

VECTOR-VALUED INVARIANT MEANS ON SPACES OF
BOUNDED LINEAR MAPS

BY

MAHSHID DASHTI (Isfahan and Malayer),
RASOUL NASR-ISFAHANI (Isfahan and Tehran) and
SIMA SOLTANI RENANI (Isfahan)

Abstract. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let \mathcal{M} be a W^* -algebra. For a homomorphism Φ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , we introduce and study \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -means on the space of bounded linear maps from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} . We establish several characterizations of existence of an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -mean on $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. We also study the relation between existence of an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -mean on $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ and amenability of \mathcal{A} . Finally, for a character ϕ of \mathcal{A} , we give some descriptions for ϕ -amenability of \mathcal{A} in terms of \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -means.

1. Introduction. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, and let \mathcal{Y} be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. Then a linear map $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a *derivation* if

$$D(ab) = D(a) \cdot b + a \cdot D(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

For example, let $\zeta \in \mathcal{Y}$, and set

$$D_\zeta(a) = a \cdot \zeta - \zeta \cdot a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Then D_ζ is a derivation; these are *inner derivations*. The Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called *amenable* if every continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^*$ is an inner derivation, for all Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodules \mathcal{Y} ; this important concept was introduced by Johnson [J], where it is proved that the group algebra $L^1(G)$ is amenable precisely when the locally compact group G is amenable; i.e., there is an invariant mean $m : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Several interesting results have been obtained with the help of invariant means; see for example [BF], [FNS] and [G].

Invariant means on spaces of vector-valued functions on a locally compact group G were first considered by Husain and Wong [HW2]; they studied invariant means on $L^\infty(G, E^*)$ which take values in E^* , the continuous dual

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46H05, 43A07, 46L10.

Key words and phrases: Banach algebra, vector-valued invariant mean, ϕ -amenability, W^* -algebra.

of a separated locally convex space E ; see also [CL], [Di] and [HW1]. In fact, the definition of invariant mean on a space of vector-valued functions reduces to the usual one introduced by Greenleaf [Gr].

On the other hand, for a nonzero character ϕ on a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , the interesting notion of ϕ -amenability of \mathcal{A} was recently introduced and studied by Kaniuth, Lau and Pym [KLP1] and simultaneously by Monfared [M]; see also [DNS], [GNN], [HMT], [KLP2] and [NS]. More precisely, \mathcal{A} is ϕ -amenable if there is an *invariant ϕ -mean* on \mathcal{A}^* , that is, a bounded linear functional $m : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\langle m, \phi \rangle = 1$ and $\langle m, f \cdot a \rangle = \langle m, f \rangle \langle \phi, a \rangle$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$, where $f \cdot a \in \mathcal{A}^*$ is defined by $\langle f \cdot a, b \rangle = \langle f, ab \rangle$ for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$. This is a considerable generalization of the concept of left amenability for a Lau algebra; the class of Lau algebras was introduced and studied by Lau [L1], who called them F-algebras; see also [DNN], [L2] and [LW]. Examples of Lau algebras include the group algebra and measure algebra of a locally compact group or hypergroup, as well as the Fourier algebra and the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra of a topological group; see also [L1] and [LL].

In this paper, for a W^* -algebra \mathcal{M} , we introduce and study \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -means on spaces of bounded linear maps from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} associated to a bounded nonzero homomorphism Φ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} .

2. Preliminaries. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let \mathcal{M} be a W^* -algebra with identity element u . Let us denote by $\Delta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ the set of all bounded nonzero homomorphisms from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} and by $\Delta_u(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ the subset of all elements in $\Delta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ whose image contains u . It is clear that $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$, the spectrum of \mathcal{A} , is just $\Delta(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ which is equal to $\Delta_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$.

For each Banach right \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{X} , let $B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ denote the Banach space of all bounded linear maps from \mathcal{X} into \mathcal{M} . For each $\Phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \cup \{0\}$, we denote by $B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$ the Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule $B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ with the module actions

$$(S \cdot a)(\xi) = S(\xi)\Phi(a) \quad \text{and} \quad (a \cdot S)(\xi) = S(\xi \cdot a),$$

for all $S \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$.

Moreover, let us remark that $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ is a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule with the actions of \mathcal{A} on $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ given by $(T \cdot a)(b) = T(ab)$ and $(a \cdot T)(b) = T(ba)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$; see Dales [D] for more details.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let \mathcal{M} be a W^* -algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} has a bounded right approximate identity.
- (ii) Any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_0)$ is inner for all Banach right \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{X} .

Proof. First, we note that $B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $(\mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{L})^*$, where \mathcal{L} is the unique predual of \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{L}$ is the projective tensor product of \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{L} ; see for example [D].

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that \mathcal{A} has a bounded right approximate identity (e_α) , and let \mathcal{X} be a Banach right \mathcal{A} -module. If $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_0)$ is a continuous derivation, then

$$D(ab) = a \cdot D(b)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, and $(D(e_\alpha))$ can be considered as a net in $(\mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{L})^*$. So, there exists a subnet $(D(e_\beta))$ of $(D(e_\alpha))$ such that $D(e_\beta) \xrightarrow{w^*} S$ for some $S \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$. On the one hand, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, we have

$$\langle a \cdot D(e_\beta), \xi \otimes \lambda \rangle = \langle D(e_\beta), \xi \cdot a \otimes \lambda \rangle = \langle S, \xi \cdot a \otimes \lambda \rangle = \langle a \cdot S, \xi \otimes \lambda \rangle.$$

It follows that $a \cdot D(e_\beta) \xrightarrow{w^*} a \cdot S$. On the other hand,

$$\|D(ae_\beta) - D(a)\| \leq \|D\| \|ae_\beta - a\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore,

$$a \cdot D(e_\beta) = D(ae_\beta) \xrightarrow{w^*} D(a).$$

So, $D(a) = a \cdot S$. Consequently, $D = D_S$ is inner.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Define the map $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}_0)$ by

$$D(a)(T) = T(a)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. It is easy to check that

$$D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}_0)$$

is a continuous derivation. By assumption, there is \mathbf{m} in $B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}_0)$ such that $D(a) = a \cdot \mathbf{m}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let u be the identity element of \mathcal{M} and let λ_0 be an element in \mathcal{L} such that $\langle u, \lambda_0 \rangle = 1$. Let E be a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{A}^* defined by

$$\langle E, f \rangle = \langle \mathbf{m}(f \otimes u), \lambda_0 \rangle$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$, where $f \otimes u$ is the element in $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ defined by

$$\langle (f \otimes u)(a), \lambda \rangle = \langle f, a \rangle \langle u, \lambda \rangle$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$. For each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ((f \otimes u) \cdot a)(b), \lambda \rangle &= \langle (f \otimes u)(ab), \lambda \rangle = \langle f, ab \rangle \langle u, \lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle f \cdot a, b \rangle \langle u, \lambda \rangle = \langle (f \cdot a \otimes u)(b), \lambda \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a \cdot E, f \rangle &= \langle E, f \cdot a \rangle = \langle \mathbf{m}((f \cdot a) \otimes u), \lambda_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{m}((f \otimes u) \cdot a), \lambda_0 \rangle = \langle (a \cdot \mathbf{m})(f \otimes u), \lambda_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle D(a)((f \otimes u)), \lambda_0 \rangle = \langle (f \otimes u)(a), \lambda_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle f, a \rangle \langle u, \lambda_0 \rangle = \langle f, a \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

That is, E is a right identity for \mathcal{A}^{**} . Now, a standard argument shows that \mathcal{A} has a bounded right approximate identity; see for example [R, Proposition 2.2.1]. ■

3. Vector-valued invariant Φ -means. We commence this section with the main object of the paper.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, let \mathcal{M} be a W^* -algebra with identity element u and let $\Phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. We say that a bounded linear map $\mathbf{m} : B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -mean on $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ if for each $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\mathbf{m}(\Phi) = u \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{m}(T \cdot a) = \mathbf{m}(T)\Phi(a).$$

Our first key result gives a characterization of existence of vector-valued invariant Φ -means.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, let \mathcal{M} be a W^* -algebra and let $\Phi \in \Delta_u(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. Suppose that any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$ is inner for all Banach right \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{X} . Then there exists an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -mean on $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{C}\Phi$ is a closed \mathcal{A} -submodule of $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$, we can consider the quotient space $\mathcal{X} = B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})/\mathbb{C}\Phi$. Let π be the canonical mapping of $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ onto \mathcal{X} , and define the \mathcal{A} -module monomorphism

$$\pi' : B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi) \rightarrow B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$$

by

$$\pi'(S)(T) = S(\pi(T))$$

for all $S \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$ and $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. Since $\Phi \in \Delta_u(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$, there exists an element $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Phi(a_0) = u$. If $\mathbf{m}_0 \in B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M})$ is given by $\mathbf{m}_0(T) = T(a_0)$ for all $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$, then $\mathbf{m}_0(\Phi) = u$. Consider the inner derivation

$$D_{\mathbf{m}_0} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}_\Phi),$$

and note that $D_{\mathbf{m}_0}(a)(\Phi) = 0$. Now, define the map $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$ by $D(a)(\pi(T)) = D_{\mathbf{m}_0}(a)(T)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$; this means that $\pi' \circ D = D_{\mathbf{m}_0}$. The fact that π' is a monomorphism shows that $D(a)$ is a unique element of $B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and that D is a continuous

derivation. By assumption, D is inner and so there exists $S_0 \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$ with $D = D_{S_0}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot \pi'(S_0) - \pi'(S_0) \cdot a &= \pi'(a \cdot S_0 - S_0 \cdot a) = \pi'(D(a)) \\ &= D_{\mathbf{m}_0}(a) = a \cdot \mathbf{m}_0 - \mathbf{m}_0 \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\mathbf{m} := \mathbf{m}_0 - \pi'(S_0)$. Then

$$\mathbf{m} \in B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}),$$

$\mathbf{m}(\Phi) = u$ and $a \cdot \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m} \cdot a$, and thus

$$\mathbf{m}(T \cdot a) = (a \cdot \mathbf{m})(T) = (\mathbf{m} \cdot a)(T) = \mathbf{m}(T)\Phi(a)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. ■

In the next result, we prove the converse of Proposition 3.2, just for certain $\Phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let \mathcal{M} be a W^* -algebra. For each epimorphism $\Phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *Any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$ is inner for all Banach right \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{X} .*
- (ii) *There is an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -mean on $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$.*

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Proposition 3.2. To prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i), suppose that \mathbf{m} is an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -mean on $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach right \mathcal{A} -module and let $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$ be an arbitrary continuous derivation. Then D defines the bounded linear map $D' : B(B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi), \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ by

$$D'(A)(a) = A(D(a))$$

for all $A \in B(B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi), \mathcal{M})$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let also $\Upsilon : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B(B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi), \mathcal{M})$ denote the bounded linear map satisfying

$$\Upsilon(\xi)(S) = S(\xi)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ and $S \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$. Now, define $(D' \circ \Upsilon)' : B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$ by

$$(D' \circ \Upsilon)'(\mathbf{n})(\xi) = \mathbf{n}((D' \circ \Upsilon)(\xi))$$

for all $\mathbf{n} \in B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M})$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$. Set $S_0 := -(D' \circ \Upsilon)'(\mathbf{m}) \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$. To end this part, we will show $D = D_{S_0}$. Since D is a derivation,

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
D' \circ \Upsilon(\xi \cdot a)(b) &= \Upsilon(\xi \cdot a)(D(b)) \\
&= D(b)(\xi \cdot a) \\
&= (a \cdot D(b))(\xi) \\
&= D(ab)(\xi) - (D(a) \cdot b)(\xi) \\
&= \Upsilon(\xi)(D(ab)) - D(a)(\xi)\Phi(b) \\
&= D' \circ \Upsilon(\xi)(ab) - D(a)(\xi)\Phi(b) \\
&= (D' \circ \Upsilon(\xi) \cdot a)(b) - D(a)(\xi)\Phi(b)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$. Thus

$$D' \circ \Upsilon(\xi \cdot a) = D' \circ \Upsilon(\xi) \cdot a - D(a)(\xi)\Phi,$$

where $D(a)(\xi)\Phi \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ is defined by

$$(D(a)(\xi)\Phi)(b) = D(a)(\xi)\Phi(b)$$

for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\Phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ is an epimorphism, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists an element $x_{a,\xi} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Phi(x_{a,\xi}) = D(a)(\xi)$. Therefore

$$(\Phi \cdot x_{a,\xi})(b) = \Phi(x_{a,\xi}b) = \Phi(x_{a,\xi})\Phi(b) = D(a)(\xi)\Phi(b)$$

for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus $\Phi \cdot x_{a,\xi} = D(a)(\xi)\Phi$. Furthermore, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(a \cdot S_0)(\xi) &= -(D' \circ \Upsilon)'(\mathbf{m})(\xi \cdot a) \\
&= -\mathbf{m}((D' \circ \Upsilon)(\xi \cdot a)) \\
&= \mathbf{m}(D(a)(\xi)\Phi) - \mathbf{m}((D' \circ \Upsilon)(\xi) \cdot a) \\
&= \mathbf{m}(\Phi \cdot x_{a,\xi}) - \mathbf{m}((D' \circ \Upsilon)(\xi))\Phi(a) \\
&= \mathbf{m}(\Phi)\Phi(x_{a,\xi}) - \mathbf{m}((D' \circ \Upsilon)(\xi))\Phi(a) \\
&= D(a)(\xi) + S_0(\xi)\Phi(a),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $D(a) = a \cdot S_0 - S_0\Phi(a)$. Combining this with the equation $S_0 \cdot a = S_0\Phi(a)$, we obtain

$$D(a) = a \cdot S_0 - S_0 \cdot a = D_{S_0}(a)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Consequently, D is inner. ■

The following result describes interaction between existence of a vector-valued invariant Φ -mean and amenability of Banach algebras.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, let \mathcal{M} be a commutative W^* -algebra and let $\Phi \in \Delta_u(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. If \mathcal{A} is amenable, then there is an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -mean on $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is amenable. Then for each Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule \mathcal{X} , any continuous derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{X}^* is inner. Let \mathcal{L} denote the predual of \mathcal{M} and note that $(\mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{L})^* = B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ as Banach spaces. Since \mathcal{M} is a commutative W^* -algebra, the projective tensor product $\mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{L}$ with the module operations restricted from the dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule $B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)^*$ is a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. It follows that any continuous derivation from \mathcal{A} into $B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_\Phi)$ is inner. Now, by Proposition 3.2, we conclude that there exists an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -mean on $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. ■

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $L^1(G)$ be the group algebra of G , so that $L^1(G)$ is a Banach algebra for the convolution product defined by

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x) dy \quad (x \in G)$$

for $f, g \in L^1(G)$. By the *measure algebra* $M(G)$ of G , we mean the Banach algebra of bounded regular Borel measures on G ; see [HR]. Let \mathcal{M} be a W^* -algebra. Each $\Phi \in \Delta_u(L^1(G), \mathcal{M})$ has the extension $\tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_u(M(G), \mathcal{M})$ defined by $\tilde{\Phi}(\mu) := \Phi(\mu * f_0)$ for all $\mu \in M(G)$, where $f_0 \in L^1(G)$ with $\Phi(f_0) = u$, and $\mu * f$ is an element in $L^1(G)$ defined by

$$(\mu * f)(x) = \int_G f(y^{-1}x) d\mu(y) \quad (x \in G)$$

for all $f \in L^1(G)$. If $\Psi \in \Delta_u(M(G), \mathcal{M})$ is another extension of Φ , then for each $\mu \in M(G)$, we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\mu) = \Phi(\mu * f_0) = \Psi(\mu * f_0) = \Psi(\mu)\Psi(f_0) = \Psi(\mu).$$

As a consequence, we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let G be a locally compact group and let \mathcal{M} be a W^* -algebra. Let $\Phi \in \Delta_u(L^1(G), \mathcal{M})$ and $\tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_u(M(G), \mathcal{M})$ be the unique extension of Φ . If there is an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -mean on $B(L^1(G), \mathcal{M})$, then there exists an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant $\tilde{\Phi}$ -mean on $B(M(G), \mathcal{M})$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{m} be an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -mean on $B(L^1(G), \mathcal{M})$. Choose $f_0 \in L^1(G)$ such that $\Phi(f_0) = u$. For each $T \in B(M(G), \mathcal{M})$, define $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}(T) = \mathbf{m}(T|_{L^1(G)})$. We show that $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}$ is an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant $\tilde{\Phi}$ -mean on $B(M(G), \mathcal{M})$. To this end, note that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{m}}(\tilde{\Phi}) = \mathbf{m}(\tilde{\Phi}|_{L^1(G)}) = \mathbf{m}(\Phi) = u.$$

Moreover, for each $T \in B(M(G), \mathcal{M})$ and $\mu \in M(G)$ we have

$$(T \cdot \mu)|_{L^1(G)} \cdot f_0 = T|_{L^1(G)} \cdot (\mu * f_0).$$

Since $\Phi(f_0) = u$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}(T \cdot \mu) &= \mathbf{m}((T \cdot \mu)|_{L^1(G)}) = \mathbf{m}((T \cdot \mu)|_{L^1(G)})\Phi(f_0) \\ &= \mathbf{m}((T \cdot \mu)|_{L^1(G)} \cdot f_0) = \mathbf{m}(T|_{L^1(G)} \cdot (\mu * f_0)) \\ &= \mathbf{m}(T|_{L^1(G)})\tilde{\Phi}(\mu * f_0) = \mathbf{m}(T|_{L^1(G)})\tilde{\Phi}(\mu)\tilde{\Phi}(f_0) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{m}}(T)\tilde{\Phi}(\mu), \end{aligned}$$

as required. ■

The following example shows that the converse of Proposition 3.4 is not true.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let G be a nondiscrete amenable locally compact group and let \mathcal{M} be a commutative W^* -algebra. Then $L^1(G)$ is amenable, and by Proposition 3.4, there is an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant Φ -mean on $B(L^1(G), \mathcal{M})$ for all $\Phi \in \Delta_u(L^1(G), \mathcal{M})$. Moreover, by Proposition 3.5, there exists an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant $\tilde{\Phi}$ -mean on $B(M(G), \mathcal{M})$, where $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a unique extension of Φ . However $M(G)$ is not amenable; see [DGH].

4. Characterizations of ϕ -amenability. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let \mathcal{M} be a W^* -algebra with identity u . For $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, we define the map $\phi u \in \Delta_u(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ by $(\phi u)(a) = \langle \phi, a \rangle u$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. In this section, we give two descriptions of ϕ -amenability of \mathcal{A} in terms of vector-valued invariant ϕu -means.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, let $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and let \mathcal{M} be a W^* -algebra. Then \mathcal{A} is ϕ -amenable if and only if there exists an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant ϕu -mean on $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$.*

Proof. First, suppose that \mathcal{A} is ϕ -amenable. Then there exists an element $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ with

$$\langle m, \phi \rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle m, f \cdot a \rangle = \langle m, f \rangle \langle \phi, a \rangle$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Let \mathcal{L} be the unique predual of the W^* -algebra \mathcal{M} . Fix $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\langle u, \lambda_0 \rangle = 1$, and let $\hat{\lambda}_0 \in \mathcal{M}^*$ be defined by $\langle \hat{\lambda}_0, \omega \rangle = \langle \omega, \lambda_0 \rangle$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$. Define $\mathbf{m} : B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by

$$\mathbf{m}(T) = \langle m, \hat{\lambda}_0 \circ T \rangle u$$

for all $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\langle \hat{\lambda}_0 \circ (\phi u), a \rangle = \langle \hat{\lambda}_0, \langle \phi, a \rangle u \rangle = \langle \phi, a \rangle \langle \hat{\lambda}_0, u \rangle = \langle \phi, a \rangle.$$

So, $\mathbf{m}(\phi u) = \langle m, \phi \rangle u = u$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\lambda}_0 \circ (T \cdot a), b \rangle &= \langle \hat{\lambda}_0, (T \cdot a)(b) \rangle = \langle T(ab), \lambda_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{\lambda}_0 \circ T, ab \rangle = \langle (\hat{\lambda}_0 \circ T) \cdot a, b \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{m}(T \cdot a) = \langle m, \widehat{\lambda}_0 \circ (T \cdot a) \rangle u = \langle m, \widehat{\lambda}_0 \circ T \rangle \langle \phi, a \rangle u = \mathbf{m}(T)(\phi u)(a)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. Thus \mathbf{m} is an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant ϕu -mean.

For the converse, suppose that $\mathbf{m} \in B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M})$ is an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant ϕu -mean and define the map $\Lambda : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ by

$$\Lambda(f)(a) = \langle f, a \rangle u$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We claim that $\widehat{\lambda}_0 \circ \mathbf{m} \circ \Lambda \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ is an invariant ϕ -mean. To prove this, for each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$, we note that

$$\Lambda(f \cdot a)(b) = \langle f \cdot a, b \rangle u = \langle f, ab \rangle u = \Lambda(f)(ab) = (\Lambda(f) \cdot a)(b).$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{\lambda}_0 \circ \mathbf{m} \circ \Lambda, f \cdot a \rangle &= \langle \widehat{\lambda}_0 \circ \mathbf{m}, \Lambda(f) \cdot a \rangle = \langle \widehat{\lambda}_0, \mathbf{m}(\Lambda(f) \cdot a) \rangle \\ &= \langle \widehat{\lambda}_0, \mathbf{m}(\Lambda(f)) \rangle \langle \phi, a \rangle u = \langle \phi, a \rangle \langle \widehat{\lambda}_0, \mathbf{m}(\Lambda(f)) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi, a \rangle \langle \widehat{\lambda}_0 \circ \mathbf{m} \circ \Lambda, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\langle \widehat{\lambda}_0 \circ \mathbf{m} \circ \Lambda, \phi \rangle = 1$; indeed,

$$\langle \widehat{\lambda}_0 \circ \mathbf{m} \circ \Lambda, \phi \rangle = \langle \widehat{\lambda}_0 \circ \mathbf{m}, \phi u \rangle = \langle \widehat{\lambda}_0, u \rangle = 1.$$

Thus \mathcal{A} is ϕ -amenable. ■

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let \mathcal{M} be a W^* -algebra. For $\phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is ϕ -amenable.
- (ii) Any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(B(\ker(\phi), \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}_{\phi u})$ is inner.
- (iii) Any continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_{\phi u})$ is inner for all Banach right \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{X} .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (iii) follows from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 3.3. Moreover (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is trivial.

To prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i), define the map $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(B(\ker(\phi), \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}_{\phi u})$ by

$$D(a)(\Xi) = \Xi(ab - \langle \phi, a \rangle b)$$

for all $\Xi \in B(\ker(\phi), \mathcal{M})$. Then D is a continuous derivation. So, by (ii), there exists $\mathbf{n} \in B(B(\ker(\phi), \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}_{\phi u})$ such that $D = D_{\mathbf{n}}$. Choose $b \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\langle \phi, b \rangle = 1$. Define $\mathbf{m} \in B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M})$ by $\mathbf{m}(T) = T(b) - \mathbf{n}(T|_{\ker(\phi)})$ for all $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$. Then

$$\mathbf{m}(\phi u) = (\phi u)(b) - \mathbf{n}((\phi u)|_{\ker(\phi)}) = u;$$

furthermore, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}(T \cdot a) &= (T \cdot a)(b) - \mathbf{n}(T_0 \cdot a) \\ &= (T \cdot a)(b) - T_0(ab - \langle \phi, a \rangle b) - (\mathbf{n} \cdot a)(T_0) \\ &= \langle \phi, a \rangle T(b) - (\mathbf{n} \cdot a)(T_0) \\ &= \langle \phi, a \rangle T(b) - \mathbf{n}(T_0) \langle \phi, a \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi, a \rangle \mathbf{m}(T), \end{aligned}$$

where $T_0 = T|_{\ker(\phi)}$. So, $\mathbf{m} \in B(B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M})$ is an \mathcal{M} -valued invariant ϕu -mean. Consequently, \mathcal{A} is ϕ -amenable by Proposition 4.1. ■

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for invaluable comments. This research was supported in part by a grant from IPM (No. 91430417). It was partially carried out at the IPM-Isfahan Branch.

REFERENCES

- [BF] J. W. Baker and M. Filali, *Minimal ideals in group algebras and their biduals*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 120 (1996), 475–488.
- [CL] C. Chou and A. T. Lau, *Vector-valued invariant means on spaces of bounded operators associated to a locally compact group*, Illinois J. Math. 45 (2001), 581–602.
- [D] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. 24, Oxford Sci. Publ., Clarendon Press, New York, 2000.
- [DGH] H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani and A. Ya. Helemskii, *The amenability of measure algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 66 (2002), 213–226.
- [DNS] M. Dashti, R. Nasr-Isfahani and S. Soltani Renani, *Character amenability of Lipschitz algebra*, Canad. Math. Bull. (2012), to appear.
- [DNN] S. Desaulniers, R. Nasr-Isfahani and M. Nemati, *Common fixed point properties and amenability of a class of Banach algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 402 (2013), 536–544.
- [Di] J. Dixmier, *Les moyennes invariantes dans les semi-groupes et leur applications*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 12 (1950), 213–227.
- [FNS] M. Filali, M. Neufang and M. Sangani Monfared, *On ideals in the bidual of the Fourier algebra and related algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010), 3117–3133.
- [GNN] E. Ghaderi, R. Nasr-Isfahani and M. Nemati, *Some notions of amenability for certain products of Banach algebras*, Colloq. Math. 130 (2013), 147–157.
- [G] E. E. Granirer, *Geometric and topological properties of certain w^* compact convex sets which arise from the study of invariant means*, Canad. J. Math. 37 (1985), 107–121.
- [Gr] F. P. Greenleaf, *Invariant Means on Topological Groups and their Applications*, Van Nostrand Math. Stud. 16, Van Nostrand, New York, 1969.
- [HR] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer, Berlin, 1963.
- [HMT] Z. Hu, M. S. Monfared and T. Traynor, *On character amenable Banach algebras*, Studia Math. 193 (2009), 53–78.
- [HW1] T. Husain and J. C. S. Wong, *Invariant means on vector valued functions I*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) 27 (1973), 717–727.

- [HW2] T. Husain and J. C. S. Wong, *Invariant means on vector valued functions II*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) 27 (1973), 729–742.
- [J] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1972).
- [KLP1] E. Kaniuth, A. T.-M. Lau and J. Pym, *On ϕ -amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 144 (2008), 85–96.
- [KLP2] E. Kaniuth, A. T.-M. Lau and J. Pym, *On character amenability of Banach algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 344 (2008), 942–955.
- [L1] A. T.-M. Lau, *Analysis on a class of Banach algebras with applications to harmonic analysis on locally compact groups and semigroups*, Fund. Math. 118 (1983), 161–175.
- [L2] A. T.-M. Lau, *Uniformly continuous functionals on Banach algebras*, Colloq. Math. 51 (1987), 195–205.
- [LL] A. T.-M. Lau and J. Ludwig, *Fourier–Stieltjes algebra of a topological group*, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), 2000–2023.
- [LW] A. T.-M. Lau and J. C. S. Wong, *Invariant subspaces for algebras of linear operators and amenable locally compact groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1988), 581–586.
- [M] M. S. Monfared, *Character amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 144 (2008), 697–706.
- [NS] R. Nasr-Isfahani and S. Soltani Renani, *Character contractibility of Banach algebras and homological properties of Banach modules*, Studia Math. 202 (2011), 205–225.
- [R] V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1774, Springer, Berlin, 2002.

Mahshid Dashti
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Isfahan University of Technology
84156-83111 Isfahan, Iran
and
Department of Mathematics
Malayer University
65719-95863 Malayer, Hamedan, Iran
E-mail: m.dashti@math.iut.ac.ir,
m.dashti@malayeru.ac.ir

Rasoul Nasr-Isfahani
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Isfahan University of Technology
84156-83111 Isfahan, Iran
and
School of Mathematics
Institute for Research
in Fundamental Science (IPM)
19395-5746 Tehran, Iran
E-mail: isfahani@cc.iut.ac.ir

Sima Soltani Renani
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Isfahan University of Technology
84156-83111 Isfahan, Iran
E-mail: simasoltani@cc.iut.ac.ir

Received 22 August 2012;
revised 7 February 2013

(5744)

