

*GROUPS SATISFYING THE MAXIMAL CONDITION
ON SUBNORMAL NON-NORMAL SUBGROUPS*

BY

FAUSTO DE MARI and FRANCESCO DE GIOVANNI (Napoli)

Abstract. The structure of (generalized) soluble groups for which the set of all subnormal non-normal subgroups satisfies the maximal condition is described, taking as a model the known theory of groups in which normality is a transitive relation.

1. Introduction. A group G is called a T -group if all its subnormal subgroups are normal, i.e. if normality is a transitive relation in G . The structure of soluble T -groups has been described by W. Gaschütz [12] in the finite case and by D. J. S. Robinson [13] for arbitrary groups. It turns out that soluble groups with the property T are metabelian and hypercyclic, and that finitely generated soluble T -groups are either finite or abelian; moreover, Sylow properties of periodic soluble T -groups have been studied. In recent years, many papers deal with the structure of (generalized) soluble groups in which normality is imposed only on certain systems of subnormal subgroups (see [8], [9], [11]). Other classes of generalized T -groups can be introduced by imposing that the set of all subnormal non-normal subgroups of the group is small in some sense; this point of view was for instance adopted in [1], [6] and [10]. Groups satisfying the minimal condition on subnormal non-normal subgroups have recently been investigated (see [7]), and the aim of this paper is to study soluble groups satisfying the maximal condition on subnormal non-normal subgroups.

We shall say that a group G is a \widehat{T} -group (or that G has the *property \widehat{T}*) if the set of all subnormal non-normal subgroups of G satisfies the maximal condition, i.e. if there does not exist in G an infinite properly ascending chain

$$X_1 < X_2 < \dots < X_n < \dots$$

of subnormal non-normal subgroups. Any group satisfying the maximal condition on subnormal subgroups (in particular, any polycyclic-by-finite group) is obviously a \widehat{T} -group; notice also that groups with finitely many subnormal non-normal subgroups, as well as groups in which every sub-

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 20E15, 20F22.

Key words and phrases: subnormal subgroup, maximal condition, T -group.

normal subgroup of infinite index is normal, have the property \widehat{T} . Observe finally that nilpotent \widehat{T} -groups must satisfy the maximal condition on non-normal subgroups. Locally soluble groups with this latter property have been completely described by G. Cutolo [5]; in particular, they either satisfy the maximal condition or are nilpotent of class at most 2. For instance, it turns out that the direct product $H = X \times Y$ of a non-abelian group X of order p^3 and exponent p and a group Y of type p^∞ is not a \widehat{T} -group, while the property \widehat{T} holds for the factor group $H/\langle xy \rangle$, where $Z(X) = \langle x \rangle$ and y is an element of order p of Y .

We take the known theory of T -groups as our model, and show that a number of properties of such groups have an analogue in the class of groups satisfying \widehat{T} .

Most of our notation is standard and can be found in [14].

We wish to thank the referee for his useful comments.

2. General properties of \widehat{T} -groups. In the first part of this section we describe the behaviour of the Fitting subgroup of a \widehat{T} -group. Recall that the *Baer radical* of a group G is the subgroup generated by all abelian subnormal subgroups of G , and that G is a *Baer group* if it coincides with its Baer radical. It is easy to prove that G is a Baer group if and only if all its cyclic subgroups are subnormal.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let G be a \widehat{T} -group, and let X be a subnormal non-normal subgroup of G . If X is a Baer group, then the normal closure X^G of X satisfies the maximal condition on subgroups.*

Proof. Since X is contained in the Baer radical of G , also its normal closure X^G is a Baer group. Assume by contradiction that X is not finitely generated. Since all finitely generated subgroups of X are subnormal in G , we may consider a maximal element M of the set of all finitely generated subgroups of X which are not normal in G . Thus $\langle M, x \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of G for each $x \in X \setminus M$, and hence also

$$X = \langle \langle M, x \rangle \mid x \in X \setminus M \rangle$$

is normal in G . This contradiction shows that X is finitely generated, and so it satisfies the maximal condition on subgroups. Clearly, the set of all subnormal subgroups H of G such that $X \leq H \leq X^G$ satisfies the maximal condition. It follows that for each positive integer i the locally nilpotent group $X^{G,i}/X^{G,i+1}$ has the maximal condition on subnormal subgroups, and so also the maximal condition on subgroups (see [14, Part 1, Theorem 5.37]). Therefore X^G satisfies the maximal condition on subgroups. ■

LEMMA 2.2. *Let G be a locally nilpotent group whose derived subgroup G' is finitely generated. Then G is nilpotent.*

Proof. As G' is nilpotent, it is enough to prove that G/G'' is nilpotent, so that without loss of generality it can be assumed that G' is abelian. Moreover, since the subgroup T consisting of all elements of finite order of G' is finite, we may also replace G by the factor group G/T and suppose that G' is a finitely generated torsion-free abelian group. If G' has rank r , it follows that $[G',_r G]$ is contained in $(G')^p$ for each prime number p , so that

$$[G',_r G] \leq \bigcap_p (G')^p = \{1\}$$

and the group G is nilpotent. ■

LEMMA 2.3. *Let G be a Baer group with the property \widehat{T} . Then the derived subgroup G' of G satisfies the maximal condition on subgroups.*

Proof. We may obviously suppose that G is not a Dedekind group. Let X be a maximal subnormal non-normal subgroup of G ; then G/X^G is a Dedekind group, and so $G'X^G/X^G$ is finite. On the other hand, X^G satisfies the maximal condition on subgroups by Lemma 2.1, so that in particular $G' \cap X^G$ has this property, and hence G' itself satisfies the maximal condition on subgroups. ■

COROLLARY 2.4. *If G is a \widehat{T} -group, then the Baer radical of G is nilpotent. In particular, the Baer radical and the Fitting subgroup of G coincide.*

Proof. Let B be the Baer radical of G . By Lemma 2.3 the derived subgroup B' of B satisfies the maximal condition on subgroups, and hence B is nilpotent by Lemma 2.2. ■

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let G be a \widehat{T} -group, and let F be the Fitting subgroup of G . If X is any subgroup of F which is not finitely generated, then X is normal in G . In particular, all infinite periodic subgroups of F are normal in G .*

Proof. As F is nilpotent by Corollary 2.4, the subgroup X is subnormal in G and does not satisfy the maximal condition on subgroups. Thus it follows from Lemma 2.1 that X is normal in G . ■

A group G is said to be *subsoluble* if it has an ascending series with abelian factors consisting of subnormal subgroups. Clearly, all hyperabelian groups are subsoluble, and for groups satisfying \widehat{T} subsolubility is equivalent to solubility, as the following result shows.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let G be a subsoluble \widehat{T} -group. Then G is soluble.*

Proof. Suppose first that the group G is hyperabelian, and let

$$\{1\} = G_0 < G_1 < \dots < G_\alpha < G_{\alpha+1} < \dots < G_\tau = G$$

be an ascending normal series of G with abelian factors. Assume that G is not soluble, and consider the least ordinal $\mu \leq \tau$ such that G_μ is not

soluble. Clearly, μ is a limit ordinal and G_α is soluble for each $\alpha < \mu$. Let A be the set of all ordinals $\alpha < \mu$ for which there exists a subnormal non-normal subgroup X of G such that $G_\alpha < X < G_\beta$ for some $\beta < \mu$; since G satisfies \widehat{T} , the set A must be finite, and so there is an ordinal $\delta < \mu$ such that if X is any subnormal subgroup of G with $G_\delta \leq X \leq G_\beta$ and $\delta < \beta < \mu$, then X is normal in G . In particular, G_β/G_δ is a T -group for $\delta < \beta < \mu$ and so it is metabelian. As

$$G_\mu = \bigcup_{\delta < \beta < \mu} G_\beta,$$

it follows that G_μ/G_δ is likewise metabelian, contradicting the assumption that G_μ is insoluble.

In the general case, let

$$\{1\} = X_0 < X_1 < \dots < X_\alpha < X_{\alpha+1} < \dots < X_\tau = G$$

be an ascending subnormal series of G with abelian factors. The set of all ordinals α such that the subgroup X_α is not normal in G is obviously finite, of order k say. If $k = 0$, then G is hyperabelian, and so even soluble by the first part of the proof. Assume that $k > 0$, and let $\varrho < \tau$ be the largest ordinal such that X_ϱ is not normal in G . Then $X_{\varrho+1}$ is normal in G , and $G/X_{\varrho+1}$ is a hyperabelian \widehat{T} -group, so that it is soluble. Moreover, $X_{\varrho+1}$ has an ascending subnormal series with abelian factors in which there are at most $k - 1$ non-normal terms, so that by induction on k the subgroup $X_{\varrho+1}$ is soluble, and hence G itself is soluble. ■

LEMMA 2.7. *Let G be a soluble \widehat{T} -group which is not polycyclic, and let F be the Fitting subgroup of G . Then $F/Z(F)$ is a finite abelian group. In particular, if F is torsion-free, then it is abelian.*

Proof. Since soluble groups of automorphisms of polycyclic groups are likewise polycyclic (see [14, Part 1, Theorem 3.27]), the subgroup F is not polycyclic. On the other hand, the nilpotent group F satisfies the maximal condition on non-normal subgroups, and so $F/Z(F)$ is finite and abelian (see [5, Corollary 2.5]). In particular, F' is finite by Schur's theorem and hence F is abelian, provided that it is torsion-free. ■

A *power automorphism* of a group G is an automorphism mapping every subgroup of G onto itself, and the set $\text{PAut } G$ of all power automorphisms of G is an abelian residually finite normal subgroup of the full automorphism group $\text{Aut } G$ of G . Recall also that the set $\text{IAut } G$ of all automorphisms of G fixing every infinite subgroup is a subgroup of $\text{Aut } G$ containing $\text{PAut } G$. The behaviour of the groups $\text{PAut } G$ and $\text{IAut } G$ has been investigated in [3] and [4], respectively. Power automorphisms play a central role in the study of T -groups, and they will also be important in our considerations.

LEMMA 2.8. *Let G be a soluble group, and let F be the Fitting subgroup of G . If all subgroups of F are normal in G , then $C_G(G') = F$. In particular, G is metabelian.*

Proof. The group $G/C_G(F)$ is abelian, since it is isomorphic to a group of power automorphisms of F , and so $G' \leq C_G(F) \leq F$. It follows that F is contained in $C_G(G')$, so that $C_G(G') = F$ and G' is abelian. ■

LEMMA 2.9. *Let G be an infinite soluble \widehat{T} -group with periodic Fitting subgroup F . Then either all subgroups of F are normal in G , or F is a finite extension of a Prüfer group and $G^{(3)} = \{1\}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.5 all infinite subgroups of F are normal in G , so that $G/C_G(F)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{IAut } F$. In particular, if $\text{IAut } F = \text{PAut } F$, then all subgroups of F are normal in G . On the other hand, if $\text{IAut } F \neq \text{PAut } F$, it follows that F is a finite extension of a Prüfer group and $G/C_G(F)$ is metabelian (see [4, Corollary 2.4 and Proposition 2.5]), so that $G'' \leq C_G(F) = Z(F)$ and $G^{(3)} = \{1\}$. ■

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let G be a periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group, and let F be the Fitting subgroup of G . Then either all subgroups of F are normal in G , or G is a finite extension of a Prüfer group and $G^{(3)} = \{1\}$.*

Proof. The statement follows directly from Lemma 2.9, because if F is a finite extension of a Prüfer group, then $G/C_G(F)$ is finite (see [14, Part 1, Corollary to Theorem 3.29.2]) and so G itself is a finite extension of a Prüfer group. ■

COROLLARY 2.11. *Let G be a periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group which is not a finite extension of a Prüfer group. Then G is metabelian and hypercyclic.*

Proof. Let F be the Fitting subgroup of G . All subgroups of F are normal in G by Corollary 2.10, so that G is metabelian by Lemma 2.8 and G' is hypercyclically embedded in G . Therefore G is hypercyclic. ■

LEMMA 2.12. *Let G be a \widehat{T} -group, and let A be a torsion-free abelian subnormal subgroup of G . If A is not finitely generated, then all subgroups of A are normal in G .*

Proof. The subgroup A is normal in G by Corollary 2.5. Assume by contradiction that the statement is false, and let X be a maximal element of the set of all subgroups of A which are not normal in G . As X is finitely generated, the group A/X must be infinite. Moreover, in A/X the identity subgroup cannot be obtained as intersection of a collection of non-trivial subgroups, and hence A/X is a group of type p^∞ for some prime number p . Write

$$X = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_t \rangle,$$

where each $\langle x_i \rangle$ is an infinite cyclic subgroup. For each prime number $q \neq p$ and for all $i = 1, \dots, t$ put

$$X_{i,q} = \langle x_1^q \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_{i-1}^q \rangle \times \langle x_i \rangle \times \langle x_{i+1}^q \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_t^q \rangle.$$

Then

$$A/X_{i,q} = X/X_{i,q} \times B_{i,q}/X_{i,q},$$

where $B_{i,q}/X_{i,q}$ is a group of type p^∞ . Clearly, each $B_{i,q}$ is a normal subgroup of G by Corollary 2.5, so that also the intersection

$$B_i = \bigcap_{p \neq q} B_{i,q}$$

is normal in G . Since

$$B_i \cap X = \bigcap_{q \neq p} (B_{i,q} \cap X) = \bigcap_{q \neq p} X_{i,q} = \langle x_i \rangle,$$

it follows that B_i has rank 1 and hence the normal closure $\langle x_i \rangle^G$ is cyclic by Lemma 2.1. Therefore the subgroup $\langle x_i \rangle$ is normal in G for all $i = 1, \dots, t$, so that X itself is normal in G , and this contradiction proves the lemma. ■

LEMMA 2.13. *Let G be a soluble \widehat{T} -group which is not polycyclic, and let F be the Fitting subgroup of G . If the largest periodic subgroup T of F is neither finite nor a finite extension of a Prüfer group, then all subgroups of F are normal in G .*

Proof. If F is a periodic group, the statement follows from Lemma 2.9. Thus suppose that T is properly contained in F , and that T is neither finite nor a finite extension of a Prüfer group. Let A be a maximal abelian normal subgroup of T ; then $C_T(A) = A$ and A contains a subgroup B such that $B = B_1 \times B_2$, where both B_1 and B_2 are infinite. Let a be any element of infinite order of F . The subgroups $\langle a, B_1 \rangle$ and $\langle a, B_2 \rangle$ are normal in G by Corollary 2.5, so that also $\langle a \rangle = \langle a, B_1 \rangle \cap \langle a, B_2 \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of G . If x is any element of T , then $\langle x \rangle$ is characteristic in $\langle a, x \rangle = \langle a \rangle \langle x \rangle$ and so normal in G . Therefore all subgroups of F are normal in G . ■

LEMMA 2.14. *Let G be a soluble \widehat{T} -group which is not polycyclic, and let F be the Fitting subgroup of G . If the largest periodic subgroup T of F is finite, then $C_G(G') = F$ and in particular G is metabelian.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.4 the group F is nilpotent, so that it satisfies the maximal condition on non-normal subgroups. As F is not polycyclic, it follows that either F is abelian or it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_2 \times E$, where \mathbb{Q}_2 is the additive group of rational numbers whose denominators are powers of 2 and E is finite (see [5]). In any case, F contains a torsion-free abelian subgroup A such that $F = T \times A$, and all subgroups of A are normal in G by Lemma 2.12. Let x be any element of T . Since A is not finitely generated,

there exist elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots$ of A such that

$$\langle x, a_1 \rangle < \langle x, a_1, a_2 \rangle < \dots < \langle x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle < \dots,$$

and so the subgroup $\langle x, a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$ is normal in G for some positive integer m . Clearly, $\langle x \rangle$ is the subgroup of all elements of finite order of $\langle x, a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$ and hence it is likewise normal in G . Therefore G induces groups of power automorphisms on both T and A , so that in particular

$$G' \leq C_G(T) \cap C_G(A) = C_G(F)$$

and hence $C_G(G') = F$. ■

Our next result shows in particular that, with the obvious exception of polycyclic groups, soluble \widehat{T} -groups have derived length at most 3.

THEOREM 2.15. *If G is a soluble \widehat{T} -group which is not polycyclic, then G'' is abelian. Moreover, if G is not an extension of a Prüfer group by a polycyclic group, then G' is nilpotent of class at most 2 and G'' is cyclic with prime power order.*

Proof. Let F be the Fitting subgroup of G . If F has no Prüfer subgroups, it follows from Lemmas 2.13 and 2.14 that G is metabelian. Suppose now that F contains a subgroup P of type p^∞ for some prime number p , and let X be any subgroup of G such that $P \leq X \leq F$. Then X is not finitely generated and so it is normal in G by Corollary 2.5. Therefore G' acts trivially on both P and F/P , so that $G'' \leq C_G(F) \leq F$ and G'' is abelian.

Assume that G is not an extension of a Prüfer group by a polycyclic group. We may obviously suppose that $G'' \neq \{1\}$, so that it follows again from Lemmas 2.13 and 2.14 that the largest periodic subgroup T of F contains a subgroup P of type p^∞ with T/P finite. Put $\overline{G} = G/P$ and let \overline{K} be the Fitting subgroup of \overline{G} . If $\overline{Q} = Q/P$ is a Prüfer subgroup of \overline{K} , then $P \leq Z(Q)$ and Q lies in F . This contradiction shows that \overline{K} cannot contain Prüfer subgroups, and hence a further application of Lemmas 2.13 and 2.14 shows that \overline{G} is metabelian and so G'' is contained in P . In particular, $G' \leq C_G(G'')$ and G' is nilpotent of class 2. Finally, G'' satisfies the maximal condition on subgroups by Lemma 2.3 and hence it is cyclic with prime power order. ■

As finitely generated soluble T -groups are either finite or abelian, the last result of this section shows that finitely generated soluble groups behave similarly with respect to the properties T and \widehat{T} .

THEOREM 2.16. *Let G be a finitely generated soluble \widehat{T} -group. Then G is polycyclic.*

Proof. Let A be the smallest non-trivial term of the derived series of G . By induction on the derived length of G it can be assumed that the factor

group G/A is polycyclic, so that A contains a finitely generated subgroup E such that $A = E^G$. Since E is subnormal in G , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that A is finitely generated. Therefore the group G is polycyclic. ■

3. Periodic \widehat{T} -groups. Recall that a group G is called an *IT-group* if all its infinite subnormal subgroups are normal. The structure of *IT*-groups has been described in [8], where it is proved in particular that a periodic soluble group G has the property *IT* if and only if G is either a *T*-group or an extension of a Prüfer group by a finite *T*-group. Clearly, every *IT*-group satisfies the minimal condition on subnormal non-normal subgroups; our next result shows that in the periodic soluble case the property *IT* forces the group to satisfy also the maximal condition on subnormal non-normal subgroups.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let G be a periodic soluble IT-group. Then G is a \widehat{T} -group.*

Proof. We may obviously suppose that G is infinite and it is not a *T*-group. Thus G contains a normal subgroup P of type p^∞ for some prime number p such that G/P is a finite *T*-group. Assume by contradiction that G is not a \widehat{T} -group, so that there exist infinitely many subnormal non-normal subgroups $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$ of G such that

$$X_1 < X_2 < \dots < X_n < \dots,$$

and each X_n must be finite since G is an *IT*-group. Then $[P, X_n] = \{1\}$ and so P normalizes all X_n . The subgroup

$$X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$$

is infinite and so it contains P . As G/P is finite, there is a positive integer m such that $X_k P = X_m P$ for every $k \geq m$, so that in particular $X = X_m P$ is subnormal and hence also normal in G . Let $\{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ be a set of representatives of the cosets of P in G . For each $i = 1, \dots, t$ the subgroup $X_m^{g_i}$ is contained in X and so $X_m^{g_i} \leq X_{s_i}$ for a suitable $s_i \geq m$. Moreover,

$$X_r = X_m P \cap X_r = X_m (P \cap X_r)$$

for all $r \geq s_i$ and then

$$X_r^{g_i} = X_m^{g_i} (P \cap X_r) \leq X_r,$$

so that $X_r^{g_i} = X_r$. In particular, if $s = \max\{s_1, \dots, s_t\}$, then $X_s^{g_i} = X_s$ for each $i = 1, \dots, t$, and hence X_s is normal in G . This contradiction proves the lemma. ■

It can be observed that there exist soluble \widehat{T} -groups which are finite extensions of a Prüfer group but do not have the property *IT*. In fact, let K be a group of type p^∞ (where p is a prime number), $H = \langle x, y \rangle$ a dihedral group of order 8 with $x^2 = y^2 = 1$, and consider the semidirect product

$G = H \rtimes K$, where $a^x = a^y = a^{-1}$ for each $a \in K$. Then $C_G(K) = \langle xy \rangle \times K$ is abelian and all its subgroups are normal in G . Since each finite subnormal subgroup of G is contained in $C_G(K)$, it follows that any subnormal non-normal subgroup of G is infinite; thus G has finitely many subnormal non-normal subgroups and so it is a \widehat{T} -group. On the other hand, the infinite subnormal subgroup $\langle x, K \rangle$ is not normal and hence G is not an IT -group.

The next results of this section show that periodic soluble \widehat{T} -groups either have the property T or contain an abelian subgroup of finite index; moreover, any periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group has finite conjugacy classes of subnormal subgroups.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let G be a periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group which is not a T -group. Then G is abelian-by-finite and G/G' is either finite or a finite extension of a Prüfer group.*

Proof. It can obviously be assumed that G is neither finite nor a finite extension of a Prüfer group. If F is the Fitting subgroup of G , it follows from Corollary 2.10 that all subgroups of F are normal in G , and so G' is abelian by Lemma 2.8. Let X be a subnormal non-normal subgroup of G . Then X' is normal in G and X/X' is an abelian subnormal non-normal subgroup of G/X' . Another application of Corollary 2.10 shows that G/X' is either finite or a finite extension of a Prüfer group, so that G/G' has the same structure. If G/G' is finite, then G is abelian-by-finite. Suppose that G/G' contains a subgroup P/G' of type p^∞ such that G/P is finite. As $G/C_G(G')$ is isomorphic to a group of power automorphisms of G' , it is residually finite and hence $P \leq C_G(G')$. Thus P is nilpotent, so that it is contained in F and G/F finite. Since F is a Dedekind group, it follows that the group G is abelian-by-finite. ■

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let G be a periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group, and let X be a subnormal non-normal subgroup of G . Then G/X_G is either finite or a finite extension of a Prüfer group. Moreover, if G is neither finite nor a finite extension of a Prüfer group, then X/X_G is abelian and X^G/X_G is finite.*

Proof. It can obviously be assumed that G is neither finite nor a finite extension of a Prüfer group. The argument used in the proof of Theorem 3.2 shows that X' is normal in G and G/X' is either finite or a finite extension of a Prüfer group, so that in particular G/X_G has the same structure and X/X_G is abelian. Moreover, X/X_G has finitely many conjugates in G/X_G (see [14, Part 1, Theorem 5.49]). Since the Fitting subgroup F of G has finite index and $X \cap F \leq X_G$, it follows that X/X_G is finite, and hence its normal closure X^G/X_G is likewise finite. ■

If G is any T -group, then $\gamma_3(G) = \gamma_4(G)$ and so the factor group $G/\overline{\gamma}(G)$ is nilpotent (here $\overline{\gamma}(G)$ denotes the last term of the lower central series of G).

Our next lemma shows in particular that also in the case of periodic soluble \widehat{T} -groups the lower central series stops after finitely many steps.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let G be a periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group, and let L be the last term of the lower central series of G . Then the factor group G/L is nilpotent.*

Proof. The statement is obvious for Černikov groups. Suppose that G is not a Černikov group, and assume by contradiction that G/L is not nilpotent; then neither is $G/\gamma_\omega(G)$, and of course the latter group does not satisfy the minimal condition on subgroups. Replacing G by $G/\gamma_\omega(G)$, we may also suppose that the group G is residually nilpotent. Thus G is the direct product of its Sylow subgroups (see [14, Part 2, p. 8]). Let D be the largest divisible abelian normal subgroup of G . As $[D, G] \leq \gamma_n(G)$ for each positive integer n , also $[D, G] \leq \gamma_\omega(G) = \{1\}$, and hence D is contained in $Z(G)$. On the other hand, each subnormal subgroup of G has finite index in its normal closure by Corollary 3.3, and it follows that all Sylow subgroups of G are nilpotent (see [2, Theorem 3.2]). Therefore the group G itself is nilpotent, and this contradiction proves that G/L is nilpotent. ■

LEMMA 3.5. *Let G be an infinite periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group, and let L be the smallest term of the lower central series of G . If G is not a finite extension of a Prüfer group, then $L^2 = L$.*

Proof. Clearly, it can be assumed that G is not a T -group, so that G/G' is either finite or a finite extension of a Prüfer group by Theorem 3.2. As the factor group G/L is nilpotent by Lemma 3.4, it satisfies the maximal condition on non-normal subgroups and hence is a finite extension of a Prüfer group (see [5]). Thus L must be infinite. Let H be a subgroup of L such that $|L : H| \leq 2$. Then H is normal in G by Theorem 2.15 and Lemma 2.10, and clearly G/H is nilpotent, so that $H = L$ and $L^2 = L$. ■

It was proved in [13] that a soluble p -group G with the property T is abelian if $p > 2$, while if $p = 2$ then either G is a Dedekind group or it has a very restricted structure. For primary soluble \widehat{T} -groups we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let G be an infinite primary soluble \widehat{T} -group which is not a finite extension of a Prüfer group.*

- (a) *If G is a p -group for some odd prime p , then G is abelian.*
- (b) *If G is a 2-group, then it has finitely many subnormal non-normal subgroups.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.3 every subnormal subgroup of G has finite index in its normal closure. If G is a p -group with p odd, then G is abelian (see [2, Theorem 3.2]). Suppose now that G is a 2-group. The same result of Casolo shows that the Fitting subgroup F of G has index at most 2. Moreover, all

subgroups of F are normal in G by Corollary 2.10, so that it can be assumed that $|G : F| = 2$ and hence $G = \langle F, z \rangle$ for any element z of $G \setminus F$. As the last term L of the lower central series of G is a non-trivial divisible subgroup by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, it follows that F is abelian and $a^z = a^{-1}$ for all $a \in F$. Thus $G' = [F, z] = F^2$ and so $L = \gamma_{n+1}(G) = F^{2^n}$ for some non-negative integer n . Therefore G/L has finite exponent and hence it is either finite or a Dedekind group (see [5]). Let X be any subnormal non-normal subgroup of G . Then X cannot be contained in F , so that $[L, X] = L^2 = L$ and $L \leq X$. Therefore the group G has only finitely many subnormal non-normal subgroups. ■

In the last part of this section we consider the Sylow structure of periodic soluble groups with the property \widehat{T} . If G is a periodic soluble T -group, then the intersection $\pi([G', G]) \cap \pi(G/[G', G])$ contains no odd primes; moreover, if $2 \in \pi([G', G])$, it is known that the Sylow 2-subgroups of G satisfy certain strong restrictions (see [13, Theorem 4.2.2]). Our previous results can be applied to obtain a corresponding information for periodic soluble \widehat{T} -groups. It is not surprising that the only exceptions are produced by Sylow subgroups of small size.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let G be a periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group which is not a finite extension of a Prüfer group, and let L be the last term of the lower central series of G . If for some prime number p the p -component L_p of L is infinite and $q > p$ is a prime in $\pi(L)$, then $q \notin \pi(G/L)$.*

Proof. Let π_p be the set of all prime numbers $q > p$. The group G is locally supersoluble by Corollary 2.11, and hence the set N consisting of all π_p -elements of G is a subgroup. Let X be any subnormal subgroup of N . As L_p is infinite and all its subgroups are normal in G , there exists a finite subgroup E of L_p such that XE is normal in G ; but X is a characteristic subgroup of XE , and so it is normal in G . Therefore all subnormal subgroups of N are normal in G , and in particular N is a T -group; it follows that the last term K of the lower central series of N is a Hall subgroup of N (see [13, Theorem 4.2.2]). In particular, $\pi(K) \cap \pi(G/L) = \emptyset$. Moreover, N/K is nilpotent, so that all its subgroups are normal in G/K and N/K must be abelian. Let $q > p$ be an element of $\pi(L) \setminus \pi(K)$ and let M/K be the q -component of N/K . Then L_q is contained in M and $C_G(L_q K/K) = C_G(L_q)$ is a proper subgroup of G . Since $G/C_G(M/K)$ is isomorphic to a periodic q' -group of power automorphisms of M/K , it follows that G acts fixed-point-freely on $M/L_q K$. On the other hand, ML/L lies in the centre of G/L , so that

$$[M, G] \leq M \cap L = L_q K$$

and hence $M = L_q K \leq L$. Therefore $q \notin \pi(G/L)$. ■

COROLLARY 3.8. *Let G be a periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group, and let L be the last term of the lower central series of G . If p is a prime number such that the p -component L_p of L is neither finite nor a finite extension of a Prüfer group and $q \geq p$ is an odd prime in $\pi(L)$, then $q \notin \pi(G/L)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.7 it is enough to prove that if the prime p is odd, then $p \notin \pi(L)$. Let $L_{p'}$ be the p' -component of L . Replacing G by the factor group $G/L_{p'}$, it can be assumed without loss of generality that L is a p -group. As G/L is nilpotent by Lemma 3.4, it follows that G contains a unique Sylow p -subgroup M . Then M is abelian by Theorem 3.6 and so all its subgroups are normal in G by Corollary 2.10. In particular, $G/C_G(L)$ is isomorphic to a non-trivial p' -group of power automorphisms of L . Thus G acts fixed-point-freely on L and so also on M and on M/L (see for instance [13, Lemma 4.1.2]). On the other hand, M/L is contained in the centre of G/L , so that $L = M$ and G/L is a p' -group. ■

COROLLARY 3.9. *Let G be a periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group, and let L be the last term of the lower central series of G . If L has no elements of order 2, then there exists a finite set π of prime numbers such that the π -component L_π of L is either finite or a finite extension of a Prüfer group and $L_{\pi'}$ is a Hall subgroup of G .*

Proof. We may obviously suppose that L is not a Hall subgroup of G , so that in particular G is not a T -group. The nilpotent group G/L satisfies the maximal condition on non-normal subgroups and so its derived subgroup G'/L is finite (see [5]). Then it follows from Theorem 3.2 that the set $\pi = \pi(G/L)$ is finite. Clearly, $L_{\pi'}$ is a Hall subgroup of G and $L_{\pi'} < L$. Assume that L_π is infinite, and let p be the smallest prime in π such that L_p is infinite. As $p > 2$, Corollary 3.8 implies that L_p must be a finite extension of a Prüfer group. Moreover, by Theorem 3.7 the set $\pi(L) \cap \pi(G/L)$ cannot contain primes greater than p . Therefore L_π is a finite extension of a Prüfer group. ■

We finally show that the primary structure of a periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group is quite similar to that of periodic soluble groups with the property T , provided that the last term of the lower central series contains elements of order 2.

THEOREM 3.10. *Let G be an infinite periodic soluble \widehat{T} -group which is not a finite extension of a Prüfer group, and let L be the last term of the lower central series of G . If L has elements of order 2, then the odd component $L_{2'}$ of L is a Hall subgroup of G , G'/L is a 2-group, $2 \in \pi(G/G')$ and each element of G acts on L_2 either as the identity or as the inversion.*

Proof. Since $L^2 = L$ by Lemma 3.5, the 2-component L_2 of L must be infinite and so it follows from Theorem 3.7 that $L_{2'}$ is a Hall subgroup

of G . Moreover, all subgroups of L are normal in G by Corollary 2.10, and in particular each element of $G \setminus C_G(L_2)$ induces on L_2 the inversion map. On the other hand, L_2 is not contained in $Z(G)$, and so $2 \in \pi(G/G')$. Let $K/L_{2'}$ be the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of $G/L_{2'}$. As G is hypercyclic by Corollary 2.11, the elements of odd order of G form a characteristic subgroup M and $M/L_{2'}$ is nilpotent. Clearly,

$$G/L_{2'} = K/L_{2'} \times M/L_{2'}$$

and all subgroups of $M/L_{2'}$ are normal in $G/L_{2'}$ because L_2 is infinite. It follows that $M/L_{2'}$ is abelian, so that G' lies in K and G'/L is a 2-group. ■

4. Non-periodic \widehat{T} -groups. A group G is called an LT -group if each subnormal non-normal subgroup of G has finite index. Clearly, groups with the property LT can be considered as duals of IT -groups, and the structure of soluble LT -groups has been studied in [11]; in particular, it turns out that infinite soluble LT -groups are metabelian. It is also clear that all LT -groups have the property \widehat{T} .

THEOREM 4.1. *Let G be a soluble \widehat{T} -group which is not polycyclic, and let F be the Fitting subgroup of G . If either F is torsion-free, or the largest periodic subgroup T of F is infinite but it is not a finite extension of a Prüfer group, then G is an LT -group.*

Proof. We may obviously suppose that G is not a T -group, so that it contains a subnormal non-normal subgroup X . It follows from Lemmas 2.7, 2.12 and 2.13 that all subgroups of F are normal in G , so that in particular F is abelian and $C_G(F) = F$. Then G/F is isomorphic to a non-trivial group of power automorphisms of F , so that $|G : F| = 2$ and $G = \langle F, z \rangle$ where $a^z = a^{-1}$ for all $a \in F$. It follows that $\gamma_{n+1}(G) = F^{2^n}$ for each positive integer n , and so $G/\gamma_{n+1}(G)$ has finite exponent. Assume by contradiction that the nilpotent group $G/\gamma_4(G)$ is infinite. As $G/\gamma_4(G)$ satisfies the maximal condition on non-normal subgroups, it is a Dedekind group (see [5]). In particular, $L = \gamma_3(G)$ is the last term of the lower central series of G and $L^2 = L$. As X is not contained in F , there is an element x of X such that $a^x = a^{-1}$ for all $a \in F$; then $[L, x] = L^2 = L$, so that $L \leq X$ and X is normal in G . This contradiction shows that $G/\gamma_4(G)$ is finite. In particular, the group G/F^2 is finite and hence G is an LT -group (see [11, Theorem 3.3]). ■

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let G be a torsion-free soluble \widehat{T} -group. If G is not polycyclic, then it is abelian.*

Proof. The group G has the property LT by Theorem 4.1 and hence it is abelian (see [11, Corollary 3.4]). ■

Observe finally that there exist soluble non-polycyclic \widehat{T} -groups with torsion-free Fitting subgroup for which the set of subnormal non-normal subgroups is infinite. In fact, let p be an odd prime number and consider the semidirect product $G = \langle x \rangle \rtimes A$, where A is isomorphic to the additive group of rational numbers whose denominators are powers of p and x is an element of order 2 such that $a^x = a^{-1}$ for all $a \in A$. Then A is the Fitting subgroup of G and G is an LT -group (see [11, Theorem 3.3]), so that in particular G has the property \widehat{T} . On the other hand, $\langle x, A^{2^n} \rangle$ is a subnormal non-normal subgroup of G for each integer $n \geq 2$, so that G contains infinitely many subnormal non-normal subgroups.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Brandl, S. Franciosi and F. de Giovanni, *Groups with finitely many conjugacy classes of non-normal subgroups*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 95 (1995), 17–27.
- [2] C. Casolo, *Groups with finite conjugacy classes of subnormal subgroups*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 81 (1989), 107–149.
- [3] C. D. H. Cooper, *Power automorphisms of a group*, Math. Z. 107 (1968), 335–356.
- [4] M. Curzio, S. Franciosi and F. de Giovanni, *On automorphisms fixing infinite subgroups of groups*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 54 (1990), 4–13.
- [5] G. Cutolo, *On groups satisfying the maximal condition on non-normal subgroups*, Riv. Mat. Pura Appl. 9 (1991), 49–59.
- [6] —, *On a class of generalized T -groups*, Ricerche Mat. 42 (1993), 331–351.
- [7] F. De Mari and F. de Giovanni, *Groups satisfying the minimal condition on subnormal non-normal subgroups*, Algebra Colloq., to appear.
- [8] S. Franciosi and F. de Giovanni, *Groups in which every infinite subnormal subgroup is normal*, J. Algebra 96 (1985), 566–580.
- [9] —, —, *Groups whose finite quotients have a transitive normality relation*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) 6 (1992), 329–350.
- [10] —, —, *Groups with dense normal subgroups*, Ricerche Mat. 42 (1993), 283–305.
- [11] —, —, *Groups whose subnormal non-normal subgroups have finite index*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. 17 (1993), 241–251.
- [12] W. Gaschütz, *Gruppen in denen das Normalteilersein transitiv ist*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 198 (1957), 87–92.
- [13] D. J. S. Robinson, *Groups in which normality is a transitive relation*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 60 (1964), 21–38.
- [14] —, *Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni
 Università di Napoli Federico II
 Complesso Universitario Monte S. Angelo
 Via Cintia
 I-80126 Napoli, Italy
 E-mail: fausto.demari@dma.unina.it
 degiovan@unina.it

Received 24 September 2004;
 revised 19 January 2005

(4511)