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#### Abstract

We show positivity of the $Q$-matrix of four kinds of graph products: direct product (Cartesian product), star product, comb product, and free product. During the discussion we give an alternative simple proof of the Markov product theorem on positive definite kernels.


1. Introduction. This short paper is motivated by the elegant result on positive definite kernels due to Bożejko [3]. Although his result is more general on operator-valued kernels, keeping our purpose in mind we state it in the following form:

Theorem 1.1 (Bożejko). Let $V$ be a (finite or infinite) set which is the union of two subsets $V_{1}, V_{2}$ whose intersection consists of a single point, say $o \in V$ :

$$
V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}, \quad V_{1} \cap V_{2}=\{o\}
$$

For $i=1,2$ let $K_{i}$ be a positive definite (resp. strictly positive definite) kernel on $V_{i}$ and assume that $K_{1}(o, o)=K_{2}(o, o)=1$. Then the $\mathbb{C}$-valued function $K$ on $V \times V$ defined by

$$
K(x, y)= \begin{cases}K_{1}(x, y) & \text { if } x, y \in V_{1} \\ K_{2}(x, y) & \text { if } x, y \in V_{2} \\ K_{1}(x, o) K_{2}(o, y) & \text { if } x \in V_{1}, y \in V_{2} \\ K_{2}(x, o) K_{1}(o, y) & \text { if } x \in V_{2}, y \in V_{1}\end{cases}
$$

is a positive definite (resp. strictly positive definite) kernel on $V$.
The positive definite kernel $K$ defined in the above theorem is called the Markov product of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. There are many applications. For example, positivity of the Haagerup states [9] on a free group follows as a direct consequence. Being valid for an arbitrary underlying set and for positive

[^0]definite operator-valued kernels, Bożejko's theorem unifies various results on positive definite kernels (see [3]).

As is well known, various matrices associated with a graph, e.g., the adjacency matrix, distance matrix, incidence matrix, Laplacian, transition matrix and so forth, are keys for algebraic, analytic, or probabilistic approaches to the structural study of graphs (see e.g., [2, 4, 5, 6, 16] and references cited therein). The $Q$-matrix of a graph $G=(V, E)$, defined by

$$
Q=Q(G ; q)=\left[q^{\partial_{G}(x, y)}\right]_{x, y \in V}, \quad q \in \mathbb{C},
$$

where $\partial_{G}(x, y)$ stands for the graph distance between two vertices, is also worthy of attention. It defines the $q$-deformed vacuum states and plays an interesting role in (asymptotic) spectral analysis of graphs along with quantum probability theory [10, 11, 12]. However, positivity of the $Q$-matrix is a difficult question in general and so a systematic approach is desirable. The detour join of two graphs is one of the attempts [15]. In this paper we discuss the positivity of the $Q$-matrix in connection with four kinds of graph products: direct product (Cartesian product), star product, comb product and free product. It is noteworthy that these four graph products are related to four concepts of independence in quantum probability theory, namely, commutative independence, Boolean independence, monotone independence, and free independence (see, e.g., 11]).

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we collect basic notions and notations. In Section 3 we give an alternative simple proof of Bożejko's theorem. In Section 4 we recall basic properties of $Q$-matrices. Finally in Section 5 we prove the positivity of the $Q$-matrices of graph products.
2. Positive definite kernels. In order to avoid confusion we assemble some notions and notations. Let $V$ be a non-empty (finite or infinite) set. We denote by $C(V)$ the space of $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on $V$ and by $C_{0}(V)$ the subspace of those with finite supports. For $f, g \in C(V)$ we define

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\sum_{x \in V} \overline{f(x)} g(x),
$$

whenever the sum is absolutely convergent. We always assume that $C_{0}(V)$ is a pre-Hilbert space equipped with the inner product defined above.

A $\mathbb{C}$-valued function $K$ defined on $V \times V$ is called a kernel on $V$. A kernel $K$ is identified with a linear operator from $C_{0}(V)$ into $C(V)$ (denoted by the same symbol) in the usual manner:

$$
K f(x)=\sum_{y \in V} K(x, y) f(y), \quad f \in C_{0}(V)
$$

Motivated by the above expression, we sometimes employ a matrix representation $K=[K(x, y)]_{x, y \in V}$.

Definition 2.1. A kernel $K$ is called positive definite (abbr. pd) if

$$
\langle f, K f\rangle=\sum_{x, y \in V} \overline{f(x)} K(x, y) f(y) \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } f \in C_{0}(V)
$$

It is called strictly positive definite (abbr. spd) if

$$
\langle f, K f\rangle=\sum_{x, y \in V} \overline{f(x)} K(x, y) f(y)>0 \quad \text { for all } f \in C_{0}(V), f \neq 0 .
$$

Let $K$ be a kernel on $V$. For a non-empty subset $W \subset V$, we denote by $K \upharpoonright_{W}$ the restriction of $K$ to $W \times W$. Obviously, if $K$ is a pd (or spd) kernel, then so are all restrictions. In particular, if $W$ is a finite set, $K \upharpoonright_{W}$ is regarded as a positive definite (resp. strictly positive definite) matrix and hence it is hermitian symmetric with non-negative (resp. positive) eigenvalues.

The following criterion, which is straightforward by definition, will be repeatedly used below.

Lemma 2.2. A kernel $K$ on $V$ is $p d$ (resp. spd) if there exists a sequence of finite subsets $W_{1} \subset W_{2} \subset \cdots \subset V$ with $V=\bigcup_{n} W_{n}$ such that $K \upharpoonright_{W_{n}}$ is $p d$ (resp. spd) for all $n=1,2, \ldots$.

For further properties of positive definite kernels, see, e.g., [7, 13].
3. A simple proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ be kernels on $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, respectively. The tensor product $K_{1} \otimes K_{2}$ is a kernel on $V_{1} \times V_{2}$ defined by

$$
\left(K_{1} \otimes K_{2}\right)\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)=K_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) K_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)
$$

for $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$. Note that $K_{1} \otimes K_{2}$ is identified with a linear operator from $C_{0}\left(V_{1}\right) \otimes C_{0}\left(V_{2}\right) \cong C_{0}\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right)$ into $C\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right)$.

We start with the following fairly obvious result.
Theorem 3.1. Let $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ be pd (resp. spd) kernels on $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, respectively. Then $K=K_{1} \otimes K_{2}$ is a pd (resp. spd) kernel on $V=V_{1} \times V_{2}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, it is sufficient to prove the assertion when $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are finite sets, i.e., when $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are matrices (of finite orders). But the assertion for matrices is well known and easy to show, for example by diagonalization (see, e.g., [13, Chap. 4]).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only prove the case where $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are positive definite kernels on $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, respectively. The argument for strictly positive definite kernels is parallel. Let $X=V_{1} \times V_{2}$ and set

$$
\tilde{V}=\left\{\left(x, o_{2}\right): x \in V_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{\left(o_{1}, y\right): y \in V_{2}\right\} .
$$

Since $K_{1} \otimes K_{2}$ becomes a positive definite kernel on $X$ by Theorem 3.1, so is the restriction $\left.\left(K_{1} \otimes K_{2}\right)\right|_{\tilde{V}}$. On the other hand, through the natural
bijection between $\tilde{V}$ and $V$, we see that $\left(K_{1} \otimes K_{2}\right) \Gamma_{\tilde{V}}=K$. Therefore, $K$ is a positive definite kernel on $V$.
4. $Q$-matrices. By a graph we mean a pair $G=(V, E)$, where $V$ is a non-empty (finite or infinite) set and $E \subset\{\{x, y\}: x, y \in V, x \neq y\}$. An element of $V$ is called a vertex and one in $E$ an edge. We write $x \sim y$ if $\{x, y\} \in E$. A finite sequence of vertices $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V$ is called a walk connecting $x, y \in V$ if

$$
x=x_{0} \sim x_{1} \sim x_{2} \sim \cdots \sim x_{n}=y
$$

In this case $n$ is called the length of the walk. A graph is called connected if any two vertices $x, y \in V, x \neq y$, are connected by a walk. For a connected graph $G=(V, E)$ the distance between $x, y \in V, x \neq y$, is defined to be the shortest length of a walk connecting them, and is denoted by $\partial_{G}(x, y)$. By definition $\partial_{G}(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in V$.

Throughout this paper a graph can be finite or infinite, but is always assumed to be connected. The $Q$-matrix of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is defined by

$$
Q=Q(G ; q)=\left[q^{\partial_{G}(x, y)}\right]_{x, y \in V}, \quad q \in \mathbb{C}
$$

By definition the diagonal elements of $Q(G ; q)$ are 1 for all $q$. We see easily that if $G$ is non-trivial, i.e., $|V| \geq 2$, then $Q(G ; q)$ can be pd only when $-1 \leq q \leq 1$. Accordingly, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{q}[G]=\{-1 \leq q \leq 1: Q(G ; q) \text { is } \operatorname{pd}\} \\
& q[G]=\{-1 \leq q \leq 1: Q(G ; q) \text { is } \operatorname{spd}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is noted that $q[G] \subset \tilde{q}[G]$ and $\tilde{q}[G]$ is a closed subset of $[-1,1]$.
Here are simple examples: For a complete graph $K_{n}(n \geq 2)$, we have

$$
q\left[K_{n}\right]=\left(-\frac{1}{n-1}, 1\right), \quad \tilde{q}\left[K_{n}\right]=\left[-\frac{1}{n-1}, 1\right]
$$

For a complete bipartite graph $K_{m, n}(2 \leq m \leq n)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q\left(K_{m, n}\right)=\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{(m-1)(n-1)}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m-1)(n-1)}}\right) \\
& \tilde{q}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=\left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{(m-1)(n-1)}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m-1)(n-1)}}\right] \cup\{-1,1\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the proofs and further examples, see [11, 15].
We close this section with the following simple fact.
Lemma 4.1. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph and $H=(W, F)$ a subgraph, i.e., $H$ is a graph with $W \subset V$ and $F \subset E$. Assume that both $G$ and $H$ are connected. If $H$ is isometrically embedded in $G$, i.e.,

$$
\partial_{H}(x, y)=\partial_{G}(x, y), \quad x, y \in W
$$

then $Q(H ; q)=Q(G ; q) \Gamma_{W}$ and

$$
q[G] \subset q[H], \quad \tilde{q}[G] \subset \tilde{q}[H]
$$

5. Graph products. To determine $q[G]$ and $\tilde{q}[G]$ is a difficult question in general. When a graph $G$ is composed of two graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ in some way, we may expect that the positivity of the $Q$-matrix of $G$ would be inherited from that of the $Q$-matrices of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. In this line the concept of detour join of two graphs is introduced in [15]. Our purpose here is to discuss four kinds of graph products: direct product (Cartesian product), star product, comb product, and free product.

In the following let $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ be connected graphs.
5.1. Direct product (Cartesian product). We set $V=V_{1} \times V_{2}$ and

$$
E=\left\{\left\{\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right\}: \begin{array}{l}
\text { (i) } x_{1}=x_{2} \text { and }\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\} \in E_{2} \\
\text { or (ii) }\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\} \in E_{1} \text { and } y_{1}=y_{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Then $G=(V, E)$ is called the direct product or Cartesian product of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, and is denoted by $G=G_{1} \times G_{2}$.

Lemma 5.1. For two graphs $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\partial_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\partial_{G_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\partial_{G_{2}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)
$$

for $x_{1}, x_{2} \in V_{1}$ and $y_{1}, y_{2} \in V_{2}$.
Proof. Straightforward and omitted.
Lemma 5.2. $Q\left(G_{1} \times G_{2} ; q\right)=Q\left(G_{1} ; q\right) \otimes Q\left(G_{2} ; q\right)$.
Proof. For simplicity we set $Q=Q\left(G_{1} \times G_{2} ; q\right), Q_{1}=Q\left(G_{1} ; q\right)$ and $Q_{2}=Q\left(G_{2} ; q\right)$. By definition we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)=q^{\partial_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)} \\
& Q_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=q^{\partial_{G_{1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}, \quad Q_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=q^{\partial_{G_{2}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Lemma 5.1 we see that

$$
Q\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)=Q_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) Q_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)
$$

which means that $Q=Q_{1} \otimes Q_{2}$.
Theorem 5.3. For the direct product $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ we have

$$
q\left[G_{1} \times G_{2}\right]=q\left[G_{1}\right] \cap q\left[G_{2}\right], \quad \tilde{q}\left[G_{1} \times G_{2}\right]=\tilde{q}\left[G_{1}\right] \cap \tilde{q}\left[G_{2}\right]
$$

Proof. For simplicity we set $Q=Q\left(G_{1} \times G_{2} ; q\right), Q_{1}=Q\left(G_{1} ; q\right)$ and $Q_{2}=Q\left(G_{2} ; q\right)$. We only show the first relation, for the second is proved in a parallel manner.

Take $q \in q\left[G_{1}\right] \cap q\left[G_{2}\right]$. Since both $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are pd, so is $Q_{1} \otimes Q_{2}$ by Theorem 3.1. On the other hand, $Q=Q_{1} \otimes Q_{2}$ by Lemma 5.2. Hence
$q \in q\left[G_{1} \times G_{2}\right]$, so that $q\left[G_{1}\right] \cap q\left[G_{2}\right] \subset q\left[G_{1} \times G_{2}\right]$. For the converse, we first note that, taking a cross section, $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are isometrically embedded in $G=G_{1} \times G_{2}$. Then applying Lemma 4.1, we see that $q\left[G_{1} \times G_{2}\right] \subset$ $q\left[G_{1}\right] \cap q\left[G_{2}\right]$.
5.2. Star product. Assume that two graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are equipped with distinguished vertices $o_{1}$ and $o_{2}$, respectively. The star product is defined to be a graph obtained by gluing $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ at the vertices $o_{1}$ and $o_{2}$. The star product is denoted by $G_{1 o_{1}} \star_{o_{2}} G_{2}$ or simply by $G_{1} \star G_{2}$. For relevant discussion see [14].

LEMMA 5.4. The star product $G=G_{1} \star G_{2}$ is identified with the subgraph of the direct product $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ induced (or spanned) by the vertices

$$
V=\left\{\left(o_{1}, y\right): y \in V_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{\left(x, o_{2}\right): x \in V_{1}\right\}
$$

Moreover, $G=G_{1} \star G_{2}$ is isometrically embedded in $G_{1} \times G_{2}$.
Proof. Straightforward by definition.
Theorem 5.5. For the star product $G_{1} \star G_{2}$ we have

$$
q\left[G_{1} \star G_{2}\right]=q\left[G_{1}\right] \cap q\left[G_{2}\right], \quad \tilde{q}\left[G_{1} \star G_{2}\right]=\tilde{q}\left[G_{1}\right] \cap \tilde{q}\left[G_{2}\right]
$$

Proof. For simplicity we set $Q=Q\left(G_{1} \star G_{2} ; q\right), Q_{1}=Q\left(G_{1} ; q\right)$ and $Q_{2}=Q\left(G_{2} ; q\right)$. We only show the first relation.

Combining Lemmas 5.4 and 4.1, we have

$$
q\left[G_{1} \star G_{2}\right] \supset q\left[G_{1} \times G_{2}\right]=q\left[G_{1}\right] \cap q\left[G_{2}\right]
$$

where Theorem 5.3 is also taken into account. On the other hand, $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are isometrically embedded in $G_{1} \star G_{2}$ in the obvious manner. It then follows from Theorem 4.1 that

$$
q\left[G_{1} \star G_{2}\right] \subset q\left[G_{1}\right] \cap q\left[G_{2}\right]
$$

The assertion follows from the above two inclusion relations.
The positivity of the Haagerup states on a free group is a simple corollary. In fact, it is sufficient to consider a finite tree $T$. Since $T$ is obtained by repeated application of the star product of $K_{2}$, appealing to Theorem 5.5 we obtain

$$
q[T]=q\left[K_{2}\right]=(-1,1), \quad \tilde{q}[T]=\tilde{q}\left[K_{2}\right]=[-1,1] .
$$

This argument, originally due to Bożejko [3], not only gave an alternative simple proof to Haagerup [9] but also broadened applications and indicated a further generalization.
5.3. Comb product. Assume that $G_{2}$ is equipped with a distinguished vertex $o_{2} \in V_{2}$. We prepare $\left|V_{1}\right|$ copies of $G_{2}$. The comb product is defined to be the graph obtained by gluing each vertex of $G_{1}$ with a copy of $G_{2}$ at the vertex $o_{2}$. The comb product is denoted by $G_{1} \triangleright_{o_{2}} G_{2}$ or simply by
$G_{1} \triangleright G_{2}$. By definition, $G_{1} \triangleright G_{2}$ is identified with a subgraph (but not an induced subgraph) of $G_{1} \times G_{2}$.

Theorem 5.6. For the comb product $G_{1} \triangleright G_{2}$ we have

$$
q\left[G_{1} \triangleright G_{2}\right]=q\left[G_{1}\right] \cap q\left[G_{2}\right], \quad \tilde{q}\left[G_{1} \triangleright G_{2}\right]=\tilde{q}\left[G_{1}\right] \cap \tilde{q}\left[G_{2}\right] .
$$

Proof. Since the comb product $G_{1} \triangleright G_{2}$ is obtained by repeated application of star product, this a direct consequence of Theorem 5.5.
5.4. Free products. Given two graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ with distinguished vertices, the free product $G_{1} * G_{2}$ is defined. The construction shares a common spirit with free product groups. However, the formal definition is lengthy and omitted (see, e.g., [1, [8]).

Theorem 5.7. For the free product $G_{1} * G_{2}$ we have

$$
q\left[G_{1} * G_{2}\right]=q\left[G_{1}\right] \cap q\left[G_{2}\right], \quad \tilde{q}\left[G_{1} * G_{2}\right]=\tilde{q}\left[G_{1}\right] \cap \tilde{q}\left[G_{2}\right] .
$$

Proof. We may choose an increasing sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ of induced subgraphs of $G_{1} * G_{2}$ such that (i) $H_{n}$ is obtained by finitely many applications of star product of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$; (ii) $H_{n}$ is isometrically embedded in $G_{1} * G_{2}$; and (iii) $G_{1} * G_{2}$ is the inductive limit of $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$. For example, $H_{n}$ is taken to be the graph spanned by the vertices corresponding to the words of length $\leq n$. Then by Theorem 5.5 we obtain

$$
q\left[G_{1} * G_{2}\right]=q\left[H_{n}\right]=q\left[G_{1}\right] \cap q\left[G_{2}\right], \quad \tilde{q}\left[G_{1} * G_{2}\right]=\tilde{q}\left[H_{n}\right]=\tilde{q}\left[G_{1}\right] \cap \tilde{q}\left[G_{2}\right],
$$

as desired.
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