

ON SIMONS' VERSION OF HAHN–BANACH–LAGRANGE THEOREM

JERZY GRZYBOWSKI, HUBERT PRZYBYCIEŃ and RYSZARD URBAŃSKI

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Adam Mickiewicz University

Umultowska 87, 61-614 Poznań, Poland

E-mail: jgrz@amu.edu.pl, hubert@amu.edu.pl, rich@amu.edu.pl

Abstract. In this paper we generalize in Theorem 12 some version of Hahn–Banach Theorem which was obtained by Simons. We also present short proofs of Mazur and Mazur–Orlicz Theorem (Theorems 2 and 3).

Simons, using the concept of p -convexity, proved a version of Hahn–Banach Theorem (Theorem 1.13 in [11]), which is a generalization of Hahn–Banach–Lagrange Theorem (Theorem 1.11 in [11]). Simons' theorem enabled him to present short proofs of a number of important and difficult theorems in functional analysis and to find applications in convex analysis and theory of monotone multifunctions (see [8, 9, 10, 11]).

In this paper we present short proofs of Mazur and Mazur–Orlicz Theorems (Theorems 2 and 3). Then we apply them to generalize Simons' theorem (Theorem 13) in our Theorem 12.

Throughout the paper by X we will denote a nontrivial vector space over the field of real numbers.

LEMMA 1. *Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function $y \in X$. For all $x \in X$, let*

$$p_y(x) := \inf_{\lambda > 0} \frac{p(y + \lambda x) - p(y)}{\lambda}, \quad p'(x) := \inf_{\lambda > 0} \frac{p(\lambda x)}{\lambda}.$$

Then:

- (a) $p_y : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sublinear and $p(y) - p(2y) \leq p_y(y) \leq p(0) - p(y)$;
- (b) if $p(0) \geq 0$ then p' is the greatest sublinear functional on X less than or equal to p ;
- (c) if p is sublinear then $p_y \leq p$ and $p_y(-y) = -p(y)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46A22; Secondary 46N10.

Key words and phrases: Hahn–Banach theorem.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

Proof. For $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$(1 + \lambda)p(y) \leq p(y + \lambda x) + \lambda p(y - x),$$

which implies

$$p(y) - p(y - x) \leq \frac{p(y + \lambda x) - p(y)}{\lambda}.$$

Taking the infimum over $\lambda > 0$ we get $p_y(x) > -\infty$ and

$$p(y) - p(y - x) \leq p_y(x) \leq p(y + x) - p(y). \quad (1)$$

It is easy to observe that p_y is a positively homogeneous. Consider $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and arbitrary $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$. Since

$$p\left(y + \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}(x_1 + x_2)\right) \leq \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} p(y + \lambda_1 x_1) + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} p(y + \lambda_2 x_2), \quad (2)$$

p_y is subadditive, and we obtain (a).

Now let $p(0) \geq 0$. For $x \in X$ and $\lambda > 0$ from (1) we have

$$p(0) - p(-x) \leq \frac{p(\lambda x) - p(0)}{\lambda} \leq \frac{p(\lambda x)}{\lambda}.$$

Hence $p'(x) > -\infty$ and $p_0 \leq p' \leq p$. It is easy to observe that p' is positively homogeneous. Now from (2), p' is subadditive. Now let q be sublinear and $q \leq p$ on X . Then $\lambda q(x) \leq p(\lambda x)$ for every $\lambda > 0$. Hence $q \leq p'$ on X , and we get (b). ■

In [11] a short proof of the classical Hahn–Banach Theorem is given. Similarly, applying Lemma 1, we give a short proof of a basic version of classical Mazur Theorem.

THEOREM 2 (Mazur). *Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex functional, $p(0) \geq 0$. Then there exists a linear functional l on X such that $l \leq p$.*

Proof. By $\mathcal{C}_p(X)$ denote the set of all convex functionals q on X such that $p \geq q$ and $q(0) \geq 0$. Since for every $q \in \mathcal{C}_p(X)$ and $x \in X$, $q(x) \geq -q(-x) + 2q(0) \geq -p(-x)$, by using Kuratowski–Zorn Lemma, there exists a minimal element l in $\mathcal{C}_p(X)$. Now, by Lemma 1, a functional l' is sublinear and $l' \leq l$. Hence $l' = l$ and l is sublinear. Since $l_y \leq l$, $l_y = l$. Again, from Lemma 1, we have $l(-y) = l_y(-y) = -l_y(y) = -l(y)$ for $y \in X$. Thus l is linear. ■

In 1953 Mazur and Orlicz [5] proved some generalization of Hahn–Banach Theorem. We present a version of Mazur–Orlicz Theorem [1, 6] for convex functionals. Our short proof of Mazur–Orlicz Theorem is based on the idea of Pták [6] and Mazur Theorem (Theorem 2).

THEOREM 3 (Mazur–Orlicz). *Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex functional. Moreover, let $g : A \rightarrow X$ and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions defined on a nonempty subset A of X . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *there exists a linear functional l on X such that $l \leq p$ on X and $f \leq l \circ g$ on A ;*
- (b) *for every finite sequence $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(a_i) \leq p\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(a_i)\right)$$

for all non-negative real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$.

Proof. Obviously, the condition (a) implies (b). Suppose that the condition (b) holds and consider a functional

$$p_1(x) := \inf \left\{ p \left(x + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(a_i) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(a_i) \mid a_i \in A, \lambda_i \geq 0 \right\}$$

for all $x \in X$. Then $p_1 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, $p_1(0) \geq 0$ and $p_1 \leq p$. By Mazur Theorem (Theorem 2) there exists a functional l on X such that $l \leq p_1$. Since $l(-ng(a)) \leq p(0) - nf(a)$ for all $a \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain $f \leq l \circ g$ on A . ■

All three theorems: Simons' version of Mazur–Orlicz Theorem (Lemma 1.6 in [11]), classical Mazur–Orlicz Theorem [5] and Mazur Theorem [1, 4] which is a generalization of Hahn–Banach Theorem [2, 7] follow from Theorem 3.

The following lemma will be applied in our proof of Theorem 12. The proof is based on Theorems 2 and 3.

LEMMA 4. *Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex functional. Moreover, let $g : A \rightarrow X$ and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions defined on a nonempty subset A of X . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *there exists a linear functional l on X such that $l \leq p$ and*

$$\inf_A [f + l \circ g] = \inf_A [f + p \circ g]$$

(b) *for every finite sequence $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and for arbitrary non-negative real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ the following inequality holds*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\alpha - f(a_i)) \leq p \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(a_i) \right), \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha = \inf_A [f + p \circ g]$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = -\infty$. Then obviously (a) implies (b). From the condition (b), by Mazur Theorem there exists a linear functional l on X such that $l \leq p - p(0)$. Thus (a) holds.

Now let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $l \leq p$ on X then by (a) we get $l \circ g \geq \alpha - f$ on A and by Mazur–Orlicz Theorem we get (3). Conversely if (3) is satisfied then there exists a linear functional l on X such that $l \leq p$ and $f + l \circ g \geq \alpha$ on A . ■

In order to present the main result (Theorem 12) and Simons' theorem (Theorem 13) we need to give definitions of p -convex, p_f^2 -convex and p_f -convex functions.

DEFINITION 5. Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sublinear functional. A function $g : A \rightarrow X$ defined on a nonempty convex subset A of X is said to be p -convex if

$$p(x + g(\lambda_1 a_1 + \lambda_2 a_2)) \leq p(x + \lambda_1 g(a_1) + \lambda_2 g(a_2))$$

for all $x \in X$, $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$.

REMARK 6. Let us note that the function g is p -convex if and only if $p(g(\lambda_1 a_1 + \lambda_2 a_2) - \lambda_1 g(a_1) - \lambda_2 g(a_2)) \leq 0$ for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$. In fact, p -convexity depends only on the cone $\{x \in X \mid p(x) \leq 0\}$.

DEFINITION 7. Let $f : A \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$. The set $\text{dom } f := \{x \in A \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is called the *effective domain* of f . We say that f is *proper* if $\text{dom } f \neq \emptyset$. By $\mathcal{PC}(A)$ we will denote the set of all proper convex functions from A into $(-\infty, \infty]$.

In [11] Simons generalized p -convexity as follows.

DEFINITION 8. Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sublinear functional, A be a nonempty subset of X and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A function $g : A \rightarrow X$ is said to be p_f^2 -convex if for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$, there exists $a \in A$ such that

$$p\left(g(a) - \left(\frac{1}{2}g(a_1) + \frac{1}{2}g(a_2)\right)\right) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f(a) \leq \frac{1}{2}f(a_1) + \frac{1}{2}f(a_2).$$

Now we introduce a broader class of p_f -convex functions.

DEFINITION 9. Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, A be a nonempty subset of X and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A function $g : A \rightarrow X$ is said to be p_f -convex if for every $b \in \text{conv } g(A)$, $b = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(a_i)$, $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $a \in A$ such that for every $\lambda \geq 0$

$$\lambda p \circ g(a) \leq p(\lambda b) + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad f(a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(a_i) + \epsilon.$$

The following lemma shows the connection between p_f^2 -convexity and p_f -convexity.

LEMMA 10. Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sublinear functional, A be a nonempty subset of X and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $g : A \rightarrow X$ is p_f^2 -convex, then g is p_f -convex.

Proof. Let $x_1 = g(b_1) - (\frac{1}{2}g(a_1) + \frac{1}{2}g(a_2))$, $x_2 = g(b_2) - (\frac{1}{2}g(a_3) + \frac{1}{2}g(a_4))$ and $x_3 = g(a) - (\frac{1}{2}g(b_1) + \frac{1}{2}g(b_2))$ for some $a, b_1, b_2, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in A$. Assume that $p(x_1) \leq 0$, $p(x_2) \leq 0$ and $p(x_3) \leq 0$. Since p is subadditive and positively homogenous, $p(g(a) - (\frac{1}{4}g(a_1) + \frac{1}{4}g(a_2) + \frac{1}{4}g(a_3) + \frac{1}{4}g(a_4))) = p(x_3 + \frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2) \leq p(x_3) + \frac{1}{2}p(x_1) + \frac{1}{2}p(x_2) \leq 0$. Therefore, for every $b = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(a_i)$, where λ_i are binary rational (i.e. of the form $\frac{m}{2^k}$ where $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$) and $a_i \in A$ there exists $a \in A$ such that

$$p \circ g(a) \leq p(b) \quad \text{and} \quad f(a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(a_i). \quad (4)$$

Let us fix $b \in \text{conv } g(A)$, $b = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(a_i)$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\epsilon > 0$. Since p is sublinear, p is continuous on $\text{conv}\{g(a_1), \dots, g(a_n)\}$. Hence for some binary rational $\lambda'_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, which are sufficiently close to λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, and such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda'_i = 1$ we have $\lambda p(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda'_i g(a_i)) \leq \lambda p(b) + \epsilon$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda'_i f(a_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(a_i) + \epsilon$. Now we can find $a \in A$ for $b' = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda'_i g(a_i)$ and apply (4). ■

The class of p_f -convex functions is substantially broader than the class of p_f^2 -convex functions. In order to show it we give the following example.

EXAMPLE 11. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$, $a_1 = (0, 0)$, $a_2 = (0, 1)$, $A = \{a_1, a_2\}$, $f(a_1) = f(a_2) = 0$, $g = \text{Id}_A$ and $p(x) = p(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} + x_1$. Since

$$p(g(a_1) - g(a_2)) = p(g(a_2) - g(a_1)) = 1 > 0,$$

the function g is not p_f^2 -convex. On the other hand, if $b \in \text{conv } g(A) = \{0\} \times [0, 1]$ then $b = \alpha g(a_1) + \beta g(a_2) = \beta a_2$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta = 1$. Let $a = a_1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

We have an obvious equality $f(a) = 0 = \alpha f(a_1) + \beta f(a_2)$. The function g is p_f -convex because of the inequality

$$\lambda p(g(a)) = 0 \leq \lambda \beta = \lambda p(b) = p(\lambda b).$$

The example shows that the following theorem is an essential generalization of Simons' theorem (Theorem 13).

THEOREM 12. *Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex functional and let A be a nonempty convex subset of X . If $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : A \rightarrow X$ is p_f -convex, then there exists a linear functional l on X such that $l \leq p$ and*

$$\inf_A [f + l \circ g] = \inf_A [f + p \circ g].$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = \inf_A [f + p \circ g]$. Then $\alpha - f \leq p \circ g$ on A . For arbitrary non-negative real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, let us put $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\lambda > 0$. Then, for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $a \in A$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\alpha - f(a_i)) \leq \lambda (\alpha - f(a)) + \epsilon \leq \lambda p \circ g(a) + \epsilon \leq p \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(a_i) \right) + 2\epsilon.$$

Hence the condition (b) of Lemma 4 is satisfied, so there exists a linear functional l on X such that $l \leq p$ and $\alpha = \inf_A [f + l \circ g]$. ■

Theorem of Simons (Theorem 1.13 in [11]) is a simple corollary of Theorem 12 and Lemma 4:

THEOREM 13 (Simons). *Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sublinear functional and let A be a nonempty convex subset of X . If $f \in \mathcal{PC}(A)$ and $g : A \rightarrow X$ is p_f^2 -convex, then there exists a linear functional l on X such that $l \leq p$ and*

$$\inf_A [f + l \circ g] = \inf_A [f + p \circ g].$$

In [8, 9, 10] Simons proved for p -convex functions some version of Hahn–Banach Theorem which he calls Hahn–Banach–Lagrange Theorem (Theorem 1.11 in [11]). Theorem 13 is a generalization of Theorem 14.

THEOREM 14 (Hahn–Banach–Lagrange). *Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sublinear functional and let A be a nonempty convex subset of X . If $f \in \mathcal{PC}(A)$ and $g : A \rightarrow X$ is p -convex, then there exists a linear functional l on X such that $l \leq p$ and*

$$\inf_A [f + l \circ g] = \inf_A [f + p \circ g].$$

REMARK 15. If p is a sublinear functional then, by Lemma 10, every p_f^2 -convex function is p_f -convex. Hence Theorem 13 follows from Theorem 12.

REMARK 16. If $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex we can reformulate the definition of p_f -convexity. The function g is p_f -convex if and only if $p(0) \geq 0$ and for every $b \in \text{conv } g(A)$, $b = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(a_i)$, $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $a \in A$ such that

$$p \circ g(a) \leq p'(b) + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad f(a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(a_i) + \epsilon,$$

where p' is given in Lemma 1.

References

- [1] A. Alexiewicz, *Functional Analysis*, PWN, Warszawa 1969 (in Polish).
- [2] S. Banach, *Sur les fonctionnelles linéaires II*, *Studia Math.* 1 (1929), 223–239.
- [3] H. König, *On certain applications of the Hahn–Banach and minimax theorems*, *Arch Math.* (Basel) 21 (1970), 583–591.
- [4] S. Mazur, *Über konvexe Mengen in linearen normierten Räumen*, *Studia Math.* 4 (1933), 70–84.
- [5] S. Mazur, W. Orlicz, *Sur les espaces métriques linéaires II*, *Studia Math.* 13 (1953), 137–179.
- [6] V. Pták, *On a theorem of Mazur and Orlicz*, *Studia Math.* 15 (1956), 365–366.
- [7] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Ser. in Higher Math., McGraw-Hill, New York 1973.
- [8] S. Simons, *A new version of the Hahn–Banach theorem*, *Arch. Math.* (Basel) 80 (2003), 630–646.
- [9] S. Simons, *Hahn–Banach theorems and maximal monotonicity*, in: *Variational Analysis and Applications*, *Nonconvex Optim. Appl.* 79, Springer, New York 2005, 1049–1083.
- [10] S. Simons, *The Hahn–Banach–Lagrange theorem*, *Optimization* 56 (2007), 149–169.
- [11] S. Simons, *From Hahn–Banach to Monotonicity*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1693, Springer, Berlin 2008.