VOL. 110

2008

NO. 2

## PARTIAL VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE FOR FINITELY GENERATED GROUPS OF POLYNOMIAL GROWTH AND SOME FOLIATED SPACES

## ΒY

## ANDRZEJ BIŚ (Łódź)

**Abstract.** We generalize the notion of topological pressure to the case of a finitely generated group of continuous maps and introduce group measure entropy. Also, we provide an elementary proof that any finitely generated group of polynomial growth admits a group invariant measure and show that for a group of polynomial growth its measure entropy is less than or equal to its topological entropy. The dynamical properties of groups of polynomial growth are reflected in the dynamics of some foliated spaces.

1. Introduction. The concept of entropy of a transformation plays a crucial role in topological dynamics. The notion of topological entropy was introduced by Adler, Konheim and McAndrew in [1] as an invariant of topological conjugacy. Later, Bowen [8] and Dinaburg [14] presented an equivalent approach to the notion of entropy in the case when the domain of the transformation is a metrizable space. The topological entropy h(f) of a homeomorphism f measures the complexity of the transformation acting on a compact topological space in the sense that it shows the rate at which the action of the transformation disperses points.

Since the entropy appeared to be a very useful invariant in ergodic theory and dynamical systems, there were several attemps to find suitable generalizations of it to other systems, like groups, pseudogroups, graphs, foliations. Among others, Ghys, Langevin and Walczak [20] proposed a definition of topological entropy for finitely generated groups and pseudogroups of continuous transformations. Bis and Walczak [7] applied the notion of entropy of a group to hyperbolic groups in the sense of Gromov to study their geometry and dynamics. Friedland [19] used the notion of entropy to study some aspects of dynamics of graphs and semigroups.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 28A65; Secondary 37C85, 37B40.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$  topological entropy, measure-theoretic entropy, variational principle, groups of polynomial growth, invariant measures, foliations, foliated spaces.

Supported by EU Marie Curie Mobility International Fellowship MOIF-CT-2004-002641.

A. BIŚ

Adler, Konheim and McAndrew [1] stated the hypothesis, called the *variational principle*, that the topological entropy of a dynamical system, determined by a single transformation, is the supremum of all measure entropies taken with respect to all invariant Borel probability measures.

Dinaburg described the relation between topological entropy and measure entropy, two characteristics of a dynamical system determined by a single transformation, in the case of a space of finite dimension and a homeomorphism. Goodwyn [22] proved that the topological entropy is not less than the measure entropy of a dynamical system. Finally, Goodman [21] proved the hypothesis stated by Adler, Konheim and McAndrew in [1].

The notion of pressure, which is a generalization of topological entropy for an action of the group  $\mathbb{Z}^N$  on a compact metric space, was introduced by Ruelle in [32]. Given a continuous real function  $\phi$  on a compact metric space X one tries to maximize the functional  $\Phi_f(\mu) = h_\mu(f) + \int_X \phi \, d\mu$ , where  $f: X \to X$  is a continuous map and  $h_\mu(f)$  is the measure entropy of f with respect to an f-invariant measure  $\mu$ . The supremum of  $\Phi_f(\mu)$  over all f-invariant probability measures  $\mu$  on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is the topological pressure  $P(f, \phi)$ . Then the variational principle can be rewritten in the form

$$P(f,\phi) = \sup\left\{h_{\mu}(f) + \int_{X} \phi \, d\mu : \mu \in M(f)\right\}$$

where M(f) denotes the set of all f-invariant Borel probability measures defined on X.

A general proof of the variational principle for an action of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  was given by Walters [36] and by Denker [13]. Some generalization of the variational principle to actions of  $\mathbb{Z}_+^N$  was found by Elsanousi [17]. A very short and elegant proof of the variational principle for an action of  $\mathbb{Z}_+^N$  on a compact space was given by Misiurewicz [28]. A generalization to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  actions was provided by Tagi-Zade [34].

In this paper we show that for arbitrary finitely generated groups of continuous maps, of polynomial growth, there exists a group invariant measure. The main result of the paper states that the group measure entropy of a finitely generated group of polynomial growth is less than or equal to its topological entropy. The dynamical properties of finitely generated groups of polynomial growth are reflected in the dynamics of some foliated spaces. The notion of foliation (or more generally of foliated space) generally corresponds to a decomposition of a manifold into the union of connected submanifolds of the same dimension, called leaves, which are piled up locally like pages of a book; for a detailed introduction see [9], [10].

For a foliated space  $(M_G, F_G)$  determined by the suspension of a group  $(G, G_1)$  of polynomial growth we find that the measure entropy of the foli-

ation  $F_G$  is upper bounded by the geometric entropy of  $F_G$  multiplied by a constant dependent on the geometry of  $(M_G, F_G)$ .

Therefore, we get some partial variational principle for groups of polynomial growth and its analogue for some foliated spaces.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we recall different approaches to the problem of the existence of a group invariant measure, we construct an example of a group without any group invariant measure and we recall the known fact that a finitely generated abelian group admits a group invariant measure. Also, we provide an example of a non-abelian finitely generated group which has a group invariant measure. In Section 3, we recall the notion of the growth of a group and cite a few results which motivate our restricting attention to finitely generated groups of exponential or of polynomial growth. We study the algebraic structure of those groups and introduce the notions of "nice groups" which will be used later. The nice groups form a large class of groups which embraces abelian groups, hyperbolic groups, groups of polynomial growth, groups of exponential growth and others. In Section 4, we define and discuss the notion of topological pressure  $P((G, G_1), f)$  for a finitely generated group  $(G, G_1)$ . In Section 5, we define the measure entropy for a finitely generated group and prove the main result of the paper:

THEOREM 1. For a nice group  $(G, G_1)$ , measure  $\mu \in M(X, (G, G_1))$  and  $f \in C(X)$  we have the inequality

$$h_{\mu}(G,G_1) + \int_X f \, d\mu \le P((G,G_1),f)$$

where  $M(X, (G, G_1))$  denotes the set of G-invariant measures.

In Section 6, we restrict our attention to finitely generated groups of polynomial growth. We prove (Proposition 8) that any finitely generated group of homomorphisms of a compact metric space, of polynomial growth, admits a group invariant measure. Finally, in Section 7 we show that the dynamical properties of groups of polynomial growth are reflected in the dynamics of some foliated spaces. Given a finitely generated group  $(G, G_1)$  of polynomial growth we construct a compact foliated space  $(M_G, F_G)$  modeled transversally on a compact metric space  $\Gamma$ , with analogous dynamical properties. Moreover, we get:

COROLLARY 3. For a compact foliated space  $(M_G, F_G)$ , determined by the suspension of a finitely generated group  $(G, G_1)$  of polynomial growth, with a continuous family  $g_{M_G}$  of Riemannian structures on the leaves, and for any measure  $\mu \in M(X, (G, G_1))$  we get

$$\sup\{h_{\mu}(G,G_1); \mu \in M(\Gamma,(G,G_1))\} \le ah_{\text{geom}}(F_G,g_{M_G}),$$

A. BIS

where  $h_{\text{geom}}(F_G, g_{M_G})$  is the geometric entropy of  $F_G$  with respect to the Riemannian structure  $g_{M_G}$ , and a denotes the maximum of the lengths of the free homotopy classes of curves homotopic to elements of  $G_1$ .

**2. Existence of a group invariant measure.** Let X be a compact metric space with distance function d. Consider a group G of homeomorphisms of X. The group G is assumed to be finitely generated, i.e. there exists a finite set  $G_1 = \{ id_X, g_1, g_1^{-1}, \ldots, g_k, g_k^{-1} \}$  such that

$$G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n,$$

where

$$G_n = \{g_1 \circ \cdots \circ g_n : X \to X\}_{g_1, \dots, g_n \in G_1}.$$

We always assume that  $id_X \in G_1$ . This implies that  $G_m \subset G_n$  for all  $m \leq n$ . To emphasize the generating set we shall write  $(G, G_1)$  instead of G.

DEFINITION 1. A Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on X is said to be *G*-invariant if  $\mu \circ g = \mu$  for any  $g \in G$ .

It is well known that if G is abelian then a G-invariant measure exists (see [17]). But in the case of an arbitrary finitely generated group  $(G, G_1)$  a G-invariant measure may not exist.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $f_i : S^1 \to S^1$  be diffeomorphisms of a circle with a source  $A_i$  and a sink  $B_i$ , i = 1, 2, such that  $\{A_1, B_1\} \cap \{A_2, B_2\} = \emptyset$ . Then the group  $(G, G_1)$  generated by  $G_1 = \{\mathrm{id}_{S^1}, f_1, f_1^{-1}, f_2, f_2^{-1}\}$  has no *G*-invariant measure. Indeed, if  $\mu$  were a *G*-invariant measure then  $\mathrm{supp}\,\mu$  (the complement of the set of all  $x \in S^1$  which admit an open neighbourhood V such that  $\mu(V) = 0$ ) would be a subset of a nonwandering set. But in this case, the nonwandering set is empty.

EXAMPLE 2. The orthogonal group O(n) acting on  $S^n$  is a non-abelian group admitting an O(n)-invariant Haar measure. Thus, a free subgroup  $F_2$ of O(n) admits an  $F_2$ -invariant measure.

Bounded groups and a group invariant measure. Ramachandran and Misiurewicz [31] considered a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, P)$  and a group G of measurable and nonsingular transformations defined on  $(X, \mathcal{A}, P)$ . They proved a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a finite G-invariant measure.

We say that a finite additive measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is *equivalent* to the measure P provided for any set  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu(E) = 0$  iff P(E) = 0. A measurable transformation  $f : X \to X$  is called *nonsingular* if for any  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  the condition P(E) > 0 implies  $P(f^{-1}(E)) > 0$ . Two measurable sets E and F are said to be *equivalent* if

- 1) there exist sets E' and F' such that  $P((E \setminus E') \cup (E' \setminus E)) = 0$  and  $P((F \setminus F') \cup (F' \setminus F)) = 0$ ,
- 2) there exists a sequence  $(E_j)$  such that  $E' = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ ,
- 3) there exists a sequence  $(F_j)$  such that  $F' = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$ ,
- 4) there exists a sequence  $(g_i) \subset G$  such that for every j,

$$F_j = g_j(E_j).$$

Following [31], we say that a set  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  is *bounded* if it is not equivalent to a measure-theoretically proper subset of itself. Finally, we say that a group G is bounded if X is bounded.

PROPOSITION 1 (Theorem 1 in [31]). A finite G-invariant measure equivalent to P exists if and only if the group G is bounded.

Measure preserving groups of transformations were studied by Alpern and Prasad [2] and Oxtoby and Ulam [29]. In the compact case, the topological and algebraic properties of those groups were investigated by Fathi [18].

**3. Growth rate of a group.** We recommend [25] as a survey of results on the growth rate of groups. In this section we shall consider only finitely generated groups. More precisely, a group G is said to be *finitely generated* if there exists a finite set  $G_1 = \{g_1, \ldots, g_k, g_1^{-1}, \ldots, g_k^{-1}\}$  such that

$$G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$$

where

$$G_n = \{g_1 \circ \cdots \circ g_n : g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G_1\}.$$

We always assume that e, the neutral element of G, belongs to the generating set  $G_1$ . This implies that  $G_m \subset G_n$  for all  $m \leq n$ . Let  $|G_n|$  denote the cardinality of  $G_n$ .

Following de la Harpe [25] we introduce the following definitions:

DEFINITION 2. Let  $(G, G_1)$  be a finitely generated group. The exponential growth rate of  $(G, G_1)$  is the upper limit

$$w(G,G_1) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{|G_k|}.$$

The limsup is in fact a limit because the inequality  $|G_{k+n}| \leq |G_k| |G_n|$ implies the existence of  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \sqrt[k]{|G_k|}$ .

DEFINITION 3. The group  $(G, G_1)$  is said to be of

- (a) exponential growth if  $w(G, G_1) > 1$ ,
- (b) subexponential growth if  $w(G, G_1) = 1$ ,
- (c) polynomial growth of degree d if  $|G_k| \leq ak^d$  for some a > 0 and  $d \geq 0$ ,

(e) *intermediate growth* if it is of subexponential growth and not of polynomial growth.

It is known that the property of being of exponential growth (resp., subexponential growth, polynomial growth, intermediate growth) depends only on the group G, and not on the choice of the generating set  $G_1$ . Also, a finitely generated group is necessarily of one (and only one) of three types: exponential growth, polynomial growth or intermediate growth (see [25]).

There are many results on finitely generated groups of exponential or polynomial growth; let us quote a few of them:

PROPOSITION 2 ([25, p. 187]). A finitely generated group which contains a free semigroup on two generators is of exponential growth.

PROPOSITION 3 ([23]). A finitely generated group of polynomial growth has a nilpotent subgroup of finite index.

PROPOSITION 4 ([15], [24], [4]). If  $(G, G_1)$  is a finitely generated nilpotent group, then  $(G, G_1)$  is of polynomial growth and

$$a_1k^d \le |G_k| \le a_2k^d,$$

where d is the homogeneous dimension of  $(G, G_1)$  and  $a_1, a_2$  are some positive constants.

PROPOSITION 5 ([25, Proposition 22]). The Heisenberg group  $(G, G_1)$  is of polynomial growth and there exist constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$c_1 k^4 \le |G_k| \le c_2 k^4$$

PROPOSITION 6 ([12]). If  $(G, G_1)$  is a hyperbolic group, then there exist positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  and w > 1 such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$c_1 w^k \le |G_k| \le c_2 w^k.$$

LEMMA 1. If  $(G, G_1)$  is a group of either exponential growth or polynomial growth, then there exists a constant  $A \ge 1$  such that

$$|G_m| |G_n| \le A|G_{mn}|$$

for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough.

*Proof.* (a) Let  $(G, G_1)$  be a group of exponential growth. Then

$$w = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{|G_k|} > 1.$$

Therefore, for small  $\varepsilon > 0$  and large  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  we get

$$(w+\varepsilon)^{m+n} < (w-\varepsilon)^{mn}.$$

Moreover,

$$(w - \varepsilon)^m \le |G_m| \le (w + \varepsilon)^m,$$
  

$$(w - \varepsilon)^n \le |G_n| \le (w + \varepsilon)^n,$$
  

$$(w - \varepsilon)^{mn} \le |G_{mn}| \le (w + \varepsilon)^{mn}.$$

Thus,

$$|G_m| |G_n| \le (w + \varepsilon)^{m+n} \le (w - \varepsilon)^{mn} \le |G_{mn}|.$$

(b) Assume now that  $(G, G_1)$  is of polynomial growth. Then by Proposition 3, G has a nilpotent subgroup H of finite index. Bass [4] proved that for a finitely generated nilpotent group H there exist positive constants  $A_1, A_2, d$  such that

$$A_1 n^d \le |H_n| \le A_2 n^d.$$

Therefore, for the finitely generated group  $(G, G_1)$  of polynomial growth there exist positive constants  $A_3, A_4, d$  such that

$$A_3 n^d \le |G_n| \le A_4 n^d,$$

which implies that there exists a positive constant A such that

$$|G_m| |G_n| \le A|G_{mn}|. \blacksquare$$

The above mentioned result motivates the following definition:

DEFINITION 4. A finitely generated group  $(G, G_1)$  is said to be *nice* if there exist constants  $A \ge 1$  and  $k_0$  such that for all  $m, n > k_0$ ,

$$|G_n| |G_m| \le A |G_{mn}|.$$

REMARK. Milnor [27] showed that the type of growth of the fundamental group of a compact Riemannian manifold M determines the geometry of Mand is related to the growth type of the manifold. The growth type of the manifold is determined by the volumes of balls in the universal covering of M. One of the most important results relating both types of growth is a theorem due to Shvarts [33] and Milnor [27], which says that the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  of a compact manifold M and the universal covering of M have the same type of growth.

An approach to the growth of groups, originating from foliation theory, based on the paper of Egashira [16], was presented by Walczak in [35]. Badura [3] showed that any growth type can be realized by a leaf of a  $C^1$ foliation of a compact manifold.

4. Topological pressure of a group. Let X be a compact metric space with distance function d. Consider a group G of homeomorphisms of X. The group G is assumed to be finitely generated, e.g. there exists a finite set  $G_1 = \{ \operatorname{id}_X, g_1, g_1^{-1}, \dots, g_k, g_k^{-1} \}$  such that  $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n,$ 

where

$$G_n = \{g_1 \circ \cdots \circ g_n : X \to X\}_{g_1, \dots, g_n \in G_1}$$

Denote by C(X) the set of continuous functions defined on X, and let D denote the set of all neighbourhoods of the diagonal in  $X \times X$ .

Let  $\delta > 0$  and let

$$N_{\delta} := \{ (x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \delta \}$$

be the  $\delta$ -neighbourhood of the diagonal in  $X \times X$ . For fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}, \delta > 0$ and a continuous function  $f \in C(X)$  we put

$$N(\delta, n) := \bigcap_{g \in G_{n-1}} (g \times g)^{-1} N_{\delta}, \quad f_n := \sum_{g \in G_{n-1}} f \circ g.$$

Modifying the definitions stated in [28, p. 1070], we give

DEFINITION 5. A finite set  $E \subset X$  is called

- (a)  $(n, \delta)$ -separated if  $(x, y) \notin N(\delta, n)$  for any distinct  $x, y \in E$ ,
- (b)  $(n, \delta)$ -spanning if for any  $x \in X$  there exists  $y \in E$  such that  $(x, y) \in N(\delta, n)$ .

Definition 6. Let

$$p(f, E) := \log \sum_{x \in E} \exp f(x),$$

$$P_{n,\delta}((G, G_1), f) := \sup \{ p(f, E) : E \text{ is } (n, \delta) \text{-separated} \},$$

$$P_{\delta}((G, G_1), f) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|G_{n-1}|} P_{n,\delta}((G, G_1), f).$$

LEMMA 2. If  $\alpha < \beta$ , then  $P_{n,\alpha}((G,G_1),f) \ge P_{n,\beta}((G,G_1),f)$ .

**DEFINITION 7.** The quantity

$$P((G,G_1),f) := \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} P_{\delta}((G,G_1),f)$$

is called the *pressure* of the group  $(G, G_1)$  with respect to the function f. By Lemma 2,  $P((G, G_1)f)$  is well defined.

REMARK. It is easy to notice that the pressure of  $(G, G_1)$  depends on the generating set. However, if  $G_1$  and  $G'_1$  are two generating sets of the same group G, then  $P((G, G_1), f) > 0$  if and only if  $P((G, G'_1), f) > 0$ . Therefore we can speak about the group of positive pressure without referring to the generating set.

Moreover, the topological entropy  $h_{top}((G, G_1))$  satisfies the equality

$$h_{top}((G, G_1)) = P((G, G_1), 0).$$

More information on the topological entropy of a group can be found in [5] and [6].

5. Partial variational principle. Denote by  $M(X, (G, G_1))$  the set of *G*-invariant measures. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite Borel partition of X. For a partition  $\mathcal{A}$  we define the partition

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \bigvee_{g \in G_{n-1}} g^{-1} \mathcal{A}.$$

Modifying Conze's definition of measure entropy for abelian groups ([11]), we define measure entropy for an arbitrary finitely generated group in the following way:

DEFINITION 8. For a finite Borel partition  $\mathcal{A}$  of X and measure  $\mu \in M(X, (G, G_1))$  we define

$$h_{\mu}((G,G_1),\mathcal{A}) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|G_{n-1}|} H_{\mu}(\mathcal{A}_n),$$

where  $H_{\mu}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  denotes the standard measure entropy of the partition  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . Finally,

 $h_{\mu}(G, G_1) := \sup\{h_{\mu}((G, G_1), \mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A} \text{ a finite Borel partition of } X\}.$ 

THEOREM 1. For a nice group  $(G, G_1)$ , measure  $\mu \in M(X, (G, G_1))$  and  $f \in C(X)$ , we have the inequality

$$h_{\mu}(G,G_1) + \int_X f \, d\mu \le P((G,G_1),f).$$

COROLLARY 1. For a nice group  $(G, G_1)$  acting on a compact metric space X and any G-invariant measure  $\mu \in M(X, (G, G_1))$ ,

$$h_{\mu}(G,G_1) \le h(G,G_1).$$

To prove Theorem 1 we need a few technical lemmas.

LEMMA 3. For a finite Borel partition  $\mathcal{A}_n = \{a_1, \ldots, a_s\}$  of X, any  $\mu \in M(X, (G, G_1))$ , and any positive  $\zeta$ , there exists a finite Borel partition  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_s\}$  of X such that

- (a)  $b_i$  is a compact subset of  $a_i$  for any  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ ,
- (b)  $H_{\mu}(\mathcal{A}_n \mid \mathcal{B}) \leq \zeta.$

*Proof.* Choose  $\zeta, \varepsilon > 0$  satisfying  $\varepsilon |\mathcal{A}_n| \log |\mathcal{A}_n| < \zeta$ . Since a Borel probability measure is regular, for each  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_n$  there exists a compact set  $b_i \subset a_i$  such that  $\mu(a_i \setminus b_i) < \varepsilon$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ . Consider the partition

A. BIŚ

 $\mathcal{B} = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ , where  $b_0 = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^s b_i$ . Following the proof of Theorem 8.6 in [37], we get

 $H_{\mu}(\mathcal{A}_n \,|\, \mathcal{B}) < \varepsilon |\mathcal{A}_n| \log |\mathcal{A}_n| < \zeta.$ 

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_s\}$  be the partition of X described in the above lemma. For distinct *i* and *j* we have

$$(b_i \times b_j) \cap \{(x, x) : x \in X\} = \emptyset$$

Therefore

$$O_{\varepsilon} = (X \times X) \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j; i,j=1}^{s} (b_i \times b_j)$$

is an open neighbourhood of the diagonal.

LEMMA 4. Given  $f \in C(X)$ . For any  $\zeta > 0$  there exists  $0 < \delta^* \leq \zeta$  such that

(a) if  $(x, y) \in N_{\delta^*}$ , then  $(y, x) \in N_{\delta^*}$ ,

(b) if  $(x, y), (y, z) \in N_{\delta^*}$  then  $(x, z) \in O_{\varepsilon}$ ,

(c) if  $(x,y) \in N_{\delta^*}$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| \le \zeta$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $\zeta > 0$ . Denote by  $B(x, r_x)$  the ball in  $X \times X$  centered at (x, x) of radius  $r_x$  such that  $B(x, r_x) \subset O_{\varepsilon}$ . Since the diagonal is compact, it is covered by a finite subfamily  $(B(x_i, r_{x_i}))_{i=1}^k$ . Now, it is easy to notice that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$N_{\delta} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B(x_i, r_{x_i}).$$

By the continuity of f we get  $\delta_1$  such that if  $d(x, y) < \delta_1$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \zeta$ . Taking  $\delta^* \le \min\{\delta, \delta_1, \zeta\}$  completes the proof.

DEFINITION 9. Given a group  $(G, G_1)$  and a positive integer m, denote by  $(G^{(m)}, G_1^{(m)})$  the group generated by the set  $G_1^{(m)} = \{G_m \setminus G_{m-1}\} \cup \{\mathrm{id}_X\}.$ 

LEMMA 5.

$$\mathcal{A}_{nm} = \bigvee_{k \in G_{n-1}^{(m)}} k^{-1} \Big(\bigvee_{g \in G_{m-1}} g^{-1} \mathcal{A}\Big).$$

*Proof.* Notice that any element a of the partition  $\mathcal{A}_{nm}$  may be written in the form

$$a = \bigcap_{g \in G_{mn-1}} g^{-1} A_g$$
, where  $A_g \in \mathcal{A}$ .

On the other hand, any element b of  $\bigvee_{k \in G_{n-1}^{(m)}} k^{-1}(\bigvee_{g \in G_{m-1}} g^{-1}\mathcal{A})$  may be

written, with  $A_{q,k} \in \mathcal{A}$ , in the form

$$b = \bigcap_{k \in G_{n-1}^{(m)}} k^{-1} \Big( \bigcap_{g \in G_{m-1}} g^{-1} A_{g,k} \Big) = \bigcap_{k \in G_{n-1}^{(m)}, g \in G_{m-1}} (g \circ k)^{-1} A_{g,k}.$$

Thus, we obtain equality of the above mentioned partitions.

Let  $C = \bigvee_{k \in G_{n-1}^{(m)}} k^{-1} \mathcal{B}$ , where the partition  $\mathcal{B}$  was described in Lemma 3. For any  $c \in C$  define

$$\alpha(c) := \sup\{f_{nm}(x) : x \in c\}, \quad \beta := \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \exp \alpha(c).$$

It is clear that

$$\int_{c} f_{nm} \, d\mu \le \alpha(c)\mu(c).$$

LEMMA 6.

$$H_{\mu}(\mathcal{C}) + \int_{X} f_{nm} \, d\mu \le \log \beta.$$

*Proof.* By the definitions of measure entropy and  $f_{nm}$ ,

$$H_{\mu}(\mathcal{C}) + \int_{X} f_{nm} d\mu \leq -\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \exp \alpha(c) \left(\frac{\mu(c)}{\exp \alpha(c)}\right) \log \left(\frac{\mu(c)}{\exp \alpha(c)}\right)$$
$$= \beta \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{\exp \alpha(c)}{\beta} L\left(\frac{\mu(c)}{\exp \alpha(c)}\right),$$

where  $L(x) = -x \log x$ . The concavity of L(x) yields

$$H_{\mu}(\mathcal{C}) + \int_{X} f_{nm} \, d\mu \leq \beta L \left( \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{\exp \alpha(c)}{\beta} \frac{\mu(c)}{\exp \alpha(c)} \right) = \beta L \left( \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{\mu(c)}{\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \exp \alpha(c)} \right)$$
$$= \beta L(\beta^{-1}) = \log \beta. \quad \bullet$$

LEMMA 7. Let E be an  $(nm, \delta)$ -spanning set. Then for any  $c \in C$  there exists a point  $z_c \in E$  such that

$$\alpha(c) = \sup\{f_{nm}(x) : x \in c \text{ and } (x, z_c) \in N(\delta, nm)\}.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $c \in C$  and let  $x_0$  be a point of the closure of c such that  $\alpha(c) = f_{nm}(x_0)$ . Then there exists  $y \in E$  such that

$$(x_0, y) \in N(\delta, mn) = \bigcap_{g \in G_{mn-1}} (g \times g)^{-1} N_{\delta}$$

Therefore,  $(g(x_0), g(y)) \in N_{\delta}$  for any  $g \in G_{mn-1}$ . If  $x_0 \in c$ , we are done. If  $x_0 \in \partial c$ , then by the continuity of all  $g \in G_{mn-1}$  and the fact that  $N_{\delta}$  is an open set, there exists a ball  $B(x_0, r)$  in X such that for each  $x_1 \in B(x_0, r)$  and each  $g \in G_{mn-1}$ ,

$$(g(x_1),g(y)) \in N_{\delta}.$$

So, taking  $x'_0 \in B(x_0, r) \cap c$  we get the desired point.

LEMMA 8. Let  $\zeta$  and  $\delta^*$  be as in Lemma 4. Then for any element c of the partition C there exists a point  $z_c$  such that

(a) 
$$f_{nm}(z_c) \ge \alpha(c) - \zeta |G_{nm-1}|,$$
  
(b)  $\operatorname{card} \{c \in \mathcal{C} : z_c = y\} \le 2^{|G_{n-1}|}.$ 

*Proof.* (a) Let E be an  $(mn, \delta)$ -spanning set. By Lemma 7 for  $x \in c$  there exists  $z_c \in E$  such that

$$(x, z_c) \in \bigcap_{g \in G_{nm-1}} (g \times g)^{-1} N_{\delta^*}.$$

Therefore,  $(h(x), h(z_c)) \in N_{\delta^*}$  for any  $h \in G_{mn-1}$ . By Lemma 4 we get

$$|f(h(x)) - f(h(z_c))| < \zeta.$$

Thus

$$f_{nm}(z_c) = \sum_{h \in G_{mn-1}} f \circ h(z_c) \ge \sum_{h \in G_{mn-1}} (f \circ h(x) - \zeta)$$
  
$$\ge \sup\{f_{mn}(x) : x \in c\} - \zeta |G_{mn-1}| = \alpha(c) - \zeta |G_{mn-1}|.$$

(b) The proof is similar to the proof of equation (8) in [28, p. 1072].  $\blacksquare$ 

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix  $\zeta > 0$  and choose large m such that

$$\frac{\log 2}{|G_{m-1}|} \le \zeta.$$

Let E be an  $(mn, \delta^*)$ -separated set. By Lemma 8,

$$2^{|G_{n-1}|} \sum_{y \in E} \exp f_{mn}(y) \ge \operatorname{card} \{ c \in \mathcal{C} : z_c = y \} \sum_{y \in E} \exp f_{mn}(y)$$
$$\ge \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \exp(\alpha(c) - \zeta |G_{mn-1}|).$$

Taking logarithms of both sides we arrive at

$$|G_{n-1}|\log 2 + \log\left(\sum_{y\in E} \exp f_{mn}(y)\right) \ge -\zeta |G_{mn-1}| + \log\sum_{c\in\mathcal{C}} \exp\alpha(c).$$

Thus,

(1) 
$$|G_{n-1}|\log 2 + p(f_{mn}, E) \ge -\zeta |G_{mn-1}| + \log \beta.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6,

$$\frac{H_{\mu}(\mathcal{C})}{|G_{mn-1}|} + \int_{X} f \, d\mu \le \frac{H_{\mu}(\mathcal{C}) + |G_{mn-1}| \int_{X} f \, d\mu}{|G_{mn-1}|} = \frac{H_{\mu}(\mathcal{C}) + \int_{X} f_{mn} \, d\mu}{|G_{mn-1}|} \le \frac{\log \beta}{|G_{mn-1}|}.$$

Let A be as in Definition 4. By (1) we get

$$\frac{\log \beta}{|G_{mn-1}|} \le \frac{|G_{n-1}|\log 2 + p(f_{mn}, E) + \zeta|G_{mn-1}|}{|G_{mn-1}|} \le \frac{P_{mn,\delta^*}((G, G_1), f)}{|G_{mn-1}|} + (A+1)\zeta$$

because  $\log 2 \le \zeta |G_{m-1}|$  and

$$|G_{n-1}| |G_{m-1}| \le A |G_{(n-1)(m-1)}| \le A |G_{mn-1}|.$$

So, finally we obtain

(2) 
$$\frac{H_{\mu}(\mathcal{C})}{|G_{mn-1}|} + \int_{X} f \, d\mu \le \frac{P_{mn,\delta^*}((G,G_1),f)}{|G_{mn-1}|} + (A+1)\zeta.$$

The construction of the partition  $\mathcal{B}$  implies that for any  $g \in G_n^{(m)}$ ,

$$H_{\mu}(g^{-1}\mathcal{A}_m \,|\, g^{-1}\mathcal{B}) \leq \zeta.$$

So,

$$H_{\mu}(\mathcal{A}_{mn} | \mathcal{C}) = H_{\mu}\Big(\bigvee_{g \in G_{n-1}^{(m)}} g^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{m} \ \Big| \bigvee_{g \in G_{n-1}^{(m)}} g^{-1}\mathcal{B}\Big) \le |G_{n-1}^{(m)}| \zeta \le |G_{mn-1}| \zeta.$$

Using the basic properties of conditional entropy we arrive at

$$H_{\mu}(\mathcal{A}_{mn}) \leq H_{\mu}(\mathcal{C}) + H_{\mu}(\mathcal{A}_{mn} | \mathcal{C}) \leq H_{\mu}(\mathcal{C}) + |G_{mn-1}|\zeta.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H_{\mu}(\mathcal{A}_{mn})}{|G_{mn-1}|} + & \int_{X} f \, d\mu \le \left(\frac{H_{\mu}(\mathcal{C})}{|G_{mn-1}|} + \int_{X} f \, d\mu\right) + \zeta \frac{|G_{mn-1}|}{|G_{mn-1}|} \\ \le \frac{P_{mn,\delta^{*}}((G,G_{1}),f)}{|G_{mn-1}|} + (A+2)\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limsup with respect to n we obtain

$$h_{\mu}((G,G_1),\mathcal{A}) + \int_{X} f \, d\mu \le P_{\delta^*}((G,G_1),f) + (A+2)\zeta.$$

Letting  $\zeta \to 0^+$  (then also  $\delta^* \to 0^+$ ) and taking into consideration that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arbitrary finite Borel partition, we arrive at

$$h_{\mu}(G,G_1) + \int_X f \, d\mu \le P((G,G_1),f).$$

6. Existence of a group invariant measure for a group of polynomial growth. In this section  $(G, G_1)$  is a finitely generated group of homeomorphisms of a compact metric space (X, d). Again, we assume that  $G_1 = \{ \operatorname{id}_X, g_1, g_1^{-1}, \ldots, g_k, g_k^{-1} \}.$ 

REMARK. It is clear that the group  $(G, G_1)$  generated by  $G_1 = \{ \mathrm{id}_{S^1}, f_1, f_1^{-1}, f_2, f_2^{-1} \}$ , where  $f_i$ , i = 1, 2, were described in Example 1, has no *G*-invariant measure. It is an example of a group of exponential growth. That is why in the following we restrict our attention to the groups of polynomial growth.

DEFINITION 10. Let  $(G, G_1)$  be a finitely generated group and  $A \subset G$ . The  $G_1$ -boundary of a subset A of G is the set

$$\partial_{G_1} A := \{ g \in G : g \notin A \text{ and } \exists_{s \in G_1} sg \in A \}.$$

PROPOSITION 7 ([30]). Let  $(G, G_1)$  be a finitely generated group of polynomial growth. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|G_n \cup \partial_{G_1} G_n|}{|G_n|} = 1.$$

COROLLARY 2. Let  $(G, G_1)$  be a finitely generated group of polynomial growth, and let  $g_0 \in G_1$ . Define  $A_1^{(n)} = G_{n-1} \setminus g_0 G_{n-1}$  and  $A_2^{(n)} = g_0 G_{n-1} \setminus G_{n-1}$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|A_i^{(n)}|}{|G_{n-1}|} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. By Proposition 7,

(3) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\partial_{G_1} G_n|}{|G_n|} = 0.$$

It is easy to observe that  $A_1^{(n)} = G_{n-1} \setminus g_0 G_{n-1} \subset \partial_{G_1} G_{n-2}$ . So, by (3),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|A_1^{(n)}|}{|G_{n-1}|} = 0.$$

In a similar way we observe that

$$A_2^{(n)} = g_0 G_{n-1} \setminus G_{n-1} \subset \partial_{G_1} G_{n-1}$$

and using the same argument we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|A_2^{(n)}|}{|G_{n-1}|} = 0. \quad \bullet$$

PROPOSITION 8. If  $(G, G_1)$  is a finitely generated group of homeomorphisms of a compact metric space (X, d), of polynomial growth, then there exists a G-invariant measure.

*Proof.* Let  $E_n$  be an  $(n, \delta)$ -separated subset of X. Choose a continuous function  $f \in C(X)$  and fix  $g_0 \in G_1$ . Define a measure  $\sigma_n$  concentrated on  $E_n$  by

$$\sigma(\{y\}) := \frac{\exp f_n(y)}{\sum_{y \in E_n} \exp f_n(y)}$$

for each  $y \in E_n$ , and let

$$\mu_n := \frac{1}{|G_{n-1}|} \sum_{g \in G_{n-1}} \sigma_n \circ g.$$

It is well known that the space M(X) of all Borel probability measures defined on X is a compact metric space in the weak  $w^*$ -topology. Therefore, the sequence  $\mu_n$  has a cluster point in M(X).

Consider the mapping  $\Phi_{f,g_0}: M(X) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\Phi_{f,g_0}(m) := \int_X f \, dm - \int_X f \circ g_0 \, dm$$

for any measure  $m \in M(X)$ . It is easy to check that  $\Phi_{f,g_0}$  is a continuous map. Thus if  $\mu$  is a cluster point of the sequence  $(\mu_n)$  then  $\Phi_{f,g_0}(\mu)$  is a cluster point of  $(\Phi_{f,g_0}(\mu_n))$ . To calculate the norm of the functional  $\Phi_{f,g_0}$ note first that

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{f,g_0}(\mu_n) &= \int_X (f - f \circ g_0) \, d\left(\frac{1}{|G_{n-1}|} \sum_{g \in G_{n-1}} \sigma_n \circ g\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G_{n-1}|} \sum_{g \in G_{n-1}} \int_X (f - f \circ g_0) \, d\sigma_n \circ g \\ &= \frac{1}{|G_{n-1}|} \sum_{g \in G_{n-1}} \int_X (f \circ g^{-1} - f \circ g_0 \circ g^{-1}) \, d\sigma_n \\ &= \frac{1}{|G_{n-1}|} \sum_{g \in G_{n-1}} \sum_{y \in E_n} (f \circ g^{-1}(y) - f \circ g_0 \circ g^{-1}(y)) \frac{\exp f_n(y)}{\sum_{y \in E_n} \exp f_n(y)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G_{n-1}|} \sum_{y \in E_n} \frac{\exp f_n(y)}{\sum_{y \in E_n} \exp f_n(y)} \sum_{g \in A_1^{(n)} \cup A_2^{(n)}} (f \circ g^{-1}(y) - f \circ g_0 \circ g^{-1}(y)), \end{split}$$

where  $A_1^{(n)} = G_{n-1} \setminus g_0 G_{n-1}$  and  $A_2^{(n)} = g_0 G_{n-1} \setminus G_{n-1}$ . Finally, in view of Corollary 2 we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{f,g_0}(\mu_n)\| &\leq \frac{1}{|G_{n-1}|} \sum_{y \in E_n} \frac{\exp f_n(y)}{\sum_{y \in E_n} \exp f_n(y)} \, 2\|f\| \, |A_1^{(n)} \cup A_2^{(n)}| \\ &\leq 4\|f\| \, \frac{\max\{|A_1^{(n)}|, |A_2^{(n)}|\}}{|G_{n-1}|}. \end{aligned}$$

So, letting  $n \to \infty$  we see that  $\Phi_{f,g_0}(\mu) = 0$ , and therefore the measure  $\mu$  is  $g_0$ -invariant. But  $g_0$  is an arbitrary element of  $G_1$ , thus  $\mu$  is G-invariant.

7. Suspension of a group of polynomial growth and the variational principle for the geometric entropy of foliations. The geometric entropy  $h_{\text{geom}}(F, g_*)$  of a foliation F on a compact Riemannian manifold  $(M, g_*)$ , defined by Ghys, Langevin and Walczak [20] for a regular foliation, measures the exponential rate of growth of separated leaves of F. An equivalent definition of  $h_{\text{geom}}(F, g_*)$  was given in terms of points separated by elements of a holonomy pseudogroup. Given a foliation F on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) and a nice covering  $\mathcal{U}$  which determines a holonomy pseudogroup  $(H_{\mathcal{U}}, H_1)$  of the foliated manifold (M, F) (see [20]), we get:

PROPOSITION 9 (see [20]). The geometric entropy  $h_{\text{geom}}(F,g)$  of a foliated manifold (M,F) (with respect to a continuous family g of Riemannian structures on the leaves) is equal to

$$h_{\text{geom}}(F,g) = \sup_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{h(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{U}},\mathcal{H}_1)}{\triangle(\mathcal{U})},$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  ranges over the family of all finite nice coverings of (M, F), and  $\triangle(\mathcal{U})$  denotes the the maximum of the diameters of the plaques of  $\mathcal{U}$  measured with respect to the Riemannian structures induced on the leaves.

The variational principle for the geometric entropy of foliations is an open problem. Walczak ([35, p. 141]) writes that it seems interesting and important to search for a good definition of a measure-theoretic entropy for foliations which could provide a kind of variational principle for geometric entropy. In this section we show that Theorem 1 provides a kind of partial variational principle for geometric entropy for some class of foliations.

We present a suspension construction which directly relates the dynamics of a group to the dynamics of the foliated space. To do this, take a compact metric space (Z, d), a compact Riemannian manifold B and its fundamental group  $G = \pi_1(B, b)$  at a base point  $b \in B$ . The fundamental group  $\pi_1(B)$ acts on the right in a natural way on  $\widetilde{B}$ , the universal covering of B. Assume that there exists a left action of G on Z. Let

$$M := (\widetilde{B} \times Z) / =_r$$

where the equivalence relation  $=_r$  is defined in the following way:  $(xg, z) =_r (x, gz)$  for any  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in \widetilde{B}$  and  $z \in Z$ . The space M fibres over B with fibre Z. Moreover, M can be equipped with a foliation F which consists of the leaves of the form  $L = \pi(\widetilde{B} \times \{z\})$ , where  $z \in Z$  and  $\pi : \widetilde{B} \times Z \to M$  is the canonical projection. The foliated space (M, F) is a fibre bundle with fibre Z. Then the holonomy group of (M, F) coincides with  $G = \pi_1(B, b)$ .

Given a finitely generated group  $(G, G_1)$  of polynomial growth there is a compact manifold M such that  $G = \pi_1(M)$ . Denote by  $\Gamma$  the one-point compactification of the graph of  $(G, G_1)$ . Then G acts on the compact metric space  $\Gamma$  (see [26]), so we can consider the compact foliated space

$$M_G := (M \times \Gamma) / =_r$$

with leaves  $F_G = \{L = \pi(\widetilde{M} \times \{\gamma\}) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ . The distance function  $d_{\Gamma}$  on  $\Gamma$ and the Riemannian metric  $g_M$  on M lifted via the canonical projection  $\pi$  to the leaves of  $F_G$  determine the natural metric  $g_{M_G}$  on  $M_G$  which coincides with  $d_{\Gamma}$  on  $\Gamma$  and with  $g_M$  along the leaves.

Following Example 4.3 in [20] or the last section in [7] we obtain

$$\frac{1}{a}h(G,G_1) \le h_{\text{geom}}(F_G),$$

where a denotes the maximum of the lengths of the free homotopy classes of curves homotopic to elements of  $G_1$ . Finally, we get a kind of partial variational principle for the geometric entropy of the foliation  $(M_G, F_G)$  modelled transversally on  $\Gamma$ .

COROLLARY 3. For a compact foliated space  $(M_G, F_G)$ , determined by the suspension construction of a finitely generated group  $(G, G_1)$  of polynomial growth, with a continuous family  $g_{M_G}$  of Riemannian structures on the leaves, and for any measure  $\mu \in M(\Gamma, (G, G_1))$  we get

 $\sup\{h_{\mu}(G,G_1): \mu \in M(\Gamma,(G,G_1))\} \le ah_{\text{geom}}(F_G,g_{M_G}),$ 

where  $h_{\text{geom}}(F_G, g_{M_G})$  is the geometric entropy of  $F_G$  with respect to the Riemannian structure  $g_{M_G}$ , and a denotes the maximum of the lengths of the free homotopy classes of curves homotopic to elements of  $G_1$ .

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Steven Hurder for his support and helpful conversations during the author's one year visit to the University of Illinois at Chicago. Also, he would like to thank the faculty of the Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science of UIC for their hospitality. Finally, the author would like to thank the referee for many valuable remarks which helped to improve the paper.

## REFERENCES

- R. Adler, A. Konheim and M. McAndrew, *Topological entropy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1965), 303–319.
- [2] S. R. Alpern and V. S. Prasad, Typical Dynamics of Volume-Preserving Homeomorphisms, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2001.
- [3] M. Badura, Realisations of growth types, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 25 (2005), 353-363.
- [4] H. Bass, The degree of polynomial growth of finitely generated nilpotent groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. 25 (1972), 603–614.
- [5] A. Biś, Entropies of a semigroup of maps, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 11 (2004), 639–648.

[6]	А.	Biś and	Μ.	Urbański,	Some	remarks	on	topological	entropy	of	a sen	nigroup	of
	co	ntinuous	maj	os, Cubo 8	(2006)	, 63–71.							

- [7] A. Biś and P. Walczak, Entropies of hyperbolic groups and some foliated spaces, in: Foliations—Geometry and Dynamics (Warszawa, 2000), P. Walczak et al. (eds.), World Sci., Singapore, 2002, 197–211.
- [8] R. Bowen, Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 153 (1971), 401–414.
- [9] C. Camacho and A. Neto, Geometric Theory of Foliations, Birkhäuser, 1985.
- [10] A. Candel and L. Conlon, Foliations I, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- J. Conze, Entropie d'un groupe abélien de transformations, Z. Warsch. Verw. Gebiete 25 (1973), 11–30.
- [12] M. Coornaert, Mesures de Patterson-Sullivan sur le bord d'un espace hyperbolique au sens de Gromov, Pacific J. Math. 159 (1993), 241–270.
- M. Denker, Remarques sur la pression pour les transformations continues, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 279 (1973), 967–970.
- E. I. Dinaburg, The correlation between topological entropy and metric entropy, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 190 (1970), 19-22 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 11 (1970), 13-16.
- [15] J. Dixmier, Opérateurs de rang fini dans les représentations unitaires, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 6 (1960), 13-25.
- [16] S. Egashira, Expansion growth of foliations, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse 2 (1993), 15-52.
- [17] S. Elsanousi, A variational principle for the pressure of a continuous Z<sup>2</sup>-action on a compact metric space, Amer. J. Math. 99 (1977), 77–106.
- [18] A. Fathi, Structure of the group of homeomorphisms preserving a good measure, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 13 (1980), 45–93.
- [19] S. Friedland, Entropy of graphs, semigroups and groups, in: Ergodic theory of Z<sup>d</sup> Actions, M. Policott and K. Schmidt (eds.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 228, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996, 319–343.
- [20] E. Ghys, R. Langevin et P. Walczak, Entropie géométrique des feuilletages, Acta Math. 160 (1988), 105-142.
- T. Goodman, Relating topological entropy and measure entropy, Bull. London Math. Soc. 3 (1971), 176–180.
- [22] L. W. Goodwyn, Topological entropy bounds measure-theoretic entropy, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (1969), 679–688.
- [23] M. Gromov, Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 53 (1981), 53–73.
- [24] Y. Guivarc'h, Groupes de Lie à croissance polynomiale, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 272 (1971), 1695–1696.
- [25] P. de la Harpe, Topics in Geometric Group Theory, Univ. of Chicago Press, 2000.
- [26] J. Kaminker and G. Yu, Boundary amenability of groups and positive scalar curvature, K-Theory 18 (1999), 93–97.
- [27] J. Milnor, A note on curvature and volume, J. Differential Geom. 2 (1968), 1-7.
- [28] M. Misiurewicz, A short proof of the variational principle for a Z<sup>N</sup><sub>+</sub> action, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 24 (1976), 1069–1075.
- [29] J. Oxtoby and S. Ulam, Measure preserving homeomorphisms and metrical transitivity, Ann. of Math. 42 (1941), 874–920.
- [30] P. Pansu, Croissance des boules et des géodésiques fermées dans les nilvariétés, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 3 (1983), 415–445.

- [31] D. Ramachandran and M. Misiurewicz, Hopf's theorem on invariant measures for a group of transformations, Studia Math. 74 (1982), 183–189.
- [32] D. Ruelle, Statistical mechanics on a compact set with Z<sup>v</sup>-action satisfying expansiveness and specification, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 185 (1973), 237–252.
- [33] A. S. Shvarts, A volume invariant of covering, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 105 (1955), 32-34 (in Russian).
- [34] A. T. Tagi-Zade, Variational characterization of topological entropy of continuous groups of transformations, Mat. Zametki 49 (1991), no. 3, 114–123 (in Russian).
- [35] P. Walczak, Dynamics of Foliations, Groups and Pseudogroups, Birkhäuser, 2004.
- [36] P. Walters, A variational principle for the pressure of continuous transformations, Amer. J. Math. 97 (1975), 937–971.
- [37] P. Walters, An Introduction to Ergodic Theory, Springer, 1982.

Faculty of Mathematics University of Łódź Banacha 22 90-238 Łódź, Poland E-mail: andbis@math.uni.lodz.pl

> Received 14 November 2006; revised 5 April 2007

(4818)