

*HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF  
A FRACTAL INTERPOLATION FUNCTION*

BY

GUANTIE DENG (Beijing)

**Abstract.** We obtain a lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of the graph of a fractal interpolation function with interpolation points  $\{(i/N, y_i) : i = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $N > 1$  be an integer,  $c \in (0, 1)$ , and  $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_N\}$  be real numbers, where  $y_0 = y_N = 0$ . Let  $S_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  ( $i \in M = \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ ) denote the iterated function system (briefly, IFS) defined by

$$S_i \vec{x} = \vec{x}T_i + \vec{y}_i, \quad \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

which are two-dimensional affine transformations, where

$$\vec{y}_i = (i/N, y_i), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N, \quad T_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1/N & a_i \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad i \in M,$$

and the  $a_i$  are determined by the conditions

$$(1) \quad S_i \vec{y}_0 = \vec{y}_i, \quad S_i \vec{y}_N = \vec{y}_{i+1}, \quad i \in M.$$

yielding  $a_i = y_{i+1} - y_i$ .

Barnsley ([1]) has showed that if  $Nc > 1$ , then there is a unique nonempty compact set  $G \subset [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(2) \quad G = \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i(G)$$

and  $G$  is the graph of a continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which interpolates the data  $\{(i/N, y_i) : i = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$ . That is,

$$(3) \quad G = G(f, [0, 1]) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in [0, 1]\},$$

where

$$(4) \quad f(i/N) = y_i \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 28A78, 28A80.

*Key words and phrases*: fractal, Hausdorff dimension, interpolation.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 10371011 and 10071005.

The set  $G$  is called the *attractor* for the IFS  $\{S_i : i \in M\}$ , and the function  $f$  is called a *fractal interpolation function* (briefly, FIF) corresponding to the data  $\{(i/N, y_i) : 0 \leq i \leq N\}$ . The function  $f$  can be constructed by the following method: Let  $f_0(x) \equiv 0$ , and define  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by induction as follows:

$$(5) \quad \left( \frac{i+x}{N}, f_{n+1} \left( \frac{i+x}{N} \right) \right) = S_i(x, f_n(x)), \quad i \in M, x \in [0, 1].$$

Then  $f_n$  is continuous and piecewise linear on  $[0, 1]$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i_1} \dots S_{i_n}(x, y) &= (x, y)T_{i_n} \dots T_{i_1} + \vec{y}_{i_n}T_{i_{n-1}} \dots T_{i_1} + \dots + \vec{y}_{i_2}T_{i_1} + \vec{y}_{i_1} \\ &= ((0.i_1 \dots i_n)_N + N^{-n}x, d(i_1, \dots, i_n)x + c^n y + e(i_1, \dots, i_n)), \\ & \hspace{25em} i_1, \dots, i_n \in M, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(0.i_1 i_2 \dots i_n)_N = \frac{i_1}{N} + \frac{i_2}{N^2} + \dots + \frac{i_n}{N^n}$$

is an  $N$ -adic rational number, and

$$\begin{aligned} d(i_1, \dots, i_n) &= c^{n-1}a_{i_n} + N^{-1}d(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \\ &= c^{n-1}a_{i_n} + N^{-1}c^{n-2}a_{i_{n-1}} + \dots + N^{-(n-1)}a_{i_1}, \\ e(i_1, \dots, i_n) &= c^{n-1}y_{i_n} + N^{-1}i_n d(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) + e(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}), \\ e(i_1) &= y_{i_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(i/N) &= y_i; \\ f_n((0.i_1 \dots i_n)_N + N^{-n}x) &= d(i_1, \dots, i_n)x + e(i_1, \dots, i_n), \\ & \hspace{15em} x \in [0, 1], i_1, \dots, i_n \in M; \\ f_n((0.i_1 \dots i_n)_N + N^{-n}x) - f_{n-1}((0.i_1 \dots i_n)_N + N^{-n}x) \\ &= c^{n-1}(a_{i_n}x + y_{i_n}), \quad x \in [0, 1], i_1, \dots, i_n \in M, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6) \quad |f_n(x) - f_{n-1}(x)| \leq A_1 c^{n-1},$$

where  $A_1 = \max\{|y_0|, |y_1|, \dots, |y_N|\}$ . Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(x) - f_{n-1}(x)).$$

Then  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$ , so  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ ; moreover,  $f$  satisfies (4) and

$$(7) \quad |f(x) - f_n(x)| \leq A_1 c^n (1 - c)^{-1},$$

$$(8) \quad S_i(x, f(x)) = \left( \frac{x+i}{N}, f \left( \frac{x+i}{N} \right) \right),$$

so (2) and (3) hold. The function  $f$  is therefore a FIF corresponding to the data  $\{(i/N, y_i) : 0 \leq x \leq N\}$ .

For  $G = G(f, [0, 1])$ , Barnsley has proved that if  $Nc > 1$ , the box dimension of  $G$  is  $2 + (\log c)(\log N)^{-1}$ . Since the box dimension is not less than the Hausdorff dimension  $\dim_H G$  ([2]), it is important to give a lower bound on the Hausdorff dimension. In this paper, a lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of the graph of the FIF above is obtained. In particular, a lower bound on the Hausdorff dimension of the graph of the Weierstrass function is given.

**THEOREM.** *Let real numbers  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_N, c$  and  $N$  satisfy*

$$(9) \quad y_0 = y_N = 0, \quad 0 < c < 1, \quad 0 < \beta \leq \alpha, \quad Nc > 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \max\{|y_{i+1} - y_i| : 0 \leq i \leq N - 1\}, \\ \beta &= \min\{|y_{i+1} - y_i| : 0 \leq i \leq N - 1\}, \\ 2\gamma &= \max\{|y_{i+1} + y_i - y_{j+1} - y_j| : i, j \in M\}, \\ \delta &= \frac{1}{Nc} \left( \beta - \frac{\alpha}{cN - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(10) \quad 2 + \frac{\log c}{\log N} \geq \dim_H G \geq 1 + \left( 1 + \frac{\log c}{\log N} \right) \frac{\log(mN^{-1})}{\log c}$$

for the graph  $G = G(f, [0, 1])$  of the fractal interpolation function  $f$  such that (2)–(4) hold, where  $m = \min\{N, N_1\}$ , and  $N_1$  is the minimal positive integer not less than  $1 + (\alpha + \gamma)\delta^{-1}$ .

**REMARK 1.** Since the Hausdorff dimension of the graph of a continuous function is not less than 1, we can suppose, in the proof of the Theorem, that  $m = N_1 < N$ .

**REMARK 2.** If  $N$  is an even integer,  $0 < c < 1$ ,  $Nc > 2$ ,  $y_i = 2^{-1}(1 - (-1)^i)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq N$ ), then

$$\alpha = \beta = 1, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \delta = \frac{cN - 2}{cN(cN - 1)}$$

and  $N_1$  is the minimal positive integer not less than  $1 + \delta^{-1} = \frac{(cN)^2 - 2}{cN - 1}$ . The Weierstrass function

$$(11) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c^n g(2^{-1}N^{n+1}x)$$

satisfies (4) and (8), so (2) and (3) hold, where  $g$  is a continuous periodic function with period 1 and  $g(x) = 1 - |2x - 1|$  on  $[0, 1]$ . So (11) is a FIF

corresponding to the data  $\{i/N, (1 - (-1)^i)/2) : 0 \leq i \leq N\}$ , and we obtain the following corollary:

**COROLLARY.** *The inequalities (10) hold for the graph  $G = G(f, [0, 1])$  of the Weierstrass function  $f$  defined by (11).*

**REMARK 3.** In the Corollary, if  $c = N^{s-2}$  and  $2 > s > 1 + \log 4/\log N$ , then

$$s \geq \dim_{\mathbb{H}} G \geq s - \frac{s-1}{2-s} \frac{4}{N^{s-1} \log N},$$

which is an improvement of a result in [2].

**2. Proof of the Theorem and Corollary.** To prove the Theorem, we first give some notations: Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a Lebesgue measurable set,  $|E|$  its Lebesgue measure, and let  $G(f, E)$  denote the graph of  $f$  on  $E$ . Let  $I = [a, b]$  be an interval with mid-point  $O(I) = 2^{-1}(a + b)$ . Let

$$I(i_1, \dots, i_n) = [(0.i_1 \dots i_n)_N, (0.i_1 \dots i_{n-1}(1 + i_n))_N]$$

denote an  $N$ -adic rational interval, where  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in M$ . Let  $\text{sign } x$  denote the sign of  $x$ ,  $[x]$  the integer part of  $x$ , and  $\#F$  the cardinality of the set  $F$ .

*Proof of the Theorem.* First, we can suppose that  $m < N$  (see Remark 1). For any  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in M$ , we have

$$(12) \quad Nc^n \delta < d(i_1, \dots, i_n) \text{sign } a_{i_n} < \frac{\alpha c^n N}{Nc - 1}$$

and

$$(13) \quad f_{n+1}(O(I(i_1, \dots, i_n, i'))) - f_{n+1}(O(I(i_1, \dots, i_n, i))) \\ = 2^{-1}c^n(y_{i'+1} + y_{i'} - y_{i+1} - y_i) + N^{-1}(i' - i)d(i_1, \dots, i_n).$$

Let

$$N_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_i > 0, \\ N - 1 & \text{if } a_i < 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $y_0 = y_N = 0$ , we obtain

$$y_{N_{i+1}} + y_{N_i} - a_{N_i} \text{sign } a_i = 0, \\ y_{N-N_i} + y_{N-1-N_i} - a_{N-1-N_i} \text{sign } a_i = 0$$

and, by (13),

$$\inf f_{n+1}(I(i_1, \dots, i_n, i)) - \inf f_n(I(i_1, \dots, i_n)) \\ = N^{-1}(|i - N_{i_n}| + 1/2)|d(i_1, \dots, i_n)| \\ + 2^{-1}c^n(y_{i+1} + y_i) - 2^{-1}|d(i_1, \dots, i_n, i)|,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup f_{n+1}(I(i_1, \dots, i_n, i)) - \sup f_n(I(i_1, \dots, i_n)) \\ &= -N^{-1}(|i - N + 1 + N_{i_n}| + 1/2)|d(i_1, \dots, i_n)| \\ & \quad + 2^{-1}c^n(y_{i+1} + y_i) + 2^{-1}|d(i_1, \dots, i_n, i)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $i'_1, \dots, i'_{i_n}, i', i_1, \dots, i_n, i \in M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf f_{n+1}(I(i'_1, \dots, i'_{i_n}, i')) - \sup f_{n+1}(I(i_1, \dots, i_n, i)) \\ & \geq \inf f_n(I(i'_1, \dots, i'_{i_n})) - \sup f_n(I(i_1, \dots, i_n)) \\ & \quad + N^{-1}(|i' - N_{i'_n}| + |i - N + 1 + N_{i_n}|)c^n\delta - c^n(\gamma + \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

so, for  $k \geq 1$ , if  $i, i + km \in M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad & \inf f_{n+1}(I(i_1, \dots, i_n, N_{i_n} + (i + km) \text{sign } a_{i_n})) \\ & \quad - \sup f_{n+1}(I(i_1, \dots, i_n, N_{i_n} + i \text{sign } a_{i_n})) \\ & > c^n((km - 1)\delta - (\gamma + \alpha)) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$(15) \quad \inf f_n(I(i'_1, \dots, i'_{i_n})) - \sup f_n(I(i_1, \dots, i_n)) \geq 0$$

and

$$|i' - N_{i'_n}| + |i - N + 1 + N_{i_n}| \geq m - 1,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} (16) \quad & \inf f_{n+1}(I(i'_1, \dots, i'_{i_n}, i')) - \sup f_{n+1}(I(i_1, \dots, i_n, i)) \\ & > (|i' - N_{i'_n}| + |i - N + 1 + N_{i_n}|)c^n\delta - c^n(\gamma + \alpha) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} & F(i_1, \dots, i_n, p_1, b) \\ &= \{i_{n+1} : ([0, 1] \times \{b\}) \cap G(f_{n+1}, I(i_1, \dots, i_n, i_{n+1})) \neq \emptyset, \\ & \quad i_{n+1} = N_{i_n} + (p_1 + km) \text{sign } a_{i_n}, k = 0, 1, \dots, k_1\} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in M$ ,  $0 \leq p_1 \leq m - 1$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $k_1 = [(N - p_1 - 1)m^{-1}]$ . Then, by (14),

$$\#F(i_1, \dots, i_n, p_1, b) \leq 1,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{i_{n+1} : ([0, 1] \times \{b\}) \cap G(f_{n+1}, I(i_1, \dots, i_n, i_{n+1})) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \left( \bigcup_{p_1=0}^{m-1} F(i_1, \dots, i_n, p_1, b) \right) \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, let

$$\begin{aligned}
 &F(i_1, \dots, i_n, p_1, p_2, b) \\
 &= \{(i_{n+1}, i_{n+2}) : ([0, 1] \times \{b\}) \cap G(f_{n+2}, I(i_1, \dots, i_n, i_{n+1}, i_{n+2})) \neq \emptyset, \\
 &\quad i_{n+1} = N_{i_n} + (p_1 + km) \operatorname{sign} a_{i_n}, \\
 &\quad i_{n+2} = N_{i_{n+1}} + (p_2(k) + jm) \operatorname{sign} a_{i_{n+1}}, \\
 &\quad k, j = 0, 1, \dots, k_1\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in M$ ,  $0 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq m - 1$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$p_2(0) = p_2, \quad p_2(k + 1) = N - 1 - (p_2(k) + k_1m).$$

Then, by (14)–(16),

$$\#F(i_1, \dots, i_n, p_1, p_2, b) \leq 1,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\#\{(i_{n+1}, i_{n+2}) : ([0, 1] \times \{b\}) \cap G(f_{n+2}, I(i_1, \dots, i_n, i_{n+1}, i_{n+2})) \neq \emptyset\} \\
 &= \left( \bigcup_{p_1, p_2=0}^{m-1} F(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, p_1, b) \right) \leq m^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction,

$$\#\{(i_{n+1}, \dots, i_{n+l}) : ([0, 1] \times \{b\}) \cap G(f_{n+l}, I(i_1, \dots, i_{n+l})) \neq \emptyset\} \leq m^l.$$

Let

$$P(a, b, \varepsilon) = \{x \in [0, 1] : (x, f(x)) \in ([a, a + \varepsilon] \times [b, b + \varepsilon]) \cap G(f, [0, 1])\}$$

for  $\varepsilon \in (0, N^{-1})$ ,  $a \in [0, 1 - \varepsilon]$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that

$$N^{-n-1} < \varepsilon \leq N^{-n}, \quad N^{-n-1} < c^{n+l} \leq N^{-n}, \quad a \in I(i_1, \dots, i_n).$$

Then, for  $x \in P(a, b, \varepsilon)$ , we have

$$x \in I(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, i_n) \cup I(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 1 + i_n),$$

$$|f_{n+l}(x) - b| \leq \varepsilon + A_1(1 - c)^{-1}c^{n+l} \leq (1 + A_1(1 - c)^{-1})N^{-n} = A_2N^{-n}.$$

Since

$$|f_{n+l}(I(i_1, \dots, i_{n+l}))| = |d(i_1, \dots, i_{n+l})| \geq c^{n+l}N\delta \geq N^{-n}\delta,$$

it is clear that if  $x \in P(a, b, \varepsilon) \cap I(i_1, \dots, i_{n+l})$ , then

$$T \cap G(f_{n+l}, I(i_1, \dots, i_{n+l})) \neq \emptyset,$$

where

$$T = \bigcup_{p=-k_2}^{k_2} [0, 1] \times \{b + p\delta N^{-n}\}, \quad k_2 = [2 + A_2\delta^{-1}].$$

Since

$$\#\{I : T \cap G(f_{n+l}, I) \neq \emptyset, I = I(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, i_n, \dots, i_{n+l}), \\ i_n, \dots, i_{n+l} \in M\} \leq A_3 m^l,$$

where  $A_3 = 2N(2k_2 + 1) + N$ , we obtain

$$|P(a, b, \varepsilon)| \leq A_3 m^l N^{-n-l} \leq A_3 N^s \varepsilon^s,$$

where

$$s = \frac{\log m}{\log N} + \frac{\log(mN^{-1})}{\log c} = 1 + \left(1 + \frac{\log c}{\log N}\right) \frac{\log(mN^{-1})}{\log c}.$$

Frostman's Lemma in [3] implies that (10) holds. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

*Proof of the Corollary.* Since the Weierstrass function  $f$  satisfies

$$f\left(\frac{i+x}{N}\right) = (-1)^i + \frac{1 - (-1)^i}{2} + cf(x)$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ , it follows that (4) and (8) hold for  $y_i = 2^{-1}(1 + (-1)^i)$ , so (2) and (3) hold, and the inequalities (10) hold. This completes the proof of the Corollary.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Barnsley, *Fractals Everywhere*, Academic Press, London, 1988.
- [2] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry—Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [3] J.-P. Kahane, *Some Random Series of Functions*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1985.

Department of Mathematics  
 Beijing Normal University  
 100875 Beijing, P.R. China  
 E-mail: denggt@bnu.edu.cn

*Received 28 September 2001;  
 revised 15 April 2004*

(4112)