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#### Abstract

We consider the Diophantine equation $(x+y)\left(x^{2}+B x y+y^{2}\right)=D z^{p}$, where $B, D$ are integers $(B \neq \pm 2, D \neq 0)$ and $p$ is a prime $>5$. We give Kraus type criteria of nonsolvability for this equation (explicitly, for many $B$ and $D$ ) in terms of Galois representations and modular forms. We apply these criteria to numerous equations (with $B=0,1,3,4,5,6$, specific $D$ 's, and $\left.p \in\left(10,10^{6}\right)\right)$. In the last section we discuss reductions of the above Diophantine equations to those of signature ( $p, p, 2$ ).


1. Introduction. Fix nonzero integers $A, B$, and $C$. For given positive integers $p, q, r$ satisfying $1 / p+1 / q+1 / r<1$, the generalized Fermat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x^{p}+B y^{q}=C z^{r} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only finitely many primitive integer solutions [10]. Modern techniques coming from Galois representations and modular forms (methods of FreyHellegouarch curves and variants of Ribet's level-lowering theorem [12], [17], [18, and of course, the modularity of elliptic curves over the rationals proved by Wiles et al. [20], [5]) allow one to give partial (sometimes complete) results concerning the set of solutions to (1.1), at least when $(p, q, r)$ is of the type $(p, p, p),(p, p, 2),(p, p, 3),(4,4, p),(3,3, p),(5,5, p)$ or $(2,4, p)$. For the first four signatures, the results are mostly of the type: no primitive integer solution in $x, y, z$ if $p$ is larger than some positive constant depending on $A$, $B$, and $C$ (see, for instance, [20], [14], [13], [11], [1, [9], [2], [8]).

Many classical equations are, however, still out of reach. Consider, for example, the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+y^{3}=z^{p}, \quad p \text { an odd prime. } \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is expected (and follows from a weak effective $a b c$ conjecture, see Remark 3.8) that there are no primitive solutions for any odd prime $p$. Kraus [15] used the modular approach to show that the above equation has no primitive solutions for $17 \leq p \leq 10^{4}$. He introduced a very interesting criterion ([15), Théorème 3.1]) that often allows one to prove that (1.2) has no primitive

[^0]solution for fixed $p$, and verified his criterion for primes in the above range. This range is easily extendable. Recently Chen and Siksek [7] have checked the criterion for primes $p \leq 10^{9}$; they have also shown that the set of exponents $p$ for which $(1.2$ has primitive solutions, has density 0 . They actually refine Kraus' criterion by using a combination of the modular approach together with an obstruction to solutions that is of the Brauer-Manin type. Such a refinement of Kraus' criterion is much faster in practice for large primes $p$.

Of course, we can replace the left hand side of $(1.2)$ by a more general cubic form with integer coefficients, and try to find a variant of Kraus' method here. In this paper we present such a method for Diophantine equations of the shape

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+y)\left(x^{2}+B x y+y^{2}\right)=D z^{p} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the case $B=-1, D=1$ reduces to the equation investigated by Kraus. Billerey [3] proved that (1.3) has no primitive solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $z \neq \pm 1$ for $B=0$ and $D \in\{2,6,10,22\}$.

The results presented in Section 2 concern equation 1.3 with $B \in$ $\{0,1,3,4,5,6\}$. For specific choices of $B$ and $D$, and for primes $p$ greater than an explicit positive constant $C_{B, D}$, we can prove that (1.3) has no primitive solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $z \neq \pm 1$ (see, for instance, Theorems 2.4 and 2.5). Our main purpose is, however, to generalize Kraus' criterion to equations (1.3) with $B=0,1,3,4,5$ or 6 , and (more or less) arbitrary $D$. For each $B$ as above, and specific values of $D$, we use these criteria to check, using Magma [4, nonsolubility of (1.3) for primes $7 \leq p<10^{6}$.

We give detailed proofs of all stated results in the case $B=1$ (see Subsections 2.2 and 2.3). In Subsection 2.4 we state, without further explanations, results of our investigations for $B=0,3,4,5,6$.

In Section 3, we consider a special case of 1.3 with $B=q^{n}+2$ and $D=1$, where $q$ is a prime. In this case, the solubility questions can be reduced to studying several Diophantine equations of signature $(p, p, 2)$. We will also show, under the conjectures of Ivorra and Kraus [13], that 1.3 ) has no primitive solutions for infinitely many $B$ (and $D=1$ ) and for all but finitely many primes $p$. Of course, such a statement also follows from Conjecture (A) in [3], and from the famous $a b c$ conjecture.

The calculations in Magma were carried out by the second and third authors. The corresponding algorithms were prepared by the third author; details of computations and further information are available on request.
2. Kraus type criteria. Let $p \geq 7$ be a prime, and let $B \neq \pm 2$ and $D \neq 0$ be integers. Suppose that there exist nonzero integers $a, b$, and $c$ such that $(a+b)\left(a^{2}+B a b+b^{2}\right)=D c^{p}$. We will call the triple $(a, b, c)$ a primitive
solution of equation (1.3) if $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ (equivalently, $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, D c)=1$ ). Let us describe possible commmon divisors of $a+b$ and $a^{2}+B a b+b^{2}$ in this case.

Lemma 2.1. For nonzero coprime integers $a$ and $b$, we have

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(a+b, a^{2}+B a b+b^{2}\right) \mid B-2
$$

Proof. Let $d:=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a+b, a^{2}+B a b+b^{2}\right)$. Then $d \mid a^{2}+B a b+b^{2}-(a+b)^{2}=$ $a b(B-2)$. By assumption $\operatorname{gcd}(d, a b)=1$, hence the assertion follows.

If $(a, b, c)$ is a primitive solution of equation (1.3), then there exist integers $D_{1}, D_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that $D=D_{1} D_{2}, \operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=1$, and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a+b=D_{1} c_{1}^{p}  \tag{2.1}\\
a^{2}+B a b+b^{2}=D_{2} c_{2}^{p}
\end{array}\right.
$$

One easily verifies the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
(B+2) D_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{2 p}-4 D_{2} c_{2}^{p}=(B-2)(a-b)^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $\left(c_{1}^{2}, c_{2}, a-b\right)$ solves the equation $(B+2) D_{1}^{2} u^{p}-4 D_{2} v^{p}=(B-2) w^{2}$.
2.1. Some elliptic curves. Let $p \geq 7$ be a prime, and let $B \neq \pm 2$ and $D \neq 0$ be integers. Suppose that there exists a primitive solution $(a, b, c)$ of (1.3). Following Billerey [3], we associate to the triple $(a, b, c)$ an elliptic curve $E$ with the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{4} x+a_{6} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{2}=(B+1)(a-b) \\
a_{4}=(B+1) a^{2}-\left(B^{2}+2 B-2\right) a b+(B+1) b^{2} \\
a_{6}=a^{3}-\left(B^{2}-1\right) a^{2} b+\left(B^{2}-1\right) a b^{2}-b^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{4}=16(B-2)\left((B+2)(a+b)^{2}-\left(a^{2}+B a b+b^{2}\right)\right) \\
c_{6}=32(b-a)(B-2)^{2}\left(2(B+2)(a+b)^{2}+a^{2}+B a b+b^{2}\right) \\
\Delta=16(B-2)^{3}(B+2) D^{2} c^{2 p}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\Delta_{E}$ denote the minimal discriminant of $E$. The following lemma describes the reduction type of $E$ at primes $l \nmid 2\left(B^{2}-4\right) D$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $l$ be a prime number such that $l \nmid 2\left(B^{2}-4\right) D$. Equation (2.3) is minimal at $l$, the curve $E$ has semistable reduction at $l$, and $v_{l}\left(\Delta_{E}\right) \equiv$ $0(\bmod p)$ 。

We attach to the curve $E$ the $\bmod p$ Galois representation

$$
\bar{\rho}_{E, p}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)
$$

which corresponds to the action of the absolute Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$ on the $p$-torsion $E[p]$ of $E$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $B \in\{0,1,3,4,5,6\}$, and $c \neq \pm 1$. The representation $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ is irreducible for all primes $p \geq 7$.

Proof. This follows from Lemme 2.5 of [3], since the elliptic curve $E$ has a rational point $(b-a, 0)$ of order 2 , and the $j$-invariant $j(E)$ is different from $-15^{3}$ and $255^{3}$.
2.2. The main theorems in the case $B=1$. The theorems stated in this subsection (and proved in the next one) concern the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+y)\left(x^{2}+x y+y^{2}\right)=D z^{p} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For certain choices of $D$, and primes $p$ outside a finite set, equation (2.4) has no primitive solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $z \neq \pm 1$. Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 below are results of this type.

Theorem 2.4. Let $p \geq 7$ be a prime, and let $\alpha, \beta$ and $D$ be integers such that

$$
D=2^{\alpha} \cdot 3^{\beta}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq p .
$$

Then for all $\alpha \geq 4$, equation (2.4) has no primitive solutions $(x, y, z)$.
Moreover, if there exists a primitive solution $(x, y, z)$ of (2.4) with $z \neq \pm 1$ for some $\alpha \leq 3$, then $z$ is odd and $x \not \equiv y(\bmod 3)$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $p$ and $r$ be primes, and let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $D$ be integers such that

$$
D=2^{\alpha} \cdot 3^{\beta} \cdot r^{\gamma}, \quad r \neq p, 0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq p, 1 \leq \gamma \leq p .
$$

Suppose that $p$ and $D$ satisfy (at least) one of the following conditions:
(1) $p \geq 19, \alpha=4, r \in\{29,31,41,53,59(p \neq 29), 79,101,103,107(p \neq 53)$, 109\};
(2) $p \geq 23, \alpha=4, \beta \neq 1, r \in\{17,37,61(p \neq 31), 67,83,89,113\}$;
(3) $p \geq 11, \alpha \geq 5, \beta \neq 1, r \in\{31,109\}$;
(4) $p \geq 19, \alpha \geq 2, \beta \neq 1, r \in\{79,103(p \neq 31)\}$;
(5) $p \geq 23, \alpha \neq 1, \beta \neq 1, r \in\{53,127\}$.

Then equation (2.4) has no primitive solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $z \neq \pm 1$.
The next theorem is based on Kraus' method and it is stated for an arbitrary positive integer $D$. For this, we need some preparation.

Let $D$ be a positive integer. Fix positive integers $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ such that:
(i) $D=D_{1} D_{2}$;
(ii) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)=1$;
(iii) if $l \mid D_{2}$ for a prime $l$, then either $l \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, or $l=3$ and $v_{3}\left(D_{2}\right)=1$.
Let us denote by $\mathscr{F}_{1}(D)$ the set of all pairs $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ satisfying (i)-(iii).
We define the integers:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{D_{1}, D_{2}}:=2^{\epsilon_{2}} 3^{\epsilon_{3}} \prod_{l \mid D, l>3} l, \quad N_{D_{1}, D_{2}}^{\prime}:=2^{4} 3^{\epsilon_{3}} \prod_{l \mid D, l>3} l \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\epsilon_{2}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
5 & \text { if } v_{2}\left(D_{1}\right)=1, \\
4 & \text { if } v_{2}\left(D_{1}\right) \neq 1,
\end{array} \quad \epsilon_{3}:= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } v_{3}\left(D_{2}\right)=0 \\
2 & \text { if } v_{3}\left(D_{2}\right)=1\end{cases}\right.
$$

Let $p \geq 7$ be a prime. Suppose that for every $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{F}_{1}(D)$, and every newform $f=q+\sum_{n \geq 2} c_{n} q^{n}$ of weight 2 and level $N_{D_{1}, D_{2}}$ or $N_{D_{1}, D_{2}}^{\prime}$ with the field of coefficients $K_{f} \neq \mathbb{Q}$, there exists a prime index $l \nmid p N$ such that

$$
p \nmid \operatorname{Norm}_{K_{f} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(c_{l}-r\right) \quad \text { for all } r \in\{x \in 2 \mathbb{Z}:|x|<2 \sqrt{l}\} \cup\{-l-1, l+1\} .
$$

We define $\mathscr{P}_{1}(D)$ as the set of those primes $p \nmid D$ which satisfy the claims above.

Let $q \geq 17$ be a prime number coprime to $D$, and let $n>0$ be an integer factor of $q-1$. Let $\mu_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ denote the group of $n$th roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. Write $\bar{D}_{1}$ and $\bar{D}_{2}$ for the reductions modulo $q$ of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, respectively. Set

$$
A_{\bar{D}_{1}, \bar{D}_{2}}(n, q)=\left\{\xi \in \mu_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right):-3 \bar{D}_{1}^{2}+4 \bar{D}_{2} \xi \text { is a square in } \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}
$$

For each $\xi \in A_{\bar{D}_{1}, \bar{D}_{2}}(n, q)$, we denote by $\delta_{\xi}$ the least nonnegative integer such that

$$
\delta_{\xi}^{2} \bmod q=-3 \bar{D}_{1}^{2}+4 \bar{D}_{2} \xi
$$

We associate with each $\xi \in A_{\bar{D}_{1}, \bar{D}_{2}}(n, q)$ the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{2}=X^{3}+2 \delta_{\xi} X^{2}+\left(-3 \bar{D}_{1}^{2}+5 \bar{D}_{2} \xi\right) X+\bar{D}_{2} \delta_{\xi} \xi \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its discriminant equals $2^{4} 3\left(\bar{D}_{1} \bar{D}_{2} \xi\right)^{2}$, so it defines an elliptic curve $E_{\xi}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. We put $a_{q}(\xi):=q+1-\# E_{\xi}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $D \neq 0$ be an integer, and let $p \in \mathscr{P}_{1}(D)$. Suppose that for every $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{F}_{1}(D)$, and every elliptic curve $F$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor $N_{D_{1}, D_{2}}$ (respectively $N_{D_{1}, D_{2}}^{\prime}$ ), there exists a positive integer $n$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $q=p n+1$ is a prime, and $q \nmid D$;
(2) $a_{q}(F)^{2} \not \equiv 4(\bmod p)$;
(3) $a_{q}(F)^{2} \not \equiv a_{q}(\xi)^{2}(\bmod p)$ for all $\xi \in A_{\bar{D}_{1}, \bar{D}_{2}}(n, q)$.

Then equation (2.4) has no primitive solutions ( $x, y, z$ ) with odd (resp. even) $z \neq \pm 1$.

Corollary 2.7. Let $5 \leq r \leq 23$ be an odd prime, and let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 5$, $1 \leq \beta \leq 10$ be integers. Then equation (2.4) has no primitive solutions for

$$
D=2^{\alpha} \cdot r^{\beta} \quad \text { and } \quad 37 \leq p<10^{6},
$$

unless $D \in\left\{7,7^{3}, 2 \cdot 7,8 \cdot 7^{2}, 16 \cdot 7^{4}, 13,4 \cdot 13,8 \cdot 13^{2}, 2 \cdot 19,16 \cdot 19^{2}\right\}$, or $p$ and $D$ are as follows:

| $p$ | $D$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 37 | $2 \cdot 7^{10}$, | $4 \cdot 7^{6}$, |
|  | $32 \cdot 11^{9}$, |  |
|  | $4 \cdot 13^{2}$, | $8 \cdot 13^{7}$, |
|  | $4 \cdot 19^{4}$, | $2 \cdot 23^{9} \cdot$ |


| $p$ | $D$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | $11^{10}$, | $4 \cdot 11^{6}$, | $8 \cdot 13^{5}$, |
|  | $2 \cdot 19^{4}$, | $2 \cdot 23^{10}$, | $8 \cdot 23^{7}$, |
| 43 | $16 \cdot 7$, | $4 \cdot 17^{6}$, | $2 \cdot 23^{3}$ |


| $p$ | $D$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 47 | $13^{6}$ |
| 59 | $13^{3}$ |
| 67 | $7^{2}$ |

Proof. We have computed, using Magma, the appropriate values of $n$ for all integers $D$ and primes $p$ in the range given in Corollary 2.7.

Remark 2.8. For each $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{F}_{1}(D)$, the set $\mathscr{P}_{1}(D)$ contains all but finitely many primes. It is well known (see [14]) that

$$
p \notin \mathscr{P}_{1}(D) \Rightarrow p \leq(1+\sqrt{\mu(N) / 6})^{2 g_{0}^{+}(N)},
$$

where $\mu(N):=N \prod_{q \mid N}(1+1 / q)$ and $g_{0}^{+}(N):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \delta_{2}^{\text {new }}(N)$.
2.3. Proofs of the main theorems in the case $B=1$. Let $D>0$ be an integer, and let $p \geq 7$ be a prime such that $p \nmid D$. Suppose that equation (2.4) has a primitive solution ( $a, b, c$ ). Let $D_{1}, D_{2}, c_{1}$, and $c_{2}$ be nonzero integers satisfying the conditions (2.1) for this solution. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(D_{1} c_{1}, D_{2} c_{2}\right)=1$. Hence we may assume that $D_{1} D_{2}=D$. Simple calculation shows that prime divisors $l$ of $D$ such that $l \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ fail to divide $D_{2}$. Moreover, for all coprime integers $a$ and $b$ we have $v_{3}\left(D_{2}\right)=v_{3}\left(a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\right) \leq 1$. These properties of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ completely describe the set $\mathscr{F}_{1}(D)$ defined in Subsection 2.2.

If $(a, b, c)$ with $c \neq \pm 1$ is a primitive solution of (2.4), then the elliptic curve $E$ with equation

$$
E: \quad y^{2}=x^{3}+2(a-b) x^{2}+\left(2 a^{2}-a b+2 b^{2}\right) x+a^{3}-b^{3}
$$

is the Frey type curve associated to $(a, b, c)$. We have
$c_{4}=-16\left(2 a^{2}+5 a b+2 b^{2}\right), c_{6}=-32(a-b)\left(7 a^{2}+13 a b+7 b^{2}\right), \Delta=-48 D^{2} c^{2 p}$. If $a \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $v_{2}(D c) \geq 4$, then the discriminant $\Delta$ is not minimal at 2. Hence, $\Delta_{E}=2^{-12} \Delta$ in this case, and $\Delta_{E}=\Delta$ otherwise.

We may assume that if $a b$ is even, then $a$ is odd. Let $\nu:= \pm 1$ satisfy the congruence $\nu \equiv a(\bmod 4)$. Obviously, we do not need to check both cases $a \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $a \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$, since both $(a, b, c)$ and $(-a,-b,-c)$ are solutions of 2.4.

The curve $E$ has conductor

$$
N_{E}=2^{f_{2}} \cdot 3^{f_{3}} \cdot \prod_{l \mid D c, l>3} l
$$

where $f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{3}:= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } v_{3}\left(a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\right)=1, \\
1 & \text { if } v_{3}\left(a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\right) \neq 1,\end{cases} \\
& f_{2}:= \begin{cases}5 & \text { if } v_{2}(a+b)=1, \\
1 & \text { if } \nu=1 \text { and } v_{2}(a+b)>4, \\
0 & \text { if } \nu=1 \text { and } v_{2}(a+b)=4, \\
3 & \text { if } \nu=1 \text { and either } v_{2}(a+b) \in\{2,3\} \text { or } v_{2}(b) \geq 2, \\
2 & \text { if } \nu=1 \text { and } v_{2}(b)=1, \\
4 & \text { if } \nu=-1 \text { and } v_{2}(a+b) \neq 1 .\end{cases} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Our computations of this and other conductors are based on [19] and 16.

The modulo $p$ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ attached to $E$ has conductor $N=2^{f_{2}^{\prime}} \cdot 3^{f_{3}^{\prime}} \cdot \prod_{l \mid D, l>3} l \quad$ with $\quad f_{3}^{\prime}:=f_{3} \quad$ and $\quad f_{2}^{\prime}:= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } v_{2}\left(D_{1}\right)=4, \\ f_{2} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$
From the Modularity Theorem and Ribet's level-lowering it follows that there exists a newform

$$
f=q+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n} q^{n} \quad\left(q:=e^{2 \pi i \tau}\right)
$$

of weight 2 and level $N$ such that $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ arises from $f$ (i.e. the corresponding Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f, p}$ is equivalent to $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ ). Let $K_{f}$ denote the number field generated by the Fourier coefficients of $f$. Recall the following well known result (see for example Prop. 4.3 in [1]).

Proposition 2.9. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2}^{\text {new }}(N)$ gives rise to $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$. If $l$ is a prime coprime to $p N$, then one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(1) $p \mid \operatorname{Norm}_{K_{f} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(c_{l} \pm(l+1)\right)$ and $l \mid N_{E}$;
(2) $p \mid \operatorname{Norm}_{K_{f} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(c_{l} \pm 2 r\right)$ for some integer $0 \leq r \leq \sqrt{l}$ and $l \nmid N_{E}$.

Proofs of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5. We use Proposition 2.9 and nonexistence of newforms of given levels (here we use Magma). Here we assume that $\nu=1$ in the definition of $f_{2}$. It can be checked by elementary considerations that the Thue-Mahler equation $(x+y)\left(x^{2}+x y+y^{2}\right)=2^{\alpha} 3^{\beta}$ has only one solution $(x, y, \alpha, \beta)$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, namely $(1,1,1,1)$. Therefore, we omit the condition $z \neq \pm 1$ in the first part of Theorem 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Suppose that $(a, b, c)$ is a primitive solution of equation (2.4). Let $E$ be the corresponding Frey curve.

Let $p$ be an element of $\mathscr{P}_{1}(D)$. Proposition 2.9 implies that the representation $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ arises from a newform $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2}^{\text {new }}(N)$ with rational coefficients. The theory of Eichler and Shimura ensures that there exists an elliptic curve $F$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor $N$ such that $\bar{\rho}_{E, p} \cong \bar{\rho}_{F, p}$.

Suppose that for every curve $F$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor $N$ there exists an integer $n$ such that conditions (1)-(3) of Theorem 2.6 are satisfied.

Lemma 2.10. The curve $E$ has good reduction at the prime $q=n p+1$.
Proof. Suppose that $E$ has bad reduction at $q$. Since $q$ does not divide $6 D$, it follows that $q$ divides $c$.

Let $F$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor $N$ such that $\rho_{E, p} \cong \rho_{F, p}$. The curve $F$ has good reduction at $q$. From Proposition 2.9, we have

$$
a_{q}(F) \equiv \pm(q+1)(\bmod p) .
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
a_{q}(F)^{2} \equiv 4(\bmod p),
$$

and this contradicts condition (2) of Theorem 2.6 .
From Lemma 2.10, it follows that the prime $q$ does not divide $c$, so since $p n=q-1$, we deduce that $c_{1}^{p} \bmod q$ and $c_{2}^{p} \bmod q$ are $n$th roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. Let $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{D}_{1}$ and $\bar{D}_{2}$ denote the reductions of $a, b, D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ modulo $q$. It follows from (2.1) that there exist $u, v \in \mu_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{a}+\bar{b}=\bar{D}_{1} u, \\
\bar{a}^{2}+\bar{a} \bar{b}+\bar{b}^{2}=\bar{D}_{2} v .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The substitutions

$$
\bar{a}^{\prime}=\bar{a} / u, \quad \bar{b}^{\prime}=\bar{b} / u, \quad \xi=v / u^{2}
$$

lead to the equality

$$
\bar{b}^{\prime 2}-\bar{D}_{1} \bar{b}^{\prime}+\bar{D}_{1}^{2}-\bar{D}_{2} \xi=0 .
$$

It follows that $\xi \in A_{\bar{D}_{1}, \bar{D}_{2}}$, and either

$$
\left(\bar{a}^{\prime}, \bar{b}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{\bar{D}_{1}+\delta_{\xi}}{2}, \frac{\bar{D}_{1}-\delta_{\xi}}{2}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\bar{a}^{\prime}, \bar{b}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{\bar{D}_{1}-\delta_{\xi}}{2}, \frac{\bar{D}_{1}+\delta_{\xi}}{2}\right) .
$$

Easy verification shows that the curve $E_{\xi}$ with equation (2.6) is a quadratic twist by $\sqrt{ \pm u}$ of the elliptic curve $\bar{E}_{q}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ obtained from $E$ by reduction modulo $q$. Since $\bar{\rho}_{E, p} \cong \bar{\rho}_{F, p}$ for some curve $F$, we obtain

$$
a_{q}(\xi)^{2} \equiv a_{q}(F)^{2}(\bmod p)
$$

This contradicts condition (3) of Theorem 2.6. Hence there exist no primitive solution $(a, b, c)$ of equation (2.4) satisfying (2.1).
2.4. Further examples of Kraus type criteria. We have worked out a few Kraus type criteria for further special cases of equation (1.3), with $B=0,3,4,5,6$ and specific choices of $D$. We omit the formulations and proofs, as they are similar to (the proof of) Theorem 2.6 . We only state the results of our numerical investigations based on these criteria.

Case $B=0$. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)=D z^{p} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

was considered by Billerey in [3]. He proved that there exists no primitive solution of 2.8 if $p>5$ and $D \in\{2,6,10,22\}$. In the case $D=1$ he deduced that the integer $z$ must be odd ([3, Thm. 3.1]). Another proof of this fact was given by Dąbrowski in [9].

RESULT 2.11. Let $D=2^{\alpha} r^{\beta}$, where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 3$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 10$ are integers, and $r \in\{1,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23\}$. Let $p$ be a prime such that $37<p<10^{6}$. Then equation (2.8) has no primitive solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $z \neq \pm 1$, unless $D \in\left\{1,5,5^{2}, 13,13^{4}\right\}$.

Case $B=3$. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+y)\left(x^{2}+3 x y+y^{2}\right)=D z^{p} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is a prime number and $D$ a positive integer.
Result 2.12. Let $D=2^{\alpha} r^{\beta}$, where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 3$ and $0<\beta \leq 10$ are integers, and $r \in\{3,5,7,11,13,17,19\}$. Let $p$ be a prime such that $37<p<$ $10^{6}$. Then equation (2.9) has no primitive solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $z \neq \pm 1$.

Case $B=4$. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+y)\left(x^{2}+4 x y+y^{2}\right)=r^{k} z^{p} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r>3$ is a prime or $r=1$, and $0<k<p$.
Result 2.13. Equation (2.10) has no primitive solutions with $z \neq \pm 1$ for
(i) $1 \leq k \leq 10, r \in\{5,7,13\}$, and $31<p<10^{6}$, or
(ii) $2 \leq k \leq 10, r=11$, and $53<p<10^{6}$.

Moreover, this equation has no primitive solutions with even $z$ for
(iii) $r=1$, and $13<p<10^{6}$, or
(iv) $k=1, r=11$, and $31<p<10^{6}$.

Case $B=5$. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+y)\left(x^{2}+5 x y+y^{2}\right)=r^{k} z^{p} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r>3, r \neq 7$ is a prime or $r=1$, and $0<k<p$.
Result 2.14. Equation (2.11) has no primitive solutions with $z \neq \pm 1$ for
(i) $1 \leq k \leq 10, r \in\{11,13\}$, and $41<p<10^{6}$.

Equation (2.11) has no primitive solutions with $z$ divisible by 3 or $v_{2}(y) \neq 1$ for
(ii) $1 \leq k \leq 10, r=5$, and $67<p<10^{6}$, or
(iii) $1 \leq k \leq 10, r=17$, and $37<p<10^{6}$.

Equation (2.11) has no primitive solutions with $z \neq \pm 1$ not divisible by 3 and $v_{2}(y)=1$ for
(iv) $k=2$ or $4 \leq k \leq 10, r=5$, and $31<p<10^{6}$, or
(v) $2 \leq k \leq 10, r=17$, and $47<p<10^{6}$.

Moreover this equation has no primitive solutions with $z$ divisible by 3 or $x y$ not divisible by 4 for
(vi) $r=1$ and $19<p<10^{6}$.

Case $B=6$. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+y)\left(x^{2}+6 x y+y^{2}\right)=r^{k} z^{p}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r>3$ is a prime or $r=1$, and $0<k<p$.
Result 2.15. Equation (2.12) has no primitive solutions with odd $z \neq$ $\pm 1$ for
(i) $r=17$, all $k>0$ and all primes $p>7$, or
(ii) $r=1$ and $7<p<10^{6}$, or
(iii) $2 \leq k \leq 10, r \in\{5,7\}$, and $23<p<10^{6}$, or
(iv) $1 \leq k \leq 10, r=11$, and $7<p<10^{6}$.

Moreover this equation has no primitive solutions with even $z$ for
(v) $1 \leq k \leq 10, r \in\{5,7,11,17\}$, and $47<p<10^{6}$.

Result 2.16. Equation (2.12) has no primitive solutions with $z \neq \pm 1$ for $r \in\{191,251,317,479,541,607,631,647,719,757,769,853,887,911,937,971\}$, all positive integers $k$, and all but finitely many primes $p$.

## 3. Reductions to a class of Diophantine equations of signature

 $(p, p, 2)$. Conjecture (A) in [3] says, in particular, that the Diophantine equation (1.3) has no primitive solutions for primes $p$ greater than a positive constant $C_{B, D}$. In this section we show that for an (infinite) subfamily of equations 1.3 , the analogous statement follows from two conjectures formulated by Ivorra and Kraus (see [13]) concerning Diophantine equations of signature ( $p, p, 2$ ). It is well known that Conjecture (A) as well as the conjectures of Ivorra and Kraus follow from the $a b c$ conjecture.This section was partially suggested by Sections 4 and 5 in [9]. Consider the following special case of 1.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+y)\left(x^{2}+\left(q^{n}+2\right) x y+y^{2}\right)=z^{p} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is a prime, and $n$ is a positive integer. In this case, the solubility questions for (1.3) can be reduced to studying equations of the type ( $p, p, 2$ ).

The following lemma will be helpful.
Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $p>5$ is a prime. If $\alpha \geq 2$, then the equation $x^{p}+2^{\alpha} y^{p}=z^{2}$ has no primitive solution ( $x, y, z$ ) with $x y \neq 1$.

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 1.2 in [1].
First, let us consider the simplest case $q=n=2$ (hence $B=6$ ).
Proposition 3.2. For any prime $p>5$ the equation $(x+y)\left(x^{2}+6 x y+y^{2}\right)$ $=z^{p}$ has no primitive solution $(x, y, z)$ with even $z$.

Proof. Suppose that such a solution exists. By Lemma 2.1 we get

$$
d:=\operatorname{gcd}\left(x+y, x^{2}+6 x y+y^{2}\right)=2 \text { or } 4
$$

(note that $x, y$ must be odd). From the equality $x^{2}+6 x y+y^{2}=(x-y)^{2}+8 x y$ we conclude that $x^{2}+6 x y+y^{2} \equiv 8$ or $12(\bmod 16)$. Since $p>5$, it follows that $d=4$. Hence, there exist coprime integers $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x+y=2^{p-2} z_{1}^{p} \\
x^{2}+6 x y+y^{2}=4 z_{2}^{p} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By substituting $y=2^{p-2} z_{1}^{p}-x$ in the second equation, we find that $x$ and $y$ are roots of the quadratic polynomial $P(X)=X^{2}-2^{p-2} z_{1}^{p} X-2^{2 p-6} z_{1}^{2 p}+z_{2}^{p}$. Since $P(X)$ has integer roots, its discriminant $\Delta=4\left(2^{p-5}\left(2 z_{1}^{2}\right)^{p}-z_{2}^{p}\right)$ must be a square in $\mathbb{Z}$. But this contradicts Lemma 3.1.

Ivorra and Kraus (see [13]) considered the general Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x^{p}+B y^{p}=C z^{2}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is a prime $>3$, and $A, B, C$ are pairwise coprime positive integers. They showed that, in many cases, (3.2) has no primitive solutions (see also [1) and formulated the following conjectures:

Conjecture 3.3. Suppose that none of the three integers $A+B, A-B$, $B-A$ belong to $C \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Then there exists a constant $f(A, B, C)$ such that for $p>f(A, B, C)$ equation (3.2) has no primitive solutions.

Conjecture 3.4. Suppose that some of the three integers $A+B, A-B$, $B-A$ belong to $C \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Then there exists a constant $g(A, B, C)$ such that for $p>g(A, B, C)$ the only primitive solutions $(a, b, c)$ of (3.2) are those satisfying $a b= \pm 1$.

These conjectures follow from the $a b c$ conjecture.

Proposition 3.5. Assume that Conjecture 3.4 is true. Then
(i) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $\alpha(n)$ such that for all primes $p>\alpha(n)$ the equation $(x+y)\left(x^{2}+\left(2^{n}+2\right) x y+y^{2}\right)=z^{p}$ has no primitive solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $v_{2}(x+y)<n / 2$;
(ii) for every prime $q>2$ there exists a constant $\beta(q)$ such that for all primes $p>\beta(q)$ the equation $(x+y)\left(x^{2}+(q+2) x y+y^{2}\right)=z^{p}$ has no primitive solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $v_{q}(x+y)=0$.
Proof. (i) Suppose the opposite is true, i.e. that there exists a primitive solution $(x, y, z)$, satisfying $v_{2}(x+y)<n / 2$. Put $m:=v_{2}(x+y)$. By Lemma 2.1. we have

$$
d:=\operatorname{gcd}\left(x+y, x^{2}+\left(2^{n}+2\right) x y+y^{2}\right)=2^{m} .
$$

Note that $x^{2}+\left(2^{n}+2\right) x y+y^{2}=(x+y)^{2}+2^{n} x y$. First, we assume that $d=1$. Then we have $x+y=z_{1}^{p}$ and $x^{2}+\left(2^{n}+2\right) x y+y^{2}=z_{2}^{p}$ for some coprime odd integers $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we obtain a quadratic equation with discriminant $\Delta=2^{2 n} z_{1}^{2 p}+2^{n+2}\left(z_{1}^{2 p}-z_{2}^{p}\right)$. Suppose that $n=1$ ( $n>1$ is odd, $n$ is even, respectively). Then $\Delta$ is a square of an integer iff $3 X^{p}+2 Y^{p}=Z^{2}\left(\left(2^{n-2}+1\right) X^{p}+Y^{p}=2 Z^{2},\left(2^{n-2}+1\right) X^{p}+Y^{p}=\right.$ $Z^{2}$, respectively) has an integer solution with $\operatorname{gcd}(X, Y)=1$. But by the assumption these equations have no solutions for sufficiently large $p$, with the possible exception $X Y= \pm 1$. Checking all possibilities we get only the trivial solutions $(x, y, z)=(0, \pm 1, \pm 1),( \pm 1,0, \pm 1)$.

Now let $m>0$. Note that $3 m=v_{2}\left(z^{p}\right)=p v_{2}(z)$, so $m=p t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist coprime odd integers $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ such that $x+y=2^{m} z_{1}^{p}$ and $x^{2}+\left(2^{n}+2\right) x y+y^{2}=2^{2 m} z_{2}^{p}$. We obtain the equation $-2^{n-2 m} x^{2}+$ $2^{n-m} x z_{1}^{p}+z_{1}^{2 p}-z_{2}^{p}=0$. For $n=2(n$ odd, $n>2$ even, respectively) its discriminant is a square iff $2 X^{p}+Y^{p}=Z^{2}\left(\left(2^{n-2}+1\right) X^{p}+Y^{p}=2 Z^{2}\right.$, $\left(2^{n-2}+1\right) X^{p}+Y^{p}=Z^{2}$, respectively) has an integer solution with $\operatorname{gcd}(X, Y)$ $=1$. As before, these equations satisfy the assumptions of Conjecture 3.4. Hence, for $p$ large enough, the only possible solutions satisfy $X Y= \pm 1$. But this leads to the trivial solutions $\left( \pm 2^{p t}, 0, \pm 2^{3 t}\right),\left(0, \pm 2^{p t}, \pm 2^{3 t}\right)$.
(ii) Suppose that the equation in (ii) has a primitive solution $(x, y, z)$ with $v_{q}(x+y)=0$. By the assumption and Lemma 2.1, we get $\operatorname{gcd}(x+y$, $\left.x^{2}+(q+2) x y+y^{2}\right)=1$.

Hence $x+y=z_{1}^{p}$ and $x^{2}+(q+2) x y+y^{2}=z_{2}^{p}$, and we conclude that the equation $(q+4) X^{p}+4 Y^{p}=q Z^{2}$ has the solution $\left(z_{1}^{2},-z_{2}, x-y\right)$ (see explanations after Lemma 2.1). Applying Conjecture 3.4 we get $z_{1} z_{2}= \pm 1$ (for $p$ large enough). This leads to $(x, y, z)=(0, \pm 1, \pm 1)$ or $( \pm 1,0, \pm 1)$, which is the desired result.

Proposition 3.6. Assume that Conjectures 3.3 and $\sqrt{3.4}$ are true. Then for every prime $q>2$ there exists a constant $\gamma(q)$ such that for $p>\gamma(q)$, the
equation $(x+y)\left(x^{2}+(q+2) x y+y^{2}\right)=z^{p}$ has a primitive solution $(x, y, z)$ with $v_{q}(x+y)>0$ if and only if $q^{2 p-3}(q+4) \pm 4$ is a square of some integer. If $q^{2 p-3}(q+4)+4=u^{2}$, then the solutions are

$$
\left.\left(q^{p-1} \pm u\right) / 2,\left(q^{p-1} \mp u\right) / 2,-q\right),\left(\left(-q^{p-1} \pm u\right) / 2,\left(-q^{p-1} \mp u\right) / 2, q\right)
$$

and if $q^{2 p-3}(q+4)-4=v^{2}$, then the solutions are

$$
\left(\left(q^{p-1} \pm v\right) / 2,\left(q^{p-1} \mp v\right) / 2, q\right),\left(\left(-q^{p-1} \pm v\right) / 2,\left(-q^{p-1} \mp v\right) / 2,-q\right) .
$$

Proof. Assume $(a, b, c)$ is such a solution. We have $v_{q}\left(a^{2}+(q+2) a b+b^{2}\right)=$ $\min \left(2 v_{q}(x+y), 1\right)=1$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 we get $x+y=q^{p-1} c_{1}^{p}$ and $a^{2}+(q+2) a b+b^{2}=q c_{2}^{p}$, where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=1$ and $q \nmid c_{2}$. Then by a similar discussion we infer that $q^{2 p-3}(q+4) X^{p}+4 Y^{p}=Z^{2}$ has a solution with $\operatorname{gcd}(X, Y)=1$ and $q \nmid Y$. If $q^{2 p-3}(q+4) \pm 4$ is not a square, then by Conjecture 3.3 this equation has no primitive solution for large $p$. If $q^{2 p-3}(q+4) \pm 4$ is a square, then by Conjecture 3.4 the only solutions are those satisfying $X Y= \pm 1$. The assertion follows.

Corollary 3.7. Assume that Conjectures 3.3 and 3.4 hold. Then for infinitely many $B$ the equation $(x+y)\left(x^{2}+B x y+y^{2}\right)=z^{p}$ has no primitive solutions for all but finitely many primes $p$.

Proof. By Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 , equation $(x+y)\left(x^{2}+(q+2) x y+y^{2}\right)$ $=z^{p}$, where $q$ is an odd prime, has the primitive solution for $p$ large enough if and only if $q^{2 p-3}(q+4)+4$ or $q^{2 p-3}(q+4)-4$ is a square. Suppose that $q^{2 p-3}(q+4)=x^{2}-4=(x-2)(x+2)$. Then $x$ is odd and $\operatorname{gcd}(x-2, x+2)=1$. Hence, either $q^{2 p-3}$ divides $|x-2|$ or $q^{2 p-3}$ divides $|x+2|$, so for example, $|x+2| \geq q^{2 p-3}$. Then we obtain $|x-2| \geq q^{2 p-3}-4>q+4$, a contradiction. If $q^{2 p-3}(q+4)=x^{2}+4=(x-2 i)(x+2 i)$, we assume that $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then $q$ is a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, and as above we get a contradiction.

REMARK 3.8. Browkin [6] has formulated a weak effective version of the $a b c$ conjecture, and, assuming it, he described all solutions of some Diophantine equations. Assuming a variant of that conjecture (with $r=1.499$, say), one can easily prove that equation 1.2 has no primitive solutions for any odd prime $p$.

Problem: apply (a variant of) the above conjecture to $\sqrt{1.3}$ ) and deduce information about the number of solutions.
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