# A NOTE ON CERTAIN PARTIAL SUM OPERATORS 

MAREK BOŻEJKO<br>Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wroctawski Plac Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland<br>E-mail: bozejko@math.uni.wroc.pl<br>GERO FENDLER<br>Finstertal 16, D-69514 Laudenbach, Germany<br>E-mail: gero.fendler@t-online.de


#### Abstract

We show that for the $t$-deformed semicircle measure, where $\frac{1}{2}<t \leq 1$, the expansions of $L_{p}$ functions with respect to the associated orthonormal polynomials converge in norm when $\frac{3}{2}<p<3$ and do not converge when $1 \leq p<\frac{3}{2}$ or $3<p$. From this we conclude that natural expansions in the non-commutative $L_{p}$ spaces of free group factors and of free commutation relations do not converge for $1 \leq p<\frac{3}{2}$ or $3<p$.


1. Introduction. In a recent publication [7] Junge, Nielsen, Ruan and Xu develop a theory of a certain class of operator $L_{p}$ spaces. They are interested in operator spaces which can be paved out by complemented copies of finite dimensional non-commutative $L_{p}$ spaces in such a way that the completely bounded distance (of the copies, to the finite dimensional $L_{p}$ spaces) and the completely bounded norms of the projections are uniformly bounded. Spaces of this class they call $\mathcal{C O} \mathcal{L}_{p}$ spaces.

Among other results they prove that for a von Neumann algebra $N$ with separable predual and QWEP, the non-commutative $L_{p}$ space $L_{p}(N)$, where $1<p<\infty$, is a $\mathcal{C O} \mathcal{L}_{p}$ space iff it has the completely bounded approximation property. They prove that in this case the space $L_{p}(N)$ has a Schauder basis with uniformly controlled completely bounded norm of the basis projections.

The regular von Neumann algebra $V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)$ of the free group on $r \in\{1,2, \ldots, \infty\}$ generators admits a canonical trace $\operatorname{tr}(T)=\left\langle T \delta_{e}, \delta_{e}\right\rangle$ (here, and in the sequel, $e$ denotes the identity of the group and for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{r}, \delta_{x}$ the point mass one at $\left.x\right)$. It, together with this

[^0]functional, is a standard example of a non-commutative probability space. It fulfils the above conditions and for $1<p<\infty$ the spaces $L_{p}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)\right)=L_{p}(t r)$ possess a cb-basis. The completely bounded approximation property of $L_{p}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)\right)$ is a consequence of the weak amenability of $\mathbb{F}_{r}$ and to establish this, use is made of the natural length function with respect to the generating set. Let $\mathbb{F}_{r}$ be generated by $S=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}$, then the length function $\mathbf{l}$ is defined as: $\mathbf{l}(w)=\inf \left\{n: w=x_{i_{1}}^{\epsilon_{1}} \ldots i_{i_{n}}^{\epsilon_{n}}, x_{i_{j}} \in S, \epsilon_{j} \in\{1,-1\}\right\}$.

Since acting on $l_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)$ the von Neumann algebra $V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)$ is in its standard representation $l_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)=L_{2}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)\right)$ and we may represent $T \in L_{2}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)\right)$ as $T \sim$ $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{r}}\left\langle T \delta_{e}, \delta_{x}\right\rangle \delta_{x}$ as an $L_{2}$ norm convergent sum. When $r<\infty$ we clearly can arrange to sum according to the length, i.e.

$$
T \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\{x: \mathbf{1}(x)=n\}}\left\langle T \delta_{e}, \delta_{x}\right\rangle \delta_{x}
$$

Moreover, when $r=1$ then $\mathbb{F}_{r}=\mathbb{Z}$ and it is a rather basic fact of Fourier analysis that an element $T \in L_{p}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{1}\right)\right)=L_{p}(\mathbb{T}), 1<p<\infty$ can be represented by an $L_{p}$-norm convergent Fourier sequence

$$
T \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} e^{i n \theta}+a_{-n} e^{-i n \theta}\right)
$$

(in fact much more is known about these representations). Phrasing this in another way, the point masses in the order according to the length of the group elements are a bounded Schauder basis of $L_{p}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{1}\right)\right), 1<p<\infty$.

A question pointed out to us by $\mathrm{Xu}^{1}$ is if for finite $r$ the point masses, arranged according to the length of the group elements, still constitute a (completely) bounded basis of $L_{p}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)\right), 1<p<\infty$.

In this note we show that for $r>1$, and $p>3$ or $p<\frac{3}{2}$ the partial sum operators

$$
S_{N}(T)=\sum_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{r}: \mathbf{l}(x) \leq N\right\}}\left\langle T \delta_{e}, \delta_{x}\right\rangle \delta_{x}
$$

are not uniformly bounded on $L_{p}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)\right)$, which answers the question in the negative for this range of $p$.

Theorem 1. Assume $r \geq 2$ and $1 \leq p<\frac{3}{2}$ or $p>3$. Then there exists $T \in L_{p}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|S_{N}(T)\right\|_{p}=\infty
$$

accordingly $S_{N}(T)$ does not converge in norm as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
The proof of this will be given after considering radial functions on $\mathbb{F}_{r}$ and properties of expansions with respect to certain orthogonal polynomials in section 3.

In section 4 we show that this phenomenon also appears for the $L_{p}$ spaces associated to von Neumann algebras of free commutation relations.

[^1]2. Radial functions. A function $f: \mathbb{F}_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called radial if its values $f(w)$ depend only on the length $\mathbf{l}(w)$ of the group elements $w$. Accordingly we call an operator $T \in$ $V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)$ radial if $T \delta_{e}$ is a radial $l_{2}$ function. $V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{\text {rad }}$ denotes the radial operators in $V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)$, and moreover for a space of functions $E$ on $\mathbb{F}_{r}$ we denote $E_{r a d}$ the subspace of its radial functions.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\chi_{n}$ be the characteristic function of the set $E_{n}=\left\{w \in \mathbb{F}_{r}: \mathbf{l}(w)=n\right\}$ of elements of length $n$.

For this section we shall assume $r<\infty$. Then, as is well known, the summable radial functions $l_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{r a d}$ are, under convolution, a commutative $*$-algebra generated by $\chi_{1}$. This is seen from recursion relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{1} * \chi_{1}=\chi_{2}+2 r \chi_{0}, \\
& \chi_{1} * \chi_{n}=\chi_{n+1}+(2 r-1) \chi_{n}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator norm closure $C v_{\text {rad }}$ of $\lambda\left(l_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{\text {rad }}\right)$ is a commutative $C^{*}$-algebra isomorphic to $C(I)$, where the interval $I=[-2 \sqrt{2 r-1}], 2 \sqrt{2 r-1}]$ is the spectrum of $\lambda\left(\chi_{1}\right)$.

Let $P_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the sequence of polynomials defined by the recurrence

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{0}(x) & =1, \quad P_{1}(x)=x \\
x P_{1}(x) & =P_{2}(x)+(2 r) P_{0}(x), \\
x P_{n}(x) & =P_{n+1}(x)+(2 r-1) P_{n-1}(x), \quad n=2,3, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the $*$-homomorphism ${ }^{\wedge}: \chi_{n} \rightarrow P_{n}$ extends to the Gelfand transform of $C v_{r a d}$. The measure $d \mu(x)=\frac{r}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{4(2 r-1)-x^{2}}}{4 r^{2}-x^{2}} d x$ represents the trace in the sense that for $f \in l_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{\text {rad }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \lambda(f)=\int_{I} \widehat{f}(x) d \mu(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\|f\|_{2}^{2}=f * f^{*}(e)=\operatorname{tr} \lambda\left(f * f^{*}\right)=\int_{I}\left(f * f^{*}\right)^{\wedge}(x) d \mu(x)=\int_{I}|f(x)|^{2} d \mu(x)$ we see that the Gelfand transform extends to an isometric isomorphism, still denoted ${ }^{\wedge}$ from $l_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{\text {rad }}$ onto $L_{2}(I, \mu)$.

For our purposes it is suitable to renormalise, first with respect to the $l_{2}$ norm, and further to the interval $[-1,1]$ to obtain a sequence of orthonormal polynomials with respect to the transferred measure. We choose $t=1-\frac{1}{2 r}$ as a parameter and have for $s \in[-1,1]$ that $Q_{t, n}(s)=\left\|\chi_{n}\right\|_{2}^{-1} P_{n}(2 \sqrt{2 r-1} s)$ and

$$
d \nu_{t}(s)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{r(2 r-1) \sqrt{1-s^{2}}}{r^{2}-(2 r-1) s^{2}} d s=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{4 t \sqrt{1-s^{2}}}{1-4(1-t) t s^{2}} d s
$$

These polynomials then satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{t, 0}(s) & =1, \quad Q_{t, 1}(s)=2 \sqrt{t} s, \quad Q_{t, 2}(s)=4 \sqrt{t} s^{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}  \tag{2}\\
s Q_{t, n}(s) & =\frac{1}{2} Q_{t, n+1}(s)+\frac{1}{2} Q_{t, n-1}(s), \quad n=2,3, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The measures $\nu_{t}$ are examples of $t$-transformed measures in the sense of Bożejko and Wysoczański. Here we are interested only in the parameter range $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ and we refer for further discussion to [5].

Lemma 1. If $r<\infty$ then the Banach spaces $L_{p}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)\right)_{\text {rad }}$ and $L_{p}\left([-1,1], \nu_{1-\frac{1}{2 r}}\right)$ are isometrically isomorphic.

Proof. The operator $\mathcal{E}: f \mapsto \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\# E_{n}} \sum_{x \in E_{n}} f(x) \chi_{n}$ initially defined on finitely supported functions $f: \mathbb{F}_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extends to a conditional expectation from $V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)$ onto $V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{\text {rad }}$ and to an orthogonal projection from $l_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)$ onto $l_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{\text {rad }}$. Hence for the complex interpolation method we have $\left[V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{\text {rad }}, l_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{\text {rad }}\right]_{\theta}=\left(\left[V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right), l_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)\right]_{\theta}\right)_{\text {rad }}$ for any $\theta \in[0,1]$. When $2<p$ then by [8], for $\theta=\frac{2}{p}$ the right hand side equals $L_{p}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)\right)_{\text {rad }}$. On the other hand (1), with our normalisation, shows that $V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{\text {rad }}=$ $L_{\infty}\left([-1,1], \nu_{1-\frac{1}{2 r}}\right)$ and $l_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{\text {rad }}=L_{2}\left([-1,1], \nu_{1-\frac{1}{2 r}}\right)$. This proves the assertion when $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $1 \leq p \leq 2$ it now follows by duality.
3. Orthogonal polynomials. In this section we shall discuss $L_{p}$-boundedness of partial sums of expansions with respect to the orthonormal polynomials associated to the measures $\nu_{t}$.

For $t=\frac{1}{2}$ the measure $\nu_{t}$ has the density $\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}$, with respect to Lebesgue measure on the interval $[-1,1]$, and for $t=1$ the density is $\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1-x^{2}}$. In the first case the Tchebyscheff polynomials of first kind

$$
T_{n}(x)=\cos n \theta, \text { where } \cos \theta=x
$$

are orthogonal with respect to the measure $\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}$. In the second case we find the Tchebyscheff polynomials of second kind:

$$
U_{n}(x)=\frac{\sin (n+1) \theta}{\sin \theta}, \text { where } \cos \theta=x
$$

In both cases they satisfy the recursion

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x Y_{n}(x)=Y_{n+1}(x)+Y_{n-1}(x), \quad n=1,2,3 \ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are then determined by the respective values

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}(x)=1, T_{1}(x)=x ; \quad U_{0}(x)=1, U_{1}(x)=2 x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ the orthonormal polynomials $Q_{t, n}$, see (3), satisfy this recursion relation except for the first step $n=1$. If we redefine $\tilde{Q}_{t, 0}(s)=1 / \sqrt{t}$ and $\tilde{Q}_{t, n}=$ $Q_{t, n}, n=1,2, \ldots$ then these polynomials satisfy the recursion (4) for all $n=1,2, \ldots$ and they are still orthogonal with respect to $\nu_{t}$. With the exception of $\tilde{Q}_{t, 0}$ they are still normalised. From the values (3) and (5) we conclude

$$
\tilde{Q}_{t, n}=\frac{2-2 t}{\sqrt{t}} T_{n}+\frac{2 t-1}{\sqrt{t}} U_{n}, n=0,1,2 \ldots
$$

For $t \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ and $\nu_{t}$-integrable $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ define

$$
a_{t, n}(f)=\int_{-1}^{1} f(s) \tilde{Q}_{t, n}(s) d \nu_{t}(s)
$$

and, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$
S_{t, N}(f)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{t, n}(f) \tilde{Q}_{t, n} .
$$

We are interested in the range of $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, for which the partial sum operators are uniformly $L_{p}$-bounded, that is there exists a constant $C_{t, p}$ such that for all $f \in$ $L_{p}\left([-1,1], \nu_{t}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|S_{t, N}(f)(s)\right|^{p} d \nu_{t}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_{t, p}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}|f(s)|^{p} d \nu_{t}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t=\frac{1}{2}$ this range is the open interval $(1, \infty)$. This may be seen from the classical theorem of M. Riesz on Fourier series, because, by the substitution $x=\cos \theta$ we are led to the Fourier expansions of even functions on the circle.

For the case that $t=1$ Pollard [9] proved that the range of validity of (6) includes $\left(\frac{3}{2}, 3\right)$ and that the partial sum operators are not uniformly bounded for $p<\frac{3}{2}$ or $p>3$. The negative assertion on the convergence of the partial sums at the points $p=\frac{3}{2}$ and $p=3$ is due to Askey and Hirschman [1, Theorem 4c].

Evidently, for any polynomial $q, q(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\operatorname{deg}(q)} a_{t, n}(q) \tilde{Q}_{t, n}(x), x \in[-1,1]$. Hence for elements of a dense subspace in $L_{p}\left([-1,1], \nu_{t}\right), 1 \leq p<\infty$, the partial sums converge in $L_{p}$. If $1 \leq p<\infty$, by the uniform boundedness principle the uniform boundedness of the partial sums is equivalent to the $L_{p}$ convergence:
Theorem 2. Assume $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$. Then for $p$ in the range $\frac{3}{2}<p<3$

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|f(s)-S_{t, N}(f)(s)\right|^{p} d \nu_{t}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=0, \quad \forall f \in L_{p}\left([-1,1], \nu_{t}\right)
$$

whereas for $p<\frac{3}{2}$ or $p>3$ the $L_{p}$ convergence fails.
Proof. The proof of this theorem relies on the above cited work of Pollard and that of Askey and Hirschman.

For the positive part we cite Pollard's theorem 5.1 and have to verify its hypotheses (H1) $-\left(\mathrm{H}(7)\right.$ for the weight function $w(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{4 t \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{1-4(1-t) t x^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} t(x)(1-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $t(x)=\frac{4 t}{1-4(1-t) t x^{2}}$ is positive and two times continuously differentiable on $[-1,1]$. Hence $w$ belongs to the class $\mathbf{B}$ of weights considered already by Bernstein [2]. (H1)-(H6) are verified in section 6 of [9].

To verify (H7) we consider the kernels

$$
k_{ \pm}(x, y)=\left|\frac{\left(\frac{1-y^{2}}{1-x^{2}}\right)^{ \pm \frac{1}{4}}\left(\frac{w(y)}{w(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}-1}{x-y}\right|
$$

Lemma 2. Assume $\frac{3}{2}<p<3$. For $f \in L_{p}([-1,1], d x)$ let

$$
K_{ \pm} f(x)=\int_{-1}^{1} k_{ \pm}(x, y) f(y) d y
$$

Then the operators $K_{ \pm}$are bounded on $L_{p}([-1,1], d x)$.
Proof of the Lemma. As in the proof of lemma 7.1 of [9] it suffices to show the uniform boundedness, with respect to $y$, of the integrals

$$
\int_{-1}^{1}\left|\frac{\left(\frac{1-y^{2}}{1-x^{2}}\right)^{a}\left(\frac{1-\alpha x^{2}}{1-\alpha y^{2}}\right)^{\gamma}-\left(\frac{1-x^{2}}{1-y^{2}}\right)^{b}}{x-y}\right| d x
$$

where $\alpha=4(1-t) t, 0<a<1,0<b<1$, and $\gamma= \pm\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)$. This can be done, but it is a little easier to argue as follows: Write

$$
k_{ \pm}(x, y)=\left|\frac{\left(\frac{1-y^{2}}{1-x^{2}}\right)^{c} \pm \Phi(x, y)-1}{x-y}\right|
$$

where $c_{ \pm}= \pm \frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)$ and $\Phi(x, y)=\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{t} y^{2}}{1-\alpha_{t} x^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}=\frac{f(y)}{f(x)}$, with $\alpha_{t}=4 t(1-t)$ and $f(x)=\left(1-\alpha_{t} x^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}$. Notice, that for $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ we have $0<\alpha_{t}<1$ and $0<\inf \{f(x)$ : $x \in[-1,1]\}<1$, furthermore $f$ is infinitely differentiable with bounded derivatives. Now

$$
k_{ \pm}(x, y) \leq\left|\frac{\left(\frac{1-y^{2}}{1-x^{2}}\right)^{c_{ \pm}} \Phi(x, y)-\Phi(x, y)}{x-y}\right|+\left|\frac{\Phi(x, y)-1}{x-y}\right|=: k_{ \pm}^{1}(x, y)+k_{ \pm}^{2}(x, y)
$$

First

$$
k_{ \pm}^{1}(x, y)=f(x)^{-1}\left|\frac{\left(\frac{1-y^{2}}{1-x^{2}}\right)^{c_{ \pm}}-1}{x-y}\right| f(y)
$$

and, by [9, Lemma 7.1], the integral operator

$$
h \mapsto \int_{-1}^{1} k_{ \pm}^{1}(., y) h(y) d y
$$

is bounded on $L_{p}([-1,1], d x)$, whenever $\frac{3}{2}<p<3$.
Second

$$
k_{ \pm}^{2}(x, y)=f(x)^{-1}\left|\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{x-y}\right|<\mathrm{const}
$$

since $f$ is bounded away from zero and has a bounded derivative. Hence the integral operator with this kernel is bounded on $L_{p}([-1,1], d x)$ for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

The negative assertion of the theorem is based on the following lemmata. We will continue the proof of the theorem first and postpone the proof of the lemmata.
Lemma 3. There exists $c_{t}>0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
\int\left|\tilde{Q}_{t, N}(x)\right| d \nu_{t}(x)>c_{t}
$$

Lemma 4. Assume $1 \geq t>\frac{1}{2}$. If $1 \leq p<\frac{3}{2}$ then $f(x)=(1-x)^{-1}\left(1-4(1-t) t x^{2}\right) \in L_{p}\left(\nu_{t}\right)$ and $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} a_{t, N}(f)>0$.

For $1 \leq p<\frac{3}{2}$ and this function $f$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S_{t, N}(f)-S_{t, N-1}(f)\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\nu_{t}\right)} & \geq\left(\int\left|a_{t, N}(f) \tilde{Q}_{t, N}(x)\right|^{p} d \nu_{t}(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \geq a_{t, N}(f) \int\left|\tilde{Q}_{t, N}(x)\right| d \nu_{t}(x)>c_{t}^{\prime}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

That the $L_{p}$ convergence fails in the range $p>3$ now follows by duality.
Proof of Lemma 3. The trigonometric relation: $2 \cos n \theta \sin \theta=\sin (n+1) \theta-\sin (n-1) \theta$ implies that

$$
\tilde{Q}_{t, n}(\cos \theta)=\frac{t}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{\sin (n+1) \theta}{\sin \theta}-\frac{1-t}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{\sin (n-1) \theta}{\sin \theta}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

For $t>\frac{1}{2}$ on the $n+1$ intervals

$$
I_{k}=\left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}-\frac{\pi}{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4 t}\right), \frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}+\frac{\pi}{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4 t}\right)\right), \quad k=0, \ldots, n
$$

in $[0, \pi]$ we have $|\sin (n+1) \theta|>\frac{1}{2 t}$. Set $E=\bigcup_{k} I_{k}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-1}^{1}\left|\tilde{Q}_{t, n}(x)\right| d \nu_{t}(x) \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{\pi}\left|\frac{t}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{\sin (n+1) \theta}{\sin \theta}-\frac{1-t}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{\sin (n-1) \theta}{\sin \theta}\right| \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{4 t \sin ^{2} \theta}{1-4(1-t) t \cos ^{2}(\theta)} d \theta \\
& \geq \int_{E}\left|\frac{t}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{\sin (n+1) \theta}{\sin \theta}-\frac{1-t}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{\sin (n-1) \theta}{\sin \theta}\right| \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{4 t \sin ^{2} \theta}{1-4(1-t) t \cos ^{2}(\theta)} d \theta \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{E}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{1}{2 t}-\frac{1-t}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \frac{4 t \sin \theta}{1-4(1-t) t \cos ^{2}(\theta)} d \theta \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\pi}(2 t-1) \sqrt{t} \int_{E} \sin \theta d \theta>0
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral $\int_{E} \sin \theta d \theta$ may be estimated from below by a positive constant, independent of $n$, since roughly $\frac{n+1}{3}$ of the intervals $I_{k}$ are in $\left[\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right]$.
Proof of Lemma 4. It is clear that $f \in L_{p}\left([-1,1], \nu_{t}\right)$, when $1 \leq p<\frac{3}{2}$. Let

$$
b_{t, n}=\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) U_{n}(x) d \nu_{t}(x)
$$

Then it follows from (9.3.11) and (4.3.3) in [10] that $b_{t, n}$ converges to a limit $b_{t}>0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{t, n} & =\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{t} f(x) U_{n}(x)-\frac{1-t}{\sqrt{t}} f(x) U_{n-2}(x) d \nu_{t}(x) \\
& =\sqrt{t} b_{t, n}-\frac{1-t}{\sqrt{t}} b_{t, n-2} \rightarrow \frac{2 t-1}{\sqrt{t}} b_{t}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the proof of theorem 1 is evident: By lemma 1 we identified a subspace of $L_{p}\left(V N\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)\right)$ as the $L_{p}$ space $L_{p}\left([-1,1], \nu_{1-\frac{1}{2 r}}\right)$ and showed in the corresponding section that an expansion of radial $L_{p}$ operators amounts to an expansion of $L_{p}$ functions in the latter space with respect to the orthonormal polynomials $Q_{t, n}$. For those the negative assertion of theorem 2 disproves the norm convergence for $1 \leq p<\frac{3}{2}$ or $p>3$.
4. Von Neumann algebras of free commutation relations. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an $N$-dimensional Hilbert space. The free (or full) Fock space is $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 0}=$ $\mathbb{C} \Omega$ for some vacuum vector $\Omega$. On it the commutation relations $a_{i} a_{j}^{+}=\delta_{i, j}$ may be represented, by taking (left) annihilation and creation operators with respect to an orthonormal basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N}$ of $\mathcal{H}$.

The von Neumann algebra generated by $G_{1}=a_{1}+a_{1}^{+}, \ldots, G_{N}=a_{N}+a_{N}^{+}$we shall denote $\mathfrak{A}$. The vacuum expectation

$$
\varepsilon(T)=\langle T \Omega, \Omega\rangle, \quad T \in B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))
$$

is a faithful normal trace on $\mathfrak{A}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{A}$ acting on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is in its standard representation and identifying $L_{2}(\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon)$ with $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ any $T \in L_{2}(\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon)$ is of the form

$$
T=\sum_{\underline{i}} \alpha_{\underline{i}} \omega(\underline{i}), \quad \text { where } \underline{i}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right), 1 \leq i_{j} \leq N
$$

and $\omega(\underline{i}) \in \mathfrak{A}$ acts by $\omega(\underline{i}) \Omega=e_{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n}}$. One can show (see $\left.[6,4,3]\right)$ that

$$
\omega(\underline{i})=a_{i_{1}} \ldots a_{i_{n}}+a_{i_{1}}^{+} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{n}}+\ldots+a_{i_{1}}^{+} \ldots a_{i_{n}}^{+} .
$$

It follows (see [4, proposition 2.9]) that for $\underline{i}=(\overbrace{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{1}}^{k_{1}}, \overbrace{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{2}}^{k_{2}}, \ldots, \overbrace{i_{n}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{k_{n}})$

$$
\omega(\underline{i})=U_{k_{1}}\left(\omega\left(i_{1}\right)\right) U_{k_{2}}\left(\omega\left(i_{2}\right)\right) \ldots U_{k_{n}}\left(\omega\left(i_{n}\right)\right),
$$

where $U_{k}$ are the Tchebyscheff polynomials of second kind.
In this case it is natural to define the length of a tensor $\underline{e}=e_{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{n}}$, and of the multi-index $\underline{i}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right), 1 \leq i_{j} \leq N$, as $\mathbf{l}(\underline{e})=n$ respectively as $\mathbf{l}(\underline{i})=n$.

We can also ask when for $T=\sum_{\underline{i}} \alpha_{\underline{i}} \omega_{\underline{i}} \in L_{p}(\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon)$ we have convergence of the partial sums in $L_{p}(\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon)$ :

$$
S_{N}(T)=\sum_{\mathbf{1}(\underline{i}) \leq N} \alpha_{\underline{i}} \omega_{\underline{i}} \rightarrow T .
$$

Theorem 3. If for all $T \in L_{p}(\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon)$
then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|S_{N}(T)-T\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon)} \rightarrow 0 \\
\frac{3}{2}<p<3
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. We consider the radial elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ :

$$
\chi_{0}=\omega(\emptyset)=\mathrm{id}, \quad \chi_{1}=\sum_{\mathbf{1}(\underline{i})=1} \omega(\underline{i}), \quad \chi_{n}=\sum_{\mathbf{1}(\underline{i})=n} \omega(\underline{i}), n=2,3, \ldots .
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\chi_{1} \chi_{n}=\chi_{n+1}+N \chi_{n-1}, n=1,2, \ldots .
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\chi_{n}=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^{n} U_{n}\left(\frac{\chi_{1}}{\sqrt{N}}\right)
$$

The distribution of $\chi_{1}$ with respect to $\varepsilon$ is known to be the measure

$$
d \mu_{N}=\frac{2}{\pi N} \sqrt{N-x^{2}} d x
$$

Hence convergence of $S_{N}(T)$, for radial $T$, implies the convergence of expansions with respect to the orthogonal polynomials in $L_{p}\left([-\sqrt{N}, \sqrt{N}], \mu_{N}\right)$. But by a theorem of Pollard [9] this is false for p not in the range $3 / 2 \leq p \leq 3$. If $p=\frac{3}{2}$ or $p=3$, then Askey and Hirschman [1, Theorem 4c] show that the partial sum operators are not bounded uniformly in $n$.
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