Abstract. We investigate the propagation of the uniform spatial Gevrey $G^\sigma$, $\sigma \geq 1$, regularity for $t \to +\infty$ of solutions to evolution equations like generalizations of the Euler equation and the semilinear Schrödinger equation with polynomial nonlinearities. The proofs are based on direct iterative arguments and nonlinear Gevrey estimates.

1. Introduction. The main goal of this paper is to investigate the propagation for $t \to +\infty$ of the uniform Gevrey regularity with respect to the space variables $x$ of solutions to Cauchy problems for some classes of nonlinear evolution equations by means of techniques, based on iterative arguments and nonlinear estimates in the framework of Gevrey spaces. The initial data are supposed to be uniformly $G^\sigma(\Omega)$ Gevrey (we write shortly $v \in G^\sigma_{un}(\Omega)$) for some $\sigma \geq 1$, where $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $\Omega$ is the $n$-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^n$. More precisely, $v \in G^\sigma_{un}(\Omega)$ means that there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$
\|v\|_{\sigma, \rho, H^r} := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \left( \frac{|\alpha| \|\partial^\alpha v\|_{H^r}}{(\alpha!)^\sigma} \right) < +\infty,
$$

(1.1)
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where $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^n$, $r \geq 0$ is an integer, and $H^r = H^r(\Omega) = W^{r,2}(\Omega)$ stands for the classical $L^2$ based Sobolev space of order $r$. Define $G^\sigma(\Omega, H^r, \rho)$, $ho > 0$, as the space of all functions $v \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying (1.1). We note that $G^1(\Omega, H^r, \rho)$ is a subset of the space of uniformly analytic functions in $\Omega$ and every $v \in G^1(\Omega, H^r, \rho)$ can be extended to a holomorphic function in the strip $\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |\text{Im} z| < \rho \}$. As in Proposition 1.4.5 of [Ro], we have that $G^\sigma(\Omega, H^r, \rho)$ is a vector space and, if $r > n/2$, a ring with respect to the arithmetic product of functions, and is closed under differentiation. We refer to the book [Ro] for the basic theory of Gevrey spaces.

In view of the lack of space we will focus on two particular classes of equations. The first one is a generalization of the incompressible Euler equation (cf. [LO]). We will extend the results in [LO] for the propagation of the analytic regularity on $\mathbb{T}^2$ in the framework of all Gevrey classes $G^\sigma$, $\sigma \geq 1$. More precisely, we consider the Cauchy problem

\begin{align}
\partial_t \omega + K[\omega] \cdot \nabla \omega &= 0, & t > 0, \ x \in \Omega \\
\omega(0, x) &= \omega^0(x), & x \in \Omega
\end{align}

where $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^n$, and the operator $K$ satisfies the following hypotheses:

(H1) $K$ is linear: $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni \omega \longrightarrow K[\omega] = (K_1[\omega], \ldots, K_n[\omega]) \in (H^{s+1}(\Omega))^n$, $s \geq 0$;

(H2) there exists a real valued function $b \in G^\sigma_{\text{un}}(\Omega)$, $\inf_{x \in \Omega} b(x) =: b_0 > 0$ such that

\begin{equation}
\nabla \cdot (bK[\omega]) = 0, \quad \omega \in H^s(\Omega), \ s \geq 0;
\end{equation}

(H3) for any $s \geq 0$, $K$ is bounded from $H^s(\Omega)$ to $(H^{s+1}(\Omega))^n$, namely there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

\begin{equation}
\|K[\omega]\|_{H^{s+1}} \leq C\|\omega\|_{H^s}, \quad \omega \in H^s(\Omega).
\end{equation}

The hypotheses (H1)–(H3) are satisfied for generalizations of the Euler equation on the two-dimensional torus related to shallow water equations, cf. [LO]. For the Euler equation in a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with smooth real analytic boundary, the propagation of the uniform analyticity of solutions to the Dirichlet boundary value problem has been investigated in [BB] by means of subtle estimates on the Green function of the Poisson kernel in complex analytic neighbourhoods of the domain. The propagation of the local analyticity of solutions to the Euler equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$ was studied in [AM]. Recently, the propagation of the uniform analyticity of solutions to Euler type equations on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ was investigated in [LO] by means of nonlinear estimates in Gevrey $G^1$ spaces and the Galerkin approximation (cf. also [BG], [FT], [FeT] for results on analytic regularity for dissipative equations like the Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluids, the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, and semilinear parabolic equations). Theorems on the existence and/or uniqueness of classical solutions to the initial value problem for Euler type equations can be found in [Ba], [Ka], [LOT], [Ol].

Another motivation for our investigations comes from results on the propagation of the local analytic and, more generally, local $G^\sigma$ Gevrey regularity for linear and nonlinear hyperbolic equations (e.g., cf. [CZ], [Kj1], [Kj2], [KY2], [RY], [Sp] and references therein). We mention also that uniformly analytic functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as initial data have been used as a functional set-up for proving global well-posedness of initial value problems for degenerate Kirchhoff type equations (e.g., cf. [DS], [KY1]).
The second type of equations will be a model semilinear Schrödinger equation

\begin{align}
\label{eq:1.6}
&i\partial_t u + \Delta u + g(u) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\
&u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\end{align}

where \( g \) is a polynomial, vanishing of order \( q \geq 2 \) at the origin, i.e.

\begin{equation}
\label{eq:1.8}
g(u) = \sum_{\beta = q}^{p} g_{\beta} u^\beta, \quad g_{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \beta = q, \ldots, p, \quad g_q \neq 0
\end{equation}

for some integer \( p \geq q \).

As a corollary from more general results (cf. [KP], see also [Ra]) we know that under the assumption

\begin{equation}
\label{eq:1.9}
\frac{q}{(q - 1)^2} < \frac{n}{2}
\end{equation}

there exists an integer \( r_0 > n/2 + 2 \), depending only on the dimension \( n \), and a small constant \( \delta_0 > 0 \) such that if

\begin{equation}
\label{eq:1.10}
u_0 \in H^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad p = \frac{2q}{2q - 1}
\end{equation}

for \( r \in \mathbb{N}, \ r \geq r_0 \), and

\begin{equation}
\label{eq:1.11}
\|u_0\|_{H^r} + \|u_0\|_{W^{r,p}} \leq \delta, \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq \delta_0,
\end{equation}

then the Cauchy problem (1.6), (1.7) admits a unique classical solution

\begin{equation}
\label{eq:1.12}
u \in C([0, +\infty[ : H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0, +\infty[ : H^{r-2}(\mathbb{R}^n)).
\end{equation}

Moreover, for \( t \to +\infty \), we have

\begin{equation}
\label{eq:1.13}
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} = O(t^{-\gamma}), \quad \gamma = \frac{n(q - 1)}{2q}, \quad 2q \leq \kappa \leq +\infty,
\end{equation}

and there exists a constant \( M_0 \) depending on \( \delta_0 \) and \( r \) such that

\begin{equation}
\label{eq:1.14}
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r} \leq M_0\|u_0\|_{H^r}, \quad t \geq 0.
\end{equation}

Similar results for global in time solutions for small data are available for nonlinear wave equations and the Klein-Gordon equation (cf. [KP], [Ra], see also [GG] for extensions to certain quasilinear weakly hyperbolic systems).

We state the first main result of our paper for the initial value problem (1.2)–(1.3) under the assumptions (H1)–(H3).

**Theorem 1.** Let us fix \( r \in \mathbb{N}, \ r > n/2 + 1 \) and \( \sigma \geq 1 \). Then we can find a positive constant \( c_0 \), depending only on \( n, \ r \) and the function \( b \), such that for every \( \omega^0 \in G^\sigma(\Omega, H^r, \rho) \), \( \rho > 0 \), the unique global solution \( \omega \in C([0, +\infty[ : H^r(\Omega)) \) to (1.2), (1.3) satisfies

\begin{equation}
\label{eq:1.15}
\omega(t, \cdot) \in G^\sigma(\Omega, H^r, \rho_\sigma(t)),
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
\label{eq:1.16}
\rho_\sigma(t) = \rho \exp\left(-c_0 \left(t\|\omega^0\|_{\sigma, \rho, H^r} + \int_0^t \|\nabla K[\omega(t_1, \cdot)]\|_{H^r} dt_1\right)\right),
\end{equation}

for all \( t \geq 0 \).
 Remark 1. We point out that if $T_2 = T$ and $\gamma = 1$, the decay of the Gevrey $G^1$ radius $\rho_\gamma(t)$ in (1.16) is slower than the decay rate in Theorem 7 of [LO]. We refer to Section 5 for more details.

Next, we investigate the propagation of the uniform Gevrey regularity of solutions to the Schrödinger equation (1.6).

**Theorem 2.** Assume that (1.9) holds and choose and fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq r_0 > n/2 + 2$ and a Gevrey index $\sigma \geq 1$. Let $\delta_0 > 0$ be as in (1.11) and let $u_0$ satisfy (1.10), (1.11) and $u_0 \in G^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n, H^r, \rho)$ for some $\rho > 0$. Then the unique classical solution $u$ of (1.6), (1.7) satisfies

$$u(t, \cdot) \in G^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n, H^r, \rho_\sigma(t)), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

$$\rho_\sigma(t) = \rho \exp \left( -\lambda_0 (\| u_0 \|_{\sigma, r, H^r})^{q-1} t - c_0 (1 + t)^{1-\gamma(q-1)} \right), \quad t \geq 0,$$

while $c_0 > 0$ and $\lambda_0$ are constants depending only on $\delta_0$, $r$, $n$, $M_0$ and the coefficients of the polynomial $g$. Moreover, for every $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ we can find $0 < \delta(\varepsilon) \ll 1$ and $\tilde{c}_0 > 0$ such that

$$\rho_\sigma(t) = \rho \exp(-\varepsilon t - \tilde{c}_0 (1 + t)^{1-\gamma(q-1)}), \quad t \geq 0$$

provided $u_0$ satisfies (1.11) with $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the proof of Theorem 1 provided certain nonlinear Gevrey estimates are satisfied. We derive the nonlinear estimates in Section 3. The proof of Theorem 2 is carried out in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss relations of our theorems with previous results and outline possible generalizations.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** Let

$$Z_k(t) = \| \omega(t, \cdot) \|_{H^{r+k}},$$

$$u(t, x) = K[\omega(t, \cdot)](x).$$

In the sequel, we will use $C_\ast$ to denote any absolute constant independent of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega, \ast$ being an index.

The crucial ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1 is the following estimate, which will be proven in the next section:

**Proposition 3.**

1. If $k \geq r + 2$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} Z_k(t) \leq C_0 \left( k \| u(t, \cdot) \|_{H^r} Z_k(t) + \sum_{j=2}^N \binom{r+k}{j} Z_{j-1}(t) Z_{k+1-j}(t) \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{j=N+1}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_{j-r-1}(t) Z_{k-r+1-j}(t) \right),$$

where $N = \left[ \frac{r+k}{2} \right]$ is the integer part of $\frac{r+k}{2}$.
2. If $k \leq r + 1$, then
\[
\frac{d}{dt} Z_k(t) \leq C_0 \left( k \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r} \right) Z_k(t) + \sum_{j=2}^{k} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_{j-1}(t) Z_{k+1-j}(t)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j=k+1}^{r+1} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_1(t) Z_{k-1}(t) + \sum_{j=r+2}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_{j-r-1}(t) Z_{k+r+1-j}(t),
\]
where the third term does not appear if $k = r + 1$.

Now, we want to conclude the assertion of Theorem 1 from the estimates (2.3) and (2.4).

We set
\[
(2.5) \quad P(t) = C_0 \int_0^t \|u(s, \cdot)\|_{H^r} \, ds
\]
and
\[
(2.6) \quad \Theta_k(t) = \frac{\epsilon_k}{(k!)^\sigma} e^{-kP(t)} Z_k(t),
\]
where $\epsilon_k$ will be determined later.

When $k \geq r + 2$, from (2.3) we have
\[
\Theta_k'(t) = \frac{\epsilon_k}{(k!)^\sigma} e^{-kP(t)} \left( Z_k'(t) - C_0 k \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r} Z_k(t) \right)
\]
\[
\leq C_0 \epsilon_k e^{-kP(t)} \left( \sum_{j=2}^{N} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_{j-1}(t) Z_{k+1-j}(t)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j=N+1}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_{j-r-1}(t) Z_{k+r+1-j}(t) \right). \]

Obviously, from (2.6) we have
\[
(2.8) \quad Z_{j-1}(t) Z_{k+1-j}(t) = \binom{k}{j-1}^{-\sigma} (k!)^\sigma \epsilon_{j-1} \epsilon_{k+1-j}^{-\sigma} e^{kP(t)} \Theta_{j-1}(t) \Theta_{k+1-j}(t).
\]

Substituting (2.8) into (2.7) we get
\[
(2.9) \quad \Theta_k'(t) \leq C_0 \left( \sum_{j=2}^{N} \binom{r+k}{j} \binom{k}{j-1}^{-\sigma} \frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon_{j-1} \epsilon_{k+1-j}^{-\sigma}} \Theta_{j-1}(t) \Theta_{k+1-j}(t)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j=N+1}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} \binom{k}{j-r-1}^{-\sigma} \frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon_{j-r-1} \epsilon_{k+r+1-j}^{-\sigma}} \Theta_{j-r-1}(t) \Theta_{k+r+1-j}(t) \right).
\]

By using (2.9) the relations
\[
\binom{r+k}{j} \binom{k}{j-1}^{-\sigma} \leq C_1 k \binom{k}{j-1}^{-\sigma+1} \leq C_1 k
\]
when $2 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{r+k}{2} \rfloor$, and
\[
\binom{r+k}{j} \binom{k}{j-r-1}^{-\sigma} \leq C_2 \binom{k}{j-r-1}^{-\sigma+1} \leq C_2
\]
when \( j \geq \left\lfloor \frac{r+k}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \), we obtain
\[
\Theta'_k(t) \leq C_3 \left( k \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon_j-1} \epsilon_{k+1-j} \Theta_{j-1}(t) \Theta_{k+1-j}(t) \\
+ \sum_{j=N+1}^{r+k} \frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon_j-1} \epsilon_{k+r+1-j} \Theta_{j-r-1}(t) \Theta_{k+r+1-j}(t) \right).
\]
(2.10)

If we choose \( \epsilon_k = k^2 \), then we have
\[
\sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon_j-1} \epsilon_{k+1-j} = \sum_{j=2}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{j-1} + \frac{1}{k+1-j} \right)^2 \leq C_4,
\]
\[
\sum_{j=N+1}^{r+k} \frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon_j-1} \epsilon_{k+r+1-j} = \sum_{j=N+1}^{r+k} \left( \frac{1}{j-r-1} + \frac{1}{k+r+1-j} \right)^2 \leq C_5,
\]
with \( C_4, C_5 \) being independent of \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

Thus, from (2.10) we obtain
\[
\Theta'_k(t) \leq C_6 k \max_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} \Theta_j(t) \Theta_{k-j}(t),
\]
where \( C_6 \) is an absolute constant depending on \( r > 1 \).

When \( k \leq r + 1 \), it is not difficult to derive from (2.4) that we also have the estimate (2.11) by noting that all coefficients on the right-hand side of (2.4) are bounded by a constant depending only on \( r \).

If we set
\[
\mu_k^\lambda(t) := e^{-\lambda kt} \Theta_k(t) = \frac{k^2}{|k|^\sigma} e^{-k(\lambda t+P(t))} ||\omega(t, \cdot)||_{H^{r+k}}
\]
with \( P(t) \) being given in (2.5), then, from (2.11) and from the choice of the initial datum \( \omega^0 \in C^\sigma(\Omega, H^r, \rho) \) we obtain
\[
\mu_k^\lambda(t) \leq \mu_k^\lambda(0) + \frac{C_6}{\lambda} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mu_j^\lambda(s) \mu_{k-j}^\lambda(s)
\]
\[
\leq ||\omega^0||_{\sigma, \rho, H^r} + \frac{C_6}{\lambda} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mu_j^\lambda(s) \mu_{k-j}^\lambda(s).
\]
(2.13)

Set
\[
\Gamma_N(\lambda) := \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} \sup_{t \geq 0} \mu_k^\lambda(t), \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]
Since \( \Gamma_0(\lambda) < +\infty \), we are reduced to the following sequence of iteration inequalities
\[
\Gamma_N(\lambda) \leq ||\omega^0||_{\sigma, \rho, H^r} + \frac{C_6}{\lambda} \left( \Gamma_{N-1}(\lambda) \right)^2, \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]
(2.14)

The sequence \( \{\Gamma_N^\lambda\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \) converges provided \( \lambda \geq \lambda_0 := 4C_6 ||\omega^0||_{\sigma, \rho, H^r} \). Choosing \( \lambda = \lambda_0 \) we get the estimate
\[
\Gamma_N^\lambda \leq 2||\omega^0||_{\sigma, \rho, H^r}, \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
(2.15)

which leads to
\[
\mu_k^{\lambda_0}(t) \leq 2||\omega^0||_{\sigma, \rho, H^r}
\]
(2.16)
for all \( t \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{N} \). The proof of Theorem 1 is complete. \( \blacksquare \)
3. Nonlinear Gevrey estimates. We will use the notation \( \hat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi} f \) for the discrete Fourier transformation

\[
\hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) \, dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, 
\]

in the case \( \Omega = \mathbb{T}^n \) while if \( \Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \) we will rely on the continuous Fourier transformation

\[
\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) \, dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. 
\]

First of all, we have

**Lemma 4.** Let \( r \in \mathbb{N}, r > n/2 \) be fixed, \( \omega \) be the classical solution to the IVP (1.2), (1.3), and let \( A \) be the positive and self-adjoint operator \( A := (1 - \partial_{x_1}^2 - \cdots - \partial_{x_n}^2)^{1/2} \). Then for any \( k \in \mathbb{N}, \omega \in C([0, +\infty[ : H^{+\infty}(\Omega) \) we have

\[
|\langle u \cdot \nabla (A^{r+k}\omega), A^{r+k}\omega \rangle(t)| \leq C_0 \| u(t, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty} \| \omega(t, \cdot) \|_{H^{r+k}(\Omega)}^2 
\]

where \( u = K[\omega] \) (as in (2.2)), \( H^{+\infty}(\Omega) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} H^s(\Omega) \),

\[
\langle \mu, \nu \rangle(t) := \int_{\Omega} \mu(t, x) \overline{\nu(t, x)} \, dx, \quad \mu, \nu \in C([0, +\infty[ : L^2(\Omega)),
\]

and \( C_0 = 2^{-1} b_0^{-1} \| \nabla b \|_{L^\infty}, b_0 > 0 \) being defined in the assumption (H2).

**Proof.** By using \( \nabla \cdot (bu) = 0, \nabla b^{-1} = -b^{-2} \nabla b, \) and the definition of \( b_0 > 0 \) in (H2), we have

\[
|\langle u \cdot \nabla (A^{r+k}\omega), A^{r+k}\omega \rangle(t)| = \left| \frac{1}{2} \langle (A^{r+k}\omega)^2, \nabla \cdot u \rangle(t) \right|
\]

\[
= \left| \frac{1}{2} \langle (A^{r+k}\omega)^2, (\nabla b^{-1}) \cdot bu \rangle(t) \right| 
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\| \nabla b \|_{L^\infty}}{2b_0} \| u(t, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty} \| \omega(t, \cdot) \|_{H^{r+k}(\Omega)}^2 
\]

which implies the desired inequality (3.3). \( \blacksquare \)

The main ingredient in the proof of Proposition 3 is to establish

**Proposition 5.**

1. With the same notation as above, if \( k \geq r + 2 \), then

\[
|\langle u \cdot \nabla (A^{r+k}\omega), A^{r+k}\omega \rangle(t) - \langle A^{r+k}(u \cdot \nabla \omega), A^{r+k}\omega \rangle(t) | 
\]

\[
\leq C_0 Z_k(t) \left( k \| u(t, \cdot) \|_{H^r} Z_k(t) + \sum_{j=2}^{N} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_{j-1}(t) Z_{k+1-j}(t) 
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=N+1}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_{j-r-1}(t) Z_{k+r+1-j}(t) \right),
\]

where \( Z_k(t) = \| \omega(t, \cdot) \|_{H^{r+k}} \) and \( N = \left[ \frac{r+k}{2} \right] \).
2. If \( k \leq r + 1 \), then

\[
\left| \langle u \cdot \nabla (A^{r+k} \omega), A^{r+k} \omega \rangle (t) - \langle A^{r+k} (u \cdot \nabla \omega), A^{r+k} \omega \rangle (t) \right|
\leq C_0 Z_k(t) \left( k \| u(t, \cdot) \|_{H^r} Z_k(t) + \sum_{j=2}^{k} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_{j-1}(t) Z_{k+1-j}(t) \right.
\]

\[
\left. + \sum_{j=k+1}^{r+1} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_1(t) Z_{k-1}(t) + \sum_{j=r+2}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_{j-r-1}(t) Z_{k+r+1-j}(t) \right),
\]

where the third term does not appear when \( k = r + 1 \).

**Proof.** Set \( \langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2} \), \( \xi \) being the dual variable of \( x \in \Omega \). Clearly, we have

\[
\left| \langle u \cdot \nabla (A^{r+k} \omega), A^{r+k} \omega \rangle (t) - \langle A^{r+k} (u \cdot \nabla \omega), A^{r+k} \omega \rangle (t) \right|
= \left| \int \langle \xi \rangle^{r+k} \omega(t, \xi) (u \cdot \nabla (A^{r+k} \omega)(t, \xi) - \langle \xi \rangle^{r+k} u \cdot \nabla \omega(t, \xi)) \, d\xi \right|
\leq \int \int \langle \xi \rangle^{r+k} |\hat{\omega}(t, \xi)| \sum_{j=1}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} (\xi - \eta)^j
\times (\eta)^{r+k+1-j} |\hat{u}(t, \xi - \eta)| |\hat{\omega}(t, \eta)| \, d\eta \, d\xi
\]

by using

\[
\langle \xi \rangle^{r+k} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} (\xi - \eta)^j (\eta)^{r+k-j}.
\]

For the term with \( j = 1 \) in (3.7), we have due to assumption (H3)

\[
\int \int \langle \xi \rangle^{r+k} |\hat{\omega}(t, \xi)| \langle \xi - \eta \rangle \langle \eta \rangle^{r+k} |\hat{u}(t, \xi - \eta)| |\hat{\omega}(t, \eta)| \, d\eta \, d\xi
\leq C_0 \| u(t, \cdot) \|_{H^{r+1}} Z_k^2(t) \leq C_1 \| \omega(t, \cdot) \|_{H^r} Z_k^2(t).
\]

Denote by \( I \) the sum of terms from \( j = 2 \) to \( r + k \) on the right-hand side of (3.7).

1. When \( k \geq r + 2 \), we decompose \( I \) into two parts as follows:

\[
I = \sum_{j=2}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} \int \int \langle \xi \rangle^{r+k} |\hat{\omega}(t, \xi)| \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^j
\times (\eta)^{r+k+1-j} |\hat{u}(t, \xi - \eta)| |\hat{\omega}(t, \eta)| \, d\eta \, d\xi
= \left( \sum_{j=2}^{N} + \sum_{j=N+1}^{r+k} \right) \ldots =: I_1 + I_2,
\]

where \( N = \left\lfloor \frac{r+k}{2} \right\rfloor \).
For the term $I_1$ we have

$$I_1 = \sum_{j=2}^{N} \binom{r+k}{j} \int \int \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{r+k} |\hat{\omega}(t, \xi)| \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{r+j} |\hat{u}(t, \xi - \eta)|}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{r-j}} \, d\eta \, d\xi$$

(3.11)

$$\leq C_2 \sum_{j=2}^{N} \binom{r+k}{j} \sum_{i=j}^{N} \binom{r+k}{j} \sum_{k=0}^{r} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_k(t) Z_{j-1}(t) Z_{k+1-j}(t).$$

For the term $I_2$ we have

$$I_2 = \sum_{j=N+1}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} \int \int \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{r+k} |\hat{\omega}(t, \xi)| \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{r+j} |\hat{u}(t, \xi - \eta)|}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{r-j}} \, d\eta \, d\xi$$

(3.12)

$$\leq C_3 \sum_{j=N+1}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} \sum_{i=j}^{r} \binom{r+k}{j} \sum_{k=0}^{r} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_k(t) Z_{j-1}(t) Z_{k+r+1-j}(t).$$

Substituting (3.9)–(3.12) into (3.7), we conclude (3.5).

2. When $k \leq r + 1$, we decompose $I$ into three parts as follows:

$$I = \sum_{j=2}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} \int \int \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{r+k} |\hat{\omega}(t, \xi)| \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{j}}{\eta} \, d\eta \, d\xi$$

(3.13)

$$\times \langle \eta \rangle^{r+k+1-j} |\hat{u}(t, \xi - \eta)| |\hat{\omega}(t, \eta)| \, d\eta \, d\xi$$

$$= \left( \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{r} + \sum_{j=r+2}^{r+k} \right) \ldots =: I^1 + I^2 + I^3.$$

Similarly to (3.11) and (3.12) we can obtain

(3.14) \hspace{1cm} I^1 \leq C_4 \sum_{j=2}^{k} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_k(t) Z_{j-1}(t) Z_{k+1-j}(t)

and

(3.15) \hspace{1cm} I^3 \leq C_4 \sum_{j=r+2}^{r+k} \binom{r+k}{j} Z_k(t) Z_{j-1}(t) Z_{k+r+1-j}(t).

For the term $I^2$ in (3.13), by using

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \{ |\eta| \leq C_5 |\xi - \eta| \} \cup \{ |\eta| \geq C_5 |\xi - \eta| \}$$
for some positive constant $C_5$, we have
\[ I^2 = \sum_{j=k+1}^{r+1} \binom{r+k}{j} \left( \int_{|\eta| \leq C_5|\xi-\eta|} + \int_{|\eta| \geq C_5|\xi-\eta|} \right) |\xi-\eta|^{r+2} \times |\hat{u}(t,\xi-\eta)| \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{r+k}|\hat{\omega}(t,\xi)|}{\langle \eta \rangle^{r+2}} |\eta|^{r+k-1} |\hat{\omega}(t,\eta)| \ d\eta \ d\xi \]

We recall that since $A_0 > 0$, defined in an obvious way for the product in $H^r(\Omega)$, depending only on $n$ and $r$. Combining (4.3) with the decay estimate (1.13) for $k = \infty$ and the estimate (1.14) we get that for some constant $A_0 > 0$, defined in an obvious way by the decay of $\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}$ in (1.13), the following inequality holds
\[ \|u^{q-1}(t, \cdot)W_k(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r} \leq A_0(1 + t)^{-(q-1)\gamma} U_k(t) + M_1 \delta^{q-1} U_{k-1}(t), \]

\[ (3.16) \]

Substituting (3.14)–(3.16) into (3.13), and using (3.9) we immediately obtain (3.5). \[ \]

4. Gevrey regularity for semilinear Schrödinger equations. Without loss of generality we may assume $n = 1$ and $g(u) = u^q$ (in the general case $n > 1$ and $g(u)$ polynomial only additional technical and notational difficulties occur). We write $x$ instead of $x_1$ and $D$ for $\partial_x$. Set
\[ W_k = D^k u, \quad U_k(t) = \|W_k(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \]

We differentiate equation (1.6) $k$ times with respect to $x$, multiply it by $W_k$, integrate in $H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using the Leibniz rule for differentiation, we get
\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (U_k^2(t)) \leq \text{Re} \langle (W_k(t, \cdot), qu^{q-1}(t, \cdot)W_k(t, \cdot) \rangle_{H^r} + \text{Re} \langle (W_k(t, \cdot), R_k(t, \cdot) \rangle_{H^r}, \]

where
\[ R_k(t, \cdot) = \min\{q,k\} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\min\{q,k\}} \frac{q! u^{q-\ell}(t, \cdot)}{(q-\ell)! \ell!} \sum_{k_1 + \ldots + k_\ell = k} \frac{k!}{k_1! \ldots \ell!} \prod_{\mu=1}^\ell W_{k_\mu}(t, \cdot), \]

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We recall that since $r$ is an integer greater than $n/2$ and we consider classical Sobolev spaces $H^r(\Omega)$, we have the following Schauder type estimates (e.g., cf. [GR]):
\[ \|u^{q-1}(t, \cdot)W_k(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r} \leq (\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty})^{q-1} U_k(t) + M_1 (\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r})^{q-1} U_{k-1}(t), \]

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \geq 0$, where $M_1 = \theta^{q-1}$, $\theta > 0$ being a positive constant in the Schauder lemma for the product in $H^r(\Omega)$, depending only on $n$ and $r$. Combining (4.3) with the decay estimate (1.13) for $k = \infty$ and the estimate (1.14) we get that for some constant $A_0 > 0$, defined in an obvious way by the decay of $\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}$ in (1.13), the following inequality holds
\[ \|u^{q-1}(t, \cdot)W_k(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r} \leq A_0(1 + t)^{-(q-1)\gamma} U_k(t) + M_1 \delta^{q-1} U_{k-1}(t), \]

\[ (4.4) \]
for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \geq 0$. Set
\begin{equation}
V_k(t) = \frac{U_k(t)}{(k!)^\sigma}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{equation}

On the other hand, using the Moser type inequality
\begin{equation}
\|f_1 \cdots f_q\|_{H^r} \leq C \sum_{\mu=1}^q \|f_\mu\|_{H^r} \prod_{\nu \neq \mu} \|f_\nu\|_{H^{r-1}}
\end{equation}
for some $C = C(q, r) > 0$ and the nonlinear Gevrey estimates from [GR] we deduce that one can find a constant $M_2 > 0$ depending on $q$, $r$ and $M_0$, such that
\begin{equation}
\frac{\|R_k(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r}}{(k!)^\sigma} \leq M_2 \sum_{\ell=2}^{\min\{q, k\}} \delta^{q-\ell} \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_\ell = k}^{\ell} \sum_{k_1 \geq 1, \ldots, k_\ell \geq 1} \mathcal{P}_{k_1, \ldots, k_\ell}^{\ell, \mu}(\sigma) V_\mu(t) \prod_{\nu \neq \mu} V_{k_\nu-1}(t),
\end{equation}
for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \geq 0$, where
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{P}_{k_1, \ldots, k_\ell}^{\ell, \mu}(\sigma) = \left(\frac{k_1! \cdots k_\ell!}{k!}\right)^{\sigma-1} \prod_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{1}{k_\nu^\sigma}, \quad 2 \leq \ell \leq q,
\end{equation}
with the convention $\mathcal{P}_{k_1}^{1, 1}(\sigma) = 1$. Hence, combining (4.1), (4.4) and (4.6), we obtain
\begin{equation}
V_k'(t) \leq qA_0 (1 + t)^{-\gamma(q-1)} V_k(t) + \frac{M_1 \delta^{q-1}}{k^\sigma} V_{k-1}(t)
+ M_2 \sum_{q=2}^{\min\{q, k\}} \delta^{q-j} \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_\ell = k}^{\ell} \sum_{k_1 \geq 1, \ldots, k_\ell \geq 1} \mathcal{P}_{k_1, \ldots, k_\ell}^{\ell, \mu}(\sigma) V_\mu(t) \prod_{\nu \neq \mu} V_{k_\nu-1}(t),
\end{equation}
for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \geq 0$. Set
\begin{equation}
Q_k(t) := \exp(-kM_3(1 + t)^{1-\gamma(q-1)}) V_k(t)
\end{equation}
with the constant $M_3 > 0$ satisfying
\begin{equation}
M_3(1 + t)^{1-\gamma(q-1)} \geq qA_0 \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{-\gamma(q-1)} d\tau, \quad t \geq 0.
\end{equation}
Therefore, multiplying (4.8) by $\exp(-kM_3(1 + t)^{1-\gamma(q-1)})$ and taking into account the identity
\begin{equation}
\exp(-kM_3(1 + t)^{1-\gamma(q-1)}) V_\mu(t) \prod_{\nu \neq \mu, 1 \leq \nu \leq \ell} V_{k_\nu-1}(t)
= \exp(-M_3(\ell - 1)(1 + t)^{1-\gamma(q-1)}) Q_\mu(t) \prod_{\nu \neq \mu, 1 \leq \nu \leq \ell} Q_{k_\nu-1}(t),
\end{equation}
we obtain
\[
Q'_k(t) \leq \frac{M_1 \delta^{q-1}}{k^\sigma} \exp(-M_3(1 + t)^{1-\gamma(q-1)})Q_{k-1}(t)
\]
\[
+ M_2 \sum_{\ell=2}^{\min\{q,k\}} \exp(-M_3(\ell - 1)(1 + t)^{1-\gamma(q-1)})\delta^{q-\ell}
\]
\[
\times \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum_{k_1+\ldots+k_\ell=n} P^\ell_{k_1,\ldots,k_\ell}(\sigma)Q_{k_\mu}(t) \prod_{\nu \neq \mu} Q_{k_{\nu}-1}(t)
\] (4.10)
\[
\leq \frac{M_1 \delta^{q-1}}{k^\sigma} \exp(-M_3(1 + t)^{1-\gamma(q-1)})Q_{k-1}(t)
\]
\[
+ M_2 \sum_{\ell=2}^{\min\{q,k\}} \exp(-M_3(\ell - 1)(1 + t)^{1-\gamma(q-1)})\delta^{q-\ell}
\]
\[
\times \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum_{k_1+\ldots+k_\ell=n} P^\ell_{k_1,\ldots,k_\ell}(\sigma)Q_{k_\mu}(t) \prod_{\nu \neq \mu} Q_{k_{\nu}-1}(t).
\]

We observe that by the definition of the constant $A_0$ we get that $A_0 = O(||u_0||_{H^r})^{q-1}$.

Since the right-hand side of (4.10) does not depend on $Q_k(t)$, we derive (1.18) by arguments similar to (and easier than) the ones used in Section 3. We show in details (1.19) since somewhat more subtle estimates are involved. Choose and fix $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. Then for every small positive number $0 < \varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon$ we have the freedom to choose $\delta \ll \varepsilon_1$. We set $Q'_k(t) = Q_k(t) \exp(-k\varepsilon t)$ and
\[
(4.11) \quad B^\varepsilon_N := \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} \sup_{t \geq 0} Q'_k(t), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Then, taking into account (4.7) and (4.10), we can find a positive number $M_4$ depending on $M_1, M_2, n, q$ and $\sigma$, such that
\[
B^\varepsilon_N \leq ||u_0||_{\sigma,p,H^r} + \frac{M_4}{N^{1+\sigma}} \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{q} \delta^{q-j-1}(B^\varepsilon_{N-1})^j
\] (4.12)
\[
\leq ||u_0||_{\sigma,p,H^r} + \frac{M_4}{N^{1+\sigma}} \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \delta^{q-j-1}(B^\varepsilon_{N-1})^j + \frac{M_4}{N^{1+\sigma}} (B^\varepsilon_{N-1})^q, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Note that problems in dealing with (4.12) might occur for $N^{1+\sigma} \leq O(\varepsilon^{-1})$. At this point we choose $\delta \ll \varepsilon_1$, $\varepsilon_1 \ll \min\{||u_0||_{\sigma,p,H^r}, \varepsilon\}$ so that both $\delta \varepsilon^{-1}$ and the $H^N(\Omega)$ norms of $u(t, \cdot)$ for $N^{1+\sigma} \leq O(\varepsilon^{-1})$ become small enough. If $N^{1+\sigma} \gg O(\varepsilon^{-1})$, then the convergence of $\{B^\varepsilon_N\}$ for $N \to +\infty$ follows by iteration type arguments as for (2.14). The proof of (1.19) is complete.

5. Concluding remarks. We begin by pointing out that if $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^2$ and $\sigma = 1$, the decay of the Gevrey $G^1$ radius $\rho_\sigma(t)$ in (1.16) is slower than the decay shown in Theorem 7 of [LO]. Indeed, by (H3) we obtain that
\[
||\nabla K[\omega(t, \cdot)]||_{H^r} \leq C||\omega(t, \cdot)||_{H^r} =: \theta(t), \quad t \geq 0.
\]
Hence, by (1.16), we get

$$\rho_1(t) \geq \rho \exp \left( - c_0 t \| \omega^0 \|_{\sigma, \rho, H^r} - c_0 C \int_0^t \theta(t_1) \, dt_1 \right), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

The decay rate for $\rho_1(t)$ given by the formulas (22) and (24) on p. 329 of [LO] could be rewritten in the following form: there exist two positive constants $c_1$ and $c_2$ such that

$$\rho_1(t) = \rho \exp \left( - c_2 \int_0^t \left( \sqrt{\| \omega^0 \|_{\sigma, \rho, H^r}^2 + c_1 \int_0^{t_2} \theta^3(t_1) \, dt_1} \right) \, dt_2 \right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5.2)$$

which is faster than the decay rate given by (5.1).

We mention also that we can derive estimates for the decay of $\rho_\sigma(t)$ for $t \to +\infty$ in (1.15) for solutions $\bar{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ to IVP for $m \times m$ systems of evolution equations of the type

$$\partial_t \bar{v} + \sum_{j=1}^n K_j[\bar{v}] \partial_{x_j} \bar{v} + F[\bar{v}] = \bar{f}(t, x), \quad (5.3)$$

where $F$ is an analytic nonlinear term, $\bar{f} \in C([0, +\infty) : (G^\sigma_{un}(\Omega))^m)$, $K_j, j = 1, \ldots, n$, are matrix-valued operators (they might be nonlocal, as in Kirchhoff type equations $u_{tt} - M(\| \nabla u(t, \cdot) \|_{L^2}) \Delta u = 0, M \in C^1(\mathbb{R} : [0, +\infty])$). The condition (H2) is replaced by the requirement

$$\text{Re} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \langle v, K_j[v] \partial_{x_j} v \rangle \right) \geq 0, \quad v \in H^1(\Omega). \quad (5.4)$$

Note that (1.2) can be reduced to an equation of the type (5.3), setting $v(t, x) = b(x) \omega(t, x)$ and multiplying (1.2) by $b(x)$. The proofs become more involved from the point of view of the nonlinear analysis type difficulties.

As to the possibility to investigate the propagation of the uniform $G^\sigma$ regularity of solutions to initial boundary value problems (Dirichlet or Neumann type) when $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a domain whose boundary $\partial \Omega$ is a real analytic submanifold, we observe that even for polynomial nonlinearities $g(u)$ no estimates in Banach spaces of Gevrey functions are available, as far as we know. In particular, it is necessary to analyze carefully Gevrey norms of products of eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ in domains with zero Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions.

As to generalizations of Theorem 2, we can show, by the same body of ideas (iterative inequalities and precise Gevrey nonlinear estimates) but with technically more involved proofs, similar results on the uniform Gevrey regularity of solutions to Cauchy problems for the nonlinear wave equation, the Klein-Gordon equation as well as for some Schrödinger type operators with multiple characteristics (cf. [GNT], [Ta]), with quasilinear nonlinear terms depending analytically on $u$ and $\nabla u$. As for the case of nonanalytic Gevrey $G^\sigma, \sigma > 1$, nonlinearities, it seems that some of the recent methods for obtaining nonlinear Gevrey estimates of Gevrey (but not analytic) nonlinear compositions (cf. [GR], [BRS]) might be applied for semilinear equations with Gevrey nonlinearities.
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