

*OSCILLATING MULTIPLIERS ON THE  
HEISENBERG GROUP*

BY

E. K. NARAYANAN and S. THANGAVELU (Bangalore)

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the sublaplacian on the Heisenberg group  $H^n$ . A recent result of Müller and Stein shows that the operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1/2} \sin \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}$  is bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$  for all  $p$  satisfying  $|1/p - 1/2| < 1/(2n)$ . In this paper we show that the same operator is bounded on  $L^p$  in the bigger range  $|1/p - 1/2| < 1/(2n - 1)$  if we consider only functions which are band limited in the central variable.

**1. Introduction and main results.** Consider the Heisenberg group  $H^n = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  with the group law

$$(z, t)(w, s) = \left( z + w, t + s + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} z \cdot \bar{w} \right).$$

The vector fields

$$T = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad X_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{1}{2} y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad Y_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \frac{1}{2} x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

form a basis for the Lie algebra of left invariant vector fields on the Heisenberg group. The operator

$$\mathcal{L} = - \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j^2 + Y_j^2)$$

is called the *sublaplacian*; it plays the same role as the ordinary Laplacian does on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{L}$  is hypoelliptic and represents the simplest example of the subelliptic realm.

The sublaplacian  $\mathcal{L}$  is self-adjoint and nonnegative and hence admits the spectral decomposition

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE_\lambda.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 43A80, 43A22; Secondary 42C10, 22E30.

*Key words and phrases*: Heisenberg group, multipliers, symbols, Fourier transform, Laguerre functions, heat kernels and Bochner–Riesz means.

Research of the first author supported by NBHM, India.

Given a bounded function  $m$  defined on  $(0, \infty)$  one can define the operator  $m(\mathcal{L})$  formally by setting

$$m(\mathcal{L})f = \int_0^{\infty} m(\lambda) dE_{\lambda}f.$$

This operator is clearly bounded on  $L^2(H^n)$  but need not be bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$  for  $p \neq 2$  unless some more conditions are imposed on the multiplier  $m$ . This problem has been studied by several authors and sufficient conditions on  $m$  have been found. See the works [1], [6], [7] and [19]. The optimal result has been proved in Müller–Stein [9] and Hebisch [5].

When  $m(\lambda) = m_s(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1/2} \sin s\sqrt{\lambda}$ , the function  $u(z, t, s)$  defined by

$$u(z, t, s) = m_s(\mathcal{L})f(z, t)$$

solves the Cauchy problem for the wave equation associated with the sublaplacian. Namely,  $u(z, t, s)$  solves the equation

$$\partial_s^2 u(z, t, s) = \mathcal{L}u(z, t, s)$$

with initial conditions

$$u(z, t, 0) = 0, \quad \partial_s u(z, t, 0) = f(z, t).$$

The  $L^p$  boundedness of the operator  $m_s(\mathcal{L})$  has been studied by Müller and Stein in [10], where they have established the following result.

**THEOREM 1.1** (Müller–Stein). *For  $|1/p - 1/2| < 1/(2n)$ , the operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1/2} \sin s\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}$  extends to a bounded operator on  $L^p(H^n)$ .*

The analogue of this theorem for the Euclidean Laplacian has been proved by Peral [15] and Miyachi [8]. Similar multipliers on noncompact symmetric spaces have been studied by Giulini and Meda [3]. Results for the sublaplacian on stratified groups have been obtained by Mauceri and Meda [7]. Recently we have studied the wave equation associated with Hermite and special Hermite expansions in [13]. For certain Schrödinger operators see the work of Zhong [21].

Observe that the multiplier  $m(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1/2} \sin \sqrt{\lambda}$  satisfies the conditions

$$|m^{(j)}(\lambda)| \leq C_j (1 + \lambda^2)^{-1/4 - j/4}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ . Therefore, we are led to consider operators of the form  $m(\mathcal{L})$  when  $m \in S_{\varrho}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$  where the symbol class  $S_{\varrho}^{\alpha}$  consists of all  $C^{\infty}$  functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying the estimates

$$|m^{(j)}(\lambda)| \leq C_j (1 + \lambda^2)^{\alpha/2 - \varrho j/2}$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ . In [13] the  $L^p$  boundedness of operators of the form  $m(P)$  for  $m \in S_{\varrho}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$  has been studied. More generally, the following theorem has been established.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $m \in S_{\varrho}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $m(\lambda) = 0$  for  $|\lambda| \leq 1/2$ . Let  $P$  be a Rockland operator on  $H^n$  which is homogeneous of degree 2. Then  $m(P)$  is bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$  provided  $\alpha > Q(1 - \varrho)|1/p - 1/2|$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , where  $Q = 2n + 2$  is the homogeneous dimension of  $H^n$ .*

In particular, by taking  $P = \mathcal{L}$  and  $m(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1/2} \sin \sqrt{\lambda}$  we see that  $\mathcal{L}^{-1/2} \sin \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}$  is bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$  for  $|1/p - 1/2| < 1/Q$ . We see that the result of Müller and Stein is much stronger than this. The interesting thing to note is that in their result it is not the homogeneous dimension  $2n + 2$  but the Euclidean dimension  $2n + 1$  which restricts the range of  $L^p$  boundedness.

Our aim in this paper is to slightly improve the result of Müller and Stein on the wave equation in the case when  $f$  is band limited in the  $t$ -variable. Let  $L_B^p(H^n)$  stand for those functions  $f$  in  $L^p(H^n)$  for which the partial inverse Fourier transform  $f^\lambda(z)$  in the  $t$ -variable is supported in  $|\lambda| \leq B$ . On this space we have the following improvement of Theorem 1.1.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . The operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1/2} \sin \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}$  is bounded on  $L_B^p(H^n)$  for  $|1/p - 1/2| < 1/(2n - 1)$ .*

More generally, we can consider operators of the form  $\mathcal{L}^{-\alpha/2} J_\alpha(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})$  where  $J_\alpha$  is the Bessel function of order  $\alpha$ .

**THEOREM 1.4.** *The operators  $\mathcal{L}^{-\alpha/2} J_\alpha(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})$  are bounded on  $L_B^p(H^n)$  for  $|1/p - 1/2| < (2\alpha + 1)/(4n - 2)$  provided  $6\alpha \leq 4n - 5$ . Otherwise, they are bounded on  $L_B^p(H^n)$  in the smaller range  $|1/p - 1/2| < (2\alpha + 3)/(4n + 4)$ .*

Note that when  $\alpha = 1/2$ , we have  $\lambda^{-\alpha/2} J_\alpha(\sqrt{\lambda}) = \sqrt{2/\pi} \lambda^{-1/2} \sin \sqrt{\lambda}$  and hence we only need to prove Theorem 1.4.

The operators  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $T$  commute and so they admit a joint spectral decomposition which can be written down explicitly. Let

$$\varphi_k(z) = L_k^{n-1}(|z|^2/2)e^{-|z|^2/4}$$

be the Laguerre functions of type  $n - 1$ . Define

$$e_k^\lambda(z, t) = e^{i\lambda t} \varphi_k^\lambda(z) = e^{i\lambda t} \varphi_k(\sqrt{|\lambda|}z)$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then  $e_k^\lambda(z, t)$  are joint eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $T$ :

$$\mathcal{L}e_k^\lambda(z, t) = (2k + n)|\lambda|e_k^\lambda(z, t), \quad Te_k^\lambda(z, t) = i\lambda e_k^\lambda(z, t).$$

The explicit spectral decomposition of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $T$  studied in great detail by Strichartz [16] and [17] is then written as

$$f(z, t) = c_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f * e_k^\lambda(z, t) \right) |\lambda|^n d\lambda.$$

Given a bounded function  $m(\xi, \eta)$  of two variables we can consider the operator

$$Mf(z, t) = c_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m(k, \lambda) f * e_k^\lambda(z, t) \right) |\lambda|^n d\lambda.$$

One can naturally ask for conditions on  $m(k, \lambda)$  so that  $M$  extends to a bounded operator on  $L^p(H^n)$ .

Recently this problem has received considerable attention. In the papers [11] and [12] Müller, Ricci and Stein have obtained sufficient conditions on  $m(\xi, \eta)$  so that  $M$  is bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$ . More precisely, if  $m(\xi, \eta)$  satisfies the Marcinkiewicz type conditions

$$|(\xi \partial_\xi)^\alpha (\eta \partial_\eta)^\beta m(\xi, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}$$

for sufficiently many derivatives, then  $M$  is bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . In [12] the authors have obtained a sharp Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem where the above conditions are required to hold only for an optimal number of derivatives.

When  $m(k, \lambda) = m((2k + n)|\lambda|)$  the operator  $M$  is nothing but  $m(\mathcal{L})$  and the Marcinkiewicz conditions hold when  $m \in S_1^0(\mathbb{R})$ . In the general case, when  $m \in S_1^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , the corresponding operator  $M$  is bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , as proved in [12]. It is an interesting problem to study the  $L^p$  boundedness of  $M$  when  $m \in S_\rho^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . We plan to return to this problem in the near future.

We now describe how we plan to prove Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.2 given in [13] can be modified to show that the multipliers  $m((2k + n)|\lambda|)$  and  $m((2k + \beta)|\lambda|)$  have the same  $L^p$  boundedness properties when  $m \in S_\rho^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ . In view of this, in order to prove Theorem 1.4 it is enough to consider the multipliers

$$m_r^\alpha(k, \lambda) = b_\alpha((2k + \alpha + 1)|\lambda|r^2)^{-\alpha/2} J_\alpha(\sqrt{(2k + \alpha + 1)|\lambda|r^2})$$

where  $b_\alpha = 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)$  and  $r > 0$  is fixed. Let  $M_r^\alpha$  be the operator defined by

$$M_r^\alpha f = c_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_r^\alpha(k, \lambda) f * e_k^\lambda(z, t) \right) |\lambda|^n d\lambda.$$

We plan to study these operators by first studying the family of operators  $T_r^\alpha$  defined by

$$T_r^\alpha f = c_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k^\alpha(r\sqrt{|\lambda|}) f * e_k^\lambda(z, t) \right) |\lambda|^n d\lambda$$

where

$$\psi_k^\alpha(r) = \frac{\Gamma(k + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)} L_k^\alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}r^2\right) e^{-r^2/4}$$

are the Laguerre functions of type  $\alpha$ .

The operators  $T_r^\alpha$  can be defined even for complex  $\alpha$  as long as  $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq -1/2$ . When  $\alpha = n - 1$  we note that  $T_r^{n-1} f = f * \mu_r$  where  $\mu_r$  is the normalised surface measure on the sphere  $S_r = \{(z, 0) : |z| = r\}$ . Using this and analytic interpolation we obtain

**THEOREM 1.5.** (i) *If  $\alpha > (2n-1)|1/p-1/2|-1/2$ , then  $T_r^\alpha$  are uniformly bounded on  $L_B^p(H^n)$  for  $0 < r \leq 1$ .*

(ii) *If  $\alpha > (2n-4/3)|1/p-1/2|-1/3$ , then  $T_r^\alpha$  are uniformly bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$  for all  $r > 0$ .*

Once we have Theorem 1.5, Theorem 1.4 and hence Theorem 1.3 are proved by comparing the multiplier  $m_r^\alpha(k, \lambda)$  with  $\psi_k^\alpha(\sqrt{|\lambda|r})$ . To this end we make use of a Hilb type asymptotic expansion [18] of the Laguerre polynomials. In the course of the proof we will make use of Theorem 1.2 in dealing with the error terms.

We closely follow the notations employed in [20]. For various results concerning the Heisenberg group we refer the reader to the monographs [2] and [20].

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.5.** As indicated in the introduction we prove Theorem 1.5 by using analytic interpolation. Let  $\mu_r$  be the normalised surface measure on the sphere  $S_r$ . Then it is well known (see [14]) that

$$(2.1) \quad f * \mu_r = c_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k^{n-1}(\sqrt{|\lambda|r}) f * e_k^\lambda \right) |\lambda|^n d\lambda.$$

Now Laguerre functions of different type are related by the formula (see [18])

$$L_k^{\alpha+\beta}(r) = \frac{\Gamma(k+\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)} \int_0^1 s^\alpha (1-s)^{\beta-1} L_k^\alpha(sr) ds,$$

which is valid for  $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \beta > 0$ . Using this we can write, when  $\alpha = n - 1 + \delta + i\sigma$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_k^\alpha(r) &= \frac{\Gamma(k+n+\delta+i\sigma)}{\Gamma(\delta+i\sigma)\Gamma(k+n)} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 s^{n-1} (1-s)^{\delta+i\sigma-1} e^{-(1-s^2)r^2/4} \psi_k^{n-1}(sr) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define an operator  $A_r f$  by

$$(A_r f)^\lambda(z) = e^{-r|\lambda|/4} f^\lambda(z)$$

where  $f^\lambda(z)$  is the partial inverse Fourier transform of  $f(z, t)$  in the

$t$ -variable. We then have the formula

$$(2.3) \quad T_r^\alpha f = \frac{\Gamma(n + \delta + i\sigma)}{\Gamma(\delta + i\sigma)\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 s^{n-1} (1-s)^{\delta+i\sigma-1} T_{rs}^{n-1} A_{(1-s^2)r^2} f ds.$$

Similarly when  $\alpha = -1/2 + \delta + i\sigma$  we have

$$(2.4) \quad T_r^\alpha f = \frac{\Gamma(-1/2 + \delta + i\sigma)}{\Gamma(\delta + i\sigma)\Gamma(-1/2)} \int_0^1 s^{-1/2} (1-s)^{\delta+i\sigma-1} T_{rs}^{-1/2} A_{(1-s^2)r^2} f ds.$$

The operators  $A_r f$  are nothing but the Poisson integrals in the  $t$ -variable and so they are uniformly bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Therefore, from (2.3) we see that

$$\|T_r f\|_p \leq C(\sigma) \|f\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

when  $\alpha = n - 1 + \delta + i\sigma$ . When  $\alpha = -1/2$ , the Laguerre functions  $\psi_k^{-1/2}(r)$  are uniformly bounded in  $k$  as long as  $r$  remains bounded. Let  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(|\lambda| \leq B+1)$  be such that  $\chi(\lambda) = 1$  for  $|\lambda| \leq B$  and define  $\chi(i\partial_t)$  to be the operator

$$(\chi(i\partial_t)f)^\lambda(z) = \chi(\lambda)f^\lambda(z).$$

Then the multiplier corresponding to  $T_r^\alpha \chi(i\partial_t)$  is  $\psi_k^\alpha(\sqrt{|\lambda|r})\chi(\lambda)$ , which is uniformly bounded; that is,

$$|\psi_k^\alpha(\sqrt{|\lambda|r})\chi(\lambda)| \leq C$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . Therefore, by Plancherel's theorem,

$$\|T_r^\alpha \chi(i\partial_t) f\|_2 \leq C_B(\sigma) \|f\|_2$$

when  $\alpha = -1/2 + \delta + i\sigma$ . Using Stirling's formula for the gamma function we can check that  $C(\sigma)$  and  $C_B(\sigma)$  are of admissible growth.

By appealing to Stein's analytic interpolation theorem we obtain

$$\|T_r^\alpha \chi(i\partial_t) f\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p$$

for  $\alpha > (2n - 1)(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ . This proves part (i) of Theorem 1.5. To prove the other part we use the uniform estimate  $|\psi_k^{-1/3}(t)| \leq C$ , which is valid for all  $r > 0$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  (see Szegő [18]). As before, analytic interpolation will prove part (ii).

**3. A variant of Theorem 1.2.** In the next section we will use Theorem 1.5 to study multipliers of the form  $m((2k + \alpha + 1)|\lambda|)$ . However, in order to prove Theorem 1.3 we need to treat multipliers of the form  $m((2k + n)|\lambda|)$ . This can be achieved by comparing these two multipliers.

Taking  $m(t) = t^{-\alpha/2}J_\alpha(t)$  we have the equation

$$m((2k+n)|\lambda) - m((2k+\alpha+1)|\lambda) = |\lambda| \int_{\alpha+1}^n m'((2k+t)|\lambda) dt.$$

Since  $m'(t) = -\frac{1}{2}t^{-(\alpha+1)/2}J_{\alpha+1}(\sqrt{t})$  we have

$$(3.1) \quad m((2k+n)|\lambda) - m((2k+\alpha+1)|\lambda) = c|\lambda| \int_{\alpha+1}^n \frac{J_{\alpha+1}(\sqrt{(2k+t)|\lambda})}{(\sqrt{(2k+t)|\lambda})^{\alpha+1}} dt.$$

Note that  $\lambda^{-(\alpha+1)/2}J_{\alpha+1}(\sqrt{\lambda})$  belongs to the symbol class  $S_{1/2}^{-\alpha/2-3/4}(\mathbb{R})$  whereas  $m(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\alpha/2}J_\alpha(\sqrt{\lambda})$  belongs to  $S_{1/2}^{-\alpha/2-1/4}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Therefore, if we can show that the operators  $J_r^\alpha f$  defined by

$$J_r^\alpha f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{J_{\alpha+1}(\sqrt{(2k+r)|\lambda})}{(\sqrt{(2k+r)|\lambda})^{\alpha+1}} f * e_k^\lambda \right) |\lambda|^n d\lambda$$

are uniformly bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$  for  $2\alpha+3 > 2Q(1/p-1/2)$ ,  $\alpha+1 \leq r \leq n$ , then from (3.1) it will follow that  $m(\mathcal{L})$  is bounded on  $L_B^p(H^n)$  when the multiplier  $m((2k+\alpha+1)|\lambda)$  defines a bounded operator on  $L_B^p(H^n)$ . Thus we require the following variant of Theorem 1.2.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $m \in S_\varrho^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $M_r$  be the operator with the multiplier  $m((2k+r)|\lambda)$  where  $0 < \varepsilon < r < 2n - \varepsilon$ . Then  $M_r$  are uniformly bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$  when  $\alpha > Q(1-\varrho)|1/p-1/2|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $\varphi(\lambda) = 0$  for  $|\lambda| \leq 1/2$  and  $\varphi(\lambda) = 1$  for  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ . Then the multiplier

$$m_1(\xi, \eta) = m((2\xi+r)\eta)(1-\varphi((2\xi+r)\eta))$$

satisfies the conditions

$$\sup_{\xi>0, \eta \in \mathbb{R}} |(\xi\partial_\xi)^j(\eta\partial_\eta)^l m_1(\xi, \eta)| \leq C_{jl}$$

for all  $j$  and  $l$  uniformly in  $r$ . Therefore, by a theorem of Müller, Ricci and Stein (Theorem 2.2 in [12]) the operators with multipliers  $m_1(k, \lambda)$  are uniformly bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . So, it is enough to consider the operator  $\widetilde{M}_r$  with the multiplier  $\widetilde{m}((2k+r)|\lambda)$  where  $\widetilde{m}(\lambda) = m(\lambda)\varphi(\lambda)$ .

Let  $Hf$  be the Hilbert transform of  $f$  in the  $t$ -variable defined by

$$(Hf)^\lambda(z) = -i \operatorname{sgn} \lambda f^\lambda(z).$$

Write  $g = \frac{1}{2}(f+iHf)$  and  $h = \frac{1}{2}(f-iHf)$  so that  $f = g+h$  and  $\|g\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$ ,  $\|h\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$ . Note that  $g^\lambda(z)$  vanishes for  $\lambda < 0$  and  $h^\lambda(z)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . We

have

$$\widetilde{M}_r g = c_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{m}((2k+n)|\lambda| + (r-n)\lambda) g * e_k^\lambda \right) |\lambda|^n d\lambda,$$

which is nothing but  $\widetilde{m}(\mathcal{L} + i(n-r)T)g$ . Similarly,  $\widetilde{M}_r h = \widetilde{m}(\mathcal{L} - i(n-r)T)h$ .

Note that the operators  $\mathcal{L} + i(n-r)T$  and  $\mathcal{L} - i(n-r)T$  are homogeneous of degree 2 and since  $0 < r < 2n$  it is easily verified that they are Rockland operators. Therefore, by appealing to Theorem 1.2 we can conclude that  $\widetilde{m}(\mathcal{L} \pm i(n-r)T)$  are bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$  for  $\alpha > Q(1-\varrho)|1/p - 1/2|$ . As  $\widetilde{M}_r f = \widetilde{M}_r g + \widetilde{M}_r h$  we see that  $\widetilde{M}_r$  is bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$ .

It remains to be shown that the operator norms of  $\widetilde{M}_r$  are uniform in  $r$  as long as  $\varepsilon \leq r \leq 2n - \varepsilon$ . To this end we have to recall the main ideas involved in the proof of Theorem 1.2. In [13] we have treated multipliers for a wide class of operators. If  $P$  is a nonnegative self-adjoint operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for which the kernel  $S_R^\delta(x, y)$  of the Bochner–Riesz mean  $(1 - P/R)_+^\delta$  satisfies an estimate of the form

$$(3.2) \quad |S_R^\delta(x, y)| \leq CR^{n/2}(1 + R^{1/2}|x - y|)^{-\delta + \beta}$$

then an analogue of Theorem 1.2 holds for  $m(P)$ ,  $m \in S_\varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, if we can show that the Bochner–Riesz kernels associated with the operators  $\mathcal{L} \pm i(n-r)T$  satisfy the above estimates with  $C$  independent of  $r$ , then the operators  $\widetilde{M}_r$  will be uniformly bounded.

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  consider the operator  $P_a = \mathcal{L} + iaT$ , which is a Rockland operator as long as  $a$  is admissible. We will show that if  $|a| \leq n - \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then the Bochner–Riesz kernel associated with  $P_a$  satisfies uniform estimates of the form (3.2). To do this we make use of a method developed by Hebisch [3] which only requires uniform estimates on the heat kernel associated with  $P_a$ . In the present case we can easily obtain estimates on the heat kernel.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $p_{s,a}(z, t)$  be the kernel of the operator  $e^{-sP_a}$ ,  $s > 0$ . Then*

$$|p_{s,a}(z, t)| \leq Cs^{-Q/2} e^{-(A/s)(|z|^2 + |t|)}$$

where  $A$  and  $C$  are independent of  $a$  for  $|a| \leq n - \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* By homogeneity it is enough to consider  $s = 1$ . Let us write  $p_{1,a}(z, t) = K_a(z, t)$ . It is well known that the kernel is given by the formula

$$K_a(z, t) = c_n \int k_a(z, t, \lambda) d\lambda$$

where

$$k_a(z, t, \lambda) = e^{-a\lambda} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} \right)^n e^{-\lambda(\coth \lambda)|z|^2/4} e^{i\lambda t}.$$



Note that  $k_a(z, t, \lambda)$  extends to a holomorphic function of  $\lambda$  in the strip  $|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| < \pi/2$ . Hence by Cauchy's theorem

$$K_a(z, t) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_0^{\pi/4} k_a(z, t, -R + i\sigma) d\sigma + \int_{-R}^R k_a\left(z, t, \lambda + i\frac{\pi}{4}\right) d\lambda - \int_0^{\pi/4} k_a(z, t, R + i\sigma) d\sigma \right\}.$$

In the above the first and last integrals go to zero uniformly in  $a$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , provided  $|a| \leq n - \varepsilon$ . Then we get

$$K_a(z, t) = c_n \int k_a\left(z, t, \lambda + i\frac{\pi}{4}\right) d\lambda$$

and from this we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad |K_a(z, t)| \leq C e^{-\pi|t|/4}, \quad t > 0,$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $a$ . The same estimate holds for  $t < 0$  as well. As  $\coth \lambda$  behaves like  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda$  small we easily get the estimate

$$(3.4) \quad |K_a(z, t)| \leq C e^{-|z|^2/4}.$$

The estimates (3.3) and (3.4) put together prove the proposition.

Using the heat kernel estimate proved above and following a method of Hebisch [4] we can obtain uniform estimates on the Bochner–Riesz kernels associated with  $P_a$ . Write  $w = (z, t)$  and let  $|w|$  be the homogeneous norm defined by  $|w|^4 = |z|^4 + |t|^2$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Let  $S_{R,a}^\delta(w)$  be the kernel of the Bochner–Riesz means associated with  $P_a$ . Then for  $|a| \leq n - \varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  large,*

$$|S_{R,a}^\delta(w)| \leq C R^{Q/2} (1 + R^{1/2}|w|)^{-\delta+\beta}$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $a$  and  $R$ , and  $\beta$  is a fixed constant.

*Proof.* Due to homogeneity of the operators  $P_a$  it is enough to consider  $R = 1$ . Following Hebisch we let  $E_n^a(w)$  be the kernel of the operator  $e^{inK}K$  with  $K = e^{-P_a}$ . By appealing to Theorem 3.1 of [4] we get the estimate

$$\int_{H^n} |E_n^a(w)|(1 + |w|)^\gamma dw \leq C(1 + |n|)^{\gamma+Q/2}$$

for every  $\gamma \geq 0$  and  $C$  independent of  $a$ . Defining  $e_n^a$  to be the kernel of  $e^{inK}K^2$  we have

$$e_n^a(w) = E_n^a * p_{1,a}(w).$$

Using the  $L^1$  estimate of  $E_n^a$  and the heat kernel estimate of  $P_a$  we easily get the estimate

$$(3.5) \quad |e_n^a(w)| \leq C(1 + |w|)^{-\gamma}(1 + |n|)^{\gamma+Q/2}$$

for all  $\gamma \geq 0$  with  $C$  independent of  $a$ .

We can now make use of the functional calculus developed in [4] to get estimates of the Bochner–Riesz kernel. For the sake of completeness we briefly indicate the method. Let  $F(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)_+^\delta \psi(\lambda)$  where  $\psi \in C^\infty$  is such that  $\psi(\lambda) = 1$  for  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $\psi(\lambda) = 0$  for  $\lambda \leq -e^{-1}$ . Let  $G(\lambda) = \lambda^{-2}F(-\log \lambda)$  for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $G(\lambda) = 0$  otherwise. Then  $G(\lambda)$  is supported in  $[0, e]$  and  $F(P_a) = G(e^{-P_a})e^{-2P_a}$ . Expanding  $G(\lambda)$  into Fourier series as  $G(\lambda) = \sum \widehat{G}(n)e^{in\lambda}$  we get

$$F(P_a) = \sum \widehat{G}(n)e^{inK}K^2$$

where, as before,  $K = e^{-P_a}$ .

Using the estimate (3.5) we get

$$|S_{1,a}^\delta(x, y)| \leq C(1 + |w|)^{-\gamma} \sum |\widehat{G}(n)|(1 + |n|)^{\gamma+Q/2}.$$

The coefficients  $\widehat{G}(n)$  are given by

$$\widehat{G}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^e G(\lambda)e^{-in\lambda} d\lambda.$$

Making a change of variables we get

$$\widehat{G}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-e^{-1}}^1 F(t)e^t e^{-ine^{-t}} dt.$$

As  $F(t) = (1 - t)_+^\delta \psi(t)$  we easily get the estimate

$$|\widehat{G}(n)| \leq C(1 + |n|)^{-l}$$

provided  $\delta > l - 1$ . Taking  $\delta = \gamma + Q/2 + 2$  we have

$$|\widehat{G}(n)| \leq C(1 + |n|)^{-\gamma-Q/2-2}$$

and hence

$$|S_{1,a}^\delta(w)| \leq C(1 + |w|)^{-\delta+Q/2+2}$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $a$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.

Once we have uniform estimates on the Bochner–Riesz kernels  $S_{R,a}^\delta$  we can prove Theorem 3.1. See [13] for the details.

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.4.** In view of Theorem 3.1 and the remarks preceding it, it is enough to consider the operator  $M_r^\alpha$  given by the multiplier  $m_r^\alpha(k, \lambda)$ . We now compare the multipliers  $m_r^\alpha(k, \lambda)$  and  $\psi_k^\alpha(\sqrt{|\lambda|}r)$  by

using a Hilb type asymptotic formula for the Laguerre polynomials. Formula (8.64.3) on page 217 of Szegő [18] gives

$$(4.1) \quad \psi_k^\alpha(r) = m_r^\alpha(k, 1) + e(k, \alpha, r)$$

where  $e(k, \alpha, r)$  is given by the integral

$$\frac{\pi}{2^3} \frac{r^4}{\sin \alpha\pi} \int_0^1 (J_\alpha(r\sqrt{K})J_{-\alpha}(rs\sqrt{K}) - J_\alpha(rs\sqrt{K})J_\alpha(r\sqrt{K}))s^{\alpha+3}\psi_k^\alpha(rs) ds.$$

In the above formula  $K = 2k + \alpha + 1$ . When  $\alpha$  is an integer,  $\sin \alpha\pi$  in the above formula has to be replaced by  $-1$  and  $J_\alpha$  by the modified Bessel function  $Y_\alpha$ .

Define  $a_\alpha(\lambda, r, s)$  for  $\lambda > 0$  by

$$a_\alpha(\lambda, r, s) = (J_\alpha(r\sqrt{\lambda})J_{-\alpha}(rs\sqrt{\lambda}) - J_{-\alpha}(r\sqrt{\lambda})J_\alpha(rs\sqrt{\lambda}))s^{\alpha+3}r^4$$

and let  $A_\alpha(r, s)$  be the operator whose multiplier is  $a_\alpha((2k + n)|\lambda|, r, s)$ . Let  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(|\lambda| \leq B + 1)$  and  $\chi(i\partial_t)$  be as before. From (4.1) it follows that

$$T_r^\alpha \chi(i\partial_t) f = M_r^\alpha \chi(i\partial_t) f + c_1 \int_0^1 A_\alpha(r, s) T_{rs}^\alpha \chi_1(i\partial_t) f ds$$

where  $\chi_1(\lambda) = \lambda^2 \chi(\lambda)$  and  $c_1$  is some constant. Another iteration produces the formula

$$(4.2) \quad M_r^\alpha \chi(i\partial_t) f = T_r^\alpha \chi(i\partial_t) f + c_1 \int_0^1 A_\alpha(r, s) M_{rs}^\alpha \chi_1(i\partial_t) f ds \\ + c_2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 A_\alpha(r, s) A_\alpha(rs, s') T_{rs s'}^\alpha \chi_2(i\partial_t) f ds ds'$$

where  $\chi_2(\lambda) = \lambda^4 \chi(\lambda)$  and  $c_1, c_2$  are constants. For the symbols  $a_\alpha(\lambda, r, s)$  we prove the following estimates.

LEMMA 4.1. For  $0 \leq r, s \leq 1$  we have the estimates

$$|\partial_\lambda^k a_\alpha(\lambda, r, s)| \leq C_k (1 + \lambda)^{-k/2 - 1/2}$$

valid for all  $\lambda > 0, k \geq 0$ . More precisely,

$$|\partial_\lambda^k a_\alpha(\lambda, r, s)| \leq Cr^3 s^{5/2} (1 + \lambda)^{-(k+1)/2} \\ \times \{(1 + r^2 \lambda)^{-\alpha/2} (1 + r^2 s^2 \lambda)^{\alpha/2} + s^{2\alpha} (1 + r^2 \lambda)^{\alpha/2} (1 + r^2 s^2 \lambda)^{-\alpha/2}\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $B_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\alpha/2} J_\alpha(\sqrt{\lambda})$  and when  $\alpha$  is a negative integer replace  $J_\alpha$  by  $Y_\alpha$ . Then  $B_\alpha$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} B_\alpha(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2} B_{\alpha+1}(\lambda).$$

The asymptotic properties of the Bessel function give us the estimates

$$\left| \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^k B_\alpha(\lambda) \right| \leq C(1 + \lambda)^{-(\alpha+k+1/2)/2}.$$

Consider the first term, which is equal to  $B_\alpha(r^2\lambda)B_{-\alpha}(r^2s^2\lambda)s^3r^4$ . The  $k$ th derivative of that term is a linear combination of terms of the form

$$r^{2j+4}B_{\alpha+j}(r^2\lambda)(r^2s^2)^{k-j}B_{-\alpha+k-j}(r^2s^2\lambda)s^3,$$

which is bounded by a constant times

$$r^{2k+4}s^{2k-2j+3}(1 + r^2\lambda)^{-(\alpha+j+1/2)/2}(1 + r^2s^2\lambda)^{-(-\alpha+k-j+1/2)/2}.$$

As  $0 \leq r, s \leq 1$ , the above is bounded by a constant times

$$r^3s^{5/2}(1 + \lambda)^{-(k+1)/2}(1 + r^2\lambda)^{-\alpha/2}(1 + r^2s^2\lambda)^{\alpha/2},$$

which in turn is bounded by  $C(1 + \lambda)^{-(k+1)/2}$ . Similarly, the  $k$ th derivative of the second term is bounded by

$$Cr^3s^{2\alpha+5/2}(1 + \lambda)^{-1/2-k/2}(1 + r^2\lambda)^{\alpha/2}(1 + r^2s^2\lambda)^{-\alpha/2},$$

which in turn is bounded by  $C(1 + \lambda)^{-(k+1)/2}$ . This proves the lemma.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.4. From Theorem 1.5 we know that  $T_1^\alpha \chi(i\partial_t)$  is bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$  for  $|1/p - 1/2| < (2\alpha + 1)/(4n - 2)$ . If  $6\alpha \leq 4n - 5$ , then  $(2\alpha + 1)/(4n - 2) \leq (2\alpha + 3)/(4n + 4)$  and consequently  $\alpha/2 + 3/4 > Q|1/p - 1/2|/2$  whenever  $|1/p - 1/2| < (2\alpha + 1)/(4n - 2)$ . The multiplier corresponding to the product  $A_\alpha(1, s)M_s^\alpha$  is given by the symbol

$$m(\lambda, s) = a_\alpha(\lambda, 1, s)B_\alpha(s^2\lambda),$$

which belongs to the class  $S_{1/2}^{-\alpha/2-3/4}(\mathbb{R})$ . Using Lemma 4.1 we can show that

$$|\partial_\lambda^k m(\lambda, s)| \leq C(1 + \lambda)^{-(\alpha+3/2+k)/2}$$

where  $C$  is uniform for  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ . Since  $\alpha/2 + 3/4 > Q|1/p - 1/2|/2$ , from Theorem 3.1 we conclude that

$$\|A_\alpha(1, s)M_s^\alpha f\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $s$ . Therefore, the operator

$$\int_0^1 A_\alpha(1, s)M_s^\alpha \chi(i\partial_t) f ds$$

is bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$ .

For the third term in (4.2), the symbol of the operator  $A_\alpha(1, s)A_\alpha(s, s')$  comes from  $S_{1/2}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$  and the derivatives satisfy uniform estimates for  $0 \leq$

$s, s' \leq 1$  in view of Lemma 4.1. If  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$  we can conclude that the operator

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 A_\alpha(1, s) A_\alpha(s, s') T_{ss'}^\alpha \chi_2(i\partial_t) f ds ds'$$

is also bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$ . Therefore, from (4.2) we see that  $M_1^\alpha \chi(i\partial_t)$  is bounded on  $L^p(H^n)$ . If  $\alpha > 1/2$ , we can perform further iterations and then the symbol of

$$A_\alpha(1, s_1) A_\alpha(s_1, s_2) \dots A_\alpha(s_1 s_2 \dots s_{l-1}, s_l)$$

will come from  $S_{1/2}^{-l/2}(\mathbb{R})$  with estimates uniform in  $s_1, \dots, s_l$ . We can choose  $l$  large enough so that  $\alpha/2 + 3/4 \leq l/2$  and appealing to Theorem 3.1 we get the boundedness of  $M_1^\alpha$  in the case when  $6\alpha \leq 4n - 5$ .

If  $6\alpha > 4n - 5$  then we need to assume the condition  $|1/p - 1/2| < (2\alpha + 3)/(4n + 4)$  so that  $\alpha/2 + 3/4 > Q|1/p - 1/2|/2$ . We then proceed as before to complete the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. De Michele and G. Mauceri,  *$L^p$  multipliers on the Heisenberg group*, Michigan Math. J. 26 (1979), 361–371.
- [2] G. B. Folland, *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 112, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [3] S. Giulini and S. Meda, *Oscillating multipliers on noncompact symmetric spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 409 (1990), 93–105.
- [4] W. Hebisch, *Almost everywhere summability of eigenfunction expansions associated to elliptic operators*, Studia Math. 96 (1990), 263–275.
- [5] —, *Multiplier theorem on generalized Heisenberg groups*, Colloq. Math. 65 (1993), 231–239.
- [6] G. Mauceri, *Zonal multipliers on the Heisenberg group*, Pacific J. Math. 95 (1981), 143–159.
- [7] G. Mauceri and S. Meda, *Vector-valued multipliers on stratified groups*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 6 (1990), 141–154.
- [8] A. Miyachi, *On some estimates for the wave equation in  $L^p$  and  $H^p$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 27 (1980), 331–354.
- [9] D. Müller and E. M. Stein, *On spectral multipliers for Heisenberg and related groups*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 73 (1994), 413–440.
- [10] —, —,  *$L^p$ -estimates for the wave equation on the Heisenberg group*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 15 (1999), 297–334.
- [11] D. Müller, F. Ricci and E. M. Stein, *Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multiparameter structure on Heisenberg type groups I*, Invent. Math. 119 (1995), 199–223.
- [12] —, —, —, *Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multiparameter structure on Heisenberg type groups II*, Math. Z. 221 (1996), 267–291.
- [13] E. K. Narayanan and S. Thangavelu, *Oscillating multipliers for some eigenfunction expansions*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 7 (2001), 375–396.

- [14] A. Nevo and S. Thangavelu, *Pointwise ergodic theorems for radial averages on the Heisenberg group*, Adv. Math. 127 (1997) 307–334.
- [15] J. Peral,  *$L^p$  estimates for the wave equation*, J. Funct. Anal. 36 (1980), 114–145.
- [16] R. Strichartz, *Harmonic analysis as spectral theory of Laplacians*, ibid. 87 (1989), 51–148.
- [17] —,  *$L^p$  harmonic analysis and Radon transforms on the Heisenberg group*, ibid. 96 (1991), 350–406.
- [18] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1967.
- [19] S. Thangavelu, *A multiplier theorem for the sublaplacian on the Heisenberg group*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 101 (1991), 169–177.
- [20] —, *Harmonic Analysis on the Heisenberg Group*, Progr. Math. 159, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.
- [21] J. Zhong, *The  $L^p$ - $L^q$  estimates for the wave equation with a nonnegative potential*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 20 (1995), 315–334.

Statistics and Mathematics Division  
Indian Statistical Institute  
8th mile Mysore Road  
Bangalore 560 059, India  
E-mail: naru@isibang.ac.in  
veluma@isibang.ac.in

*Received 12 February 2001*

(4029)