

## ON SOME PROPERTIES OF SQUARES OF SIERPIŃSKI SETS

BY

ANDRZEJ NOWIK (Gdańsk)

**Abstract.** We investigate some geometrical properties of squares of special Sierpiński sets. In particular, we prove that (under CH) there exists a Sierpiński set  $S$  and a function  $p: S \rightarrow S$  such that the images of the graph of this function under  $\pi'(\langle x, y \rangle) = x - y$  and  $\pi''(\langle x, y \rangle) = x + y$  are both Lusin sets.

**1. Notations and definitions.** Let  $\pi_x, \pi_y: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the projections on the first and second axis, respectively.

Define  $\pi', \pi'': \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\tau: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$\pi'(\langle x, y \rangle) = x - y, \quad \pi''(\langle x, y \rangle) = x + y, \quad \tau(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle x - y, x + y \rangle.$$

Notice that

$$\tau \circ \tau(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle -2y, 2x \rangle, \quad \pi' \circ \tau(\langle x, y \rangle) = -2y, \quad \pi'' \circ \tau(\langle x, y \rangle) = 2x.$$

For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  set

$$\mathcal{X}(A) = (\mathbb{R} \times A) \cup (A \times \mathbb{R}),$$

and for  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  define

$$(X)_a = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \langle a, y \rangle \in X\}, \quad (X)^a = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, a \rangle \in X\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{MGR}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -ideals of measure zero sets and meager sets, respectively. We say that an uncountable  $X$  is a *Lusin set* (*Sierpiński set*, respectively) if  $\forall Y \in \mathcal{MGR}$  (resp.  $\forall Y \in \mathcal{N}$ )  $|X \cap Y| \leq \omega_0$ .

A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be a *universal measure zero set* ( $X \in \text{UMZ}$ ) if every continuous (i.e. vanishing on singletons) finite, non-negative, countably additive measure defined on Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  assigns  $X$  outer measure 0.

We will use the following terminology and notation from the theory of small subsets of the real line. For every  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  we write  $X \in R^{\mathcal{N}}$  iff for each Borel set  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\forall_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (B)_x \in \mathcal{N}$  we have  $\bigcup_{x \in X} (B)_x \neq \mathbb{R}$ . Notice that every Sierpiński set belongs to the class  $R^{\mathcal{N}}$ ; this result is due to J. Pawlikowski (see [P]).

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 03E15; Secondary 03E20, 28E15.

*Key words and phrases*: Sierpiński set, Lusin set, measure, category.

Research partially supported by grant BW 5100-5-0231-2.

**2. Introduction.** From now on we shall identify a function with its graph. In [C], Cox showed, answering a question in [G], that (under CH) there exists a Sierpiński set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that there exists a bijection  $p: S \rightarrow S$  which is a universal measure zero set (on the plane). Moreover,  $p^{-1} = p$ . It is natural to ask whether we can find a Sierpiński set  $S$  and a bijection  $p: S \rightarrow S$  such that the image of  $p$  under the rotation  $\tau$  of the plane is a subset of a product of two UMZ sets, or a subset of a product of two strong measure zero sets, or two Lusin sets. And if the answer is yes, is this property of the Sierpiński set  $S$  stronger than the property considered by Cox?

The aim of this paper is to give an answer to these and other questions.

**3. Squares of Sierpiński sets.** Let us start with the following lemma due to I. Reclaw <sup>(1)</sup>:

LEMMA 3.1 (Reclaw, private communication). *Suppose that  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{MGR}$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}(N) \cup \tau[\mathcal{X}(M)] \neq \mathbb{R}^2$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $M = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} F_m$ , where the  $F_m$  are closed nowhere dense. It suffices to find

$$\langle x_0, y_0 \rangle \notin (N \times \mathbb{R}) \cup \tau[\mathbb{R} \times M] \cup \tau[M \times \mathbb{R}] \cup (\mathbb{R} \times N).$$

Since  $\langle x_0, y_0 \rangle \notin \tau[M \times \mathbb{R}]$  is equivalent to  $x_0 + y_0 \notin 2M$ , and  $\langle x_0, y_0 \rangle \notin \tau[\mathbb{R} \times M]$  is equivalent to  $y_0 - x_0 \notin 2M$ , we have to find  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x_0, y_0 \notin N$  and  $x_0 + y_0, x_0 - y_0 \notin M$ . This condition can be written in the following form:

$$y_0 \notin N, \quad x_0 \notin N \cup (M - y_0) \cup (M + y_0).$$

We will prove that the set  $\{y \in \mathbb{R} : N \cup (M - y) \cup (M + y) = \mathbb{R}\}$  has measure zero. Choose an arbitrary perfect set  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus N$  such that for every open set  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $W \cap D \neq \emptyset$  we have  $W \cap D \notin \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $(D_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be an enumeration of open basic subsets of  $D$ . We will use the following claim:

CLAIM 3.2. *Let  $E$  be a meager set and  $G$  be a Borel set such that  $G \notin \mathcal{N}$ . Then the set  $\{t : G + t \subseteq E\}$  has measure zero.*

*Proof.* Set  $Z = \{t : G + t \subseteq E\}$ . Suppose on the contrary that  $Z \notin \mathcal{N}$ . Then by the classical theorem of Steinhaus the set  $Z + G$  would contain an interval  $(a, b)$  and hence  $(a, b) \subseteq Z + G \subseteq E$ ; therefore  $E$  would not be meager, which is the desired contradiction. ■

---

<sup>(1)</sup> I would like to thank Professor Ireneusz Reclaw for his kind permission to include his result.

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{y : D \cap [(M - y) \cup (M + y)] \in \mathcal{MGR}(D)\} \\ = \bigcap_{n,m \in \omega} \{y : D_n \not\subseteq (F_m - y)\} \cap \{y : D_n \not\subseteq (F_m + y)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and this last set is of full measure. This proves that  $\{y : D \subseteq (M - y) \cup (M + y)\}$  has measure zero. Since  $D \cap N = \emptyset$ , this finishes the proof. ■

Note that Lemma 3.1 can be easily strengthened to the following:

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that  $M \in \mathcal{MGR}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $N \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $Y \notin \mathcal{N}$ . Then there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x_0 + y_0 \notin M$ ,  $x_0 - y_0 \notin M$ ,  $x_0 \notin N$  and  $y_0 \in Y$ .*

*Proof.* We only sketch the proof. As in the previous proof, the set  $\{y : N \cup (M - y) \cup (M + y) = \mathbb{R}\}$  is of measure zero. Choose  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $N \cup (M - y_0) \cup (M + y_0) \neq \mathbb{R}$ . Next, choose  $x_0 \notin N \cup (M - y_0) \cup (M + y_0)$  to complete the proof. ■

However, we have not been able to prove (or disprove) the following strengthening of Lemma 3.1.

PROBLEM 3.4. *Is it true that for every perfect set  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  of positive measure and for every  $M \in \mathcal{MGR}(P)$  and  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  there exists  $\langle x, y \rangle \in (P \setminus M)^2$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \notin \tau[\mathcal{X}(N)]$ ?*

Moreover, we have not been able to solve the following version of the previous problem. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the Cantor ternary set.

PROBLEM 3.5. *Is it true that for every  $M \in \mathcal{MGR}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  there exists  $\langle x, y \rangle \in (\mathcal{C} \setminus M)^2$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \notin \tau[\mathcal{X}(N)]$ ?*

Lemma 3.1 motivated us to introduce here the following notion:

DEFINITION 3.6. Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq P(\mathbb{R})$  be an arbitrary collection of subsets of the real line (not necessarily an ideal). We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  has the *STRIC (stripes covering) property* if for any sets  $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}$  we have

$$\mathcal{X}(X) \cup \tau[\mathcal{X}(Y)] \neq \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Thus we can reformulate Lemma 3.1 as follows:

LEMMA 3.7. *The family  $\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{MGR}$  has the STRIC property.*

Suppose that  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ . By  $\pi_\theta: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we denote the projection on the  $x$ -axis in direction  $\theta$ . If  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq P(\mathbb{R})$  then we define the following cardinal coefficient:

$$\text{STRIC}(\mathcal{F}) = \min \left\{ |\Theta| : \Theta \subseteq [0, \pi) \wedge \exists_{F: \Theta \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} F \text{ is 1-1} \wedge \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} \pi_\theta^{-1}(F(\theta)) = \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}.$$

PROBLEM 3.8. Suppose that  $\langle \theta_i, \mu_i \in [0, \pi) : i \in \omega \rangle$ , where  $\theta_i \neq \mu_i$ , and let  $M \in \mathcal{MGR}$  and  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Is it true that

$$\bigcup_{i \in \omega} \pi_{\theta_i}^{-1}(M) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \pi_{\mu_i}^{-1}(N) \neq \mathbb{R}^2?$$

If the answer is positive then we can ask what is  $\text{STRIC}(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{MGR})$ .

THEOREM 3.9. Assume CH. There exists a Sierpiński set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and a bijection  $p: S \rightarrow S$  such that  $\pi'[p]$  and  $\pi''[p]$  are Lusin sets. Moreover,  $p^{-1} = p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\langle M_\xi : \xi \in \omega_1 \rangle$  be an enumeration of all  $F_\sigma$  meager subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\langle N_\xi : \xi \in \omega_1 \rangle$  be an enumeration of all  $G_\delta$  measure zero subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . At stage  $\xi$  we assume that all  $x_\mu, y_\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $\mu < \xi$  have been chosen. Choose

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_\xi, y_\xi \rangle \notin \mathcal{X} \left( \bigcup_{\mu < \xi} N_\mu \cup \{x_\mu, y_\mu : \mu < \xi\} \right) \\ \cup \tau \left[ \mathcal{X} \left( \bigcup_{\mu < \xi} \frac{M_\mu \cup -M_\mu}{2} \cup \{0\} \cup \left\{ \frac{x_\mu}{2}, \frac{y_\mu}{2} : \mu < \xi \right\} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that this is possible by Lemma 3.1. Finally, let  $S = \{x_\xi, y_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ .

Now define a bijection  $p: S \rightarrow S$  by

$$p(s) = \begin{cases} x_\xi & \text{if } s = y_\xi \text{ for some } \xi < \omega_1, \\ y_\xi & \text{if } s = x_\xi \text{ for some } \xi < \omega_1. \end{cases}$$

By construction,  $S$  is a Sierpiński set. To show that  $\pi'[p]$  and  $\pi''[p]$  are Lusin sets, it suffices to check that

$$\pi'(\langle x_\xi, y_\xi \rangle), \pi'(\langle y_\xi, x_\xi \rangle), \pi''(\langle x_\xi, y_\xi \rangle) \notin M_\mu \quad \text{for } \xi > \mu,$$

i.e.  $x_\xi - y_\xi, y_\xi - x_\xi, x_\xi + y_\xi \notin M_\mu$  for  $\xi > \mu$ . But this follows immediately from the definition of  $\langle x_\xi, y_\xi \rangle$ , since  $\langle x_\xi, y_\xi \rangle \notin \tau[\mathcal{X}((M_\mu \cup -M_\mu)/2)]$ , i.e.  $\tau^{-1}(x_\xi, y_\xi) \notin \mathcal{X}((M_\mu \cup -M_\mu)/2)$ , and therefore  $(y_\xi - x_\xi)/2 \notin M_\mu/2$ ,  $(y_\xi - x_\xi)/2 \notin -M_\mu/2$  and  $(x_\xi + y_\xi)/2 \notin M_\mu/2$ . ■

We will show the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.10. Assume CH. Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $Y \notin \mathcal{N}$ . Then there exists a Sierpiński set  $S$  and a function  $p: S \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\pi'[p]$  and  $\pi''[p]$  are Lusin sets.

*Proof.* Let  $(M_\theta)_{\theta \in \omega_1}$  and  $(N_\theta)_{\theta \in \omega_1}$  be enumerations of all  $F_\sigma$  meager subsets and of all  $G_\delta$ , measure zero subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , respectively. From Lemma 3.3 it follows that there exist  $x_\theta, y_\theta$  such that

- $x_\theta + y_\theta, x_\theta - y_\theta \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} M_\alpha \cup \{x_\alpha + y_\alpha, x_\alpha - y_\alpha\}$ ,

- $x_\theta, y_\theta \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} N_\alpha \cup \{x_\alpha + y_\alpha, x_\alpha - y_\alpha\}$ ,
- $y_\theta \in Y$ .

Set  $S = \{x_\theta : \theta < \omega_1\}$ . It is obvious that  $S$  is a Sierpiński set. Define  $p = \{\langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle : \theta \in \omega_1\}$ . Obviously  $p: S \rightarrow Y$ . Since  $\pi'[p] = \{x_\theta - y_\theta : \theta \in \omega_1\}$  and  $\pi''[p] = \{x_\theta + y_\theta : \theta \in \omega_1\}$ , both are Lusin sets. ■

Following [C] let us recall the following notions. We say that  $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is *symmetric* provided that  $\forall \langle x, y \rangle \in H \langle y, x \rangle \in H$ . The lines  $\{\langle x, x \rangle : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and  $\{\langle x, 1 - x \rangle : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  are denoted by  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ , respectively.

We denote by  $\varrho$  the projection onto  $l_2$  defined by  $\varrho(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle x - y, 1 - x + y \rangle$ . Notice that  $\pi_x \circ \varrho = \pi'$ .

Recall a lemma from [C] but relativised to a perfect set.

LEMMA 3.11. *Let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a perfect set. Suppose that  $\mu$  is a finite measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then there exists a symmetric subset  $H$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that*

- $\mu(H) = 0$ .
- *There exists a  $G_\delta$  subset  $h \subseteq \varrho(P \times \{0\})$ , dense in  $\varrho(P \times \{0\})$  and such that  $\varrho^{-1}(h) \subseteq H$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of the Lemma from [C]. ■

In the next theorem we modify the construction of a Sierpiński set  $S$  and a function  $p: S \rightarrow S$  having universal measure zero (given in the Theorem from [C]) to obtain the additional property that there is no function  $r: S \rightarrow S$  such that  $\pi'[r]$  and  $\pi''[r]$  are Lusin sets.

THEOREM 3.12. *Assume CH. Suppose that  $M \in \mathcal{MGR}$  is such that  $\mathbb{R} \setminus M \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $0 \in M$ . Then there exist a Sierpiński set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and a bijection  $p: S \rightarrow S$  such that*

- $p$  is a universal measure zero set,
- $\tau[S^2] \subseteq M^2$ .

*In particular, there is no function  $r: S \rightarrow S$  such that  $\pi'[r]$  and  $\pi''[r]$  are Lusin sets. Moreover, we can assume that  $p = p^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P \subseteq M \cap (-M)$  be a perfect set such that  $-P = P$ . Let  $\langle G_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  be an enumeration of all  $G_\delta$  measure zero subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Inductively we construct (analogously to [C])  $\{\langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\langle \mu_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  be an enumeration of all finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  choose a symmetric subset  $H_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  as in Lemma 3.11. At stage  $\theta$  we assume that all points  $\{\langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha < \theta\}$  have been chosen. Choose  $\langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle$  such that

$$(1) \quad \langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle \in \bigcap_{\alpha \leq \theta} H_\alpha,$$

$$(2) \quad \langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle \notin \pi_x^{-1} \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \theta} G_\alpha \cup \{x_\alpha, y_\alpha : \alpha < \theta\} \right) \\ \cup \pi_y^{-1} \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \theta} G_\alpha \cup \{x_\alpha, y_\alpha : \alpha < \theta\} \right),$$

$$(3) \quad \langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle \in (M_\theta^*)^2,$$

where  $M_\theta^* = \bigcap_{\alpha < \theta} (M - x_\alpha) \cap (M - y_\alpha) \cap (x_\alpha - M) \cap (y_\alpha - M) \cap (M + x_\alpha) \cap (M + y_\alpha)$ , and

$$(4) \quad x_\theta, y_\theta \in M/2,$$

$$(5) \quad \langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle \in H^* \cap H^{**},$$

where  $H^* = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x + y \in M\}$  and  $H^{**} = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x - y, y - x \in M\}$ . To see that such a choice can be made, we first pick a line  $k \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \leq \theta} H_\alpha \cap H^{**}$  parallel to  $l_1$ , and then we pick a  $\langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle$  on this line.

As in Theorem 3.9, set  $S = \{x_\alpha, y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  and define a bijection  $p: S \rightarrow S$  by

$$\begin{cases} p(x_\xi) = y_\xi, \\ p(y_\xi) = x_\xi. \end{cases}$$

Standard calculations show that  $\tau[S^2] \subseteq M^2$ . Similarly to [C] we can show that  $p$  is a universal measure zero set. By (2),  $S$  is a Sierpiński set. ■

Unfortunately, the author has not been able to solve the following problem:

**PROBLEM 3.13.** *Assume CH. Let  $M$  be as in our previous theorem. Does there exist a Sierpiński set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and a bijection  $p: S \rightarrow S$  such that  $\tau[S^2] \subseteq M^2$  and  $\pi'[p], \pi''[p]$  are universal measure zero sets?*

The following fact belongs to the set-theoretic folklore.

**LEMMA 3.14.** *Suppose that  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a perfect set and  $\mu$  is a continuous Borel measure. Suppose that  $p: X \rightarrow S$  (where  $X \subseteq Q$  and  $S$  is a Sierpiński set) is a function with a UMZ graph. Then there exists a Borel set  $B^* \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that*

$$p \subseteq B^*, \quad \forall_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \mu[(B^*)^y \cap Q] = 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $p \in \text{UMZ}$ , there exists a Borel set  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $p \subseteq B$  and  $(Q \times \mathbb{R}) \cap B$  is of measure zero with respect to the product measure  $\mu \otimes \lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . By the Fubini theorem there exists a measure zero  $G_\delta$  set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\forall_{y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus G} \mu((B)^y \cap Q) = 0$ . Since  $S$  is a Sierpiński set, we have  $|S \cap G| \leq \omega$ . Let  $H \subseteq Q$  be such that  $\forall_{y \in G \cap S} (p)^y \cap Q \subseteq H$ ,  $\mu(H) = 0$  and  $H$  is a  $G_\delta$  set. Define  $B^* =$

$[B \setminus (\mathbb{R} \times G)] \cup (H \times G)$ . Obviously  $B^*$  is a Borel set. Moreover,  $p \subseteq B^*$  and  $\forall_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \mu[(B^*)^y \cap Q] = 0$ . ■

We will prove the following simple observation.

**OBSERVATION 3.15.** *Suppose that  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a Sierpiński set,  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and there exists a UMZ function  $p: X \rightarrow S$ . Then  $X$  is a totally imperfect set, i.e. a set with no perfect subset.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists  $p: X \rightarrow S$  such that  $p \in \text{UMZ}$ . Let  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be any perfect set. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $Q$  is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Let  $\mu$  denote the Lebesgue measure defined on  $Q$ . By Lemma 3.14 we can find  $B^* \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\forall_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \mu[(B^*)^y \cap Q] = 0$  and  $p \subseteq B^*$ .

Since  $S$  is a Sierpiński set,  $S \in R^{\mathcal{N}}$ . Therefore  $\bigcup_{y \in S} (B^*)^y \cap Q \neq Q$ , hence  $Q \not\subseteq \bigcup_{y \in S} (B^*)^y$ . Thus  $Q \not\subseteq \bigcup_{y \in S} (p)^y$ , hence  $Q \not\subseteq X$ . This proves that  $X$  is a totally imperfect set. ■

On the other hand for some class of small sets (under CH) (in particular, for Sierpiński sets) there always exists a Sierpiński set such that no  $p: S \rightarrow S$  is UMZ. Notice that Cox’s argument to prove that (under CH) there exists a Sierpiński set  $S$  such that no  $p: S \rightarrow S$  is UMZ involves Borel sets with all sections of measure zero. In the next theorem we modify Cox’s argument using  $R^{\mathcal{N}}$  sets.

**THEOREM 3.16.** *Assume CH. Suppose that  $S$  is a Sierpiński set. Then there exists a Sierpiński set  $S_1$  such that  $|S_1| = 2^\omega$  and no  $p: S_1 \rightarrow S$  is UMZ.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(B_\theta)_{\theta < \omega_1}$  is an enumeration of all Borel sets  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\forall_{y \in \mathbb{R}} (B)^y \in \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $(G_\theta)_{\theta \in \omega_1}$  be any enumeration of all  $G_\delta$  measure zero subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We will construct by transfinite induction a sequence  $(x_\theta)_{\theta \in \omega_1}$ . At stage  $\theta$  we choose

$$x_\theta \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_\alpha \cup \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \theta\} \cup \left( \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} B_\alpha \right)^{-1}[S],$$

where  $B^{-1}[Y] = \{x : \exists_{y \in Y} \langle x, y \rangle \in B\}$ . The choice of  $x_\theta$  is possible, since  $\forall_{y \in \mathbb{R}} (\bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} B_\alpha)^y \in \mathcal{N}$  and therefore  $(\bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} B_\alpha)^{-1}[S] \notin \text{co-}\mathcal{N}$ , by the fact that  $S \in R^{\mathcal{N}}$ , since  $S$  is a Sierpiński set. Next, define  $S_1 = \{x_\theta : \theta \in \omega_1\}$ . It is easy to see that  $S_1$  is a Sierpiński set. Moreover, suppose that  $p: S_1 \rightarrow S$  has universal measure zero graph. By Lemma 3.14 (applied to  $Q = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu = \lambda$ , the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ ) we can find a  $\theta < \omega_1$  such that  $p \subseteq B_\theta$ . Next,  $S_1 = p^{-1}[S] \subseteq B_\theta^{-1}[S]$ , and therefore  $x_\theta \notin S_1$ , which is a contradiction. ■

**PROBLEM 3.17.** *Can we assume in the previous theorem that  $S$  is only an  $R^{\mathcal{N}}$  set?*

**THEOREM 3.18.** *Assume CH. Then there exists a Sierpiński set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that there exists a UMZ function  $p: S \rightarrow S$  but there is no function  $r: S \rightarrow S$  such that  $\pi'[r], \pi''[r] \in \text{UMZ}$ .*

*Proof.* We construct  $S$  by induction. Let  $(\mu_\theta)_{\theta \in \omega}$  be an enumeration of all continuous measures defined on all Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For each  $\theta \in \omega_1$  let  $H_\theta$  be a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu_\theta((\pi')^{-1}[H_\theta]) = 0$  and  $-H_\theta = H_\theta$ . Such a set exists by Cox’s Lemma from [C]. Let  $(G_\theta)_{\theta < \omega_1}$  be an enumeration of all  $G_\delta$  measure zero subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Assume that we have chosen  $x_\mu, y_\mu$  for  $\mu < \theta$ . First choose  $t_\theta \in \bigcap_{\mu \leq \theta} H_\mu \setminus \{0\}$ . Next, choose  $x_\theta$  such that

$$(6) \quad \{x_\theta, x_\theta - t_\theta\} \cap \{x_\mu, y_\mu : \mu < \theta\} = \emptyset,$$

$$(7) \quad \{x_\theta, x_\theta - t_\theta\} \cap \bigcup_{\mu < \theta} G_\mu = \emptyset,$$

$$(8) \quad (x_\theta + \{x_\mu, y_\mu : \mu < \theta\}) \cap G_\theta = \emptyset,$$

$$(9) \quad \{2x_\theta, 2x_\theta - t_\theta\} \cap G_\theta = \emptyset,$$

$$(10) \quad \{x_\theta, x_\theta - t_\theta\} \cap \bigcup_{\mu < \theta} (G_\mu - x_\mu) = \emptyset.$$

Define  $y_\theta = x_\theta - t_\theta$  and  $S = \{x_\theta, y_\theta : \theta < \omega_1\}$ . It follows immediately from (6) and (7) that  $S$  is a Sierpiński set.

Define

$$p = \{\langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle : \theta < \omega_1\} \cup \{\langle y_\theta, x_\theta \rangle : \theta < \omega_1\}.$$

It is easy to observe that  $p: S \rightarrow S$ . Let  $\theta \in \omega_1$ . Then for each  $\mu > \theta$  we have  $x_\mu - y_\mu = t_\mu \in H_\theta$ , hence  $\langle x_\mu, y_\mu \rangle \in (\pi')^{-1}(H_\theta)$ . Thus  $\mu_\theta(\{\langle x_\mu, y_\mu \rangle : \mu > \theta\}) = 0$  and therefore  $\mu_\theta(\{\langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha < \omega_1\}) = 0$ . Since  $-t_\mu \in H_\theta$ , the same argument shows that  $\mu_\theta(\{\langle y_\alpha, x_\alpha \rangle : \alpha < \omega_1\}) = 0$ , therefore  $\mu_\theta(p) = 0$ .

By way of contradiction suppose that there is  $\widehat{p}: S \rightarrow S$  such that  $\pi'[\widehat{p}], \pi''[\widehat{p}] \in \text{UMZ}$ . In particular,  $\pi''[\widehat{p}] \in \mathcal{N}$ , so  $\pi''[\widehat{p}] \subseteq G_\theta$  for some  $\theta < \omega_1$ . Hence  $x_\theta + \widehat{p}(x_\theta) \in G_\theta$ . We will consider three cases.

CASE 1:  $\widehat{p}(x_\theta) \in \{x_\mu, y_\mu\}$  for some  $\mu < \theta$ . Then  $(x_\theta + \{x_\mu, y_\mu\}) \cap G_\theta \neq \emptyset$ , contrary to (8).

CASE 2:  $\widehat{p}(x_\theta) \in \{x_\theta, y_\theta\}$ . Then  $2x_\theta \in G_\theta$  or  $2x_\theta - t_\theta \in G_\theta$ , contrary to (9).

CASE 3:  $\widehat{p}(x_\theta) \in \{x_\mu, y_\mu\}$  for some  $\mu > \theta$ . Then  $(x_\theta + \{x_\mu, y_\mu\}) \cap G_\theta \neq \emptyset$ , contrary to (10). Thus  $\pi''[\widehat{p}] \notin \mathcal{N}$ , which gives the required property of  $S$ . ■

**Acknowledgments.** I am greatly indebted to Professor Ireneusz Recław for several stimulating discussions and for providing the proof of Lemma 3.1.

## REFERENCES

- [C] G. V. Cox, *A universal null graph whose domain has positive measure*, Colloq. Math. 46 (1982), 181–184.
- [G] E. Grzegorek and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *On universal null sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981), 613–617.
- [P] J. Pawlikowski, *All Sierpiński sets are strongly meager*, Arch. Math. Logic 35 (1996), 281–285.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Gdańsk  
Wita Stwosza 57  
80-952 Gdańsk, Poland  
E-mail: matan@julia.univ.gda.pl

Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics  
Technical University of Gdańsk  
Gabriela Narutowicza 11/12  
80-952 Gdańsk, Poland  
E-mail: nowik@mifgate.pg.gda.pl

*Received 22 August 2003;*  
*revised 15 March 2004*

(4371)