VOL. 123

2011

NO. 1

ON GENERALIZED FERMAT EQUATIONS OF SIGNATURE (p, p, 3)

ΒY

KAROLINA KRAWCIÓW (Szczecin)

Abstract. This paper focuses on the Diophantine equation $x^n + p^{\alpha}y^n = Mz^3$, with fixed α , p, and M. We prove that, under certain conditions on M, this equation has no non-trivial integer solutions if $n \geq \mathcal{F}(M, p^{\alpha})$, where $\mathcal{F}(M, p^{\alpha})$ is an effective constant. This generalizes Theorem 1.4 of the paper by Bennett, Vatsal and Yazdani [Compos. Math. 140 (2004), 1399–1416].

1. Introduction. Fix non-zero integers A, B, and C. For given positive integers p, q, r satisfying 1/p+1/q+1/r < 1, the generalized Fermat equation

$$Ax^p + By^q = Cz^i$$

has only finitely many proper integer solutions [5]. The proof uses the famous Theorem of Faltings [6] (Mordell conjecture). Modern techniques coming from Galois representations and modular forms (methods of Frey-Hellegouarch curves and variants of Ribet's level-lowering theorem) allow one to give partial (sometimes complete) results concerning the set of solutions to (1.1), at least when (p, q, r) is of the type (p, p, p), (p, p, 2), (p, p, 3), (4, 4, p), (3, 3, p), (5, 5, p) or (2, 4, p). For the first four signatures, the results are mostly of the type: there is no primitive integer solution in x, y, z if pis larger than some positive constant depending on A, B, and C (see, for instance, [7], [1], [4], [2], [3]).

In this article we generalize Theorem 1.4 from [2]. Such a possibility was pointed out by A. Dąbrowski (see [4, Remark to Lemma 3]).

Consider the Diophantine equation

(1.2)
$$x^n + p^\alpha y^n = M z^3,$$

where n and p are prime numbers, M is a non-zero integer, and α is a nonnegative integer. We prove, under some assumptions on M and p, the existence of a positive constant $\mathcal{F}(M, p^{\alpha})$ such that for all primes $n > \mathcal{F}(M, p^{\alpha})$ the equation (1.2) has no solutions in non-zero coprime integers x, y and z. More precisely, we prove the following results. Let \tilde{M} denote the radical of M(the product of all prime divisors of M).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11D41.

Key words and phrases: Diophantine equation, elliptic curve.

THEOREM 1.1. Let n be a prime number, and let M be a non-zero cubefree integer, divisible by 3. If $n > \tilde{M}^{10\tilde{M}^2}$, then the Diophantine equation

$$x^n + y^n = Mz^2$$

has no non-trivial solutions in coprime integers x, y and z.

Fix odd primes p_1, \ldots, p_k ; assume $3 \in \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$. Theorem 1.1 implies that the 2^{k+1} Diophantine equations

$$x^{\alpha} - p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k} y^3 = \pm 1 \quad (1 \le \alpha_i \le 2, \, i = 1, \dots, k)$$

have only finitely many solutions in integers y > 1, $\alpha > 1$, and primes x. Let $P(p_1, \ldots, p_k)$ denote the finite set of primes x satisfying any of the above 2^{k+1} . Diophantine equations. It should be clear that it is not easy to determine the set $P(p_1, \ldots, p_k)$. It can be checked (and is implicitly contained in [2]) that $P(3) = \{2, 5\}$. Variants of Theorem 1.5 in [2] (plus some additional work) should give, in principle, an exact description of P(3, q) for small primes q.

THEOREM 1.2. Let $M = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\gamma_i}$ be a positive cube-free integer, divisible by 3, α a positive integer, and n a prime. If p is a prime such that $p \notin P(p_1, \ldots, p_k)$ and $p \neq \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\alpha_i} s^3 \pm 1$ $(1 \leq \alpha_i \leq 2, i = 1, \ldots, k)$ for any integer s, and if $n > (p\tilde{M})^{10pM^2}$, then the Diophantine equation

$$x^n + p^\alpha y^n = Mz^3$$

has no non-trivial solutions in coprime integers x, y, and z.

This result generalizes Theorem 1.4 from [2], where the authors considered $M = 3^{\beta}$.

2. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow the same lines as the proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.3 and 1.4 in [2], hence we only indicate the main steps. The new ingredients are Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 below (they correspond to Proposition 6.1 in [2]).

Let us suppose that $n \ge 11$ and p are prime numbers, let $\alpha \ge 0$ be an integer smaller than n, and let M be a non-zero, cube-free integer, divisible by 3. As in [2], we associate to the primitive solution (a, b, c) of (1.2) the elliptic curve

$$E = E(a, b, c) : y^{2} + 3Mcxy + M^{2}p^{\alpha}b^{n}y = x^{3}.$$

Let

$$\rho_n^E : \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_n)$$

denote the corresponding mod n Galois representation on the n-torsion E[n] of E. Write $M = 3^{\gamma} \prod p_i^{\gamma_i}$. Via Lemma 3.4 of [2], this representation arises from a cuspidal newform f of weight 2, trivial Nebentypus character, and level $N = 3^5 \prod p_i^2$ (if $\alpha = 0$) or $N = 3^5 p \prod p_i^2$ (if $\alpha > 0$).

If f has at least one non-rational Fourier coefficient, then (applying Theorem 2 in [8], and arguing as in [2, Section 7]) we obtain $n \leq \tilde{M}^{10\tilde{M}^2}$ (if $\alpha = 0$) or $n \leq (p\tilde{M})^{10p\tilde{M}^2}$ (if $\alpha > 0$).

If f has only rational Fourier coefficients, then it corresponds to an isogeny class of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} with conductor N. Now we argue as in [2], replacing Proposition 6.1 there by the following results.

LEMMA 2.1. Let F be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} with a rational 3torsion point and conductor $3^5 \prod_{i=2}^{k} p_i^2$. Then F has complex multiplication by an order in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$.

LEMMA 2.2. If p and p_2, \ldots, p_k are primes such that $p \notin P(3, p_2, \ldots, p_k)$, and $p \neq 3^{\alpha_1} \prod_{i=2}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} s^3 \pm 1$ $(1 \leq \alpha_i \leq 2, i = 1, \ldots, k)$ for any integer s, then there is no elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} with a rational 3-torsion point and conductor $3^5 p \prod_{i=2}^k p_i^2$.

Proofs of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. Any elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} with a rational 3-torsion point is isomorphic to a curve given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + a_1 x y + a_3 y = x^3,$$

where a_1 and a_3 are integers; we may assume $a_3 > 0$. We may (and will) further assume that if a prime q divides a_1 , then q^3 does not divide a_3 , so the equation is minimal at q. One easily checks that

 $c_4 = a_1(a_1^3 - 24a_3), \quad c_6 = -a_1^6 + 36a_1^3a_3 - 216a_3^2, \quad \Delta_F = a_3^3(a_1^3 - 27a_3).$ The conductor N_F of the curve F equals $3^5 p^{\epsilon} \prod_{i=2}^k p_i^2$, where $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. We

$$a_1 = \pm 3^{\alpha} p^{\alpha_0} \prod_{i=2}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} a, \quad a_3 = 3^{\beta} p^{\beta_0} \prod_{i=2}^k p_i^{\beta_i}, \quad \Delta_F = \pm 3^{\delta} p^{\delta_0} \prod_{i=2}^k p_i^{\delta_i}.$$

Using [9, Tableau II], we obtain

rewrite

$$(v_3(c_4), v_3(c_6), v_3(\Delta_F)) \in \{(\geq 3, 4, 5), (\geq 4, 5, 7), (\geq 5, 7, 11), (\geq 6, 8, 13)\}.$$

Comparing this with the definitions of c_4 , c_6 and Δ_F (given above), we deduce that the only possible values of (α, β, δ) are $(\geq 2, 1, 7)$ and $(\geq 2, 2, 11)$.

The elliptic curve F has bad additive reduction at 3 and at all primes p_i , i = 2, ..., k, hence $3 \prod p_i$ divides both Δ_E and c_4 . This implies that $3 \prod p_i$ divides a_1 and a_3 as well.

Suppose that $\epsilon = 0$. Then the integer

$$D = \frac{a_1^3}{27a_3} - 1 = \pm 3^{3\alpha - 3 - \beta} \prod_{i=2}^k p_i^{3\alpha_i - \beta_i} a^3 - 1$$

divides Δ_F . On the other hand it is coprime to Δ_F , so D = -1 and $a_1 = 0$. Therefore the curve F has *j*-invariant equal to 0, and hence has complex multiplication by an order in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$.

Suppose $\epsilon = 1$. In this case F has bad multiplicative reduction at p. It is clear that p does not divide a_1 , and either $p \mid a_3$ or $p \mid (a_1^3 - 27a_3)$. In both cases we obtain

$$p^r \pm 1 = 3^{3\alpha - 3 - \beta} \prod_{i=2}^k p_i^{3\alpha_i - \beta_i} a^3.$$

This completes the proofs of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. \blacksquare

It is obvious that Lemma 2.2 implies Theorem 1.2. To prove Theorem 1.1 we apply Proposition 4.3 from [2].

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Prof. Andrzej Dąbrowski for inspiring suggestions and improvements to the preliminary version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. A. Bennett and J. Mulholland, On the diophantine equation $x^n + y^n = 2^{\alpha}pz^2$, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. 28 (2006), 6–11.
- [2] M. A. Bennett, V. Vatsal and S. Yazdani, Ternary diophantine equations of signature (p, p, 3), Compos. Math. 140 (2004), 1399–1416.
- [3] A. Dąbrowski, On the integers represented by x⁴ y⁴, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 76 (2007), 133-136.
- [4] —, On a class of generalized Fermat equations, ibid. 82 (2010), 505–510.
- [5] H. Darmon and A. Granville, On the equations $z^m = F(x, y)$ and $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$, Bull. London Math. Soc. 27 (1995), 513–543.
- [6] G. Faltings, Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern, Invent. Math. 73 (1983), 349–366; Erratum: 75 (1984), 381.
- [7] A. Kraus, Majorations effectives pour l'équation de Fermat généralisée, Canad. J. Math. 49 (1997), 1139–1161.
- [8] G. Martin, Dimensions of the spaces of cusp forms and newforms on $\Gamma_0(N)$ and $\Gamma_1(N)$, J. Number Theory 112 (2005), 298–331.
- [9] I. Papadopoulos, Sur la classification de Néron des courbes elliptiques en caractéristique résiduelle 2 et 3, J. Number Theory 44 (1993), 119–152.

Karolina Krawciów Institute of Mathematics University of Szczecin 70-451 Szczecin, Poland E-mail: karolina.krawciow@wmf.univ.szczecin.pl

> Received 9 March 2010; revised 13 November 2010

(5345)