

ABSOLUTELY CONTINUOUS LINEAR OPERATORS ON KÖTHE-BOCHNER SPACES

KRZYSZTOF FELEDZIAK

Abstract. Let E be a Banach function space over a finite and atomless measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be real Banach spaces. A linear operator T acting from the Köthe-Bochner space $E(X)$ to Y is said to be absolutely continuous if $\|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_n} f)\|_Y \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$, $(A_n) \subset \Sigma$. In this paper we examine absolutely continuous operators from $E(X)$ to Y . Moreover, we establish relationships between different classes of linear operators from $E(X)$ to Y .

1. Introduction and notation. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be real Banach spaces and let B_X stand for the closed unit ball in X . Let X^* and Y^* stand for the Banach duals of X and Y respectively. Let \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{R} denote the sets of natural and real numbers.

Now we establish terminology concerning function spaces (see [AB], [KA], [Z]). Throughout the paper we assume that (Ω, Σ, μ) is a finite and atomless measure space. By $\mathbb{1}_A$ we will denote the characteristic function of a set $A \in \Sigma$. By L^0 we denote the corresponding space of μ -equivalence classes of Σ -measurable real valued functions defined on Ω . Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a Köthe function space in L^0 , that is, E is an ideal of L^0 with $\text{supp } E = \Omega$ and $\|\cdot\|_E$ is a Riesz norm.

Now we recall terminology and basic concepts from the theory of vector-valued function spaces (see [CM], [DU], [L]). By $L^0(\mu, X)$ we denote the space of μ -equivalence classes of all strongly Σ -measurable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$. For $f \in L^0(\mu, X)$ let us set $\tilde{f}(\omega) := \|f(\omega)\|_X$ for $\omega \in \Omega$. The linear space $E(X) = \{f \in L^0(\mu, X) : \tilde{f} \in E\}$ provided with the norm $\|f\|_{E(X)} := \|\tilde{f}\|_E$ is a Banach space and is called a *Köthe-Bochner space*.

Orlicz [O] and Orlicz and Wnuk [OW] defined and studied absolutely continuous operators acting from Banach function spaces E to a Banach space Y . In this paper we

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47B38, 47B07, 46E40, 46A20.

Key words and phrases: Köthe-Bochner spaces, absolutely continuous operators, smooth and σ -smooth operators, order bounded operators, order weakly compact operators.

The Author died on August 26, 2011.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

extend the results of [OW] to the vector-valued setting, i.e., we study linear operators from $E(X)$ to Y .

For each $u \in E^+$ the set $I_u = \{f \in E(X) : \tilde{f} \leq u\}$ will be called an order interval in $E(X)$.

Nowak ([N1], [N2]) studied order-bounded and order-weakly compact operators acting from $E(X)$ to Y . Recall that a linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ is said to be order-weakly compact (resp. order-bounded) whenever for each $u \in E^+$ the set $T(I_u)$ is relatively-weakly compact (resp. norm-bounded) in Y . We will need the following result (see [N1, Theorem 2.3]).

PROPOSITION 1.1. *A linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ is order-bounded if and only if T is $(\|\cdot\|_E, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous.*

Moreover, if $\|\cdot\|_E$ is order continuous and X is a reflexive Banach space, then every $(\|\cdot\|_E, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ is order-weakly compact (see [N2, Theorem 3.6]).

2. Absolutely continuous operators on Köthe-Bochner spaces

DEFINITION 2.1. A linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ will be called *absolutely continuous* whenever for every $f \in E(X)$, $T(\mathbb{1}_{A_n} f) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$, $(A_n) \subset \Sigma$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ is absolutely continuous, then it maps order bounded sequences in $E(X)$ with pairwise disjoint terms into null sequences.*

Proof. Let $u \in E^+$ and let (f_n) be a sequence in I_u with pairwise disjoint terms, i.e., $\text{supp } f_n \cap \text{supp } f_m = \emptyset$ if $n \neq m$. Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be the function defined by

$$f(\omega) = \begin{cases} f_n(\omega) & \text{if } \omega \in \text{supp } f_n, \ n = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \in \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{supp } f_n. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\tilde{f} \leq u$, so $f \in I_u$.

Since $f_n = \mathbb{1}_{\text{supp } f_n} f$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(\text{supp } f_n) = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{supp } f_n) \leq \mu(\Omega) < \infty$, we get $\mu(\text{supp } f_n) \rightarrow 0$ and it follows that $T(f_n) = T(\mathbb{1}_{\text{supp } f_n} f) \rightarrow 0$ in Y . ■

LEMMA 2.3. *If an operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ is absolutely continuous, then for each $f \in E(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|T(\mathbb{1}_A g)\|_Y \leq \varepsilon$ for every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) \leq \delta$ and $g \in I_{\tilde{f}}$.*

Proof. Assume that there exist $f \in E(X)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and sequences (A_n) in Σ , (g_n) in $I_{\tilde{f}}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) < \infty$ and $\|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_n} g_n)\|_Y > \varepsilon$.

Since T is absolutely continuous, there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|T(\mathbb{1}_{\bigcup_{i=k_1}^{\infty} A_i} g_1)\|_Y \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then, we can find $k_2 > k_1$ with $\|T(\mathbb{1}_{\bigcup_{i=k_2}^{\infty} A_i} g_{k_1})\|_Y \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Following this way we are able

to find a sequence (k_n) such that $\|T(\mathbb{1}_{\bigcup_{i=k_{n+1}}^\infty A_i} g_{k_n})\|_Y \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &< \|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_{k_n}} g_{k_n})\|_Y = \|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_{k_n}} \setminus \bigcup_{i=n+1}^\infty A_{k_i} g_{k_n} + \mathbb{1}_{\bigcup_{i=n+1}^\infty A_{k_i}} g_{k_n})\|_Y \\ &\leq \|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_{k_n}} \setminus \bigcup_{i=n+1}^\infty A_{k_i} g_{k_n})\|_Y + \|T(\mathbb{1}_{\bigcup_{i=n+1}^\infty A_{k_i}} g_{k_n})\|_Y \\ &\leq \|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_{k_n}} \setminus \bigcup_{i=n+1}^\infty A_{k_i} g_{k_n})\|_Y + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(*) \quad \|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_{k_n}} \setminus \bigcup_{i=n+1}^\infty A_{k_i} g_{k_n})\|_Y > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let us put $B_n = A_{k_n} \setminus \bigcup_{i=n+1}^\infty A_{k_i}$ and $h_n = \mathbb{1}_{B_n} g_{k_n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sets B_1, B_2, \dots are pairwise disjoint and $\tilde{h}_n \leq \tilde{g}_{k_n} \leq \tilde{f}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 2.2 we get $\|T(h_n)\|_Y \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts (*). ■

THEOREM 2.4. *If a linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ is absolutely continuous, then it is $(\|\cdot\|_{E(X)}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.1 it is enough to show that $T(I_u)$ is bounded in Y for every $u \in E^+$. If $T(I_u)$ were not bounded, then we would find a sequence (f_n) in I_u such that $\|T(f_n)\|_Y \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have $\|T(f_{n_1})\|_Y > 2$ for some $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the absolute continuity of T we can find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|T(\mathbb{1}_A f_{n_1})\|_Y < 1$ for every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) \leq \frac{\mu(\Omega)}{k}$. Since the measure μ is atomless, there exist pairwise disjoint sets A_1, \dots, A_k in Σ such that $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ and $\mu(A_i) = \frac{\mu(\Omega)}{k}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Thus we have $\|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_i} f_{n_1})\|_Y < 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $\sup_n \|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_j} f_n)\|_Y = \infty$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Putting $g_1 = \mathbb{1}_{\Omega \setminus A_j} f_{n_1}$, we obtain $\|T(g_1)\|_Y > 1$. Moreover, we can find a natural number $n_2 > n_1$ such that $\|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_j} f_{n_2})\|_Y > 2$. Using the absolute continuity of T again, we can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|T(\mathbb{1}_A f_{n_2})\|_Y < 1$ for every $A \in \Sigma$, $A \subset A_j$ with $\mu(A) \leq \frac{\mu(A_j)}{m}$. Obviously, there exist pairwise disjoint sets B_1, \dots, B_m in Σ such that $A_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i$ and $\mu(B_i) = \frac{\mu(A_j)}{m}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then we have $\|T(\mathbb{1}_{B_i} f_{n_2})\|_Y < 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\sup_n \|T(\mathbb{1}_{B_i} f_n)\|_Y = \infty$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let us put $g_2 = \mathbb{1}_{A_j \setminus B_i} f_{n_2}$. Note that $\|T(g_2)\|_Y > 1$ and $\tilde{g}_1 \wedge \tilde{g}_2 = 0$.

By induction we can define a sequence (g_n) of pairwise disjoint functions in I_u with $\|T(g_n)\|_Y > 1$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

The last inequality contradicts Proposition 2.2 and it proves that T is continuous. ■

Now we distinguish some classes of linear operators acting from $E(X)$ to Y .

DEFINITION 2.5.

- (i) A linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ is said to be σ -smooth if $\tilde{f}_n \xrightarrow{(o)} 0$ in E implies $\|T(f_n)\|_Y \rightarrow 0$.
- (ii) A linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ is said to be smooth if $\tilde{f}_\alpha \xrightarrow{(o)} 0$ in E implies $\|T(f_\alpha)\|_Y \rightarrow 0$.

THEOREM 2.6. *Assume that $L^\infty \subset E$. For a linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ the following statements are equivalent :*

- (i) T is absolutely continuous.
- (ii) T is σ -smooth.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) Assume that T is absolutely continuous. Choose a sequence (f_n) in $E(X)$ with $\tilde{f}_n \xrightarrow{(o)} 0$ in E . Then there exists a decreasing sequence (u_n) in E^+ such that $\tilde{f}_n \leq u_n \downarrow 0$ in E . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 2.3 for u_1 there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\sup_n \|T(\mathbb{1}_A f_n)\|_Y \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ whenever $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) \leq \delta$. According to the Egoroff theorem there exists a set $A_0 \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(\Omega \setminus A_0) \leq \delta$ such that $\mathbb{1}_{A_0} f_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on Ω . It follows that $\mathbb{1}_{A_0} f_n \rightarrow 0$ in $(E(X), \|\cdot\|)$. Thus $\|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_0} f_n)\|_Y \rightarrow 0$ because by Theorem 2.4 T is continuous. Hence

$$\|T(f_n)\|_Y \leq \|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_0} f_n)\|_Y + \|T(\mathbb{1}_{\Omega \setminus A_0} f_n)\|_Y < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large n 's, i.e., $\|T(f_n)\|_Y \rightarrow 0$.

(ii) \implies (i) It is sufficient to show that for every $f \in E(X)$ $\|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_n} f)\|_Y \rightarrow 0$ for every (A_n) in Σ with $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$. Let us take $f \in E(X)$ and a sequence (A_n) in Σ such that $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$. Obviously $\mathbb{1}_{A_n} \tilde{f} \xrightarrow{(o)} 0$ and it follows that $\|T(\mathbb{1}_{A_n} f)\|_Y \rightarrow 0$ because T is σ -smooth. ■

Now we briefly recall terminology concerning locally solid topologies and the duality of $E(X)$ (see [FN], [N2]). A subset H of $E(X)$ is said to be *solid* whenever $\tilde{f}_1 \leq \tilde{f}_2$ and $f_1 \in E(X)$, $f_2 \in H$ implies $f_1 \in H$. A linear topology τ on $E(X)$ is said to be *locally solid* if it has a local base at zero consisting of solid sets. A locally solid topology τ on $E(X)$ is said to be a *Lebesgue topology* whenever for any net (f_α) in $E(X)$, $\tilde{f}_\alpha \xrightarrow{(o)} 0$ in E implies $f_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ for τ .

A linear functional F on $E(X)$ is said to be *order continuous* whenever $\tilde{f}_\alpha \xrightarrow{(o)} 0$ in E implies $F(f_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$. The set consisting of all order continuous linear functionals on $E(X)$ will be denoted by $E(X)_n^\sim$ and called the *order continuous dual* of $E(X)$. Then $E(X)^* = E(X)_n^\sim$ if and only if the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is order continuous.

The following theorem will be of importance (see [N3, Theorem 4.1]).

THEOREM 2.7. *Assume that X^* has the Radon-Nikodym property. Then the Mackey topology $\tau(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim)$ is a locally convex-solid Lebesgue topology on $E(X)$.*

Now we are ready to state the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.8. *Assume that $L^\infty \subset E$ and X^* has the Radon-Nikodym property. Then for a linear operator $T : E(X) \rightarrow Y$ the following statements are equivalent :*

- (i) $y^* \circ T \in E(X)_n^\sim$ for every $y^* \in Y^*$.
- (ii) T is $(\sigma(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim), \sigma(Y, Y^*))$ -continuous.
- (iii) T is $(\tau(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ -continuous.
- (iv) T is smooth.
- (v) T is σ -smooth.
- (vi) T is absolutely continuous.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii) See [AB, Theorem 9.26].

(ii) \iff (iii) See [AB, Example 11, p. 149].

(iii) \implies (iv) It follows from Theorem 2.7.

(iv) \implies (v) It is obvious.

(v) \iff (vi) See Theorem 2.6.

(v) \implies (i) It is obvious. ■

References

- [AB] C. D. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, *Positive Operators*, Pure Appl. Math. 119, Academic Press, Orlando, 1985.
- [CM] P. Cembranos, J. Mendoza, *Banach spaces of vector-valued functions*, Lectures Notes in Math. 1676, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [DU] J. Diestel, J. J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1977.
- [FN] K. Feledziak, M. Nowak, *Locally solid topologies on vector valued function spaces*, Collect. Math. 48 (1997), 487–511.
- [KA] L. V. Kantorovich, A. V. Akilov, *Functional Analysis*, 3rd ed., Nauka, Moscow, 1984 (in Russian).
- [L] P. K. Lin, *Köthe-Bochner Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- [N1] M. Nowak, *Order bounded operators from vector-valued function spaces to Banach spaces*, in: Orlicz Centenary Volume II, Banach Center Publ. 68, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2005, 109–114.
- [N2] M. Nowak, *Order-weakly compact operators from vector-valued function spaces to Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), 2803–2809.
- [N3] M. Nowak, *Linear operators on vector-valued function spaces with Mackey topologies*, J. Convex Anal. 15 (2008), 165–178.
- [O] W. Orlicz, *Operations and linear functionals in spaces of φ -integrable functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 8 (1960), 563–565.
- [OW] W. Orlicz, W. Wnuk, *Absolutely continuous and modularly continuous operators defined on spaces of measurable functions*, Ricerche Mat. 40 (1991), 243–258.
- [Z] A. C. Zaanen, *Linear Analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1953.

