

ON THE SPECTRAL MULTIPLICITY OF
A DIRECT SUM OF OPERATORS

BY

M. T. KARAEV (Isparta)

Abstract. We calculate the spectral multiplicity of the direct sum $T \oplus A$ of a weighted shift operator T on a Banach space Y which is continuously embedded in l^p and a suitable bounded linear operator A on a Banach space X .

1. Introduction. Let $L(X)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space X . A subspace $E \subset X$ is called a *cyclic subspace* of an operator $A \in L(X)$ if $\text{span}\{A^n E : n \geq 0\} = X$, where span denotes closed linear hull. A vector $x \in X$ is called *cyclic* ($x \in \text{Cyc}(A)$) if $\text{span}\{A^n x : n \geq 0\} = X$. The *spectral multiplicity* $\mu(A)$ of $A \in L(X)$ is

$$\mu(A) := \inf\{\dim E : \text{span}\{A^n E : n \geq 0\} = X\},$$

a nonnegative integer or ∞ . Clearly, A is cyclic if and only if $\mu(A) = 1$.

The spectral multiplicity is an important invariant of operators, and it plays a key role in operator theory and its applications. Clearly, the notion of cyclic subspace is important in connection with the general problem of existence of a nontrivial invariant subspace, because an operator $A \in L(X)$ has no nontrivial invariant subspace if and only if $x \in \text{Cyc}(A)$ for every $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Cyclic vectors are important in weighted polynomial approximation theory. (More details can be found in [6].)

In this article we calculate the spectral multiplicity of the direct sum $T \oplus A$, where T is a weighted shift operator on a Banach space Y continuously embedded in l^p , and A a suitable bounded operator on a Banach space X (Section 2). Note that the main result of Section 2, Theorem 1, generalizes and strengthens some results of the author in [3, Theorem], [4, Theorem 3] and [5, Theorem 3].

First we introduce some notations and definitions. If $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is a sequence of vectors in a Banach space X , we say that $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is *uniformly minimal* if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$d := \inf_{i \geq 0} \text{dist}\{e_i / \|e_i\|, \text{span}\{e_j : j \neq i\}\} > 0.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47B38.

Key words and phrases: cyclic vector, spectral multiplicity, Banach algebra.

It is clear that if $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is a basis in X , then $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is uniformly minimal, and therefore for any $x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x(n)e_n \in X$,

$$(1) \quad \|x(n)e_n\|_X \leq c\|x\|_X$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and some $c > 0$ (actually, one can take $c = 1/d$).

A *weighted shift operator* T in a Banach space X with basis $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is defined by

$$Te_n = \lambda_n e_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a bounded sequence of complex numbers. Obviously, $\mu(T) = 1$.

2. The spectral multiplicity of $T \oplus A$. Let $A \oplus B$ denote the direct sum of bounded linear operators A and B acting in Banach spaces X and Y , respectively,

$$(A \oplus B)(x \oplus y) = Ax \oplus By, \quad x \oplus y \in X \oplus Y.$$

It is well known that

$$(2) \quad \max\{\mu(A), \mu(B)\} \leq \mu(A \oplus B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B).$$

One might try to characterize the extremes, that is: When $\mu(A \oplus B) = \max\{\mu(A), \mu(B)\}$? When $\mu(A \oplus B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$? It is well known that $\mu(A \oplus B) = \max\{\mu(A), \mu(B)\}$ if the spectra $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(B)$ are well separated, i.e., their polynomially convex hulls are disjoint (see, e.g., [7]).

In this section we shall be interested in the equality $\mu(A \oplus B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$. In this connection we shall prove the following theorem which generalizes and strengthens some results in [3–5]. (More general results related to the equality $\mu(A \oplus B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ can be found, for instance, in [2, 7–10]).

THEOREM 1. *Let Y be a Banach space with a basis $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of unit vectors, which is continuously embedded in l^p for some p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ denote a bounded sequence of nonzero complex numbers $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$, and let T be the corresponding weighted shift operator acting in Y , $Te_n = \lambda_n e_{n+1}$, $n \geq 0$. Let X be a separable Banach space and $A \in L(X)$. Suppose that:*

- (i) $\sum_{n,m \geq N} |w_{n+m}/w_n w_m| =: \Omega_N < \infty$ for some $N \geq 0$, where $w_n := \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{n-1}$, $w_0 := 1$.
- (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\|A^n x\|_X / \|T^n e_0\|_Y)^q =: C_x < \infty$ for all $x \in X$, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$.
- (iii) $\|e_{n+m}\|_Y \leq c\|e_n\|_Y \|e_m\|_Y$ for all $n, m \geq 0$ and for some $c \geq 0$.

Then $\mu(T \oplus A) = \mu(T) + \mu(A) = 1 + \mu(A)$.

The proof of the theorem uses the following product:

$$(3) \quad f \tilde{\circledast} g := \sum_{n,m \geq 0} \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} f(n)g(m)e_{n+m},$$

where $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)e_n$, $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)e_n \in Y$.

LEMMA 2. $(Y, \tilde{\circledast})$ is a Banach algebra with the unit $f = e_0$ and $\mathcal{M}(Y, \tilde{\circledast}) = \{0\}$, i.e., its maximal ideal space $\mathcal{M}(Y, \tilde{\circledast})$ consists of one homomorphism $f \mapsto f(0)$.

Proof. First we prove that

$$(4) \quad \|f \tilde{\circledast} g\| \leq C \|f\| \|g\|$$

for all $f, g \in Y$ and for some number $C > 0$, not depending on f, g .

Note that if $N = 0$, then (4) is immediate from (3), and so assume that $N \geq 1$. By setting $R_n(f) := \sum_{k \geq n} f(k)e_k$, and using (i) and the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_n(T^k f)\| &\leq \|T^k f\|, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \\ \|T^k\| &\leq \sup\{|\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1} \cdots \lambda_{n+k-1}|\}_{n=1}^{\infty} =: \Lambda_k, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \tilde{\circledast} g &= \sum_{n,m \geq 0} \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} f(n)g(m)e_{n+m} \\ &= f(0) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g(m)e_m + \frac{f(1)}{w_1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g(m) \frac{w_{m+1}}{w_m} e_{m+1} \\ &\quad + \frac{f(2)}{w_2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g(m) \frac{w_{m+2}}{w_m} e_{m+2} + \cdots \\ &\quad + \frac{f(N-1)}{w_{N-1}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g(m) \frac{w_{m+N-1}}{w_m} e_{m+N-1} \\ &\quad + g(0) \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} f(n)e_n + \frac{g(1)}{w_1} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} f(n) \frac{w_{n+1}}{w_n} e_{n+1} \\ &\quad + \frac{g(2)}{w_2} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} f(n) \frac{w_{n+2}}{w_n} e_{n+2} + \cdots \\ &\quad + \frac{g(N-1)}{w_{N-1}} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} f(n) \frac{w_{n+N-1}}{w_n} e_{n+N-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} f(n)g(m)e_{n+m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= f(0)g + \frac{f(1)}{w_1} Tg + \frac{f(2)}{w_2} T^2 g + \dots + \frac{f(N-1)}{w_{N-1}} T^{N-1} g \\
&\quad + g(0)R_N(f) + \frac{g(1)}{w_1} R_N(Tf) + \frac{g(2)}{w_2} R_N(T^2 f) + \dots \\
&\quad + \frac{g(N-1)}{w_{N-1}} R_N(T^{N-1} f) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} f(n)g(m)e_{n+m}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this, by using (iii), the equality $|f(i)| = \|f(i)e_i\|_Y$ and inequality (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f \tilde{\otimes} g\| &\leq |f(0)| \|g\| + \frac{|f(1)|}{|w_1|} \|Tg\| + \dots \\
&\quad + \frac{|f(N-1)|}{|w_{N-1}|} \|T^{N-1} g\| + |g(0)| \|R_N(f)\| \\
&\quad + \frac{|g(1)|}{|w_1|} \|R_N(Tf)\| + \dots + \frac{|g(N-1)|}{|w_{N-1}|} \|R_N(T^{N-1} f)\| \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} \left| \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} \right| \|f(n)e_n\| \|g(m)e_m\| \\
&\leq c \left[\left(1 + \frac{\|T\|}{|w_1|} + \dots + \frac{\|T^{N-1}\|}{|w_{N-1}|} \right) + \left(1 + \frac{\|T\|}{|w_1|} + \dots + \frac{\|T^{N-1}\|}{|w_{N-1}|} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} \left| \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} \right| \right] \|f\| \|g\| \\
&\leq c \left[2 \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{A_i}{|w_i|} + \Omega_N \right] \|f\| \|g\| =: C \|f\| \|g\|.
\end{aligned}$$

By standard arguments (i.e., by passing to the equivalent norm in Y) we deduce from the last inequality that $(Y, \tilde{\otimes})$ is a Banach algebra. Clearly, $f \tilde{\otimes} e_0 = f$ for each $f \in Y$.

To prove that $\mathcal{M}(Y, \tilde{\otimes}) = \{0\}$, it suffices to show that an element $f \in Y$ is $\tilde{\otimes}$ -invertible if and only if $f(0) \neq 0$. In fact, let $f(0) \neq 0$. Let us prove that then \mathcal{D}_f , where $\mathcal{D}_f g := f \tilde{\otimes} g$, is an invertible operator in Y (boundedness of \mathcal{D}_f is a consequence of (4)). Rewrite \mathcal{D}_f in the form $\mathcal{D}_f = f(0)I + \mathcal{D}_{f-f(0)}$ and set

$$h := f - f(0) \quad \text{and} \quad F := \underbrace{h \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} h}_{N+1}.$$

It is easy to verify that $F(0) = F(1) = \dots = F(N) = 0$. Therefore for every $g \in Y$ and $M > N$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_h^{N+1}g &= F \tilde{\otimes} g \\
&= g(0)R_{N+1}(f) + \frac{g(1)}{w_1}R_{N+1}(TF) + \frac{g(2)}{w_2}R_{N+1}(T^2F) \\
&\quad + \cdots + \frac{g(N)}{w_N}R_{N+1}(T^NF) + \sum_{n \geq N+1} \sum_{m \geq N+1} \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} F(n)g(m)e_{n+m} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{g(i)}{w_i}R_{N+1}(T^iF) + \sum_{n \geq N+1} \sum_{m \geq N+1} \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} F(n)g(m)e_{n+m} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{g(i)}{w_i}R_{N+1}(T^iF) + \sum_{n=N+1}^M \sum_{m=N+1}^M \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} F(n)g(m)e_{n+m} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=N+1}^M \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} F(n)g(m)e_{n+m} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} F(n)g(m)e_{n+m}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the operator \mathcal{K}_M defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_M g := \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{g(i)}{w_i}R_{N+1}(T^iF) + \sum_{n=N+1}^M \sum_{m=N+1}^M \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} F(n)g(m)e_{n+m},$$

is a finite-rank (hence compact) operator. By considering (iii) and estimate (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{D}_h^{N+1} - \mathcal{K}_M\|_{L(Y)} &= \sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} \|\mathcal{D}_h^{N+1}g - \mathcal{K}_M g\| \\
&= \sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=N+1}^M \sum_{m=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} F(n)g(m)e_{n+m} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} F(n)g(m)e_{n+m} \right\| \\
&\leq C\|F\| \left[\sum_{n=N+1}^M \sum_{m=M+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} \right| + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{w_{n+m}}{w_n w_m} \right| \right] \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\mathcal{K}_M \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}_h^{N+1}$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, which means that \mathcal{D}_h^{N+1} is compact.

On the other hand, if $g \in Y$ and $\mathcal{D}_f g = 0$, then it follows from (3) that

$$(\mathcal{D}_f g)(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(k)g(n-k) \frac{w_n}{w_k w_{n-k}} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since $f(0) \neq 0$, simple calculations show that $0 = g(0) = g(1) = \dots$, that is, $g = 0$, which implies that $\ker(f(0)I + \mathcal{D}_h) = \ker \mathcal{D}_f = \{0\}$. Then by a well known theorem of S. M. Nikol'skiĭ (see [1]) we deduce that \mathcal{D}_f is invertible in Y , that is, f is $\tilde{\otimes}$ -invertible in Y .

Conversely, if f is $\tilde{\otimes}$ -invertible then it follows from (3) that $f(0) \neq 0$, i.e., $f(0) \neq 0$. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3. $f \in \text{Cyc}(T)$ if and only if $f(0) \neq 0$.

Proof. It follows from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 z \tilde{\otimes} g &= w_1 z \tilde{\otimes} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) e_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) w_1 (e_1 \otimes e_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) w_1 \frac{w_{n+1}}{w_1 w_n} e_{n+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) \frac{w_{n+1}}{w_n} e_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) \lambda_n e_{n+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) T e_n = T \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) e_n \right) = Tg \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in Y$, and in general,

$$(5) \quad T^n g = w_n e_n \tilde{\otimes} g$$

for any $g \in Y$ and $n \geq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad E_f &:= \text{span}\{T^n f : n \geq 0\} = \text{span}\{w_n e_n \tilde{\otimes} f : n \geq 0\} \\ &= \text{span}\{\mathcal{D}_f(w_n e_n) : n \geq 0\} = \text{clos } \mathcal{D}_f Y. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $f \in \text{Cyc}(T)$ then $\text{clos } \mathcal{D}_f Y = Y$, which implies the existence of a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0} \in Y$ such that $f \tilde{\otimes} f_n \rightarrow e_0$ in Y as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, $(f \tilde{\otimes} f_n)(0) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, or $f(0)f_n(0) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and therefore, $f(0) \neq 0$.

Conversely, if $f(0) \neq 0$, then according to the equality (6) and Lemma 2, we have $E_f = Y$, that is, $f \in \text{Cyc}(T)$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. If $\mu(A) = \infty$, then by inequalities (2) the assertion of the theorem is obvious, and therefore we will assume that $\mu(A) = n < \infty$.

Suppose that $\mu(T \oplus A) = \mu(A) = n$. Let $\{f_i \oplus x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a cyclic set for $T \oplus A$. Then $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a cyclic set for T . Suppose that $f_k(0) \neq 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, l$ and $f_k(0) = 0$ for $k = l+1, \dots, n$. We set $g_k = f_k$, $y_k = x_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, l$, and $g_k = f_k - f_1$, $y_k = x_k - x_1$ for $k = l+1, \dots, n$. Then $\{g_i \oplus y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a cyclic set, and since $g_k(0) \neq 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$, by Lemmas 2 and 3 there exist $F_k \in Y$ such that $F_k \tilde{\otimes} g_k = e_0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Set

$$\tilde{x}_k = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{F_k(m)}{w_m} A^m y_k.$$

Then

$$g_k \oplus y_k = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{g_k(m)}{w_m} (T \oplus A)^m (e_0 \oplus \tilde{x}_k).$$

Therefore

$$g_k \oplus y_k \in \text{span}\{(T \oplus A)^m (e_0 \oplus \tilde{x}_i) : m \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and the set $\{e_0 \oplus \tilde{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is cyclic for $T \oplus A$. We now set $\bar{x}_1 = \tilde{x}_1$, $\bar{x}_k = \tilde{x}_1 - \tilde{x}_k$, $k = 2, \dots, n$; this yields a new cyclic set $\{e_0 \oplus \bar{x}_1, \mathbf{0} \oplus \bar{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{0} \oplus \bar{x}_n\}$ for $T \oplus A$. Therefore, for any $x \in X$, there exists a family $\{P_{m,i} : 1 \leq i \leq n, m \geq 1\}$ of polynomials such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_{m,1}(T)e_0 &= 0 \quad \text{in } Y, \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n P_{m,i}(A)\bar{x}_i &= x \quad \text{in } X. \end{aligned}$$

By using (5) we deduce that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_{m,1} = 0$ in Y , where

$$q_{m,1} := \sum_{k \geq 0} w_k P_{m,1}(k) e_k.$$

Then by using condition (ii) of the theorem, the equality $\|T^k e_0\| = |w_k|$ and the Hölder inequality we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{m,1}(A)\bar{x}_1\| &= \left\| \sum_{k \geq 0} P_{m,1}(k) A^k \bar{x}_1 \right\| \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} |P_{m,1}(k)| \|A^k \bar{x}_1\| \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} |w_k| |P_{m,1}(k)| \frac{\|A^k \bar{x}_1\|}{|w_k|} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} |w_k| |P_{m,1}(k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\frac{\|A^k \bar{x}_1\|}{\|T^k e_0\|} \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &= C_{\bar{x}_1}^{1/q} \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} |q_{m,1}(k)|^p \right)^{1/p} = C_{\bar{x}_1}^{1/q} \|q_{m,1}\|_{l^p} \leq C_{\bar{x}_1}^{1/q} \tilde{C} \|q_{m,1}\|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_{m,1}(A)\bar{x}_1 = 0$. Hence $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=2}^n P_{m,i}(A)\bar{x}_i = x$. Since the vector x is arbitrary, the last relation means that $\{\bar{x}_i\}_{i=2}^n$ is a cyclic set for A , and hence $\mu(A) \leq n - 1$. But this contradicts the assumption $\mu(A) = n$. ■

I am grateful to the referee for his numerous suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. V. Kantorovich and G. P. Akilov, *Functional Analysis*, Nauka, Moscow, 1977 (in Russian).

- [2] M. T. Karaev, *On multiplicity of the spectrum of orthogonal sums of operators*, in: Spectral Theory of Operators and its Applications 10, ELM, Baku, 1991, 165–167 (in Russian).
- [3] —, *An addition theorem on multiplicities of the spectrum*, Trudy IMM AN Azerb. 8 (16) (1998), 123–128 (in Russian).
- [4] —, *Some applications of Duhamel product*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI 303 (2003), 145–160 (in Russian).
- [5] —, *On some applications of the ordinary and extended Duhamel products*, Siberian Math. J. 46 (2005), 431–442.
- [6] N. K. Nikol'skii, *Invariant subspaces in operator theory and function theory*, Itogi Nauki i Tehniki, Ser. Mat. Analiz, Moscow 12 (1974), 199–412 (in Russian).
- [7] —, *Hints to the calculation of spectral multiplicity for direct sums*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI 126 (1983), 150–158 (in Russian).
- [8] —, *Multiplicity phenomenon I. An introduction and maxi-formulas*, in: Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 42, Birkhäuser, 1989, 9–57.
- [9] V. I. Vasyunin, *Formula for multiplicity of contractions with finite defect indices*, in: Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 42, Birkhäuser, 1989, 281–304.
- [10] V. I. Vasyunin and M. T. Karaev, *Spectral multiplicity of certain contractions*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI 157 (1987), 23–29 (in Russian); English transl.: J. Soviet Math. 44 (1989), 762–767.

Suleyman Demirel University
Department of Mathematics
32260 Isparta, Turkey
E-mail: garayev@fef.sdu.edu.tr

Received 22 November 2004;
revised 20 June 2005

(4531)