

GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF WEAK SOLUTIONS TO CAHN-HILLIARD-GURTIN SYSTEM IN ELASTIC SOLIDS

IRENA PAWŁOW

*Systems Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences
Newelska 6, 01-447 Warszawa, Poland
and Institute of Mathematics and Cryptology, Cybernetics Faculty
Military University of Technology
S. Kaliskiego 2, 00-908 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail: pawlow@ibspan.waw.pl*

WOJCIECH M. ZAJĄCZKOWSKI

*Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences
Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland
and Institute of Mathematics and Cryptology, Cybernetics Faculty
Military University of Technology
S. Kaliskiego 2, 00-908 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail: wz@impan.gov.pl*

Abstract. In this paper we study the Cahn-Hilliard-Gurtin system describing the phase-separation process in elastic solids. The system has been derived by Gurtin (1996) as an extension of the classical Cahn-Hilliard equation. For a version with viscosity we prove the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution on an infinite time interval and derive an absorbing set estimate.

1. Introduction. In this paper we study an initial-boundary-value problem for the Cahn-Hilliard system coupled with nonstationary elasticity. The system models phase separation process in deformable continuum. It was derived by Gurtin [Gur96] within the framework of his thermodynamical theory based on a microforce balance and extends the classical Cahn-Hilliard equation by elastic, anisotropic and kinetic effects.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 35K50; Secondary 35K60, 35Q72.

Key words and phrases: Cahn-Hilliard, nonstationary elasticity, weak solutions, global existence, uniqueness.

Research partially supported by the Polish Grant No 1 P03A 021 30.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

Recently, various variants of this system have been often studied in the literature. In most of the studies a quasi-stationary approximation of the elasticity system, leading to a problem of elliptic-parabolic type, was used, see e.g. Garcke [Gar00], [Gar03], [Gar05], Bonetti et al. [BCDGSS02], Miranville and associates, see [CarMirPR99], [CarMirP00], [Mir00], [Mir01a], [Mir01b]. The Cahn-Hilliard-Gurtin system with nonstationary elasticity leads to a problem of hyperbolic-parabolic type. It was studied in [CarMirP00], [Mir01a], [BarPaw05], [PawZaj06b] where the existence and properties of weak solutions were examined, and in [PawZaj06a], [PawZaj07] where the existence of strong solutions was proved on a finite time interval in 1-D and 3-D cases. The results of [PawZaj06a], [PawZaj06b], [PawZaj07] refer to a simplified Gurtin's model with neglected anisotropic cross-coupling terms.

In the present paper we consider the full Gurtin's model augmented in addition by mechanical and diffusive viscosity. For such problem we prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions on infinite time interval as well as absorbing set estimates. The obtained results allow for the long-time analysis of the problem to be presented in a separate paper.

The system under consideration consists of the following three problems for the fields of the displacement $\mathbf{u} : \Omega^T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, the order parameter (phase ratio) $\chi : \Omega^T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and the chemical potential $\mu : \Omega^T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{tt} - \nabla \cdot [W_{,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) + \nu \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)] &= \mathbf{b} && \text{in } \Omega^T = \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{u}_t|_{t=0} &= \mathbf{u}_1 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{0} && \text{on } S^T = S \times (0, T), \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_t - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{M}\nabla\mu + \mathbf{h}\chi_t) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\ \chi|_{t=0} &= \chi_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{M}\nabla\mu + \mathbf{h}\chi_t) &= 0 && \text{on } S^T, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu - \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla\mu &= -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla\chi + \psi'(\chi) + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) + \beta\chi_t && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla\chi &= 0 && \text{on } S^T. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary S , occupied by a solid body in a reference configuration with constant mass density $\varrho = 1$; \mathbf{n} is the outward unit normal to S and $T > 0$ is an arbitrary fixed time. The body is a binary $a - b$ alloy, which driven by thermomechanical effects, undergoes a phase separation process. Here we assume that temperature is constant below a critical value. The order parameter χ is related to the volumetric fraction of one of the two phases, characterized by different crystalline structures of the components. We assume that $\chi = -1$ is identified with the phase a and $\chi = 1$ with the phase b .

The second order tensor

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\mathbf{u} + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T)$$

denotes the linearized strain tensor. The function $W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi)$ stands for the elastic energy,

defined by

$$(1.4) \quad W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)) \cdot \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)).$$

The corresponding derivatives

$$W_{,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) = \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)),$$

and

$$W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) = -\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'(\chi) \cdot \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi))$$

represent respectively the stress tensor and the elastic part of the chemical potential.

The fourth order tensor $\mathbf{A} = (A_{ijkl})$ denotes a constant elasticity tensor:

$$(1.5) \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \mapsto \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) = \bar{\lambda} \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{I} + 2\bar{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})$$

where $\mathbf{I} = (\delta_{ij})$ is the identity tensor, and $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}$ are the Lamé constants with values within the elasticity range (see (2.1)).

The second order tensor $\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi) = (\bar{\varepsilon}_{ij}(\chi))$ denotes the eigenstrain, i.e. the stress free strain corresponding to the phase ratio χ , defined by

$$(1.6) \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi) = (1 - z(\chi))\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_a + z(\chi)\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_b,$$

with $\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_a, \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_b$ denoting constant eigenstrains of phases a, b , and $z : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ being a sufficiently smooth interpolation function satisfying

$$(1.7) \quad z(\chi) = 0 \quad \text{for } \chi \leq -1 \quad \text{and} \quad z(\chi) = 1 \quad \text{for } \chi \geq 1.$$

The term $\nu \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)$, $\nu = \text{const} \geq 0$, represents a viscous stress tensor; ν being a viscosity coefficient.

The function $\psi(\chi)$ denotes the chemical energy of the material at zero stress, assumed to be a double-well potential

$$(1.8) \quad \psi(\chi) = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \chi^2)^2$$

with two minima at $\chi = -1$ and $\chi = 1$ which characterize the phases of the material.

Further, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_{ij})$ is an interfacial energy tensor, and $\mathbf{M} = (M_{ij})$ is a mobility tensor, both symmetric, positive definite with constant coefficients.

A constant $\beta \geq 0$ refers to a diffusional viscosity, and $\mathbf{g} = (g_i)$, $\mathbf{h} = (h_i)$ are constant vectors accounting for anisotropic effects. In accordance with thermodynamical consistency the quantities $\mathbf{M}, \beta, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ are subject to the condition

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \mu \\ \chi_t \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{h} \\ \mathbf{g}^T & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \mu \\ \chi_t \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (\nabla \mu, \chi_t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}.$$

More generally, the quantities $\mathbf{M}, \beta, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ may also depend on $\chi, \nabla \chi, \chi_t, \mu, \nabla \mu$ (see [Gur96]).

The remaining quantities in (1.1)–(1.3) have the following meaning: $\mathbf{b} : \Omega^T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is an external body force, and $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $\chi_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are the initial conditions respectively for the displacement, the velocity and the order parameter.

The homogeneous boundary conditions in (1.1)–(1.3) are chosen for the sake of simplicity. The condition (1.1)₃ means that the body is fixed at the boundary S , (1.2)₃ reflects the mass isolation at S , and (1.3)₂ is the natural boundary condition for the free energy (1.10) below.

For further use we recall a thermodynamical basis of system (1.1)–(1.3) (see e.g. [Paw06]). The underlying free energy density has the Landau-Ginzburg-Cahn-Hilliard form

$$(1.10) \quad f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi, \nabla\chi) = W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) + \psi(\chi) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\chi \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla\chi$$

with the three terms on the right-hand side representing respectively the elastic, chemical and interfacial energy. Equation (1.1)₁ corresponds to the linear momentum balance

$$\mathbf{u}_{tt} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{b}$$

with the stress tensor \mathbf{S} given by

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)) + \nu\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t).$$

Equation (1.2)₁ is the mass balance

$$\chi_t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0$$

with the constitutive equation for the mass flux \mathbf{j}

$$\mathbf{j} = -(\mathbf{M}\nabla\mu + \mathbf{h}\chi_t).$$

Finally, equation (1.3)₁ defines a generalized chemical potential

$$\mu = \frac{\delta f}{\delta\chi} + a,$$

where

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \chi, \nabla\chi) = f_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \chi, \nabla\chi) - \nabla \cdot f_{,\nabla\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \chi, \nabla\chi)$$

denotes the first variation of f with respect to χ , and a is a scalar field given by

$$a = -(\mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla\mu + \beta\chi_t).$$

Equivalently, in the theory of Gurtin [Gur96] equation (1.3)₁ represents a microforce balance. In accordance with the entropy principle the quantities \mathbf{j} and a are subject to the dissipation inequality

$$-(\nabla\mu \cdot \mathbf{j} + \chi_t a) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (\nabla\mu, \chi_t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R},$$

which yields condition (1.9).

We point out that system (1.1)–(1.3) augments the original Gurtin model [Gur96] by nonstationary inertial effects ($\mathbf{u}_{tt} \neq 0$) and a mechanical viscosity ($\nu > 0$).

Let us introduce now a simplified formulation of (1.1)–(1.3) obtained after taking into account the constitutive equations (1.4)–(1.6).

Let \mathbf{Q} stand for the linear elasticity operator defined by

$$(1.11) \quad \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) = \bar{\mu}\Delta\mathbf{u} + (\bar{\lambda} + \bar{\mu})\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})$$

with domain $D(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{H}^2\Omega \cap \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$. Moreover, let

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta_M\mu = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}\nabla\mu, \quad \Delta_\Gamma\chi = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla\chi$$

denote the elliptic operators associated with tensors \mathbf{M} and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. Let us define also the quantities

$$(1.13) \quad \mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{A}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_b - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_a), \quad D = -\mathbf{B} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_b - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_a), \quad E = -\mathbf{B} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_a$$

which are respectively a symmetric second order tensor with constant coefficients and two scalars. Then

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) &= \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{A}\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_a + z(\chi)\mathbf{B}, \\ W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) &= z'(\chi)(\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) + Dz(\chi) + E), \end{aligned}$$

and consequently (1.1)–(1.3) takes the form

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{tt} - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{u} - \nu\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{u}_t &= z'(\chi)\mathbf{B}\nabla\chi + \mathbf{b} && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\ \mathbf{u}|_{t=0} &= \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{u}_t|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}_1 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{0} && \text{on } S^T, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_t - \nabla(\mathbf{M}\nabla\mu + \mathbf{h}\chi_t) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\ \chi|_{t=0} &= \chi_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{M}\nabla\mu + \mathbf{h}\chi_t) &= 0 && \text{on } S^T, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu - \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla\mu &= -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla\chi + \psi'(\chi) + z'(\chi)(\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) + Dz(\chi) + E) + \beta\chi_t && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla\chi &= 0 && \text{on } S^T. \end{aligned}$$

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we present our main assumptions and results, stated in Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4. Theorem 2.1 asserts the existence of a weak solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3) on a fixed time interval $[0, T]$, $T > 0$. Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 provide two existence results for problem (1.1)–(1.3) on the infinite time interval. Theorem 2.2, deduced directly from a priori estimates in Theorem 2.1, requires a decay of the body force \mathbf{b} as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and consequently implies that the dissipative quantities \mathbf{u}_t , χ_t and $\nabla\mu$ vanish in appropriate norms as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Theorem 2.3 relaxes the restrictions of Theorem 2.2 with the help of an absorbing set estimate and prolonging the solution step by step in time. Finally, Theorem 2.4 states the uniqueness of the solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3).

In Section 3 we derive basic energy estimates for (1.1)–(1.3). The procedure follows that used previously in [BarPaw05], [PawZaj06b].

In Section 4 we prove an absorbing set estimate which constitutes the main new part of the paper. This estimate allows firstly, to prolong the solution step by step on the infinite time interval and secondly, to conclude the existence of an absorbing set for system (1.1)–(1.3) which is of interest in the long-time analysis of the problem.

The subsequent sections 5 and 6 provide the proofs respectively of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, 2.3. Finally, Section 7 gives the proof of Theorem 2.4.

We use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= (x_i)_{i=1,2,3} \text{ the material point,} \\ f_{,i} &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}, \quad f_t = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \text{ the material space and time derivatives,} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} &= (\varepsilon_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,3}, \quad W_{,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \chi) = \left(\frac{\partial W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \chi)}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} \right)_{i,j=1,2,3}, \\ W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \chi) &= \frac{\partial W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \chi)}{\partial \chi}, \quad \psi'(\chi) = \frac{d\psi(\chi)}{d\chi}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, whenever there is no danger of confusion, we omit the arguments $(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{\chi})$. The specification of tensor indices is omitted as well. Vector- and tensor-valued mappings are denoted by bold letters. The summation convention over repeated indices is used, as well as the notation: for vectors $\mathbf{a} = (a_i)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = (\tilde{a}_i)$ and tensors $\mathbf{B} = (B_{ij})$, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = (\tilde{B}_{ij})$, $\mathbf{A} = (A_{ijkl})$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{a}} &= a_i \tilde{a}_i, & \mathbf{B} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}} &= B_{ij} \tilde{B}_{ij}, \\ \mathbf{AB} &= (A_{ijkl} B_{kl}), & \mathbf{BA} &= (B_{ij} A_{ijkl}), \\ |\mathbf{a}| &= (a_i a_i)^{1/2}, & |\mathbf{B}| &= (B_{ij} B_{ij})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The symbols ∇ and $\nabla \cdot$ denote the gradient and the divergence operators with respect to the material point \mathbf{x} . For the divergence of a tensor field we use the convention of the contraction over the last index, e.g. $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) = (\varepsilon_{ij,j}(\mathbf{x}))$.

We use the standard Sobolev spaces notation $H^m(\Omega) = W_2^m(\Omega)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^1(\Omega) &= \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } S\}, \\ H_N^2(\Omega) &= \{v \in H^2(\Omega) : \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \nabla v = 0 \text{ on } S\}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{n} is the outward unit normal to $S = \partial\Omega$, denote the subspaces respectively of $H^1(\Omega)$ and $H^2(\Omega)$, with the standard norms of $H^1(\Omega)$ and $H^2(\Omega)$.

By bold letters we denote the spaces of vector- or tensor-valued functions, e.g.

$$\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega) = (L_2(\Omega))^n, \quad \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) = (H^1(\Omega))^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$

if there is no confusion we do not specify dimension n . Moreover, we write

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} = \|\mathbf{a}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}, \quad \|\mathbf{a}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} = \|\mathbf{a}\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \mathbf{a}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$$

for the corresponding norms of a vector-valued function $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}) = (a_i(\mathbf{x}))$; similarly for tensor-valued functions.

As usual, the symbol (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the scalar product in $L_2(\Omega)$. For simplicity, we use the same symbol to denote scalar products in $\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega) = (L_2(\Omega))^n$, e.g. we write

$$(a, \tilde{a}) = \int_{\Omega} a(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{a}(\mathbf{x}) dx, \quad (\mathbf{a}, \tilde{\mathbf{a}}) = \int_{\Omega} a_i(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{a}_i(\mathbf{x}) dx, \quad (\mathbf{B}, \tilde{\mathbf{B}}) = \int_{\Omega} B_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{B}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) dx.$$

The dual of the space V is denoted by V' , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V', V}$ stands for the duality pairing between V' and V .

By c and $c(T)$ we denote generic positive constants different in various instances, depending on the data of the problem and domain Ω ; whenever it is of interest their dependence on parameters is specified. The argument T indicates the time horizon dependence. Moreover, δ denotes a generic, sufficiently small positive constant.

For further use we collect also some frequently used inequalities. The first one is the Korn inequality

$$(1.18) \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq d_1^{-1/2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$$

with a positive constant d_1 . The second one is the Poincaré inequality

$$(1.19) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left| \chi - \int_{\Omega} \chi dx' \right|^2 dx \leq d_2 \|\nabla \chi\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2 \quad \text{for } \chi \in H^1(\Omega)$$

where d_2 is a positive constant, and $\bar{f}_\Omega \chi dx$ denotes the mean value of χ :

$$\bar{f}_\Omega \chi dx = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega \chi dx, \quad |\Omega| = \text{meas } \Omega.$$

The third one is the Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality

$$(1.20) \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} \leq d_3^{1/2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$$

with a positive constant d_3 . For completeness we recall also the Sobolev imbedding

$$(1.21) \quad \|\chi\|_{L_6(\Omega)} \leq d_4^{1/2} \|\chi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

with a positive constant d_4 .

2. Assumptions and main results. System (1.1)–(1.3) (in simplified form (1.15)–(1.17)) is studied under the following assumptions:

(A1) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain with the boundary S of class at least C^2 ; $T > 0$ is an arbitrary fixed time.

(A2) The coefficients of the elasticity operator \mathbf{Q} (see (1.11)) satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{\mu} > 0, \quad 3\bar{\lambda} + 2\bar{\mu} > 0 \quad (\text{elasticity range}).$$

These two conditions assure the following:

(i) the elasticity tensor \mathbf{A} is coercive and bounded

$$(2.2) \quad c_* |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|^2 \leq \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \leq c^* |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|^2 \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{S}^2,$$

where \mathbf{S}^2 denotes the set of symmetric second order tensors in \mathbb{R}^3 , and

$$c_* = \min\{3\bar{\lambda} + 2\bar{\mu}, 2\bar{\mu}\}, \quad c^* = \max\{3\bar{\lambda} + 2\bar{\mu}, 2\bar{\mu}\};$$

(ii) The operator \mathbf{Q} is strongly elliptic and satisfies the estimate

$$(2.3) \quad c \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)} \leq \|\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in D(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$$

with constant c depending on Ω ;

(A3) The mechanical viscosity coefficient is positive $\nu = \text{const} > 0$.

The next three assumptions concern the ingredients of the free energy $f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi, \nabla \chi)$ in (1.10).

(A4) The elastic energy $W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi)$ is given by (1.4)–(1.6) with the interpolation function $z: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ being at least of class C^1 , satisfying (1.7) and such that

$$(2.4) \quad |z'(\chi)| \leq c \quad \text{for all } \chi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The auxiliary constant quantities \mathbf{B} , D and E are defined in (1.13).

(A5) The chemical energy is a double-well potential (1.8), so that

$$(2.5) \quad \psi'(\chi) = \chi^3 - \chi, \quad \psi''(\chi) = 3\chi^2 - 1, \quad \psi'''(\chi) = 6\chi.$$

(A6) The interfacial energy tensor $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_{ij})$ is symmetric, with constant coefficients, positive definite and bounded:

$$(2.6) \quad \underline{c}_\Gamma |\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2 \leq \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\xi} \leq \bar{c}_\Gamma |\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

with constants $0 < \underline{c}_\Gamma < \bar{c}_\Gamma$. This implies that the operator Δ_Γ defined in (1.12) is strongly elliptic.

We note that, in view of (1.14), it follows from (A4) that there exist positive constants a_1, a_2 such that

$$(2.7) \quad |W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \chi)| \leq a_1(|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}| + 1), \quad |W_{,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \chi)| \leq a_2(|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}| + 1)$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{S}^2$ and $\chi \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, on account of (2.2) and (1.8), the Young inequality implies that

$$(2.8) \quad W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \geq \frac{c_*}{2} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)|^2 \geq \frac{c_*}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})|^2 - |\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)|^2 \right),$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \psi(\chi) \geq \frac{1}{8} \chi^4 - \frac{1}{4}.$$

We note also that thanks to assumption (A4) there exist positive constants a_3, a_4 such that

$$(2.10) \quad |\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)| \leq a_3, \quad |\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'(\chi)| + |\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'(\chi)\chi| \leq a_4.$$

The remaining assumptions refer to the quantities $\mathbf{M}, \beta, \mathbf{g}$ and \mathbf{h} .

(A7) The mobility tensor $\mathbf{M} = (M_{ij})$ is symmetric, with constant coefficients, positive definite and bounded:

$$(2.11) \quad \underline{c}_M |\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2 \leq \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\xi} \leq \bar{c}_M |\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

with constants $0 < \underline{c}_M < \bar{c}_M$. This implies that the operator Δ_M is strongly elliptic.

(A8) The diffusional viscosity coefficient is positive $\beta = \text{const} > 0$.

(A9) The vectors \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{h} are constant and such that the matrix

$$\mathbf{P} := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{h} \\ \mathbf{g}^T & \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

is strictly positive definite in the sense that there exists a constant $c_P > 0$ such that

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{P} \mathbf{X} &= \nabla \mu \cdot \mathbf{M} \nabla \mu + \chi_t (\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}) \cdot \nabla \mu + \beta \chi_t^2 \\ &\geq c_P (|\nabla \mu|^2 + |\chi_t|^2) \quad \forall \mathbf{X} = (\nabla \mu, \chi_t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

We state now the results of the paper. The first theorem asserts the existence of a weak solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3) on the interval $[0, T]$, $T > 0$. It modifies the result obtained in [BarPaw05], Thm 3.2.

THEOREM 2.1 (Existence on $[0, T]$, $T > 0$). *Let the assumptions (A1)–(A9) hold. Moreover, let the data satisfy*

$$(2.13) \quad \mathbf{b} \in L_2(0, T; \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)), \quad \mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega), \quad \mathbf{u}_1 \in \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega), \quad \chi_0 \in H^1(\Omega).$$

Then there exist functions (\mathbf{u}, χ, μ) such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad & \mathbf{u} \in L_\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)), \quad \mathbf{u}_t \in L_\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega) \cap L_2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)), \\
 & \mathbf{u}_{tt} \in L_2(0, T; (\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))'), \\
 & \chi \in L_\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L_2(0, T; H_N^2(\Omega)), \quad \chi_t \in L_2(\Omega^T), \\
 & \int_\Omega \chi(t) dx = \int_\Omega \chi_0 dx \equiv \chi_m \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], \quad \mu \in L_2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $H_N^2(\Omega) = \{\chi \in H^2(\Omega) : \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi = 0 \text{ on } S\}$,

$$(2.15) \quad \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{u}_t(0) = \mathbf{u}_1, \quad \chi(0) = \chi_0,$$

which satisfy problem (1.15)–(1.17) in the following weak sense

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.16) \quad & \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{u}_{tt}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle_{(\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))', \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)} dt + \int_0^T (\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\eta})) dt \\
 & + \nu \int_0^T (\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\eta})) dt = \int_0^T (z'(\chi) \mathbf{B} \nabla \chi + \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) dt \\
 & \forall \boldsymbol{\eta} \in L_2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)), \\
 & \int_0^T (\chi_t, \xi) dt + \int_0^T (\mathbf{M} \nabla \mu + \mathbf{h} \chi_t, \nabla \xi) dt = 0 \\
 & \forall \xi \in C^1([0, T]; H^1(\Omega)) \quad \xi(T) = 0, \\
 & \int_0^T (\mu - \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mu, \zeta) dt = - \int_0^T (\Delta_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \chi, \zeta) dt \\
 & + \int_0^T (\psi'(\chi) + z'(\chi) (\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) + Dz(\chi) + E), \zeta) dt \quad \forall \zeta \in L_2(0, T; L_2(\Omega)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, (\mathbf{u}, χ, μ) satisfy a priori estimates:

— estimates uniform in T :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.17) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))}^2 + c_1 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))}^2 \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{C}_\Gamma \|\nabla \chi\|_{L_\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\chi\|_{L_\infty(0, T; L_4(\Omega))}^4 \\
 & + c_P \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}^2 + c_P \|\chi_t\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}^2 + \nu c_* \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}^2 \leq \alpha_1
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_1 &= 2F(0) + \frac{3}{2} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_1(0, T; \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))}^2 + c_2, \\
 F(0) &= \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_1|^2 + \nabla \chi_0 \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi_0) + \psi(\chi_0) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_0), \chi_0) \right] dx, \\
 c_1 &= c_* d_1 / 4, \quad c_2 = (2c_* a_3^2 + 1) |\Omega| / 4,
 \end{aligned}$$

with constants d_1, a_3 defined in (1.18), (2.10), c_* in (2.2);

— estimates depending on T

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mu\|_{L_2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}^2 &\leq c_4(1+T), \\ \|\chi\|_{L_2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}^2 &\leq c_5(1+T), \\ \|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L_2(0,T;(H_0^1(\Omega))')}^2 &\leq c_6(1+T), \end{aligned}$$

where constant c_4 depends on α_1 , constant c_5 on α_1 and χ_m , and constant c_6 on α_1 and $\|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(0,T;L_2(\Omega))}$.

The next two theorems provide global existence results for problem (1.1)–(1.3). The first one follows directly from the estimates for weak solutions in Theorem 2.1. Such approach implies that $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{u}_t, \chi_t$ and $\nabla\mu$ vanish in appropriate norms as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The second theorem relaxes these restrictions. This is possible by additional absorbing set estimate and prolonging the solution step by step in time.

THEOREM 2.2 (The first global existence). *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 hold and*

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{b} &\in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega)), \\ \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(kT, (k+1)T; L_2(\Omega))} &< \infty, \\ \mathbf{u}_0 &\in H^1(\Omega), \quad \mathbf{u}_1 \in L_2(\Omega), \quad \chi_0 \in H^1(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a global solution to (1.1)–(1.3) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H_0^1(\Omega)), \quad \mathbf{u}_t \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega)) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; H_0^1(\Omega)), \\ \chi &\in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1(\Omega)), \quad \chi_t \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega)), \quad \int_\Omega \chi(t) dx = \int_\Omega \chi_0 dx, \\ \nabla\mu &\in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following estimates:

— estimates uniform in time:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega))}^2 &+ c_1 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H_0^1(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{1}{2} c_\Gamma \|\nabla\chi\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega))}^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} \|\chi\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_4(\Omega))}^4 + c_P \|\nabla\mu\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega))}^2 \\ &+ c_P \|\chi_t\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega))}^2 + \nu c_* \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega))}^2 \leq \tilde{\alpha}_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}_1 = 2F(0) + \frac{3}{2} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega))}^2 + c_2;$$

— for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and any fixed $T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu\|_{L_2(t, t+T; H^1(\Omega))}^2 &\leq c_4(1+T), \\ \|\chi\|_{L_2(t, t+T; H^2(\Omega))}^2 &\leq c_5(1+T), \\ \|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L_2(t, t+T; (H_0^1(\Omega))')}^2 &\leq \tilde{c}_6(1+T) \end{aligned}$$

with constants c_4, c_5 as in Theorem 2.1, and constant \tilde{c}_6 depending on α_1 and

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(kT, (k+1)T; L_2(\Omega))}.$$

THEOREM 2.3 (The second global existence). *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 and*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(kT; (k+1)T; L_2(\Omega))} < \infty, \quad \mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega), \quad \mathbf{u}_1 \in L_2(\Omega), \quad \chi_0 \in H^1(\Omega).$$

Then there exists a global solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3) such that

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\equiv \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega))} + \|\chi\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1(\Omega))} < \infty, \\ A_2 &\equiv \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} (\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(kT, (k+1)T; \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))} + \|\chi_t\|_{L_2(kT; (k+1)T; L_2(\Omega))} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla\mu\|_{L_2(kT, (k+1)T; L_2(\Omega))}) < \infty, \\ &\quad \int_{\Omega} \chi(t) dx = \int_{\Omega} \chi_0 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_1 + A_2 \leq 2F(0) + \frac{3}{2}T \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(kT, (k+1)T; L_2(\Omega))}^2 + c_2.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \|\mu\|_{L_2(kT, (k+1)T; H^1(\Omega))} &\leq c_4(1 + T), \\ \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \|\chi\|_{L_2(kT, (k+1)T; H^2(\Omega))} &\leq c_5(1 + T), \\ \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L_2(kT, (k+1)T; (\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))')} &\leq \tilde{c}_6(1 + T) \end{aligned}$$

with constants c_4, c_5, \tilde{c}_6 as in Theorem 2.2.

THEOREM 2.4 (The uniqueness). *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 and $z \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3) is unique.*

3. Energy estimates. In this section we derive basic energy estimates for problem (1.1)–(1.3). These estimates imply the existence of solutions on a fixed time interval $[0, T]$, asserted in Theorem 2.1. For the clarity of presentation we shall derive only formal estimates. The presented estimates can be made rigorous by considering a Faedo–Galerkin approximation and by passing to the limit with approximation by standard compactness arguments in a similar fashion as e.g. in [PawZaj06b], [PawZaj07].

To see the influence of the data on energy estimates and later in Section 4 on absorbing set estimates we record explicitly the data-dependences of all appearing constants.

Firstly we shall show the energy identity for system (1.1)–(1.3). Let

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad F(t) &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi, \nabla\chi) \right] dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \nabla\chi \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla\chi) + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \right] dx \end{aligned}$$

denote the total energy of (1.1)–(1.3). We have

LEMMA 3.1. *Let (\mathbf{u}, χ, μ) be a sufficiently regular solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3), and F be given by (3.1). Then the following equality is valid*

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{dF}{dt} + \nu \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t) \cdot \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t) dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} [\nabla\mu \cdot \mathbf{M}\nabla\mu + \chi_t(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}) \cdot \nabla\mu + \beta\chi_t^2] dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

Proof. Multiplying (1.1)₁ by \mathbf{u}_t , integrating over Ω and by parts, using boundary condition (1.1)₃, it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} W_{,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t) dx \\ + \nu \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t) \cdot \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t) dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx.$$

Further, testing (1.2)₁ with μ , integrating over Ω and by parts, using (1.2)₃, yields

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \chi_t \mu dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{M}\nabla\mu + \mathbf{h}\chi_t) \cdot \nabla\mu dx = 0.$$

Finally, testing (1.3)₁ with $-\chi_t$, integrating by parts and using (1.3)₂, leads to

$$(3.5) \quad - \int_{\Omega} \mu \chi_t dx + \int_{\Omega} \chi_t \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla\mu dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla\chi \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla\chi dx + \int_{\Omega} \psi'(\chi) \chi_t dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \chi_t dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} \chi_t^2 dx = 0.$$

Summing up (3.3)–(3.5) gives (3.2) and thereby completes the proof. ■

From Lemma 3.1 we deduce the following basic energy estimate.

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume (A1)–(A9) hold, F is given by (3.1), and $\mathbf{b} \in L_1(0, T; \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))$. Then*

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2 + \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma} \|\nabla\chi\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2) + c_1 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\chi\|_{\mathbf{L}_4(\Omega)}^4 \\ + c_P \int_0^t (\|\nabla\mu\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\chi_{t'}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2) dt' + \nu c_* \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_{t'})\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2 dt' \\ \leq F(t) + c_P \int_0^t (\|\nabla\mu\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\chi_{t'}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2) dt' + \nu c_* \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_{t'})\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2 dt' \\ \leq 2F(0) + \frac{3}{2} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_1(0, T; \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))}^2 + c_2 \equiv \alpha_1 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T],$$

with positive constants c_1, c_2 independent of T , given by

$$(3.7) \quad c_1 = \frac{c_*}{4} d_1, \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(c_* a_3^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) |\Omega|.$$

Proof. We apply the Hölder inequality to the right-hand side of (3.2), use the definition of F , and conditions (2.2), (2.12) to conclude

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} F + \nu c_* \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2 + c_P (\|\nabla\mu\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\chi_t\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2) \\ \leq \sqrt{2} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} \sqrt{F}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Hence,

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \sqrt{F} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}.$$

Integrating (3.9) with respect to time from 0 to $t \in [0, T]$ gives

$$(3.10) \quad \sqrt{F(t)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_1(0,t;L_2(\Omega))} + \sqrt{F(0)}.$$

Further, using (3.10) in (3.8) and integrating the result with respect to time from 0 to $t \in [0, T]$ leads to

$$(3.11) \quad F(t) + \nu c_* \int_0^t \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_{t'})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 dt' + c_P \int_0^t (\|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\chi_{t'}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2) dt' \\ \leq \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_1(0,t;L_2(\Omega))} (\|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_1(0,t;L_2(\Omega))} + \sqrt{2F(0)}) + F(0) \leq 2F(0) + \frac{3}{2} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_1(0,t;L_2(\Omega))}^2.$$

Now, we note that, on account of (2.6), (2.8)–(2.10) and (1.18), the following bounds hold true

$$(3.12) \quad F(t) \geq \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \underline{c}_{\Gamma} |\nabla \chi|^2) + \frac{1}{8} \chi^4 + \frac{c_*}{4} |\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})|^2 - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{c_*}{2} a_3^2 \right] dx \\ \geq \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \underline{c}_{\Gamma} \|\nabla \chi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2) + c_1 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\chi\|_{L_4(\Omega)}^4 - c_2$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, with constants c_1, c_2 defined in (3.7). From (3.11) and (3.12) we conclude (3.6). This completes the proof. ■

With the help of Lemma 3.2 we now derive further estimates. Firstly, let us note that from (1.2)₁ and (1.2)₃ it follows that

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \chi dx = 0,$$

which shows that the mean value of χ is preserved, i.e.

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{\Omega} \chi(t) dx = \int_{\Omega} \chi_0 dx \equiv \chi_m \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \chi - \int_{\Omega} \chi dx' \right|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \left(\chi^2 - 2\chi \int_{\Omega} \chi dx' + \left| \int_{\Omega} \chi dx' \right|^2 \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \chi^2 dx - |\Omega| \left| \int_{\Omega} \chi dx \right|^2,$$

it follows, by Poincaré inequality (1.19) and (3.14) that

$$(3.15) \quad \|\chi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| \chi - \int_{\Omega} \chi dx' \right|^2 dx + |\Omega| \left| \int_{\Omega} \chi dx \right|^2 \leq d_2 \|\nabla \chi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + |\Omega| \chi_m^2.$$

Further, on account of (3.6) and Sobolev imbedding (1.21), we infer that

$$(3.16) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\chi\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^2 \leq d_4 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\chi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq d_4 \left(\frac{4d_2}{\underline{c}_{\Gamma}} \alpha_1 + |\Omega| \chi_m^2 \right) \equiv c_3,$$

with constant c_3 depending on α_1 and χ_m .

Next, we conclude an additional estimate on μ .

LEMMA 3.3. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 hold. Then, for $t \in (0, T]$,*

$$(3.17) \quad \|\mu\|_{L_2(0,t;H^1(\Omega))}^2 \leq c_4(1+t)$$

with a positive constant c_4 depending on α_1 , see (3.24) below.

Proof. From (1.3)_{1,2}, in view of (3.13), it follows that

$$(3.18) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mu dx = \int_{\Omega} [\mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mu + \psi'(\chi) + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi)] dx.$$

Hence, using (2.5) and (2.7)₁,

$$(3.19) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu dx \right| \leq |\mathbf{g}| |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \int_{\Omega} (|\chi|^3 + |\chi| + a_1 |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})| + a_1) dx.$$

The second term on the right-hand side of (3.19) is bounded by

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\chi\|_{L_4(\Omega)}^3 |\Omega|^{1/4} + \|\chi\|_{L_4(\Omega)} |\Omega|^{3/4} + a_1 \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\Omega)} |\Omega|^{1/2} + a_1 |\Omega| \\ & \leq (8\alpha_1)^{3/4} |\Omega|^{1/4} + (8\alpha_1)^{1/4} |\Omega|^{3/4} + a_1 \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{c_1} \right)^{1/2} |\Omega|^{1/2} + a_1 |\Omega| \equiv c'_4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(3.21) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu dx \right| \leq |\mathbf{g}| |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + c'_4.$$

Owing to Poincaré inequality (1.19),

$$(3.22) \quad \|\mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq d_2 \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left(\int_{\Omega} \mu dx \right)^2.$$

Consequently, recalling estimate (3.6), it follows from (3.21) and (3.22) that

$$(3.23) \quad \|\mu\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2 \leq d_2 \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2 + 2|\mathbf{g}|^2 \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2 + \frac{2}{|\Omega|} (c'_4)^2 t \leq c_4(1+t),$$

where

$$(3.24) \quad c_4 \equiv \max \left\{ \frac{\alpha_1}{c_P} (d_2 + 2|\mathbf{g}|^2), \frac{2}{|\Omega|} (c'_4)^2 \right\}.$$

This concludes the proof. ■

Thanks to Lemma 3.3 we deduce further estimates on χ .

LEMMA 3.4. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 hold. Then, for $t \in (0, T]$,*

$$(3.25) \quad \|\chi\|_{L_2(0,t;H^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq c_5(1+t),$$

with a positive constant c_5 depending on α_1 and χ_m .

Proof. Multiplying (1.3)₁ by $\Delta_{\mathbf{r}}\chi$ and integrating over Ω^t , $t \in (0, T]$, gives

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\Delta_{\mathbf{r}}\chi)^2 dx dt' = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} [-\mu + \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mu + \psi'(\chi) + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) + \beta\chi_{t'}] \Delta_{\mathbf{r}}\chi dx dt'.$$

Hence, using the Young inequality, and then (2.5), (2.7)₁ together with estimates (3.6), (3.16) and (3.17), we infer that

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{\mathbf{r}}\chi\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2 & \leq 5[\|\mu\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2 + \|\mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2 + \|\psi'(\chi)\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2 \\ & \quad + \|W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi)\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2 + \|\beta\chi_{t'}\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2] \\ & \leq 5[(1 + |\mathbf{g}|^2) \|\mu\|_{L_2(0,t;H^1(\Omega))}^2 + \sup_{t'} (\|\chi\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^6) \\ & \quad + \|\chi\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^2 |\Omega|^{2/3}] t + 2a_1^2 (\sup_{t'} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + |\Omega|) t + \beta^2 \|\chi_{t'}\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 5 \left[c_4(1 + |\mathbf{g}|^2)(1 + t) + (c_3^3 + c_3|\Omega|^{2/3})t + 2a_1^2 \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{c_1} + |\Omega| \right) t + \beta^2 \frac{\alpha_1}{c_P} \right] \leq c'_5(1 + t),$$

where, by definition of c_3, c_4 (see (3.16), (3.24)), constant c'_5 depends on α_1 and χ_m . Finally, taking into account the inequality

$$(3.27) \quad \|\chi\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c \left(\|\Delta_{\Gamma} \chi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left| \int_{\Omega} \chi dx \right|^2 \right),$$

which holds true due to the ellipticity property of the operator Δ_{Γ} , we conclude on account of (3.26) and (3.14) the bound (3.25). ■

Using standard duality arguments we shall estimate also time derivative \mathbf{u}_{tt} .

LEMMA 3.5. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 hold, and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega^t)$. Then*

$$(3.28) \quad \|\mathbf{u}_{t't'}\|_{L_2(0,t;\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)')}^2 \leq c_6(1 + t),$$

with constant c_6 depending on α_1 and $\|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}$.

Proof. We test (1.15)₁ with $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in L_2(0, T; \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))$ and integrate over $\Omega^t, t \in (0, T]$. Then, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and recalling estimate (3.6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega^t} \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} dx dt' \right| &= \left| \int_{\Omega^t} [-(\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) + \nu \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) + (z'(\chi)\mathbf{B}\nabla\chi + \mathbf{b}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}] dx dt' \right| \\ &\leq (|\mathbf{A}|\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)} + \nu|\mathbf{A}|\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)})\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\eta})\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)} \\ &\quad + (|z'(\chi)\mathbf{B}|\|\nabla\chi\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)} + \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)})\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)} \\ &\leq c'_6(1 + t^{1/2})\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_2(0,t;\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))} \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\eta} \in L_2(0, t; \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

where constant c'_6 depends on $|\mathbf{A}|, \nu, |z'(\chi)\mathbf{B}| \leq a_1, \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}$ and $\alpha_1^{1/2}$. This implies that

$$\|\mathbf{u}_{t't'}\|_{L_2(0,t;(\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))')}^2 \leq c_6(1 + t), \quad c_6 = 2(c'_6)^2,$$

and thereby shows (3.28). ■

4. Absorbing set estimate. In this section we prove an absorbing set estimate. This estimate allows firstly, to prolong the solution step by step on the infinite time interval and secondly, to conclude the existence of an absorbing set for system (1.1)–(1.3). The latter property is of interest in the long-time analysis of the problem.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let us define*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} G(t) &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2}(|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \nabla\chi \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla\chi) + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{2} \left(\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{\nu}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \right) \right] dx, \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

$$G(t) \geq \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{4}|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\chi \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla\chi + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \right] dx.$$

Then there exists a positive constant

$$(4.2) \quad \delta_* = \min \left\{ \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{8}, \frac{c_{\Gamma}}{d'c_{\Gamma}}, \frac{2}{d'}, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{c_* d_1}{2}}, \frac{c_* d_1}{8\nu} \right\},$$

where $d' = \frac{2d_2}{c_P c_\Gamma} (d_2 + |\mathbf{g}|^2)$, such that solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) satisfy the inequality

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} G + \delta_* G + \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{8} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_P}{4} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c_P \|\chi_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq b_4(t)$$

for $t \in (0, T)$, where

$$(4.4) \quad b_4(t) = \left(2\nu + \frac{1}{2\nu c_* d_1}\right) \|\mathbf{b}(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + |\Omega| \left[\frac{1}{d'} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{c_\Gamma}{2d_2} \chi_m^2 \right) + \frac{1}{8} \nu c_*^2 d_1 a_3^2 + \frac{27\chi_m^2}{4d_1} + \frac{\chi_m^2 |\mathbf{g}|}{c_P (d')^2} \right] + |\mathbf{A}|^2 \left[\nu d_1 a_3^2 + \frac{16a_4^2 |\Omega|^2 (1 + \chi_m^2)}{\nu c_*^2 d_1 (d')^2} \right].$$

Proof. Multiplying (1.3)₁ by χ , integrating over Ω and by parts using (1.3)₂, gives

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \chi \cdot \Gamma \nabla \chi dx + \int_{\Omega} [\psi'(\chi)\chi + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi)\chi] dx = \int_{\Omega} \mu \chi dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mu \chi dx.$$

Writing the first integral on the right-hand side of (4.5) in the form

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mu \chi dx = \int_{\Omega} (\mu - \int_{\Omega} \mu dx') \chi dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu dx \int_{\Omega} \chi dx,$$

and next applying the Young and the Poincaré inequality (1.19) to the first term on the right-hand side of (4.6) and the mean value property (3.14) to the second one, we get

$$(4.7) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu \chi dx \right| \leq \frac{\delta_1}{2} \|\chi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta_1} d_2 \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu dx \right| |\chi_m|, \quad \delta_1 > 0.$$

The second integral on the right-hand side of (4.5) is estimated with the help of the Cauchy-Schwarz and the Young inequalities to give

$$(4.8) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mu \chi dx \right| \leq |\mathbf{g}| \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \|\chi\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\delta_2}{2} \|\chi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta_2} |\mathbf{g}|^2 \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \delta_2 > 0.$$

Using (4.7), (4.8) in (4.5) and taking into account (2.6), we arrive at

$$(4.9) \quad c_\Gamma \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \chi|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} [\psi'(\chi)\chi + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi)\chi] dx \leq \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} \|\chi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_2}{\delta_1} + \frac{|\mathbf{g}|^2}{\delta_2} \right) \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu dx \right| |\chi_m|.$$

Now, recalling estimate (3.15) and setting $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \frac{c_\Gamma}{2d_2}$, we deduce from (4.9) the inequality

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{c_\Gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \chi|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} [\psi'(\chi)\chi + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi)\chi] dx \leq \frac{d_2}{c_\Gamma} (d_2 + |\mathbf{g}|^2) \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_\Gamma |\Omega|}{2d_2} \chi_m^2 + \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu dx \right| |\chi_m|.$$

Let us turn now to energy identity (3.2). In view of (3.1), (2.2) and structure condition (2.12) it follows that

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \nabla \chi \cdot \Gamma \nabla \chi \right] + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) dx + \nu c_* \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c_P (\|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\chi_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2) \leq \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx.$$

By the Hölder, Young and Korn (see (1.18)) inequalities,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx \right| \leq \frac{\delta_3}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta_3} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{\delta_3}{2d_1} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta_3} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Hence, setting $\delta_3 = \nu c_* d_1$, (4.11) yields

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi) + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \right] dx \\ + \frac{\nu c_*}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c_P (\|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\chi_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2) \leq \frac{1}{2\nu c_* d_1} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Let us multiply now (4.12) by the constant

$$(4.13) \quad d' \equiv \frac{2d_2}{c_P c_{\Gamma}} (d_2 + |\mathbf{g}|^2) > 0$$

and add to (4.10) to get

$$(4.14) \quad d' \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi) + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \right] dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{c_{\Gamma}}{2} |\nabla \chi|^2 + \psi'(\chi) \chi + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \chi \right] dx \\ + \frac{\nu d' c_*}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{d' c_P}{2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + d' c_P \|\chi_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq \frac{c_{\Gamma} |\Omega|}{2d_2} \chi_m^2 + \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu dx \right| |\chi_m| + \frac{d'}{2\nu c_* d_1} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Noting that $\int_{\Omega} \psi'(\chi) \chi dx = \int_{\Omega} (\chi^4 - \chi^2) dx$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(\chi) dx = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\chi^4 + 1 - 2\chi^2) dx = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\chi^4 - \chi^2) dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (1 - \chi^2) dx,$$

we have

$$(4.15) \quad \int_{\Omega} \psi(\chi) dx = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \psi'(\chi) \chi dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (1 - \chi^2) dx \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \psi'(\chi) \chi dx + \frac{|\Omega|}{4}.$$

Further, by assumption (2.6),

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{c_{\Gamma}}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \chi|^2 dx \geq \frac{c_{\Gamma}}{\bar{c}_{\Gamma}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi dx.$$

Using (4.15) and (4.16) in (4.14), and dividing the result by $d' > 0$ gives

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi) + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \right] dx \\ + \frac{1}{d'} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{c_{\Gamma}}{2\bar{c}_{\Gamma}} \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi + 4\psi(\chi) + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \chi \right] dx \\ + \frac{\nu c_*}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_P}{2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c_P \|\chi_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{|\chi_m|}{d'} \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu dx \right| + b_1^2,$$

where

$$b_1^2 = \frac{1}{2\nu c_* d_1} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{|\Omega|}{d'} \left(1 + \frac{c_{\Gamma}}{2d_2} \chi_m^2 \right).$$

Let us turn now to elasticity system (1.1). Multiplying (1.1)₁ by \mathbf{u} and integrating over Ω yields

$$(4.18) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\Omega} W_{,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) dx + \nu \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t) dx \\ = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx.$$

Using that

$$W_{,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)) = 2W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) + \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi) \cdot \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)),$$

we obtain from (4.18) the equality

$$(4.19) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{\nu}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \right) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) dx \\ = - \int_{\Omega} \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi) \cdot \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)) dx + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx.$$

We use now the following inequalities (see (2.8), (2.10), (1.18))

$$(4.20) \quad \int_{\Omega} W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) dx \geq \frac{c_*}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)|^2 dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) dx \geq \frac{c_*}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})|^2 - |\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)|^2 \right) dx \geq \frac{c_*}{4} d_1 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{c_*}{2} a_3^2 |\Omega|$$

and

$$(4.21) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi) \cdot \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)) dx \right| \leq |\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)| |\mathbf{A}| \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)| dx \\ \leq \frac{\delta_4}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\delta_4} a_3^2 |\mathbf{A}|^2, \quad \delta_4 > 0, \\ \left| \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u} dx \right| \leq \frac{\delta_5}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta_5} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \delta_5 > 0.$$

On account of (4.20), (4.21) we infer from (4.19) the inequality

$$(4.22) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{\nu}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \right) dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_*}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)|^2 dx \\ + \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_* d_1}{4} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) dx \\ \leq \frac{\delta_4}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\delta_4} a_3^2 |\mathbf{A}|^2 + \frac{\delta_5}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\ + \frac{1}{2\delta_5} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_* a_3^2}{2} |\Omega| + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Assuming that $\delta_4 = c_*/4$, $\delta_5 = c_* d_1/8$, (4.22) leads to

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{\nu}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \right) dx + \frac{c_*}{8} \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)|^2 dx \\ + \frac{c_* d_1}{16} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) dx \leq \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + b_*^2,$$

where

$$b_2^2 = \frac{2}{c_*} a_3^2 |\mathbf{A}|^2 + \frac{1}{4 c_*} a_3^2 |\Omega| + \frac{4}{c_* d_1} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Now we multiply (4.23) by a constant $\delta_6 > 0$ (to be chosen later on) and add to (4.17) to get after using Korn's inequality (1.18)

$$\begin{aligned} (4.24) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi) + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \right. \\ & \left. + \delta_6 \left(\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{\nu}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \right) \right] dx \\ & + \frac{1}{d'} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{c_{\Gamma}}{2 c_{\Gamma}} \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi + 4 \psi(\chi) + \frac{\delta_6 c_* d'}{8} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\delta_6 c_* d_1 d'}{16} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}|^2) + \delta_6 d' W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \right] dx \\ & + \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_P}{2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c_P \|\chi_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{d'} \left| \int_{\Omega} W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \chi dx \right| + \frac{|\chi_m|}{d'} \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu dx \right| + \delta_6 \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \delta_6 b_2^2 + b_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the first two integrals on the right-hand side of (4.24). In view of (2.10)₂,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.25) \quad & \frac{1}{d'} \left| \int_{\Omega} W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \chi dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{d'} a_4 |\mathbf{A}| \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)| dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{d'} a_4 |\mathbf{A}| |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the identity

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu dx = \int_{\Omega} [\mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mu + \psi'(\chi) + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi)] dx,$$

we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \mu dx \right| \leq |\mathbf{g}| |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \left| \int_{\Omega} \psi'(\chi) dx \right| + a_4 |\mathbf{A}| |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

Further, on account of (3.14),

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \psi'(\chi) dx \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (\chi^3 - \chi) dx \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} \chi^3 dx - |\Omega| \chi_m \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |\chi|^3 dx + |\Omega| |\chi_m|.$$

Hence, by the Young inequality,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\chi|^3 dx \leq \frac{3}{4} \delta_7^{4/3} \int_{\Omega} \chi^4 dx + \frac{1}{4 \delta_7^4} |\Omega|,$$

and the fact that (see (2.9)) $\chi^4 \leq 8\psi(\chi) + 2$, we deduce that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \psi'(\chi) dx \right| \leq 6 \delta_7^{4/3} \int_{\Omega} \psi(\chi) dx + \left(\frac{3}{2} \delta_7^{4/3} + \frac{1}{4 \delta_7^4} \right) |\Omega|.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} (4.26) \quad & \left| \int_{\Omega} \mu dx \right| \leq |\mathbf{g}| |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + a_4 |\mathbf{A}| |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \\ & + 6 \delta_7^{4/3} \int_{\Omega} \psi(\chi) dx + \left(\frac{3}{2} \delta_7^{4/3} + \frac{1}{4 \delta_7^4} \right) |\Omega|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.25) and (4.26) in (4.24), and then choosing constants δ_6, δ_7 such that

$$(4.27) \quad \delta_6 \leq \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{4}, \quad \frac{|\chi_m|}{d'} 6\delta_7^{4/3} = \frac{2}{d'} \quad \text{so} \quad \delta_7 = \frac{1}{(3|\chi_m|)^{3/4}},$$

we obtain

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi) + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \right. \\ & \quad + \delta_6 \left(\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{\nu}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \right) \Big] dx + \frac{1}{d'} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{c_{\Gamma}}{2c_{\Gamma}} \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi + 2\psi(\chi) \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{\delta_6 c_* d'}{8} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)|^2 + \frac{\delta_6 c_* d_1 d'}{16} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}|^2) + \delta_6 d' W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \Big] dx \\ & \quad + \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{4} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_P}{2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c_P \|\chi_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{d'} a_4 |\mathbf{A}| |\Omega|^{1/2} (|\chi_m| + 1) \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \frac{|\chi_m|}{d'} |\mathbf{g}| |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + b_3^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_3^2 = b_1^2 + \delta_6 b_2^2 + \frac{2 + 27\chi_m^4}{4d'} |\Omega|.$$

Estimating

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{d'} a_4 |\mathbf{A}| |\Omega|^{1/2} (\chi_m + 1) \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \frac{\delta_8}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta_8} \frac{a_4^2 |\mathbf{A}|^2 |\Omega| (\chi_m^2 + 1)}{(d')^2}, \\ & \frac{|\chi_m|}{d'} |\mathbf{g}| |\Omega|^{1/2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\delta_9}{2} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta_9} \frac{\chi_m^2 |\mathbf{g}|^2 |\Omega|}{(d')^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and choosing constants δ_8, δ_9 so that

$$\delta_8 = \frac{\delta_6 c_*}{8}, \quad \delta_9 = \frac{c_P}{2},$$

inequality (4.28) is reduced to the form

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi) + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \right. \\ & \quad + \delta_6 \left(\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{\nu}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \right) \Big] dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{d'} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{c_{\Gamma}}{2c_{\Gamma}} \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi + 2\psi(\chi) + \frac{\delta_6 c_* d'}{16} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\chi)|^2 \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{\delta_6 c_* d_1 d'}{16} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}|^2) + \delta_6 d' W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \Big] dx \\ & \quad + \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{4} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_P}{4} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c_P \|\chi_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq b_4^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_4^2 = b_3^2 + \frac{8}{\delta_6 c_*} \frac{a_4^2 |\mathbf{A}|^2 |\Omega|^2 (\chi_m^2 + 1)}{(d')^2} + \frac{\chi_m^2 |\mathbf{g}|^2 |\Omega|}{c_P (d')^2}.$$

Let

$$(4.30) \quad G(t) = \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi) + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) + \delta_6 \left(\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{\nu}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \right) \right] dx.$$

We choose constant $\delta_{10} > 0$ so that

$$(4.31) \quad \delta_{10} G(t) \leq \frac{1}{d'} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{c_{\Gamma}}{2\bar{c}_{\Gamma}} \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi + 2\psi(\chi) + \frac{\delta_6 c_* d'}{16} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\chi)|^2 + \frac{\delta_6 c_* d_1 d'}{16} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2) + \delta_6 d' W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) + \frac{\nu c_* d_1 d'}{8} (|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{u}_t|^2) \right] dx.$$

This can be satisfied under the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta_{10}}{2} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 &\leq \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{16} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2, & \text{so } \delta_{10} &\leq \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{8}, \\ \frac{\delta_{10}}{2} \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi &\leq \frac{1}{d'} \frac{c_{\Gamma}}{2\bar{c}_{\Gamma}}, & \text{so } \delta_{10} &\leq \frac{c_{\Gamma}}{d' \bar{c}_{\Gamma}}, \\ \delta_{10} \psi(\chi) &\leq \frac{2}{d'} \psi(\chi), & \text{so } \delta_{10} &\leq \frac{2}{d'}, \\ \delta_{10} W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) &\leq \delta_6 W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi), & \text{so } \delta_{10} &\leq \delta_6, \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta_{10} \delta_6 \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{u} \leq \delta_{10} \delta_6 \left(\frac{\delta_{11}}{2} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta_{11}} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) \leq \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{16} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \frac{\delta_6 c_* d_1}{16} |\mathbf{u}|^2,$$

so e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{11} &= \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{8\delta_{10}\delta_6} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{10}^2 \leq \frac{\nu c_*^2 d_1^2}{64\delta_6}, \\ \delta_{10} \delta_6 \frac{\nu}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) &\leq \frac{\delta_6 c_* d_1}{16} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\delta_{10} \leq \frac{c_* d_1}{8\nu}.$$

Consequently, choosing

$$(4.32) \quad \delta_{10} = \min \left\{ \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{8}, \frac{c_{\Gamma}}{d' \bar{c}_{\Gamma}}, \frac{2}{d'}, \delta_6, \frac{c_* d_1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{\delta_6}}, \frac{c_* d_1}{8\nu} \right\},$$

inequality (4.29) yields

$$(4.33) \quad \frac{d}{dt} G(t) + \delta_{10} G(t) + \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{8} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_P}{4} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + c_P \|\chi_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq b_4^2.$$

Finally, we choose constant $\delta_6 > 0$ so that

$$(4.34) \quad G(t) \geq \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{4} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \right] dx.$$

In fact, taking into account that (see (1.18), (2.2))

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) dx \geq c_* d_1 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx$$

we have

$$G(t) \geq \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla \chi + \psi(\chi) + W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \chi) - \delta_6 \left(\frac{\delta}{2} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) + \delta_6 \frac{\nu}{2} c_* d_1 |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right] dx$$

where $\delta > 0$. Hence, choosing

$$\frac{\delta_6 \delta}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta_6}{2\delta} = \frac{\delta_6 \nu c_* d_1}{2},$$

that is, $\delta = \frac{1}{\nu c_* d_1}$ and $\delta_6 = \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{2}$, we ensure the bound (4.34).

For $\delta_6 = \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{2}$, condition (4.32) becomes

$$\delta_{10} = \min \left\{ \frac{\nu c_* d_1}{8}, \frac{c_{\Gamma}}{d' c_{\Gamma}}, \frac{2}{d'}, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{c_* d_1}{2}}, \frac{c_* d_1}{8\nu} \right\}.$$

Thereby the assertion of lemma is proved. ■

5. Existence of weak solutions

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The proof modifies slightly the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 from [BarPaw05].

Step 1. The Faedo-Galerkin approximation. We introduce the following eigenvalue problems

$$(5.1) \quad -\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{v}_j = \lambda_j^{(1)} \mathbf{v}_j \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } S, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

where \mathbf{Q} is the elliptic operator defined by (1.11). Moreover,

$$(5.2) \quad -\Delta_M w_j = \lambda_j^{(2)} w_j \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(5.3) \quad -\Delta_{\Gamma} z_j = \lambda_j^{(3)} z_j \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

with boundary conditions

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{M} \nabla w_j + \mathbf{h} z_j) &= 0 && \text{on } S, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla z_j &= 0 && \text{on } S. \end{aligned}$$

The sets $\{\mathbf{v}_j\}$, $\{w_j\}$ and $\{z_j\}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, form bases in $\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, $H^1(\Omega)$, and $H^1(\Omega)$, respectively. Define

$$\mathbf{V}_m = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}, \quad W_m = \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}, \quad Z_m = \text{span}\{z_1, \dots, z_m\}.$$

To construct the Faedo-Galerkin approximation for (1.1)–(1.3) we consider the following regularization of (1.1)–(1.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{u}_{tt}^\gamma - \nabla \cdot [W_{,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}^\gamma), \chi^\gamma) + \nu \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t^\gamma)] = \mathbf{b} && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\
 & \mathbf{u}^\gamma|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{u}_t^\gamma|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}_1 && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 & \mathbf{u}^\gamma = \mathbf{0} && \text{on } S^T, \\
 & \gamma \mu_t^\gamma + \chi_t^\gamma - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{M}\nabla \mu^\gamma + \mathbf{h}\chi_t^\gamma) = 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\
 (5.5) \quad & \chi^\gamma|_{t=0} = \chi_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 & \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{M}\nabla \mu^\gamma + \mathbf{h}\chi_t^\gamma) = 0 && \text{on } S^T, \\
 & \mu^\gamma - \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mu^\gamma = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla \chi^\gamma + \psi'(\chi^\gamma) + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}^\gamma), \chi^\gamma) + \beta \chi_t^\gamma && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\
 & \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla \chi^\gamma = 0 && \text{on } S^T, \\
 & \mu^\gamma|_{t=0} = \mu_0 && \text{in } \Omega,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_0 \in L_2(\Omega)$. Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{u}^m(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m e_i^m(t) \mathbf{v}_i(\mathbf{x}), \\
 \mu^m(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^m(t) w_i(\mathbf{x}), \\
 \chi^m(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^m(t) z_i(\mathbf{x})
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.6}$$

satisfy for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ the identities with initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \mathbf{u}_{tt}^{\gamma,m}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle_{(\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))', \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)} + ((W_{,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}^{\gamma,m}), \chi^{\gamma,m}), \mathbf{v}_j) + \nu \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_j))) \\
 & \quad = (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{v}_j), \quad \mathbf{u}^{\gamma,m}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}_0^m, \quad \mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}_1^m, \\
 & \gamma(\mu_t^{\gamma,m}, w_j) + (\chi_t^{\gamma,m}, w_j) + (\mathbf{M}\nabla \mu^{\gamma,m} + \mathbf{h}\chi_t^{\gamma,m}, \nabla w_j) = 0, \\
 (5.7) \quad & \mu^{\gamma,m}|_{t=0} = \mu_0^m, \\
 & (\mu^{\gamma,m} - \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mu^{\gamma,m}, z_j) = (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\nabla \chi^{\gamma,m}, \nabla z_j) \\
 & \quad + (\psi'(\chi^{\gamma,m}) + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}^{\gamma,m}), \chi^{\gamma,m}) + \beta \chi_t^{\gamma,m}, z_j), \\
 & \chi^{\gamma,m}|_{t=0} = \chi_0^m,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{u}_0^m &\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_0 \quad \text{strongly in } \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega), \\
 \chi_0^m &\rightarrow \chi_0 \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(\Omega), \\
 \mathbf{u}_1^m &\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_1, \quad \mu_0^m \rightarrow \mu_0 \quad \text{strongly in } L_2(\Omega).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.8}$$

The above regularization yields a well posed system for the coefficients $d_i^m(t), c_i^m(t), e_i^m(t), i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence the system of ordinary differential equations (5.7) has a unique local solution.

Step 2. Estimates for Faedo-Galerkin approximation. Multiplying (5.7)₁ by e_j^m , (5.7)₂ by d_j^m and (5.7)₃ by $-c_j^m$, summing over j from 1 to m we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.9) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mu^{\gamma,m}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + F(\mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m}, \mathbf{u}^{\gamma,m}, \chi^{\gamma,m}) \right) \\
& + \nu \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m}) dx + \int_{\Omega} [\nabla \mu^{\gamma,m} \cdot \mathbf{M} \nabla \mu^{\gamma,m} + \chi_t^{\gamma,m} (\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}) \cdot \nabla \mu^{\gamma,m} \\
& + \beta (\chi_t^{\gamma,m})^2] dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where F is defined by (3.1). By the same arguments as presented formally in Section 3 we deduce the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.10) \quad & \gamma \|\mu^{\gamma,m}\|_{L_{\infty}(0,T;L_2(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m}\|_{L_{\infty}(0,T;L_2(\Omega))}^2 \\
& + c_1 \|\mathbf{u}^{\gamma,m}\|_{L_{\infty}(0,T;\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \underline{c}_{\Gamma} \|\nabla \chi^{\gamma,m}\|_{L_{\infty}(0,T;L_2(\Omega))}^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{8} \|\chi^{\gamma,m}\|_{L_{\infty}(0,T;L_4(\Omega))}^4 + c_P \|\nabla \mu^{\gamma,m}\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}^2 \\
& + c_P \|\chi_t^{\gamma,m}\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}^2 + \nu c_* \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m})\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}^2 \leq \alpha_1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.11) \quad & \|\mu^{\gamma,m}\|_{L_2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}^2 \leq c_4(1+T), \\
& \|\chi^{\gamma,m}\|_{L_2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq c_5(1+T), \\
& \|\mathbf{u}_{tt}^{\gamma,m}\|_{L_2(0,T;(\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))')} \leq c_6(1+T),
\end{aligned}$$

where α_1 is defined in (2.17) and c_4, c_5, c_6 in (2.18).

Step 3. Passage to the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$. From the estimates (5.10), (5.11) it follows that there exist functions $\mathbf{u}^{\gamma}, \chi^{\gamma}, \mu^{\gamma}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}^{\gamma} \in L_{\infty}(0,T;\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)), \quad \mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma} \in L_{\infty}(0,T;\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)), \quad \mathbf{u}_{tt}^{\gamma} \in L_2(0,T;(\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))'), \\
& \chi^{\gamma} \in L_{\infty}(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \cap L_2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)), \\
& \mu^{\gamma} \in L_2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)), \quad \gamma^{1/2} \mu^{\gamma} \in L_{\infty}(0,T;L_2(\Omega))
\end{aligned}$$

and a subsequence of solutions $\mathbf{u}^{\gamma,m}, \chi^{\gamma,m}, \mu^{\gamma,m}$ to (5.7) (which we still denote by the same indices) such that as $m \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.12) \quad & \mathbf{u}^{\gamma,m} \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}^{\gamma} && \text{weakly-}^* \text{ in } L_{\infty}(0,T;\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)), \\
& \mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m} \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma} && \text{weakly-}^* \text{ in } L_{\infty}(0,T;\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)), \\
& \mathbf{u}_{tt}^{\gamma,m} \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}_{tt}^{\gamma} && \text{weakly in } L_2(0,T;(\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))'), \\
& \chi^{\gamma,m} \rightharpoonup \chi^{\gamma} && \text{weakly-}^* \text{ in } L_{\infty}(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \text{ and} \\
& && \text{weakly in } L_2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)), \\
& \chi_t^{\gamma,m} \rightharpoonup \chi_t^{\gamma} && \text{weakly in } L_2(\Omega^T), \\
& \mu^{\gamma,m} \rightharpoonup \mu^{\gamma} && \text{weakly in } L_2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)), \\
& \gamma^{1/2} \mu^{\gamma,m} \rightharpoonup \gamma^{1/2} \mu^{\gamma} && \text{weakly-}^* \text{ in } L_{\infty}(0,T;L_2(\Omega)).
\end{aligned}$$

Then by the standard compactness results it follows that

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}^{\gamma,m} &\rightarrow \mathbf{u}^\gamma \quad \text{strongly in } C([0, T]; \mathbf{L}_q(\Omega)), \quad q < 6, \quad \text{and a.e. in } \Omega^T, \\ \mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m} &\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_t^\gamma \quad \text{strongly in } C([0, T]; (\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))'), \\ \chi^{\gamma,m} &\rightarrow \chi^\gamma \quad \text{strongly in } L_2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; L_2(\Omega)) \\ &\quad \text{and a.e. in } \Omega^T. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}^{\gamma,m}(0) &= \mathbf{u}_0^m \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_0 \quad \text{strongly in } \mathbf{L}_q(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m}(0) &= \mathbf{u}_1^m \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_1 \quad \text{strongly in } (H_0^1(\Omega))', \\ \chi^{\gamma,m}(0) &= \chi_0^m \rightarrow \chi_0 \quad \text{strongly in } L_2(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

which together with convergences (5.8) implies that

$$\mathbf{u}^\gamma(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{u}_t^\gamma(0) = \mathbf{u}_1, \quad \chi^\gamma(0) = \chi_0.$$

We introduce the weak formulation corresponding to the Galerkin approximation (5.7),

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^T [(\langle \mathbf{u}_{tt}^{\gamma,m}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle_{(\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))', \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)} + (W_{,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}^{\gamma,m}), \chi^{\gamma,m}), \boldsymbol{\eta})) \\ &\quad + \nu \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_t^{\gamma,m}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\eta}))] dt = \int_0^T (\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) dt, \\ &\int_0^T -\gamma(\mu^{\gamma,m}, \xi_t) + (\chi_t^{\gamma,m}, \xi) + (\mathbf{M} \nabla \mu^{\gamma,m} + h \chi_t^{\gamma,m}, \nabla \xi) = \gamma(\mu_0^m, \xi(0)), \\ &\int_0^T (\mu^{\gamma,m} - \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mu^{\gamma,m}, \zeta) dt = \int_0^T [(\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \nabla \chi^{\gamma,m}, \nabla \zeta) \\ &\quad + \psi'(\chi^{\gamma,m}) + W_{,\chi}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}^{\gamma,m}), \chi^{\gamma,m}) + \beta \chi_t^{\gamma,m}, \zeta] dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in L_2(0, T; \mathbf{V}_m)$, $\xi \in C^1([0, T], W_m)$, $\zeta \in L_2(0, T; Z_m)$ with $\xi(T) = 0$.

In view of (5.12)–(5.14), following [BarPaw05], we can pass to the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.15).

Step 4. Passage to the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. A priori estimates (5.10), (5.11) and the weak convergences (5.12) imply that the limit functions $\mathbf{u}^\gamma, \chi^\gamma, \mu^\gamma$ satisfy the estimates (5.10), (5.11) uniform in γ . Hence, we can deduce the convergences for $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ as in (5.12)–(5.14). Moreover, by virtue of the estimate

$$(5.16) \quad \gamma^{1/2} \|\mu^\gamma\|_{L_\infty(0, T; L_2(\Omega))} \leq \alpha_1^{1/2}$$

it follows that $\gamma \mu^\gamma \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L_\infty(0, T; L_2(\Omega))$. Consequently, passing to the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ in the integral identities for $\mathbf{u}^\gamma, \chi^\gamma, \mu^\gamma$ analogous to (5.15) we obtain (2.16). This concludes the proof. ■

6. Global existence

Proof of Theorem 2.2. From (3.2) we deduce the continuity of $F(t)$, because

$$|F(t') - F(t'')| \leq c |t' - t''|^{1/2} \left(\int_{t''}^{t'} \|\mathbf{b}(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2},$$

for $|t' - t''|$ small. Moreover (3.6) implies that for any $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

$$\|\mathbf{u}_t(kT)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\chi(kT)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}(kT)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2F(0) + \frac{3}{2}\|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(\Omega))}^2 + c_2.$$

Hence the local solution from Theorem 2.1 can be prolonged step by step on intervals $[kT, (k + 1)T]$ up to $k = \infty$. ■

Before proving Theorem 2.3 we prepare a lemma. Let us simplify (4.4) to the form

$$(6.1) \quad b_4(t) = \gamma_1 \|\mathbf{b}(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma_2$$

where γ_1, γ_2 are positive constants.

LEMMA 6.1. *Assume that*

$$\gamma_3 \equiv \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \|\mathbf{b}(t)\|_{L_2(kT, (k+1)T; L_2(\Omega))}^2 < \infty.$$

Moreover, let $G(0) < \infty$, where G is defined by (4.1). Then

$$(6.2) \quad G(kT) \leq \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_3 + \frac{1}{\delta_*} \gamma_2}{1 - e^{-\delta_* T}} + e^{-k\delta_* T} G(0) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Proof. Multiplying (4.3) by $e^{\delta_* t}$ and integrating with respect to time from iT to $(i + 1)T$ we have

$$(6.3) \quad G((i + 1)T) \leq \gamma_1 \gamma_3 + \frac{1}{\delta_*} \gamma_2 + e^{-\delta_* T} G(iT).$$

Integrating (6.3) with respect to i from 0 to $k - 1$ we obtain (6.2). ■

Proof of Theorem 2.3. From (4.3) we infer that $G(t)$ is continuous because

$$(6.4) \quad |G(t') - G(t'')| \leq \gamma_1 \int_{t''}^{t'} \|\mathbf{b}(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 dt + \gamma_2 (t' - t'')$$

for $|t' - t''|$ small. Moreover, (6.2) implies that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(6.5) \quad \|\mathbf{u}(kT)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t(kT)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\chi(kT)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_3 + \frac{1}{\delta_*} \gamma_2}{1 - e^{-\delta_* T}} + e^{-k\delta_* T} G(0).$$

Hence the local solution from Theorem 2.1 can be prolonged step by step on intervals $[kT, (k + 1)T]$ up to $k = \infty$. ■

7. Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $(\mathbf{u}_1, \chi_1, \mu_1)$ and $(\mathbf{u}_2, \chi_2, \mu_2)$ be two solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) (in simplified form (1.15)–(1.17)) corresponding to the same data. Subtracting the corresponding equations and denoting

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2, \quad H = \chi_1 - \chi_2, \quad Y = \mu_1 - \mu_2,$$

yields the following system for (\mathbf{U}, H, Y) :

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_{tt} - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{U} - \nu \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{U}_t &= z'(\chi_1) \mathbf{B}\nabla\chi_1 - z'(\chi_2) \mathbf{B}\nabla\chi_2 && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\ \mathbf{U}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{U}_t|_{t=0} &= \mathbf{0} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{0} &&& \text{on } S^T, \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H_t - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{M}\nabla Y + \mathbf{h}H_t) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\ H|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{M}\nabla Y + \mathbf{h}H_t) &= 0 && \text{on } S^T, \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Y - \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla Y &= -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma}\nabla H + \psi'(\chi_1) - \psi'(\chi_2) \\ &+ z'(\chi_1)(\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1) + Dz(\chi_1) + E) - z'(\chi_2)(\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_2) + Dz(\chi_2) + E) \\ &+ \beta H_t && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma}\nabla H &= 0 && \text{on } S^T. \end{aligned}$$

To get estimates we proceed similarly as in Section 3. Multiplying (7.1)₁ by \mathbf{U}_t , integrating over Ω and by parts, using boundary condition (7.1)₃ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{U}_t|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U}) dx \right] + \nu \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U}_t) \cdot \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U}_t) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (z''(\chi_*) H \mathbf{B} \nabla \chi_1 + z'(\chi_2) \mathbf{B} \nabla H) \cdot \mathbf{U}_t dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_* \in (\chi_1, \chi_2)$. Hence, recalling (2.2) and using assumptions on z ,

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\mathbf{U}_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U}) dx \right) + \nu c_* \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U}_t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega} |H| |\nabla \chi_1| |\mathbf{U}_t| dx + c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla H| |\mathbf{U}_t| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we multiply (7.2)₁ by Y , integrate over Ω and by parts using (7.2)₃, to get

$$(7.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} H_t Y dx + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla Y \cdot \mathbf{M}\nabla Y + H_t \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla Y) dx = 0.$$

Further, we multiply (7.3)₁ by $-H_t$, integrate over Ω and by parts using (7.3)₂, to arrive at

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla H \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma}\nabla H dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} H_t^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} Y H_t dx + \int_{\Omega} H_t \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla Y dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} (\psi'(\chi_1) - \psi'(\chi_2)) H_t dx - \int_{\Omega} [z'(\chi_1)(\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1) \\ &\quad + Dz(\chi_1) + E) - z'(\chi_2)(\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_2) + Dz(\chi_2) + E)] H_t dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us sum up (7.5) and (7.6). Taking advantage of structure condition (2.12), using that

$$\psi'(\chi_1) - \psi'(\chi_2) = H(\chi_1^2 + \chi_1\chi_2 + \chi_2^2 - 1),$$

and recalling assumptions on z , we deduce the inequality

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla H \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma}\nabla H dx + c_P (\|\nabla Y\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|H_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega} |H| (\chi_1^2 + \chi_2^2 + 1) |H_t| dx + c \int_{\Omega} |H| |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)| |H_t| dx + c \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U})| |H_t| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate the mean value of Y . Since, by (7.2),

$$(7.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} H dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} H dx = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T],$$

we deduce from (7.3) that

$$\int_{\Omega} Y dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla Y dx + \int_{\Omega} (\psi'(\chi_1) - \psi'(\chi_2)) dx + \int_{\Omega} [z'(\chi_1)(\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1) + Dz(\chi_1) + E) - z'(\chi_2)(\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_2) + Dz(\chi_2) + E)] dx.$$

Hence,

$$(7.9) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} Y dx \right| \leq c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla Y| dx + c \int_{\Omega} |H|(\chi_1^2 + \chi_2^2 + 1) dx + c \int_{\Omega} |H| |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)| dx + c \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U})| dx.$$

Consequently, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$(7.10) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} Y dx \right| \leq c \|\nabla Y\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + c(\|\chi_1\|_{L_4}^2 + \|\chi_2\|_{L_4(\Omega)}^2 + 1) \|H\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + c\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \|H\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + c\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

From (7.10), owing to the Poincaré inequality (see (1.19)),

$$\int_{\Omega} Y^2 dx \leq c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla Y|^2 dx + c \left(\int_{\Omega} Y dx \right)^2,$$

we deduce the estimate

$$(7.11) \quad \|Y\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq c \|\nabla Y\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c(\|\chi_1\|_{L_4(\Omega)}^4 + \|\chi_2\|_{L_4(\Omega)}^4 + 1) \|H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \|H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Finally, similarly as in Lemma 3.4, multiplying (7.3)₁ by $\Delta_{\Gamma} H = \nabla \cdot \Gamma \nabla H$, integrating over Ω and by parts using (7.3)₂, gives

$$(7.12) \quad \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla H \cdot \Gamma \nabla H dx + \|\Delta_{\Gamma} H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c \int_{\Omega} (|Y| + |\nabla Y|) |\Delta_{\Gamma} H| dx + c \int_{\Omega} (\chi_1^2 + \chi_2^2 + 1) |H| |\Delta_{\Gamma} H| dx + c \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)| |H| |\Delta_{\Gamma} H| dx + c \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U})| |\Delta_{\Gamma} H| dx.$$

We shall show below that estimates (7.4), (7.7), (7.11) and (7.12) imply by Gronwall's lemma that $(\mathbf{U}, H, Y) = (\mathbf{0}, 0, 0)$.

From (7.4), by the Hölder and Young inequalities, we deduce that

$$(7.13) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\mathbf{U}_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U}) dx \right) + \nu c_* \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U}_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{\delta_1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}_t\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c}{2\delta_1} \|\nabla \chi_1\|_{L_{3/2}(\Omega)}^2 \|H\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\delta_2}{2} \|\mathbf{U}_t\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c}{2\delta_2} \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \delta_1, \delta_2 > 0.$$

Hence, in view of Korn's inequality (1.18) and Sobolev imbedding (1.21), constants δ_1, δ_2 can be chosen so that the terms with \mathbf{U}_t become absorbed by the left-hand side of (7.13).

Thus

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|U_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(U) \cdot \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(U) dx \right) + \frac{\nu c_*}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(U_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq c \|\nabla \chi_1\|_{L_{3/2}(\Omega)}^2 \|H\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^2 + c \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c(\|\nabla \chi_1\|_{L_{3/2}(\Omega)}^2 + 1) \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that, by the Sobolev imbedding and the Poincaré inequality, owing to (7.8),

$$(7.15) \quad \|H\|_{L_6(\Omega)} \leq c \|H\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq c \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

Next, let us turn to (7.7). Applying the Young inequality leads to

$$(7.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla H \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \nabla H dx + c_P \|\nabla Y\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_P}{2} \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq c \int_{\Omega} H^2 (\chi_1^4 + \chi_2^4 + 1) dx + c \int_{\Omega} H^2 |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)|^2 dx + c \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(U)|^2 dx \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality,

$$(7.17) \quad I_1 \leq c \|H\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^2 (\|\chi_1\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^4 + \|\chi_2\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^4 + 1).$$

The term I_2 is bounded with the help of the interpolation inequality (see [BIN96]):

$$(7.18) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 & \leq c \|H\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq (\delta_3^{1/4} \|H\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + c \delta_3^{-3/4} \|H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_3 > 0$. We choose δ_3 so that

$$\delta_3^{1/4} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 = \delta_*$$

with $\delta_* > 0$ to be selected later on. With such a choice of δ_3 ,

$$(7.19) \quad I_2 \leq \delta_* \|H\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + c \delta_*^{-3} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^8 \|H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

The term I_3 is bounded by

$$(7.20) \quad I_3 \leq c \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(U)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Combining estimates (7.17), (7.19), (7.20) in (7.16) and using (7.15) gives

$$(7.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla H \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \nabla H dx + c_P \|\nabla Y\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_P}{2} \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq c(\|\chi_1\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^4 + \|\chi_2\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^4 + 1) \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \quad + \delta_* \|H\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + c \delta_*^{-3} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^8 \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(U)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us turn to (7.12). Applying the Young inequality leads to

$$(7.22) \quad \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla H \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \nabla H dx + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c \|Y\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + I_1 + I_2 + I_3$$

with I_1, I_2, I_3 defined in (7.16).

The first term on the right-hand side of (7.22) is estimated by (7.11), I_1 -term by (7.17), I_3 -term by (7.20), and I_2 -term, similarly as in (7.18), by

$$I_2 \leq (\delta_4^{1/4} \|H\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + c \delta_4^{-3/4} \|H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2$$

with a constant $\delta_4 > 0$ to be chosen in a moment.

Combining the aforementioned estimates in (7.22) and using (7.15) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.23) \quad & \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla H \cdot \Gamma \nabla H dx + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_{\Gamma} H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & \leq c \|\nabla Y\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c(\|\chi_1\|_{L_4(\Omega)}^4 + \|\chi_2\|_{L_4(\Omega)}^4 + 1) \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & \quad + c\|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c\|\varepsilon(\mathbf{U})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & \quad + \delta_4^{1/4} \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \|H\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + c\delta_4^{-3/4} \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us note that owing to the ellipticity property of Δ_{Γ} and the fact that $\int_{\Omega} H dx = 0$, the following inequality holds true (see (3.27)):

$$(7.24) \quad \|H\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_1 \|\Delta_{\Gamma} H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2$$

with a constant $c_1 > 0$. Thus, choosing δ_4 so that

$$\delta_4^{1/4} c_1 \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 = \frac{1}{4},$$

and consequently

$$\delta_4^{-3/4} \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 = (4c_1)^3 \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^8,$$

inequality (7.23) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.25) \quad & \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla H \cdot \Gamma \nabla H dx + \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta_{\Gamma} H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & \leq c_2 \|\nabla Y\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c(\|\chi_1\|_{L_4(\Omega)}^4 + \|\chi_2\|_{L_4(\Omega)}^4 + \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^8 + 1) \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c\|\varepsilon(\mathbf{U})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we distinguished constant c_2 in front of the first term on the right-hand side of (7.25). Now, multiplying (7.25) by $\frac{c_P}{2c_2}$ and adding to (7.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.26) \quad & \left(\frac{\beta c_P}{4c_2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla H \cdot \Gamma \nabla H dx + \frac{c_P}{8c_2} \|\Delta_{\Gamma} H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & \quad + \frac{c_P}{2} (\|\nabla Y\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2) \\
 & \leq c(\|\chi_1\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^4 + \|\chi_2\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^4 + \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^8 + 1) \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & \quad + c\|\varepsilon(\mathbf{U})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \delta_* \|H\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + c\delta_*^{-3} \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^8 \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of (7.23), choosing constant $\delta_* = \frac{c_P}{16c_1c_2}$, the last but one term on the right-hand side of (7.26) is absorbed by its left-hand side. Adding the resulting inequality to (7.14), we finally arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.27) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|U_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon(\mathbf{U}) \cdot \mathbf{A} \varepsilon(\mathbf{U}) dx \right) \\
 & \quad + \left(\frac{\beta c_P}{4c_2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla H \cdot \Gamma \nabla H dx + \frac{\nu c_*}{2} \|\varepsilon(U_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 & \quad + \frac{c_8}{16c_2} \|\Delta_{\Gamma} H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_P}{2} (\|\nabla Y\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2) \\
 & \leq c(\|\chi_1\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^4 + \|\chi_2\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^4 + \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^8) \\
 & \quad + \|\nabla \chi_1\|_{L_{3/2}(\Omega)}^2 + 1 \|\nabla H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c\|\varepsilon(\mathbf{U})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Denoting

$$D(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|U_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon(U) \cdot \mathbf{A}\varepsilon(U) dx \right) + \left(\frac{\beta c_P}{4c_2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla H \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla H dx,$$

$$p(t) = c(\|\chi_1\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^4 + \|\chi_2\|_{L_6(\Omega)}^4 + \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^8 + \|\nabla \chi_1\|_{L_{3/2}(\Omega)}^2 + 1),$$

and recalling (2.2), (2.6), it follows from (7.27) that

$$(7.28) \quad \frac{d}{dt} D(t) + \frac{\nu c_*}{2} \|\varepsilon(U_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_8}{16c_2} \|\Delta_{\mathbf{r}} H\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_P}{2} (\|\nabla Y\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2) \leq p(t)D(t).$$

Hence, by the Gronwall lemma,

$$D(t) \leq D(0) \exp \int_0^t p(t') dt'.$$

Since $D(0) = 0$, and due to energy estimates (2.17)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t p(t') dt' &\leq c(\|\chi_1\|_{L_{\infty}(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} + \|\chi_2\|_{L_{\infty}(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} \\ &\quad + \|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{L_{\infty}(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}^8 + 1)T \leq cT < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $D(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, from (7.28) it follows that

$$\|\varepsilon(U_t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \|\Delta_{\mathbf{r}} H\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \|H_t\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \|\nabla Y\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = 0.$$

Hence, $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{0}$ and $H = 0$ in Ω^T , and by (7.11), $\|Y\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = 0$, so that $Y = 0$ in Ω^T . This proves the uniqueness of the solution (\mathbf{u}, χ, μ) in the interval $[0, T]$. ■

References

- [BarPaw05] Ł. Bartkowiak and I. Pawłow, *The Cahn-Hilliard-Gurtin system coupled with elasticity*, Control and Cybernetics 34 (2005), 1005–1043.
- [BCDGSS02] E. Bonetti, P. Colli, W. Dreyer, G. Giliardi, G. Schimperna and J. Sprekels, *On a model for phase separation in binary alloys driven by mechanical effects*, Physica D 165 (2002), 48–65.
- [BIN96] O. V. Besov, V. P. Il'in and S. M. Nikolski, *Integral Representations of Functions and Imbedding Theorems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1996 (in Russian).
- [CarMirP00] M. Carrive, A. Miranville and A. Piétrus, *The Cahn-Hilliard equation for deformable elastic continua*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 10 (2002), 539–569.
- [CarMirPR99] M. Carrive, A. Miranville, A. Piétrus and J. Rakoton, *The Cahn-Hilliard equation for anisotropic deformable elastic continuum*, Appl. Math. Lett. 12 (1999), 23–28.
- [Gar00] H. Garcke, *On mathematical models for phase separation in elastically stressed solids*, Habilitation Thesis, University of Bonn, 2000.
- [Gar03] —, *On Cahn-Hilliard system with elasticity*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 133A (2003), 307–331.
- [Gar05] —, *On a Cahn-Hilliard model for phase separation with elastic misfit*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 22 (2005), 165–185.

- [Gur96] M. E. Gurtin, *Generalized Ginzburg-Landau and Cahn-Hilliard equations based on a microforce balance*, *Physica D* 92 (1996), 178–192.
- [Mir00] A. Miranville, *Some generalizations of Cahn-Hilliard equation*, *Asymptotic Analysis* 22 (2000), 235–259.
- [Mir01a] A. Miranville, *Long-time behavior of some models of Cahn-Hilliard equations in deformable continua*, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* 2 (2001), 273–304.
- [Mir01b] A. Miranville, *Consistent models of Cahn-Hilliard-Gurtin equations with Neumann boundary conditions*, *Physica D* 158 (2001), 233–257.
- [Paw06] I. Pawłow, *Thermodynamically consistent Cahn-Hilliard and Allen-Cahn models in elastic solids*, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* 15, (2006), 1169–1191.
- [PawZaj06a] I. Pawłow and W. M. Zajączkowski, *Classical solvability of 1-D Cahn-Hilliard equation coupled with elasticity*, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 29 (2006), 853–876.
- [PawZaj06b] I. Pawłow and W. M. Zajączkowski, *Weak solutions to 3-D Cahn-Hilliard system in elastic solids*, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis* (to appear).
- [PawZaj07] I. Pawłow and W. M. Zajączkowski, *Strong solvability of 3-D Cahn-Hilliard system in elastic solids*, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* (to appear).