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Abstract. We give some examples of slant submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds. Also, we study some special slant submanifolds, called austere submanifolds, and establish a relation between minimal and anti-invariant submanifolds which is based on properties of the second fundamental form. Moreover, we give an example to illustrate our result.

1. Introduction. The notion of a slant submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold was introduced by Chen [7]. Examples of slant submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^4$ were given by Chen and Tazawa [12], while those of slant submanifolds of a Kähler manifold were given by Maeda, Ohnita and Udagawa [21]. On the other hand, A. Lotta [19] defined and studied slant submanifolds of an almost contact metric manifold. He also studied the intrinsic geometry of 3-dimensional non-anti-invariant slant submanifolds of K-contact manifolds [20]. Later, L. Cabreroizo and others investigated slant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold and obtained many interesting results [2] and examples. Slant submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds have been studied in [16].

Lotta [19] has proved that a non-anti-invariant slant submanifold of a contact metric manifold must be odd-dimensional. This motivated us to find examples of slant submanifolds of a cosymplectic manifold with dimension greater than or equal to 3. In this paper we give some examples of minimal and non-minimal slant submanifolds with dimension 3. We also obtain sufficient conditions for slant submanifolds to be either austere or minimal.

2. Preliminaries. Let $\overline{M}$ be a $(2m + 1)$-dimensional almost contact metric manifold with structure tensors $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$, where $\phi$ is a $(1,1)$ tensor field, $\xi$ a vector field, $\eta$ a 1-form and $g$ the Riemannian metric on $\overline{M}$. These tensors satisfy [1]

\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0; \\
g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi),
\end{array}
\right.
\]
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for any $X,Y \in T\overline{M}$, where $T\overline{M}$ denotes the Lie algebra of vector fields on $\overline{M}$. A normal almost contact metric manifold is called a cosymplectic manifold if

\begin{equation}
(\nabla_X \varphi)(Y) = 0, \quad \nabla_X \xi = 0
\end{equation}

where $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on $\overline{M}$.

Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with induced metric $g$ isometrically immersed in $\overline{M}$. We denote by $TM$ the Lie algebra of vector fields in $M$ and by $T^+M$ the set of all vector fields normal to $M$.

For any $X \in TM$ and $N \in T^+M$, we write

\begin{equation}
\phi X = PX + FX \quad \text{and} \quad \phi N = tN + fN
\end{equation}

where $PX$ (resp. $FX$) denotes the tangential (resp. normal) component of $\phi X$, and $tN$ (resp. $fN$) denotes the tangential (resp. normal) component of $\phi N$.

From now on, we suppose that the structure vector field $\xi$ is tangent to $M$. Hence, if we denote by $D$ the orthogonal distribution to $\xi$ in $TM$, we can consider the orthogonal decomposition $TM = D \oplus \{\xi\}$.

For each non-zero $X$ tangent to $M$ at $x$ such that $X$ is not proportional to $\xi_x$, we denote by $\theta(X)$ the Wirtinger angle of $X$, that is, the angle between $\phi X$ and $T_xM$.

The submanifold $M$ is called slant if $\theta(X)$ is a constant, which is independent of the choice of $x \in M$ and $X \in T_xM - \{\xi_x\}$ (see [19]). The Wirtinger angle $\theta$ of a slant immersion is called the slant angle of the immersion. Invariant and anti-invariant immersions are slant immersions with slant angle $0$ and $\pi/2$, respectively. A slant immersion which is neither invariant nor anti-invariant is called proper.

Let $\nabla$ be the Riemannian connection on $M$. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulae are

\begin{align}
\nabla_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + h(X,Y), \\
\nabla_X N &= -A_N X + \nabla^\perp_X N,
\end{align}

for $X,Y \in TM$ and $N \in T^+M$, where $h$ and $A_N$ are the second fundamental forms related by

\begin{equation}
g(A_N X, Y) = g(h(X,Y), N)
\end{equation}

and $\nabla^\perp$ is the connection in the normal bundle $T^+M$ of $M$.

The mean curvature vector $H$ is defined by $H = \frac{1}{m} (\text{trace } h)$. We say that $M$ is minimal if $H$ vanishes identically.

A submanifold is said to be austere if the set of eigenvalues of $A_N$ is invariant under multiplication by $-1$. 

If $P$ is the endomorphism defined by (2.3), then
\[(2.7) \quad g(PX, Y) + g(X, PY) = 0.\]
Thus $P^2$, denoted by $Q$, is self-adjoint.

We define the covariant derivatives of $Q$, $P$ and $F$ by
\[(2.8) \quad (\nabla_X Q)Y = \nabla_X (QY) - Q(\nabla_X Y),\]
\[(2.9) \quad (\nabla_X P)Y = \nabla_X (PY) - P(\nabla_X Y),\]
\[(2.10) \quad (\nabla_X F)Y = \nabla_X(FY) - F(\nabla_X Y),\]
for any $X, Y \in TM$.

For 3-dimensional proper slant submanifolds of a cosymplectic manifold, we first prove:

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional proper slant submanifold of a cosymplectic manifold. Then
\[(2.11) \quad (\nabla_X P)Y = 0 \quad \text{for any } X, Y \in TM.\]

**Proof.** Let $p \in M$ and $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be an orthonormal frame on $M$ defined in a neighbourhood $U$ of $p$ (cf. [20, Lemma 2.1, p. 40]). Put $\xi|_U = e_3$, and let $\omega^j_i$ be the structural 1-forms defined by
\[\nabla_X e_i = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \omega^j_i(X)e_j\]
for each vector field $X$ tangent to $M$. By (2.2), we have
\[(\nabla_X P)e_3 = \nabla_X Pe_3 - P(\nabla_X e_3) = 0.\]
Similarly, we get
\[(\nabla_X P)e_1 = (\cos \theta)\omega^3_2(X)e_3, \quad (\nabla_X P)e_2 = -(\cos \theta)\omega^3_1(X)e_3.\]

On the other hand, writing
\[Y = \eta(Y)e_3 + g(Y, e_1)e_1 + g(Y, e_2)e_2\]
for all $Y \in TM$ and using the above formulae we obtain $\nabla_X P)Y = 0$, where we have used $\omega^3_2(X) = \omega^3_1(X) = 0$. \(\blacksquare\)

Now, using (2.11), we have
\[(2.12) \quad (\nabla_X Q)Y = 0.\]
On the other hand, Gauss and Weingarten formulae together with (2.2) and (2.3) imply
\[(2.13) \quad (\nabla_X P)Y = A_{FY}X + th(X, Y),\]
\[(2.14) \quad \nabla_X(FY) - F(\nabla_X Y) = (\nabla_X F)Y = fh(X, Y) - h(X, PY),\]
for any $X, Y \in TM$. It is easy to see that (2.11) holds if and only if

$$A_{FY}X = A_{FX}Y,$$

where we have used (2.13). A similar calculation using (2.14) shows that

$$\nabla_X F Y = 0$$

if and only if

$$A_N PY = -A_f N Y$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$ and $N \in T^\perp M$.

We state the following results for later use.

**Theorem A** ([2]). Let $M$ be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold $\overline{M}$ such that $\xi \in TM$. Then $M$ is slant if and only if there exists a constant $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$P^2 = -\lambda (I - \eta \otimes \xi).$$

Furthermore, if $\theta$ is the slant angle of $M$, then $\lambda = \cos^2 \theta$.

**Corollary A** ([2]). Let $M$ be a slant submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold $\overline{M}$ with slant angle $\theta$. Then

$$g(PX, PY) = (\cos^2 \theta)\{g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)\},$$

$$g(FX, FY) = (\sin^2 \theta)\{g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)\}.$$  

**Lemma A** ([19]). Let $M$ be a slant submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold $\overline{M}$ with slant angle $\theta$. Then, at each point $x$ of $M$, $Q|_D$ has only one eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \cos^2 \theta$.

Let $M$ be a proper slant submanifold $M$ with slant angle $\theta$. For a unit tangent vector field $e_1$ on $M$ perpendicular to $\xi$, we put

$$e_2 = (\sec \theta)P e_1, \quad e_3 = \xi, \quad e_4 = (\csc \theta)F e_1, \quad e_5 = (\csc \theta)F e_2.$$  

Then $e_1 = - (\sec \theta)P e_2$ and by (2.2) and (2.3), $e_1, e_2, \xi = e_3, e_4, e_5$ form an orthonormal frame such that $e_1, e_2, \xi$ are tangent to $M$ and $e_3, e_4$ are normal to $M$. We call such an orthonormal frame an *adapted slant frame*. We also have

$$te_4 = - (\sin \theta)e_1, \quad te_5 = - (\sin \theta)e_2, \quad fe_4 = - (\cos \theta)e_5, \quad fe_5 = (\cos \theta)e_4.$$  

If we put $h^r_{ij} = g(h(e_i, e_j), e_r), i, j = 1, 2, 3, r = 4, 5$, then from [16, Lemma 3.1] we have

$$h^4_{12} = h^5_{11}, \quad h^4_{22} = h^5_{12},$$

$$h^4_{13} = h^4_{32} = h^4_{33} = h^5_{13} = h^5_{23} = h^5_{33} = 0.$$  

If $\dim \overline{M} = \overline{m}$, a local field of orthonormal frames $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m, e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_{\overline{m}}\}$ can be chosen such that, when restricted to $M$, the vectors $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ are tangent to $M$ and hence $e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_{\overline{m}}$ are normal to $M$. Then, for any
vector field $X$ tangent to $M$, we can write

\begin{equation}
\nabla_X e_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \omega^i_j(X)e_j + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\overline{m}} \omega^i_k(X)e_k,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\nabla_X e_r = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \omega^r_j(X)e_j + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\overline{m}} \omega^r_k(X)e_k,
\end{equation}

for $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $r \in \{m+1, \ldots, \overline{m}\}$, where $\omega^i_j$, $\omega^i_k$, $\omega^r_j$ and $\omega^r_k$ are the connection forms of $M$ in $\overline{M}$.

3. Examples of slant submanifolds. In the present section, we introduce a method to find examples of slant submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ with almost contact metric structure $(\varphi_0, \xi, \eta, g)$, which satisfy

\begin{equation}
(\nabla_X \varphi_0)(Y) = 0, \quad \nabla_X \xi = 0
\end{equation}

for $X, Y \in T\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$.

The cosymplectic structure on $T\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ is given by

\begin{equation}
\eta = dz, \quad \xi = \partial/\partial z,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
g = \eta \otimes \eta + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (dx^i \otimes dx^i + dy^i \otimes dy^i)
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\varphi_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + Y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right) + Z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( Y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right)
\end{equation}

where $(x^i, y^i, z)$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, are the cartesian coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$. The following theorem yields examples of slant submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^5(\varphi_0, \xi, \eta, g)$.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let

\begin{equation}
x(u, v) = (f_1(u, v), f_2(u, v), f_3(u, v), f_4(u, v))
\end{equation}

define a slant surface $S$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with its usual Kählerian structure, such that $\partial/\partial u$ and $\partial/\partial v$ are non-zero and perpendicular. Then

\begin{equation}
y(u, v, t) = (f_1(u, v), f_2(u, v), f_3(u, v), f_4(u, v), t)
\end{equation}

defines a three-dimensional slant submanifold $M$ in $\mathbb{R}^5(\varphi_0, \xi, \eta, g)$ with the same slant angle such that, if we put $e_1 = \partial/\partial u$, $e_2 = \partial/\partial v$, then $(e_1, e_2, \xi)$ is an orthogonal basis of the tangent bundle of the submanifold.

**Proof.** By means of the basis $(e_1, e_2, \xi)$, it is easy to show that $M$ is a three-dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^5$. To prove that $M$ is slant, we write

\begin{equation}
X = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + \eta(X)\xi \quad \text{for} \quad X \in \chi(M).
\end{equation}
Then
\begin{equation}
\sqrt{|X|^2 - \eta^2(X)} = \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}.
\end{equation}

Now, since \((e_1, e_2, \xi)\) is an orthogonal basis of \(\chi(M)\), using (2.3) we obtain
\begin{equation}
|PX|^2 = \frac{g^2(\varphi_0 X, e_1)}{g(e_1, e_1)} + \frac{g^2(\varphi_0 X, e_2)}{g(e_2, e_2)}.
\end{equation}

We may consider a vector field \(X_0 \in TS\) such that \(X_0 = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2\) and denoting by \(J\) the usual almost complex structure of \(\mathbb{C}^2\), we find that
\[g(\varphi_0 X, e_1) = g(JX_0, e_1)\quad\text{and}\quad g(\varphi_0 X, e_2) = g(JX_0, e_2).\]

If \(P_0X_0\) is the tangent projection of \(JX_0\) and \(\theta\) is the slant angle of \(S\), then from (3.4) and (3.5), we get
\begin{equation}
\frac{|PX|}{\sqrt{|X|^2 - \eta^2(X)}} = \frac{|P_0X_0|}{X_0} = \cos \theta.
\end{equation}

Hence, \(M\) is a slant submanifold with the same slant angle \(\theta\).

By applying the examples given in [7] and the above theorem, we have the following examples of slant submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds in \(\mathbb{R}^5(\varphi_0, \xi, \eta, g)\):

**Example 3.1.** For any \(\theta \in [0, \pi/2]\),
\[x(u, v, t) = (u \cos \theta, u \sin \theta, v, 0, t)\]
defines a three-dimensional minimal slant submanifold \(M\) with slant angle \(\theta\).

We may choose an orthonormal basis \((e_1, e_2, \xi)\) of \(\chi(M)\) such that
\[e_1 = \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \quad e_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, \quad e_3 = \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.
\]
Moreover, the vector fields
\[e_1^* = -\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \quad e_2^* = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2}\]
form an orthonormal basis for \(T^1 M\). Since \(\nabla_{e_i} e_i = 0\), we have \(h(e_1, e_1) = 0\), \(h(e_2, e_2) = 0\), \(h(e_3, e_3) = 0\) and the submanifold is minimal.

**Example 3.2.** For any positive constant \(k\),
\[x(u, v, t) = (e^{ku} \cos u \cos v, e^{ku} \sin u \cos v, e^{ku} \cos u \sin v, e^{ku} \sin u \sin v, t)\]
defines a three-dimensional non-minimal slant submanifold \(M\) with the slant angle
\[\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{1 + k^2}}\right)\].
In this case we may choose an orthonormal basis \((e_1, e_2, \xi)\) of \(\chi(M)\) such that
\[
e_1 = \frac{e^{-ku}}{\sqrt{1 + k^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad e_2 = \frac{e^{-ku}}{\partial v}, \quad e_3 = \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.
\]
Also, at the points of the submanifold, we have
\[(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 = e^{2ku}.
\]
Then, by a straightforward computation, we get
\[|H| = \frac{e^{-ku}}{3\sqrt{1 + k^2}}.
\]

**Example 3.3.** For any positive constant \(k\),
\[x(u, v, t) = (u, kv \cos v, v, k \sin v, t)
\]
defines a three-dimensional non-minimal slant submanifold \(M\) with the slant angle
\[\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + k^2}}\right).
\]
Moreover, the following statements are equivalent: (i) \(k = 0\), (ii) \(M\) is invariant, (iii) \(M\) is minimal. In this case orthonormal basis \((e_1, e_2, \xi)\) of \(\chi(M)\) is given by
\[
e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \quad e_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + k^2}} \left( -y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} \right), \quad e_3 = \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.
\]
Moreover, by applying the vector fields \(e_1^* = x^2 \partial/\partial x^2 + y^2 \partial/\partial y^2\) of \(T^\perp M\) and some computation, we see that the mean curvature vector is
\[
\vec{H} = -\frac{k}{3(1 + k^2)} e_1^*.
\]

**Example 3.4.** For any non-zero constants \(a\) and \(b\),
\[x(u, v, t) = (a \cos u, b \cos v, a \sin u, b \sin v, t)
\]
gives a compact totally real submanifold \(M\) with \(\nabla h = 0\). In this case, we may take the orthonormal basis \((e_1, e_2, \xi)\) of \(\chi(M)\) as
\[
e_1 = -\frac{y^1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \frac{x^1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, \quad e_2 = -\frac{y^2}{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \frac{x^2}{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2}, \quad e_3 = \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.
\]
Moreover, the vector fields
\[
e_1^* = -\frac{x^1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} - \frac{y^1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, \quad e_2^* = -\frac{x^2}{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} - \frac{y^2}{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2}
\]
generate the normal space \(T^\perp M\).

4. **Slant submanifolds and second fundamental forms.** In this section, we study some properties of slant submanifolds related to the second fundamental form. We have:
Proposition 4.1. Any totally umbilical slant submanifold $M$ of a co-symplectic manifold is totally geodesic.

Proof. Since $M$ is totally umbilical, we get $h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$ for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$. From (2.2), we have $h(\xi, \xi) = 0$, and consequently $H = 0$. Hence $h(X, Y) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ and the submanifold is totally geodesic.

From the above proposition it can be deduced that a totally umbilical submanifold is totally geodesic if and only if it is minimal.

Now, we consider another type of minimal submanifolds, namely austere submanifolds. We have the following:

Theorem 4.2. Let $M$ be a proper slant submanifold of a co-symplectic manifold $\overline{M}$. If $(\nabla_X F)Y = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, then $M$ is an austere submanifold.

Proof. Since $(\nabla_X F)Y = 0$, from (2.14) we have

$$fh(X, Y) = h(X, PY) \quad \text{for any } X, Y \in \chi(M).$$

It is easy to show that $(M, (\sec \theta)P, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost contact metric manifold, and we consider a local orthonormal basis

$$\{e_1, (\sec \theta)P e_1, \ldots, e_m, (\sec \theta)P e_m, \xi\}$$
on $M$. Moreover, from (4.1) and (2.17), we get

$$h((\sec \theta)P e_i, (\sec \theta)P e_j) = -h(e_i, e_i) \quad \text{for any } i, j = 1, \ldots, m.$$

On the other hand, we write $\tilde{X} = X - \eta(X)\xi$ and $X_* = (\sec \theta)PX$. Now, we shall show that if $\mu$ is a non-zero eigenvalue of $A_N$ for any $N \in T^\perp M$, then $-\mu$ is also an eigenvalue of $A_N$ for some non-zero vector $X_* = (\sec \theta)PX$ associated with $X \in \chi(M)$, i.e. $A_N X_* = -\mu X_*$. From (4.2), we can write

$$\tilde{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{m/2} \lambda_i e_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m/2} \mu_i e_i^*.$$

Then

$$A_N \tilde{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{m/2} \lambda_i A_N e_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m/2} \mu_i A_N e_i^*.$$

Now, from (2.2) and (2.6), we get

$$A_N e_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} g(h(e_i, e_j), N)e_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} g(h(e_i, e_j^*), N)e_j^*.$$
From (4.3), we get

\[ ANe_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} g(h(e_i^*, e_j), N)e_j - \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} g(h(e_i, e_j), N)e_j^*. \]  

(4.7)

Applying \( P \) to (4.4), multiplying by \( \sec \theta \) and using (2.17), we get

\[ X_* = \sum_{i=1}^{m/2} \lambda_i e_i^* - \sum_{i=1}^{m/2} \mu_i e_i. \]  

(4.8)

Moreover, using \( h(e_i^*, e_j) = h(e_i, e_j^*) \), we get \( ANX_* = -\mu X_* \), which proves the result.

Now, we establish a relation between 3-dimensional minimal slant submanifolds and anti-invariant submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds.

We have the following:

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( M \) be a 3-dimensional proper slant submanifold of a 5-dimensional cosymplectic manifold \( \tilde{M} \) with slant angle \( \theta \). If \( \{e_1, e_2, e_3 = \xi, e_4, e_5\} \) is an adapted slant basis, then

\[ \omega^5_i - \omega^2_i = -(\cot \theta)((\text{trace } h^4)\omega^1_i + (\text{trace } h^5)\omega^2_i), \]  

where \( \omega^1, \omega^2 \) are the dual forms of \( e_1, e_2 \).

**Proof.** Putting \( X = Y = e_1 \) in (2.14), we have

\[ \nabla^\perp_{e_1} e_4 = \csc \theta \{F(\nabla_{e_1} e_1) + fh(e_1, e_1) - h(e_1, Pe_1)\}. \]  

(4.10)

Using (2.22) and applying \( F \), we get

\[ F(\nabla_{e_1} e_1) = (\sin \theta)\omega^2_i(e_1)e_5. \]  

(4.11)

On the other hand,

\[ fh(e_1, e_1) = h_1^4 f e_4 + h_1^5 f e_5 = (\cos \theta)\{-h_1^4 e_5 + h_1^5 e_4\}, \]  

(4.12)

\[ h(e_1, Pe_1) = (\cos \theta)h(e_1, e_2) = (\cos \theta)\{h_1^4 e_4 + h_1^5 e_5\}. \]  

(4.13)

Substituting (4.11)–(4.13) in (4.10), we find

\[ \nabla^\perp_{e_1} e_4 = \omega^2_i(e_1)e_5 + (\cot \theta)(-h_1^4 e_5 + h_1^5 e_4 - h_1^4 e_4 - h_1^5 e_5) \]  

From equations (2.20) and (2.21), we have

\[ \nabla^\perp_{e_1} e_4 = \omega^2_i(e_1)e_5 - (\cot \theta)(\text{trace } h^4)e_5, \]  

and from (2.23) we get

\[ \omega^5_1(e_1) - \omega^2_1(e_1) = -(\cot \theta)(\text{trace } h^4). \]  

(4.14)

Similarly,

\[ \omega^5_4(e_2) - \omega^2_4(e_2) = -(\cot \theta)(\text{trace } h^4), \]  

(4.15)

\[ \omega^5_5(e_3) - \omega^2_5(e_3) = 0. \]  

(4.16)
Now, since \( \{e_1, e_2, e_3 = \xi\} \) is a local orthonormal basis of the tangent space of \( M \), dual to \( \{\omega^1, \omega^2, \eta\} \), equation (4.9) follows from (4.14)–(4.16).

We now prove:

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( M \) be a 3-dimensional proper slant submanifold of a 5-dimensional cosymplectic manifold \((\overline{M}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)\) with slant angle \( \theta \). Suppose that there exists on \( \overline{M} \) an almost contact structure \( \overline{\varphi} \) such that \((\overline{M}, \overline{\varphi}, \xi, \eta, g)\) is an almost contact metric manifold satisfying

\[
g((\overline{\nabla}_X \overline{\varphi})Y, Z) = 0
\]

for any \( X, Y, Z \) normal to the structure vector field. If \( M \) is an anti-invariant submanifold with respect to the structure \((\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)\), then \( M \) is a minimal submanifold of \( \overline{M} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{e_1, e_2, e_3 = \xi, e_4, e_5\} \) be an adapted slant basis of the cosymplectic manifold \((\overline{M}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)\) and \( \{e_4, e_5\} \) be a local orthonormal frame of \( T^\perp M \). Since \( M \) is an anti-invariant submanifold in \((\overline{M}, \overline{\varphi}, \xi, \eta, g)\), it follows that \( \{\overline{\varphi}e_1, \overline{\varphi}e_2\} \) is another local orthonormal basis of \( T^\perp M \). Consequently, there exists a function \( \psi \) on \( M \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega^5_4(\tilde{X}) &= (\cos \psi)\overline{\varphi}e_1 + (\sin \psi)\overline{\varphi}e_2, \\
\omega^5_4(\tilde{X}) &= (\cos \psi)\overline{\varphi}e_1 + (\sin \psi)\overline{\varphi}e_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Consider \( \tilde{X} \in D \); then

\[
\omega^5_4(\tilde{X}) = g(\overline{\nabla}_X e_4, e_5)
\]

and further using (4.17) and (4.18), we get

\[
\omega^5_4(\tilde{X}) - \omega^2_1(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{X} \psi = d\psi(\tilde{X}).
\]

Now, consider any \( X \in \chi(M) \), i.e. \( X = \tilde{X} + \eta(X)\xi \). We find, by using (4.17) and (4.19), that

\[
\omega^5_4(X) - \omega^2_1(X) = \omega^5_4(\tilde{X}) - \omega^2_1(\tilde{X}) + \eta(X)(\omega^5_4(\xi) - \omega^2_1(\xi)) = d\psi(\tilde{X}).
\]

But

\[
d\psi(\tilde{X}) = d\psi(X - \eta(X)\xi) = d\psi(X) - \eta(X)\xi(\psi).
\]

Therefore

\[
\omega^5_4 - \omega^2_1 = d\psi - \xi(\psi)\eta.
\]

Using (4.9), we get

\[
d\psi - \xi(\psi)\eta = -(\cot \theta)((\text{trace } h^4)\omega^1 + (\text{trace } h^5)\omega^2).
\]

Also, from (4.17) and (4.18), we have

\[
h^4_{11} = -g(\overline{\nabla}_{e_1} e_4, e_1)
\]

\[
= (\cos \psi)g(h(e_1, e_1), \overline{\varphi}e_1) + (\sin \psi)g(h(e_1, e_2), \overline{\varphi}e_1).
\]
Again, from (4.18), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi e_1 &= (\cos \psi) e_4 - (\sin \psi) e_5, \\
\varphi e_2 &= (\sin \psi) e_4 + (\cos \psi) e_5.
\end{align*}
\]
Hence,
\[
h^4_{11} = (\cos^2 \psi) h^4_{11} - (\sin^2 \psi) h^4_{22}.
\]
Since \( h^4_{33} = h^5_{33} = 0 \), we get
\[
(\sin^2 \psi)(\text{trace } h^4) = 0.
\]
Similarly,
\[
(\sin^2 \psi)(\text{trace } h^5) = 0.
\]
Now, we set
\[
U = \{ x \in M : H(x) \neq 0 \};
\]
we will show that \( U = \emptyset \). Indeed, if \( x \in U \) then
\[
\frac{1}{3}(\text{trace } h) = \frac{1}{3}\{(\text{trace } h^4)e_4 + (\text{trace } h^5)e_5\} = H(x) \neq 0,
\]
and hence
\[
(\cot \theta)((\text{trace } h^4)\omega^1 + (\text{trace } h^5)\omega^2) = 0.
\]
Taking (4.25) into consideration, we get \( \cot \theta = 0 \), contrary to the fact that \( M \) is a proper slant submanifold. Hence \( U = \emptyset \), and therefore \( M \) is minimal.

Finally, we consider an example: Let \( \varphi \) be the \((1,1)\)-tensor field defined as follows:
\[
\varphi \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + Y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + Z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \right) = -X_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + X_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + Y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} - Y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2}.
\]
Then \( \mathbb{R}^5(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g) \) is an almost contact metric manifold. If we take the basis vectors as in Example 3.1, \( e_1 = (\cos \theta) \partial/\partial x^1 + (\sin \theta) \partial/\partial x^2 \), \( e_2 = \partial/\partial y^1 \) and \( e_3 = \xi = \partial/\partial z \), then
\[
\varphi e_1 = -\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2},
\]
and
\[
g(\varphi e_1, e_2) = \eta(\varphi e_1) \eta(e_2) + dx^1(\varphi e_1) dx^1(e_2) + dx^2(\varphi e_1) dx^2(e_2) + dy^1(\varphi e_1) dy^1(e_2) + dy^2(\varphi e_1) dy^2(e_2) = 0 = \sqrt{g(\varphi e_1, \varphi e_1)} \sqrt{g(e_2, e_2)} \cos \alpha.
\]
i.e. $\alpha = \pi/2$. Thus the submanifold is anti-invariant with respect to the structure $\overline{\varphi}$. Moreover, $\nabla_{e_i}e_i = 0$, hence the submanifold is minimal.
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