} dv > 2n^{2k-1}.$$

Thus, for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|f_n\|_{W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R})} \geq \sqrt{2n}.$$

This yields the desired result.

The following fundamental results hold, the first certainly being a derivative free error estimate. It is always assumed that $1 \leq q \leq 2$.

PROPOSITION 4.2.

a) If $f \in F^2$, then

$$\text{dist}_q(f, B_\sigma^2) = \left\{ \int_{|v|>\sigma} |\widehat{f}(v)|^q dv \right\}^{1/q} \leq c \left\{ \int_\sigma^\infty v^{-q/2} [\omega_r(f, v^{-1}, L^2(\mathbb{R}))]^q dv \right\}^{1/q}.$$

b) If $f \in W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ with $v^s \widehat{f}(v) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f^{(s)}$ exists and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_q(f^{(s)}, B_\sigma^2) &= \left\{ \int_{|v|>\sigma} |v^s \widehat{f}(v)|^q dv \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\leq c \left\{ \int_\sigma^\infty v^{-q/2} [\omega_r(f^{(s)}, v^{-1}, L^2(\mathbb{R}))]^q dv \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

These estimates enable us to determine the rates of convergence as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ for the subspaces of F^2 listed above.

COROLLARY 4.3.

a) If $f \in \text{Lip}_r(\alpha, L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap F^2$ and $1/q - 1/2 < \alpha \leq r$, then

$$\text{dist}_q(f, B_\sigma^2) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-\alpha-1/2+1/q}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

b) If $f \in F^2$ and $f^{(s)} \in \text{Lip}_r(\alpha, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \alpha \leq r$, then

$$\text{dist}_q(f, B_\sigma^2) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-\alpha-s-1/2+1/q}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

COROLLARY 4.4. If $f \in W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(r)} \in M_x^{2,1}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\text{dist}_q(f, B_\sigma^2) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r-1+1/q}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty),$$

and for $s \leq r$,

$$\text{dist}_q(f^{(s)}, B_\sigma^2) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r-1+s+1/q}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

In Sobolev spaces the distances also converge to zero like a power of $1/\sigma$ and in Hardy spaces they converge to zero exponentially. Indeed, we have:

COROLLARY 4.5.

a) Let $f \in W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $s \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_q(f, B_\sigma^2) &= \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r-1/2+1/q}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty), \\ \text{dist}_q(f^{(s)}, B_\sigma^2) &= \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r-1/2+s+1/q}) \quad (r > s + 1/q - 1/2; \sigma \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

b) Let $f \in H^2(\mathcal{S}_d)$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $q \in [1, 2]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_q(f, B_\sigma^2) &= \mathcal{O}(e^{-d\sigma}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty), \\ \text{dist}_q(f^{(s)}, B_\sigma^2) &= \mathcal{O}(\sigma^s e^{-d\sigma}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Since dist_2 is the euclidean distance, the characterization of Lip-functions due to Jungburth–Scherer–Trebels [15] gives

PROPOSITION 4.6. Let $f \in F^2$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 < \alpha \leq r$. Then

$$f \in \text{Lip}_r(\alpha, L^2(\mathbb{R})) \iff \text{dist}_2(f, B_\sigma^2) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-\alpha}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

5. Applications of the distance approach to the remainders of the formulae under discussion. After these preparations we turn to the errors involved under the extensions to larger spaces. The new results include:

THEOREM 5.1 (AST, [8, 9]). For $f \in F^2 \cap S_{\pi/\sigma}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(t) - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \text{sinc} \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \left(t - \frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \right| &= |(R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}} f)(t)| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \text{dist}_1(f, B_\sigma^2) \leq c \int_\sigma^\infty v^{-1/2} \omega_r(f, v^{-1}, L^2(\mathbb{R})) dv \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}; \sigma > 0). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the integral may be infinite, but under the assumptions of Corollaries 4.3, 4.5 it is finite, and we also have the following rates of convergence for $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$:

COROLLARY 5.2.

a) (*Lipschitz space*) If $f \in \text{Lip}_r(\alpha, L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap F^2 \cap S_{\pi/\sigma}^2$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1/2 < \alpha \leq r$, then

$$(R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-\alpha+1/2}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

b) (*Sobolev space*) If $f \in W^{r,2} \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$(R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r+1/2}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

c) (*Hardy space*) If $f \in H^2(\mathcal{S}_d)$, then

$$(R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-d\sigma}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

d) (*Modulation space*) If $f \in W^{r,2} \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(r)} \in M_\star^{2,1}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$(R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

THEOREM 5.3 (GPDF, [4, 2]). *If $f \in F^2 \cap S_{\pi/\sigma}^1$, $\sigma > 0$, and $g \in F^1$, then $R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) \bar{g}(u) du - \frac{\pi}{\sigma} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \bar{g}\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \right| &= |R_\sigma(f, g)| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \text{dist}_1(f, B_\sigma^2) + \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \text{dist}_1(g, B_\sigma^2) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

If, in addition, $f, g \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$R_\sigma(f, g) \leq \frac{C}{\sigma} \left\{ \text{dist}_2(f', B_\sigma^2) + \text{dist}_2(g', B_\sigma^2) + \frac{\pi}{\sigma} \text{dist}_2(f', B_\sigma^2) \text{dist}_2(g', B_\sigma^2) \right\}.$$

This theorem leads to the following corollary.

COROLLARY 5.4.

a) *Let $f, g \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $v\hat{f}(v)$ and $v\hat{g}(v)$ are absolutely integrable. If $f', g' \in \text{Lip}_r(\alpha, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, $0 < \alpha \leq r$, then*

$$R_\sigma(f, g)(t) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-\alpha-1}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

b) *Let $f, g \in W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $v\hat{f}(v)$ and $v\hat{g}(v)$ are absolutely integrable. Then*

$$R_\sigma(f, g)(t) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

c) *If $f, g \in H^2(\mathcal{S}_d)$, then*

$$R_\sigma(f, g)(t) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-d\sigma}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

d) *Let $f, g \in W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f^{(r)}$ and $g^{(r)}$ both belong to $M_{\star}^{2,1}$, then*

$$R_\sigma(f, g)(t) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r-1/2}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

THEOREM 5.5 (ARKF, [7]). *For $f \in F^2$*

$$\left| f(t) - \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\pi}(t-u)\right) du \right| = |(R_\sigma^{\text{RKf}} f)(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \text{dist}_1(f, B_\sigma^2).$$

Comparing Theorems 5.5 and 5.1 shows that Corollary 5.2 remains valid, if $R_\sigma^{\text{WKS}} f$ is replaced by $R_\sigma^{\text{RKf}} f$.

Next we turn to the approximate Valiron sampling formula.

THEOREM 5.6 (AVSF, [7]). *Let $f \in F^2$ with $v\hat{f}(v) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then for $\sigma > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(t) - \left\{ (f'(0)t + f(0)) \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma t}{\pi}\right) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\sigma}\right) \frac{\sigma t}{k\pi} \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma t}{\pi} - k\right) \right\} \right| \\ = |(R_\sigma^{\text{VT}} f)(t)| \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \text{dist}_1(f, B_\sigma^2) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \text{dist}_1(f', B_\sigma^2) \leq \frac{2}{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \text{dist}_1(f', B_\sigma^2). \end{aligned}$$

The following corollaries result.

COROLLARY 5.7.

a) Let $f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $v\hat{f}(v) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. If $1/2 < \alpha \leq r$ and $f' \in \text{Lip}_r(\alpha, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, then

$$(R_\sigma^{\text{VT}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-\alpha-1/2}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

b) Let $f \in W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$, $r \geq 2$. Then

$$(R_\sigma^{\text{VT}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r+1/2}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

c) If $f \in H^2(\mathcal{S}_d)$, then

$$(R_\sigma^{\text{VT}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-d\sigma}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

d) If $f \in W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(r)} \in M_\star^{2,1}$, then

$$(R_\sigma^{\text{VT}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

Next to the extended version of Boas' formula for higher order derivatives (18):

THEOREM 5.8 ((16), [16, 6, 7]). Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in F^2$, and let $v^{2s-1}f(v)$ be absolutely integrable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f^{(2s-1)}(t) - \frac{1}{h^{2s-1}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{k+1} A_{s,k} f\left(t + h\left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \right| \\ & = |(R_{2s-1,h}^{\text{Boas}} f)(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \text{dist}_1(f^{(2s-1)}, B_{\pi/h}^2) \quad (h > 0). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 5.9.

a) Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in W^{2s-1,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$, and let $v^{2s-1}f(v)$ be absolutely integrable. If $f^{(2s-1)} \in \text{Lip}_r(\alpha, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, $1/2 < \alpha \leq r$, then

$$(R_{2s-1,h}^{\text{Boas}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(h^{\alpha-1/2}) \quad (h \rightarrow 0).$$

b) Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq 2s$, and $f \in W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$(R_{2s-1,h}^{\text{Boas}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(h^{r+1/2-2s}) \quad (h \rightarrow 0).$$

c) If $f \in H^2(\mathcal{S}_d)$, then

$$(R_{2s-1,h}^{\text{Boas}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(h^{-2s+1}e^{-d\pi/h}) \quad (h \rightarrow 0).$$

d) Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq 2s$, and $f \in W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$. If $f^{(r)}$ belongs to $M_\star^{2,1}$, then

$$(R_{2s-1,h}^{\text{Boas}} f)(t) = \mathcal{O}(h^{r+1-2s}) \quad (h \rightarrow 0).$$

We finally turn to Bernstein's inequality, already discussed in the "motivation" of Section 1. Returning to the estimate (4) for the remainder, we have the following corollary concerning the rates of convergence.

COROLLARY 5.10.

a) Let $f \in W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $v^s\hat{f}(v) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f^{(s)} \in \text{Lip}_r(\alpha, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, $0 < \alpha \leq r$, then

$$R_{\sigma,s}^{\text{Bern}} f = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-\alpha}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

b) Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $r > s$, and $f \in W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$R_{\sigma,s}^{\text{Bern}} f = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r+s}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

c) If $f \in H^2(\mathcal{S}_d)$, then

$$R_{\sigma,s}^{\text{Bern}} f = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^s e^{-d\sigma}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

d) Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq s$, and $f \in W^{r,2}(\mathbb{R})$. If $f^{(r)}$ belongs to $M_*^{2,1}$, then

$$R_{\sigma,s}^{\text{Bern}} f = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-r-1/2+s}) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow \infty).$$

6. The modulation space and F^2 . We here return to the inclusion chain (20) of Section 4.

PROPOSITION 6.1. *The following strict inclusion relation is true:*

$$M^{2,1} \subsetneq F^2 \cap S_1^2.$$

Proof. If $f \in M^{2,1}$, then $f = \widehat{g}$ with $g \in W(L^2, \ell^1)$. In view of two standard inclusion relations for Wiener amalgam spaces [13, (2.3), (2.4)],

$$W(L^2, \ell^1) \subset W(L^2, \ell^2) = L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad W(L^2, \ell^1) \subset W(L^1, \ell^1) = L^1(\mathbb{R}),$$

one has that $f = \widehat{g} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$, noting [5, Prop. 5.1.2, Prop. 5.2.1]. Furthermore $\widehat{f}(v) = \widehat{\widehat{g}}(v) = g(-v) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Altogether we see that f belongs to F^2 .

But $M^{2,1}$ is also a subset of S_1^2 . Indeed, by a basic result on Fourier transforms in Wiener amalgam spaces [14, Theorem 2], [13, Theorem 2.8], it follows that $g \in W(L^2, \ell^1)$ implies $\widehat{g} \in W(L^\infty, \ell^2)$. Thus $M^{2,1}$ is a subset of $W(L^\infty, \ell^2)$, which is obviously a subset of S_1^2 .

It remains to show that $M^{2,1} \neq F^2 \cap S_1^2$, thus that there exists a function $f \in (F^2 \cap S_1^2) \setminus M^{2,1}$. We here reproduce such a fitting example, sketched and provided by Przemysław Wojtaszczyk.²

Let $\varphi \in C^5(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi(t) > 0$ for $t \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and zero outside. Define

$$g(t) := \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/2} \ln n} \varphi(n(t - n - \frac{1}{2})) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}), \tag{22}$$

and $f := \widehat{g}$, which is the example in question. Then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \int_n^{n+1} |g(t)|^2 dt \right\}^{1/2} = \|\varphi\|_{L^2[-1/2, 1/2]} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \ln n} = \infty$$

and so $g \notin W(L^2, \ell^1)$, or equivalently, $f \notin M^{2,1}$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= \|\varphi\|_{L^2[-1/2, 1/2]}^2 \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \ln^2 n} < \infty, \\ \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \|\varphi\|_{L^1[-1/2, 1/2]} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2} \ln n} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f \in F^2$.

²In private conversation at the workshop *From Abstract to Computational Harmonic Analysis* held at Strobl, June 2011, Hans Feichtinger mentioned properties of amalgam and modulation spaces for supporting the opinion that $M^{2,1}$ and $F^2 \cap S_1^2$ cannot be equal while Prof. Wojtaszczyk (Warsaw) kindly came up with the example reproduced here.

Using (22), one finds that for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(t)| = \left| \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2} \ln n} \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) e^{-it(n+1/2)} \right| \leq \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2} \ln n} \left| \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \right|. \quad (23)$$

Since $\varphi \in C^5(\mathbb{R})$, one has for some constant c_0 depending only on φ ,

$$|\widehat{\varphi}(t)| \leq c_0 \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{|t|^5}\right\}. \quad (24)$$

Now let k be an integer outside the interval $[-3, 3]$. Splitting the summation in (23) into the ranges $3 \leq n \leq |k|$ and $|k| + 1 \leq n < \infty$, and using (24), one may estimate

$$|f(k)| \leq \frac{c_0}{|k|^{5/2}} \Sigma_k + c_0 \Sigma'_k, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\Sigma_k := \sum_{n=3}^{|k|} \frac{n^{7/2}}{\ln n} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma'_k := \sum_{n=|k|+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2} \ln n}.$$

Clearly,

$$\Sigma'_k < \frac{1}{\ln |k|} \sum_{n=|k|+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} < \frac{1}{\ln |k|} \int_{|k|}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{3/2}} = \frac{2}{|k|^{1/2} \ln |k|}. \quad (26)$$

As regards Σ_k , one notes that $n^{7/2}/\ln n$ is increasing for $n \geq 3$ and so, by integral comparison and subsequent integration by parts one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_k &< \int_e^{|k|+1} \frac{x^{7/2}}{\ln x} dx = \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{x^{9/2}}{\ln x} \Big|_e^{|k|+1} + \frac{2}{9} \int_e^{|k|+1} \frac{x^{7/2}}{\ln^2 x} dx \\ &< \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{x^{9/2}}{\ln x} \Big|_e^{|k|+1} + \frac{2}{9} \int_e^{|k|+1} \frac{x^{7/2}}{\ln x} dx. \end{aligned}$$

From this, one deduces that

$$\Sigma_k < \frac{2}{7} \cdot \frac{x^{9/2}}{\ln x} \Big|_e^{|k|+1} \leq c_1 \frac{|k|^{9/2}}{\ln |k|} \quad (27)$$

with a constant c_1 . Combining (25)–(27), one arrives at

$$|f(k)| < \frac{c_0(2 + c_1)}{|k|^{1/2} \ln |k|} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus [-3, 3]).$$

This shows that $f \in S_1^2$. This completes the proof of the proposition. ■

References

- [1] R. P. Boas, *The derivative of a trigonometric integral*, J. London Math. Soc. 12 (1937), 164–165.
- [2] P. L. Butzer, M. M. Dodson, P. J. S. G. Ferreira, J. R. Higgins, G. Schmeisser, R. L. Stens, *Seven pivotal theorems of Fourier analysis, signal analysis, numerical analysis and number theory: their interconnections*, Bull. Math. Sci. (DOI: 10.1007/s13373-014-0057-3, published online, 2014).

- [3] P. L. Butzer, P. J. S. G. Ferreira, J. R. Higgins, G. Schmeisser, R. L. Stens, *The sampling theorem, Poisson's summation formula, general Parseval formula, reproducing kernel formula and the Paley-Wiener theorem for bandlimited signals — their interconnections*, Appl. Anal. 90 (2011), 431–461.
- [4] P. L. Butzer, A. Gessinger, *The approximate sampling theorem, Poisson's sum formula, a decomposition theorem for Parseval's equation and their interconnections*, Ann. Numer. Math. 4 (1997), 143–160.
- [5] P. L. Butzer, R. J. Nessel, *Fourier Analysis and Approximation*, Academic Press, New York, Birkhäuser, Basel 1971.
- [6] P. L. Butzer, G. Schmeisser, R. L. Stens, *Shannon's sampling theorem for bandlimited signals and their Hilbert transform, Boas-type formulae for higher order derivatives — the aliasing error involved by their extensions from bandlimited to non-bandlimited signals*, Entropy 14 (2012), 2192–2226.
- [7] P. L. Butzer, G. Schmeisser, R. L. Stens, *Basic relations valid for the Bernstein space B_σ^p and their extensions to functions from larger spaces with error estimates in terms of their distances from B_σ^p* , J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 19 (2013), 333–375.
- [8] P. L. Butzer, S. W. Splettstößer, *A sampling theorem for duration-limited functions with error estimates*, Information and Control 34 (1977), 55–65.
- [9] P. L. Butzer, S. W. Splettstößer, R. L. Stens, *The sampling theorem and linear prediction in signal analysis*, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 90 (1988), 1–70.
- [10] J. L. B. Cooper, *Positive definite functions of a real variable*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 10 (1960), 53–66.
- [11] H. G. Feichtinger, *Banach spaces of distributions of Wiener's type and interpolation*, in: Functional Analysis and Approximation (Oberwolfach, 1980), Internat. Ser. Numer. Math. 60, Birkhäuser, Basel 1981, 153–165.
- [12] H. G. Feichtinger, *Modulation spaces: looking back and ahead*, Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process. 5 (2006), 109–140.
- [13] J. J. F. Fournier, J. Stewart, *Amalgams of L^p and l^q* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 13 (1985), 1–21.
- [14] F. Holland, *Square-summable positive-definite functions on the real line*, in: Linear Operators and Approximation II (Proc. Conf., Math. Res. Inst., Oberwolfach, 1974), Internat. Ser. Numer. Math. 25, Birkhäuser, Basel 1974, 247–257.
- [15] J. Junggeburth, K. Scherer, W. Trebels, *Zur besten Approximation auf Banachräumen mit Anwendungen auf ganze Funktionen*, Forschungsber. des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen 2311 (1973), 51–75.
- [16] G. Schmeisser, *Numerical differentiation inspired by a formula of R. P. Boas*, J. Approx. Theory 160 (2009), 202–222.
- [17] N. Wiener, *Tauberian theorems*, Ann. of Math. (2) 33 (1932), 1–100.

