

## QUASINORMAL OPERATORS ARE HYPERREFLEXIVE

KAMILA KLIŚ and MAREK PTAK

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Agriculture  
Al. Mickiewicza 24/28, 30-059 Kraków, Poland  
E-mail: rmklis@cyf-kr.edu.pl, rmptak@cyf-kr.edu.pl*

*Dedicated to Professor Wiesław Żelazko on his 70th birthday*

**Abstract.** We will prove the statement in the title. We also give a better estimate for the hyperreflexivity constant for an analytic Toeplitz operator.

Throughout the paper  $\mathcal{H}$  will denote a complex separable Hilbert space. Let  $B(\mathcal{H})$  be the algebra of all linear and bounded operators acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ . An operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is called *quasinormal* if  $T$  commutes with  $T^*T$ . For any operator  $T$  we denote by  $\mathcal{W}(T)$  the WOT closed algebra generated by  $T$  and the identity, by  $\text{Lat } T$  the lattice of all invariant subspaces for  $T$ , and by  $\text{AlgLat } T$  the algebra of all operators which leave elements of  $\text{Lat } T$  invariant. Denote by  $d(A, \mathcal{W})$  the standard distance from an operator  $A$  to an algebra  $\mathcal{W} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$  given by:  $d(A, \mathcal{W}) = \inf\{\|A - T\| : T \in \mathcal{W}\}$ .

An algebra  $\mathcal{W} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$  is called *hyperreflexive* if there is a constant  $a$  such that

$$d(A, \mathcal{W}) \leq a \sup\{\|P^\perp AP\| : P \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{W}\} \text{ for any } A \in B(\mathcal{H}). \quad (1)$$

The supremum on the right hand side of (1) we will denote by  $\alpha(A, \mathcal{W})$ . The smallest constant for which the inequality (1) holds is denoted by  $\kappa(\mathcal{W})$  and called the *hyperreflexivity constant*. Recall that an algebra  $\mathcal{W}$  is *reflexive* if  $\mathcal{W} = \text{AlgLat } \mathcal{W}$ , which is a weaker property than hyperreflexivity. An operator  $T$  is called *hyperreflexive* or *reflexive* if the algebra  $\mathcal{W}(T)$  is so.

There are only a few results concerning hyperreflexivity. Davidson [6] showed that the unilateral shift is hyperreflexive with constant at most 19. Rosenoer [10] proved hyperreflexivity of commutative von Neumann algebras with constant not greater than 2. This gives the hyperreflexivity of normal operators with constant at most 3. In [12] Wogen proved that every quasinormal operator is reflexive. In this paper we show that

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47D25; Secondary 47B20, 47B35.

*Key words and phrases*: reflexive subspace, reflexive algebra, hyperreflexive subspace, quasinormal operators, isometry.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

quasinormal operators are hyperreflexive with constant smaller than 259. We also improve the estimation of the hyperreflexivity constant for the unilateral shift.

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the unit circle on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Denote  $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{T}, m)$  and  $L^\infty = L^\infty(\mathbb{T}, m)$ , where  $m$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Let  $H^2, H^\infty$  be the Hardy spaces corresponding to  $L^2, L^\infty$ , respectively, and  $P_{H^2}$  a projection from  $L^2$  onto  $H^2$ . For each  $\varphi \in L^\infty$  we define  $T_\varphi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$  by  $T_\varphi f = P_{H^2}(\varphi f)$  for  $f \in H^2$ . The operator  $T_\varphi$  is called a *Toeplitz operator*. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the space of all Toeplitz operators and  $\mathcal{A} = \{T_\varphi : \varphi \in H^\infty\}$  the algebra of all analytic Toeplitz operators. The unilateral shift  $S$  can be realized as the multiplication operator by independent variable  $T_z$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{W}(S)$ .

Let  $\pi : B(H^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  be the projection defined by Arveson in [1]. Recall that for any  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  the operator  $\pi(A)$  belongs to the weak\* closed convex hull of  $\{S^{*n}AS^n : n > N\}$  for any  $N$ .

To get a better estimate of the hyperreflexivity constant for the unilateral shift we need the following.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $A \in B(H^2)$ . If  $\pi(A) = 0$ , then  $d(A, \mathcal{A}) \leq 5.2\alpha(A, \mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* In [6] Davidson showed the above inequality with constant 9. We get a better estimate by calculating more precisely the right hand side of (1). Assume that  $\|A\| = 1$ . Take an integer  $N$  and denote  $P_N = S^N S^{*N}$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a unit vector  $x$  such that  $\|Ax\| > 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $x = P_N^\perp x$ . Replacing  $N$  by a larger integer we can obtain  $\|P_N^\perp Ax\| \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \|P_N Ax\| < \varepsilon, \|P_N A^* Ax\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Denote  $y = \|P_N^\perp Ax\|^{-1} P_N^\perp Ax$ . Since  $\pi(A) = 0$  belongs to the weak\* closed convex hull of  $S^{*n}AS^n$ , thus 0 belongs to the convex hull of  $\{S^{*n}AS^n x, y : n > N\}$ . Hence we can take  $n > N$  such that  $\text{Re}\langle Az^n x, z^n y \rangle < \varepsilon$ .

For any  $a \in (0, 1)$  define  $\omega = a - z^n$  and  $h = \frac{b}{1-az^n} = b \sum_{k=0}^\infty a^k z^{nk}$ , where  $b = \sqrt{1-a^2}$ . The vector  $hy$  belongs to span of  $\{hz^l : 0 \leq l \leq N\}$ . Hence  $hy$  is orthogonal to  $\omega H^2$ . Thus for any  $T \in \mathcal{W}(S)$  we have  $\langle T\omega x, hy \rangle = 0$ . Note that  $\|\omega x\| = \sqrt{1+a^2}, \|hy\| = 1$ . Since  $h = b + az^n h$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle A\omega x, hy \rangle| &= |ab\langle Ax, y \rangle + a^2\langle Ax, z^n hy \rangle - b\langle Az^n x, y \rangle - a\langle Az^n x, z^n hy \rangle| \\ &\geq |ab\langle Ax, y \rangle - ab\langle Az^n x, z^n y \rangle| - a^2|\langle Ax, z^n hy \rangle| \\ &\quad - b|\langle Az^n x, y \rangle| - a^2|\langle Az^n x, z^{2n} hy \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Ax, y \rangle - \langle Az^n x, z^n y \rangle| &\geq \text{Re}(\langle Ax, y \rangle - \langle Az^n x, z^n y \rangle) \\ &\geq \langle Ax, \|P_N^\perp Ax\|^{-1} P_N^\perp Ax \rangle - \varepsilon = 1 - 2\varepsilon, \\ |\langle Ax, z^n hy \rangle| &\leq |\langle P_N Ax, z^n hy \rangle| \leq \|P_N Ax\| \leq \varepsilon, \\ |\langle Az^n x, y \rangle| &\leq |\langle z^n x, P_N A^* y \rangle| \\ &\leq \|P_N^\perp Ax\|^{-1} (\|P_N A^* Ax\| + \|P_N A^* P_N Ax\|) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} = O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|\langle A\omega x, hy \rangle| \geq ab(1 - 2\varepsilon) - a^2\varepsilon - bO(\varepsilon) - a^2.$$

Hence

$$|\langle A\|\omega x\|^{-1}\omega x, hy \rangle| \geq a(\sqrt{1-a^2} - a)(1+a^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|A\|.$$

Taking  $a = 0.39$  we get

$$d(A, \mathcal{A}) \leq \|A\| \leq 5.2 |\langle A\|\omega x\|^{-1}\omega x, hy \rangle| \leq 5.2 \alpha(A, \mathcal{A}).$$

The last inequality holds since  $\alpha(A, \mathcal{A}) = \sup\{|\langle Ax, y \rangle| : \langle Sx, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } S \in \mathcal{W}, \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\}$ , see [2]. ■

Considering an arbitrary operator  $A \in B(H^2)$ , as in [6] we get the same inequality as in Lemma 2 but we have to double the constant and add 1. Hence

$$d(A, \mathcal{A}) < 12 \alpha(A, \mathcal{A}).$$

Thus

**PROPOSITION 3.** *The algebra of all analytic Toeplitz operators is hyperreflexive with constant smaller than 12.*

**REMARK 4.** In [11] Rosenoer proved that the tensor product of a hyperreflexive von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  and the algebra of analytic Toeplitz operators  $\mathcal{A}$  is hyperreflexive with constant at most  $40c + 19$ , where  $c$  is the hyperreflexivity constant for  $\mathcal{M}$ . He used 19 as an estimate for  $\mathcal{A}$ . According to Proposition 3 we can get the constant  $26c + 12$ .

Recall after [3, 7] that an algebra  $\mathcal{W} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$  has *property*  $\mathbb{A}_1(1)$  if  $\mathcal{W}$  is weak\* closed and for any weak\* continuous functional  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{W}$  and for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $g, h \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\varphi(T) = \langle Tg, h \rangle$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{W}$  and they satisfy  $\|g\| \cdot \|h\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|\varphi\|$ .

In [9] Kraus and Larson proved that if  $\mathcal{W}$  is hyperreflexive and has property  $\mathbb{A}_1(1)$ , then any weak\* closed algebra  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{W}$  is hyperreflexive and  $\kappa(\mathcal{L}) \leq 1 + 2\kappa(\mathcal{W})$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Quasinormal operators are hyperreflexive with constant not greater than 259.*

*Proof.* By Brown’s result [4] every quasinormal operator is unitarily equivalent to  $(A \otimes S) \oplus N$ , where  $A$  is positive with  $\ker A = \{0\}$ ,  $N$  is normal and  $S$  is the unilateral shift. Since hyperreflexivity is kept with the same constant by unitary equivalence it is enough to consider the above model.

Since  $\mathcal{W}(A)$  is a commuting von Neumann algebra, it is hyperreflexive and  $\kappa(A) \leq 2$  (see [10, Theorem 3.5]). Moreover,  $\mathcal{W}(A)$  has property  $\mathbb{A}_1(1)$  ([5, Proposition 60.1]). Now by Remark 4 the tensor product  $\mathcal{W}(A) \otimes \mathcal{W}(S)$  is hyperreflexive with constant  $\kappa(\mathcal{W}(A) \otimes \mathcal{W}(S)) \leq 64$ . Since every normal operator is hyperreflexive with constant less than or equal to 3 (see [10, Theorem 3.6]), then [8, Corollary 6.4] implies that

$$\kappa((\mathcal{W}(A) \otimes \mathcal{W}(S)) \oplus \mathcal{W}(N)) \leq 129.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{W}((A \otimes S) \oplus N) \subset (\mathcal{W}(A) \otimes \mathcal{W}(S)) \oplus \mathcal{W}(N).$$

Since  $(\mathcal{W}(A) \otimes \mathcal{W}(S)) \oplus \mathcal{W}(N)$  has property  $\mathbb{A}_1(1)$ , applying [9, Theorem 3.3] we get hyperreflexivity of  $(A \otimes S) \oplus N$  and

$$\kappa((A \otimes S) \oplus N) \leq 259. \quad \blacksquare$$

COROLLARY 6. *Every isometry is hyperreflexive.*

### References

- [1] N. T. Arveson, *Interpolation problems in nest algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 20 (1975), 208–233.
- [2] N. T. Arveson, *Ten Lectures on Operator Algebras*, CBMS Regional Conference Series 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1984.
- [3] H. Bercovici, C. Foiaş and C. Pearcy, *Dual Algebras with Applications to Invariant Subspaces and Dilation Theory*, CBMS Regional Conference Series 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1985.
- [4] A. Brown, *On a class of operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 723–728.
- [5] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Operator Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2000.
- [6] K. Davidson, *The distance to the analytic Toeplitz operators*, Illinois J. Math. 31 (1987), 265–273.
- [7] D. Hadwin and E. A. Nordgren, *Subalgebras of reflexive algebras*, J. Operator Theory 7 (1982), 3–23.
- [8] K. Kliś and M. Ptak, *k-hyperreflexive subspaces*, submitted to Houston J. Math.
- [9] J. Kraus and D. Larson, *Reflexivity and distance formulae*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 53 (1986), 340–356.
- [10] S. Rosenoer, *Distance estimates for von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 86 (1982), 248–252.
- [11] S. Rosenoer, *Nehari's theorem and the tensor product of hyper-reflexive algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. 47 (1993), 349–357.
- [12] W. Wogen, *Quasinormal operators are reflexive*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31 (1979), 19–22.