

ON PAWLAK'S PROBLEM CONCERNING ENTROPY OF  
ALMOST CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

BY

TOMASZ NATKANIEC and PIOTR SZUCA (Gdańsk)

**Abstract.** We prove that if  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  is Darboux and has a point of prime period different from  $2^i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ , then the entropy of  $f$  is positive. On the other hand, for every set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \in A$  there is an almost continuous (in the sense of Stallings) function  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  with positive entropy for which the set  $\text{Per}(f)$  of prime periods of all periodic points is equal to  $A$ .

A classical result of Misiurewicz says that for a continuous function  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ , where  $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ ,  $f$  has positive entropy iff it has a periodic point of period different from  $2^n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  (see e.g. [1]). M. Čiklová proved an analogous result for all functions whose graph is a connected  $G_\delta$  set [3]. R. Pawlak asked recently whether or not an analogous theorem holds for almost continuous functions [8].

In this note we consider both implications contained in Pawlak's problem. In Theorem 3, for a given set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \in A$  we construct an almost continuous function  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  such that:

- (i)  $f$  maps non-degenerate intervals  $J \subset \mathbb{I}$  onto the whole  $\mathbb{I}$ ;
- (ii)  $f$  has only periodic points of periods from  $A$ .

This generalizes an old result of Kellum [5]. (Recall that every almost continuous function  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  has fixed points.)

Let  $A = \{1\}$ . Then (i) implies that  $f$  has positive entropy and, by (ii),  $f$  has no periodic points of period different from 1, so the implication " $\Rightarrow$ " in Pawlak's problem does not hold. In Theorem 2 we prove that if  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  is Darboux and has a point of prime period different from  $2^i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ , then the entropy of  $f$  is positive. This generalizes Theorem 4.8 of [3] and shows that the implication " $\Leftarrow$ " in Pawlak's problem is true. The proof of Theorem 2 is based on Lemma 1, in which we employ some ideas which are abstracted from the proof of Sharkovskii's theorem due to Block, Guckenheimer, Misiurewicz and Young (see [4]). These ideas were originally used

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 26A18; Secondary 37B40, 37E05, 26A15.  
*Key words and phrases*: topological entropy, Darboux function, almost continuity, periodic point, turbulent function.

for continuous functions, but it was observed in [10] (see Appendix there; see also proof of the first part of Lemma 4.2 in [11]) that they work also for Darboux functions with the property  $\mathcal{I}$ . We prove that, in fact, some parts of those proofs work for all Darboux functions.

We will use standard notions and terminology concerning dynamical systems and real functions, following [8] and [3]. A function  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  is *Darboux* ( $f \in \mathcal{D}$ ) if  $f$  maps intervals onto intervals.  $f$  is *almost continuous in the sense of Stallings* ( $f \in \text{ACS}$ ) if every open set  $U \subset \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I}$  containing  $f$  contains also a continuous function  $g : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ . (We identify a function with its graph.) Recall that  $\text{ACS} \subset \mathcal{D}$  ([9]; see also [7]). Moreover, if  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  meets each closed set  $K \subset \mathbb{I}^2$  with  $|\text{dom}(K)| = \mathfrak{c}$  (where  $\text{dom}(K)$  denotes the  $x$ -projection of  $K$ , and  $|X|$  denotes the cardinality of  $X$ ), then  $f \in \text{ACS}$  ([6]; see also [7]).

For a function  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ ,  $\text{Per}(f)$  denotes the set of all prime periods of  $f$ , i.e.,  $n \in \text{Per}(f)$  iff there is a periodic point  $x \in \mathbb{I}$  such that  $n$  is the prime period of  $x$ .

Let  $I, J \subset \mathbb{I}$  be closed intervals. We say that  $I$   *$f$ -covers*  $J$  if  $J \subset f(I)$ . We denote this fact by  $I \rightarrow_f J$  (or  $I \rightarrow J$ , if  $f$  is clear from context). We say that a map  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  is *turbulent* if there are compact non-overlapping intervals  $I, J \subset \mathbb{I}$  such that  $I \cup J \subset f(I) \cap f(J)$ .

Fix a function  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a positive integer  $n$ , we say that a set  $M \subset \mathbb{I}$  is  $(n, \varepsilon)$ -*separated* if for any different points  $x, y \in M$  there is  $i < n$  with  $|f^i(x) - f^i(y)| > \varepsilon$ . Let  $S(n, \varepsilon)$  denote the maximal cardinality of an  $(n, \varepsilon)$ -separated set. The *topological entropy* of a map  $f$  is defined by

$$h(f) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log S(n, \varepsilon)).$$

See e.g. [2]; compare [8] or [3]. In our considerations it will only be important that every turbulent Darboux map has a positive entropy [8, Proposition 2.4].

LEMMA 1. *Assume  $g : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  is Darboux. If  $g$  has a periodic point  $x$  with odd period  $k > 1$ , then  $g^n$  is turbulent for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $k$  is the minimal odd number in  $\text{Per}(g) \setminus \{1\}$ . Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  be the orbit of  $x$  with  $x_1 < \dots < x_k$ , and let  $J = [x_1, x_k]$ . Note that  $g$  permutes the orbit. Clearly,  $g(x_i) \neq x_i$  for each  $i$ , thus  $g(x_1) > x_1$  and  $g(x_k) < x_k$ . So, we can choose the largest  $i$  for which  $g(x_i) > x_i$ . Set  $I_1 = [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ . Since  $g(x_{i+1}) < x_{i+1}$ , it follows that  $g(x_{i+1}) \leq x_i$ , and consequently  $I_1 \rightarrow_g I_1$ .

In what follows, by a *basic interval* we will understand any interval of the form  $[x_j, x_{j+1}]$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  denote the family of all basic intervals. Set  $\mathcal{O}_1 = \{I_1\}$  and for  $j > 1$  let  $\mathcal{O}_j$  be the family of all  $J \in \mathcal{J}$  which are  $g$ -covered by some  $I \in \mathcal{O}_{j-1}$ .

CLAIM 1.  *$I_1 \in \mathcal{O}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_2 \neq \{I_1\}$  and  $I_1 \rightarrow I_2$  for every  $I_2 \in \mathcal{O}_2$ . Moreover,  $\bigcup \mathcal{O}_2$  is an interval.*

In fact, since  $x$  has period  $k > 2$ , either  $g(x_{i+1}) \neq x_i$  or  $g(x_i) \neq x_{i+1}$ . Thus  $g(I_1)$  includes at least one basic interval  $I_2 \neq I_1$ , and for every such interval we have  $I_1 \rightarrow I_2$ . Finally, since  $g$  is Darboux,  $\bigcup \mathcal{O}_2$  is an interval.

CLAIM 2. *The sequence  $\{\mathcal{O}_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  is increasing and  $\bigcup \mathcal{O}_l$  is connected for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Indeed, by Claim 1,  $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$  and  $\bigcup \mathcal{O}_2$  is an interval. Thus  $\mathcal{O}_2 \subset \mathcal{O}_3$ . We will show that  $\bigcup \mathcal{O}_3$  is an interval. Suppose  $\bigcup \mathcal{O}_3$  is not connected. Then there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq a < b \leq k$  and  $[x_a, x_b] \cap \bigcup \mathcal{O}_3 = \{x_a, x_b\}$ . By the Darboux property of  $g$ , for every basic interval  $[x_j, x_{j+1}] \in \mathcal{O}_2$ ,  $g(x_j)$  and  $g(x_{j+1})$  are on the same side of  $[x_a, x_b]$ . But  $\bigcup \mathcal{O}_2$  is connected and so all images of points  $x_j$  from  $\bigcup \mathcal{O}_2$  lie on the same side of  $[x_a, x_b]$ . This is impossible because there exist  $x'_a, x'_b \in \bigcup \mathcal{O}_2$  such that  $f(x'_a) = x_a$  and  $f(x'_b) = x_b$ .

Proceeding inductively, we verify that  $\mathcal{O}_l \subset \mathcal{O}_{l+1}$  and  $\bigcup \mathcal{O}_{l+1}$  is connected for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

CLAIM 3. *For every interval  $I_{l+1} \in \mathcal{O}_{l+1}$  there is a chain of intervals  $I_2, \dots, I_l$ , with  $I_j \in \mathcal{O}_j$  for each  $j$ , which satisfy  $I_1 \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I_l \rightarrow I_{l+1}$ .*

CLAIM 4.  *$\mathcal{O}_{l+1} = \mathcal{O}_l$  for some  $l \leq k - 1$ . For such  $l$  the set  $\mathcal{O}_l$  contains all basic intervals, and therefore  $I_1 \xrightarrow{g^l} J$ .*

Such an  $l \leq k - 1$  exists because all  $\mathcal{O}_l$  are included in  $\mathcal{J}$ , the sequence  $\{\mathcal{O}_l\}_l$  is increasing and  $\mathcal{J}$  has only  $k - 1$  elements. Now, since  $x_1 = f^a(x_i)$  and  $x_k = f^b(x_i)$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ , we see that  $\mathcal{O}_l$  contains the basic intervals  $[x_1, x_2]$  and  $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ . By Claim 2,  $\bigcup \mathcal{O}_l$  is connected, thus  $\mathcal{O}_l$  contains all basic intervals.

CLAIM 5. *There is at least one basic interval  $I$  different from  $I_1$  which  $g$ -covers  $I_1$ .*

In fact, since  $k$  is odd, more of the points  $x_j$  lie on one side of  $I_1$ , say on the left, than on the other. Hence there is a  $j \leq i$  such that  $g(x_j) \leq x_i$ . Let  $j$  be the least integer with this property. Since  $g(x_i) > x_i$ , we have  $j < i$  and  $g(x_{j+1}) \geq x_{j+1}$ . Set  $I = [x_j, x_{j+1}]$ . Then  $I_1 \neq I$ , and the Darboux property of  $g$  yields  $I \rightarrow I_1$ .

Finally, let  $n = l + 1$ . Since  $J$  is  $g^l$ -covered by  $I_1$ , it is  $g^n$ -covered by  $I$ . Thus  $I_1$  and  $I$  are non-overlapping,  $I_1 \cup I \subset J$  and  $I_1 \cup I \subset J \subset g^n(I_1) \cap g^n(I)$ . ■

THEOREM 2. *Assume  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  is Darboux. If  $f$  has a periodic point  $x$  with prime period  $2^n k$ , where  $k > 1$  is odd and  $n \geq 0$ , then  $h(f) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $g = f^{2^n}$ ; then  $g$  has a cycle of prime period  $k$ . By Lemma 1,  $g^m$  is turbulent for some  $m$ , so  $h(g^m) > 0$ . By [3, Proposition 3.6],  $h(g^m) = mh(g)$ , so  $h(g) > 0$ , and consequently  $h(f) > 0$ . ■

**THEOREM 3.** *For every set  $A$  of positive integers with  $1 \in A$  there exists an almost continuous function  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  such that  $f(J) = \mathbb{I}$  for each non-degenerate interval  $J \subset \mathbb{I}$ , and  $\text{Per}(f) = A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{K_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  be a well-ordering of the family of all closed subsets  $K \subset \mathbb{I}^2$  with  $|\text{dom}(K)| = \mathfrak{c}$ . First, choose a family  $\{B_n : n \in A\}$  of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{I}$  with  $|B_n| = n$  for each  $n \in A$ . Let  $B = \bigcup_{n \in A} B_n$ . Note that  $B$  is countable.

For  $n \in A$  set  $B_n = \{b_0^n, b_1^n, \dots, b_{n-1}^n\}$  and define  $f_n : B_n \rightarrow B_n$  by the formula  $f_n(b_i^n) = b_{i+1}^n$  for  $i < n - 1$ , and  $f_n(b_{n-1}^n) = b_0^n$ . Let  $f' = \bigcup_{n \in A} f_n$ . Then  $f'$  is a function which permutes the set  $B$ . We will extend  $f'$  to an almost continuous function  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ . For every  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  choose (by transfinite induction) an  $x_\alpha \in \mathbb{I}$  and define  $f(x_\alpha)$  such that:

- (1)  $x_\alpha \in \text{dom}(K_\alpha) \setminus [\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \{x_\beta, f(x_\beta)\} \cup B]$ ;
- (2)  $(x_\alpha, f(x_\alpha)) \in K_\alpha$ .

Let  $C = \mathbb{I} \setminus \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup B$ . Define  $f'' : C \rightarrow C$  to be the identity function on  $C$ .

Clearly, the relation  $f = f' \cup f'' \cup \{(x_\alpha, f(x_\alpha)) : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  is a function which maps  $\mathbb{I}$  into  $\mathbb{I}$ . By (1) and (2),  $f \in \text{ACS}$ , and  $f(J) = \mathbb{I}$  for each non-degenerate interval  $J \subset \mathbb{I}$  (observe that for each closed non-degenerate interval  $J$  and every  $y \in \mathbb{I}$  the set  $J \times \{y\}$  belongs to the family  $\{K_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ ). Since  $f' \subset f$ ,  $A \subset \text{Per}(f)$ . We will verify that  $\text{Per}(f) \subset A$ . It is enough to prove that  $f \upharpoonright (\mathbb{I} \setminus (B \cup C))$  has no periodic points with period  $k > 1$ . So, suppose that  $a \in \mathbb{I} \setminus B$  is a periodic point of  $f$  with period  $k > 1$ . Let  $a_0 = a$  and  $a_i = f^i(a)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$ , and let  $\alpha_i < \mathfrak{c}$  be the ordinal such that  $a_i = x_{\alpha_i}$ . Observe that  $\alpha_0 > \alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_{k-1}$ , so  $\alpha_0 > \alpha_{k-1}$ . But  $f(a_{k-1}) = a_0 = x_{\alpha_0} \in \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha_{k-1}\} \subset \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha_0\}$ , in contradiction with (1). ■

Obviously, if  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  maps every non-degenerate interval  $J \subset \mathbb{I}$  onto  $\mathbb{I}$ , then it is turbulent, hence  $h(f) > 0$ . Thus  $f$  constructed above for  $A = \{1\}$  solves Pawlak's problem in the negative.

**REMARK.** Note that for the function  $f$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 3 each point  $x \in \mathbb{I}$  is *eventually periodic*, i.e., for each  $x$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f^n(x)$  is a periodic point for  $f$ . (See [4].)

Indeed, clearly each  $x \in B \cup C$  is a periodic point of  $f$ . Now, fix  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  and assume that  $f(x_\alpha) \neq x_\alpha$ . We will show that  $f^n(x_\alpha) \in B \cup C$  for some  $n < \omega$ . Suppose otherwise:  $f^n(x_\alpha) \in \mathbb{I} \setminus (B \cup C) = \{x_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$  for all  $n$ . For each  $n$  let  $\alpha_n$  be the ordinal such that  $f^n(x_\alpha) = x_{\alpha_n}$ . Then  $f(x_{\alpha_n}) = x_{\alpha_{n+1}}$  and (1) yields  $\alpha_{n+1} < \alpha_n$ , thus we obtain an infinite strictly decreasing sequence of ordinals, a contradiction.

Finally, note that the function constructed in the proof of Theorem 3 can be both Lebesgue and Baire measurable. (In fact, it is enough to choose

points  $x_\alpha$  from some meager and null set which is  $c$ -dense in  $\mathbb{I}$ .) However, such a construction does not work in the case of Borel measurability. Hence we pose the following question.

**PROBLEM 4.** *Does there exist, for every set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \in A$ , a Borel measurable function  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  which satisfies all conditions of Theorem 3 (i.e.,  $f \in \text{ACS}$ ,  $h(f) > 0$ , and  $\text{Per}(f) = A$ )?*

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Prof. R. Pawlak for drawing our attention to the problem.

The work of the second author is supported by UG grant BW/5100-5-0157-9.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. S. Block and W. A. Coppel, *Dynamics in One Dimension*, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [2] R. Bowen, *Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 153 (1971), 401–414; Erratum, *ibid.* 181 (1973), 509–510.
- [3] M. Čiklová, *Dynamical systems generated by functions with connected  $G_\delta$  graphs*, Real Anal. Exchange 30 (2004/05), 617–637.
- [4] R. L. Devaney, *An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems*, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, CA, 1986.
- [5] K. R. Kellum, *Iterates of almost continuous functions and Sharkovskii's theorem*, Real Anal. Exchange 14 (1988/89), 420–422.
- [6] K. R. Kellum and B. D. Garrett, *Almost continuous real functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972), 181–184.
- [7] T. Natkaniec, *Almost continuity*, Real Anal. Exchange 17 (1991/92), 462–520.
- [8] R. J. Pawlak, *On the entropy of Darboux functions*, Colloq. Math. 116 (2009), 227–241.
- [9] J. Stallings, *Fixed point theorems for connectivity maps*, Fund. Math. 47 (1959), 249–263.
- [10] P. Szuca, *Sharkovskii's theorem holds for some discontinuous functions*, *ibid.* 179 (2003), 27–41.
- [11] —, *Fixed points of Darboux maps*, Ph.D. thesis, Gdańsk, 2003 (in Polish).

Tomasz Natkaniec, Piotr Szuca  
 Institute of Mathematics  
 Gdańsk University  
 Wita Stwosza 57  
 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland  
 E-mail: mattn@mat.ug.edu.pl  
 pszuca@radix.com.pl

*Received 20 October 2009;  
 revised 20 February 2010*

(5290)