

EXAMPLES OF NONSEMISYMMETRIC
RICCI-SEMISYMMETRIC HYPERSURFACES

BY

RYSZARD DESZCZ and MAŁGORZATA GŁOGOWSKA (Wrocław)

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Stanisław Gnot

Abstract. We construct a class of nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric warped products. Some manifolds of this class can be locally realized as hypersurfaces of a semi-Euclidean space \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 5$.

1. Quasi-Einstein manifolds. A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $n = \dim M \geq 3$, is called *semisymmetric* if on M we have

$$(1) \quad R \cdot R = 0.$$

For definitions of the symbols used, we refer to Section 2 of this paper. A review of results on semisymmetric semi-Riemannian manifolds is given in [10]. A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $n \geq 3$, is said to be *Ricci-semisymmetric* if on M we have

$$(2) \quad R \cdot S = 0.$$

Manifolds of this class were investigated by several authors (see e.g. [3] and [21]). Every semisymmetric manifold is Ricci-semisymmetric. The converse is not true. The problem of the equivalence of (1) and (2), named the *problem of P. J. Ryan* (cf. [23]), was considered by several authors (see e.g. [1] and [7] and references therein). For instance, it is known that (1) and (2) are equivalent on hypersurfaces of 5-dimensional semi-Riemannian spaces of constant curvature. Ricci-semisymmetric hypersurfaces of Euclidean spaces were classified (locally) in [22]. A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $n \geq 3$,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53B20, 53B30, 53B50, 53B25, 53C35.

Key words and phrases: Ricci-semisymmetric manifold, quasi-Einstein manifold, warped product, hypersurface, Cartan hypersurface, P. J. Ryan problem.

Research supported by the Polish State Committee of Scientific Research (KBN) grant 2 P03A 006 17 for the first named author and by an Agricultural University of Wrocław (Poland) grant for the second named author.

The main results of this paper were presented by the first named author at the workshop *Harmonic Maps and Curvature Properties of Submanifolds, 2* held in Leeds (England) in April 2000.

is said to be a *quasi-Einstein manifold* if at every point of M we have

$$(3) \quad S = \alpha g + \beta w \otimes w, \quad w \in T_x^* M, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We refer to [15] for a review of results on quasi-Einstein manifolds. In particular, if $S = (\kappa/n)g$ on M then (M, g) is called an *Einstein manifold*.

Let M be a hypersurface in a semi-Riemannian space $N_s^{n+1}(c)$ of constant curvature with signature $(s, n+1-s)$, $n \geq 4$, $c = \frac{\tau}{n(n+1)}$, where τ denotes the scalar curvature of the ambient space. Let U_H be the subset of M consisting of all points x at which the transformation \mathcal{A}^2 is not a linear combination of the shape operator \mathcal{A} and the identity transformation Id. If (3) is satisfied at $x \in M - U_H$ then the Weyl tensor C of M vanishes at x or at this point the Ricci tensor S of M is proportional to the metric tensor ([13, Lemma 4.1(iii)]). Therefore we restrict our considerations to the set U_H . We have

THEOREM 1.1. *Let M be a quasi-Einstein hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$, and let (3) be satisfied on $U_H \subset M$.*

(i) ([13, Theorem 5.1]) *On U_H the following three conditions are equivalent to each other:*

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(a) } R \cdot S = 0, \quad \text{(b) } \mathcal{A}^3 = \text{tr}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{A}^2 - \frac{\varepsilon\kappa}{n-1}\mathcal{A}, \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1, \\ & \text{(c) } \mathcal{A}(W) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where w and α are defined by (3) and W is related to w by $g(W, X) = w(X)$, $X \in T_x M$.

(ii) ([9, Theorem 5.1]; [13, Corollary 5.2]) *If at every $x \in U_H$ either (4)(a), (4)(b) or (4)(c) is satisfied then on U_H we have*

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(a) } \text{rank}\left(S - \frac{\kappa}{n-1}g\right) = 1, \quad \text{(b) } R \cdot C = Q(S, C), \\ & \text{(c) } C \cdot S = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Semi-Riemannian manifolds, of dimension $n \geq 4$, satisfying at every point the condition: the tensors $R \cdot C$ and $Q(S, C)$ are linearly dependent, were investigated e.g. in [11] and [16]. This condition is equivalent to

$$(6) \quad R \cdot C = LQ(S, C)$$

on $U = \{x \in M \mid Q(S, C) \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$, where L is some function on U . We denote by U_L the set of all points of U at which L is nonzero. Evidently, (5)(b) is (6) with $L = \text{const} = 1$. Combining the main results of [14] with Theorem 1.1 we obtain

THEOREM 1.2 ([15, Theorem 1.3]). *If M is a hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 5$, satisfying $R \cdot C = LQ(S, C)$ on $U \subset M$ then on $\bar{U} = U_H \cap U_L \subset M$*

we have: (4), (5) and

$$(7) \quad C \cdot R = \frac{n-3}{n-2} Q(S, R).$$

In Section 4 (see Theorem 4.1) we prove that if at a point $x \in U_H$ of a Ricci-semisymmetric quasi-Einstein hypersurface M in \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$, the scalar curvature κ of M is nonzero and either (4)(a), (4)(b) or (4)(c) is satisfied then M is nonsemisymmetric. In our opinion, Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 4.1 play an important role in the study of the problem of equivalence of (1) and (2) on quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces of semi-Euclidean spaces.

There is also a question of examples of hypersurfaces satisfying the assumptions of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 4.1. In Section 3 we present examples of nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric warped products $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ of a flat manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$, $p = \dim \overline{M} \geq 1$, and an Einstein manifold (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $n - p = \dim \tilde{N} \geq 4$, with some warping function F . If $p = 1$ then $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a quasi-Einstein manifold. The Ricci tensor of such a warped product satisfies (5)(a). The scalar curvature of these manifolds is nonzero. If $p \geq 2$ then $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a nonquasi-Einstein manifold. In Section 4 we present an example of a nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric hypersurface M of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 5$, satisfying (4), (5) and (7) (see Example 4.2). We also present examples of nonquasi-Einstein and nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric warped products which can be realized as hypersurfaces of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 5$ (see Example 4.3). Ricci-pseudosymmetric warped products which can be locally realized as hypersurfaces of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 5$, were investigated in [8].

2. Basic formulas. Let (M, g) , $n \geq 3$, be a connected semi-Riemannian manifold of class C^∞ and let ∇ be its Levi-Civita connection. We define on M the endomorphisms $X \wedge_A Y$, $\mathcal{R}(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ by

$$(X \wedge_A Y)Z = A(Y, Z)X - A(X, Z)Y,$$

$$\mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z,$$

$$\mathcal{C}(X, Y) = \mathcal{R}(X, Y) - \frac{1}{n-2} \left(X \wedge_g \mathcal{S}Y + \mathcal{S}X \wedge_g Y - \frac{\kappa}{n-1} X \wedge_g Y \right),$$

where the Ricci operator \mathcal{S} is defined by $g(X, \mathcal{S}Y) = S(X, Y)$, A is a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor, S the Ricci tensor, κ the scalar curvature and $X, Y, Z \in \Xi(M)$, $\Xi(M)$ being the Lie algebra of vector fields of M . The Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R and the Weyl conformal curvature tensor C of (M, g) are defined by $R(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = g(\mathcal{R}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4)$ and $C(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = g(\mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4)$, respectively. We refer to [9] (see also [14] or [16]) for the definitions of the tensors: $R \cdot R$, $R \cdot C$, $R \cdot S$, $C \cdot R$, $C \cdot S$, $Q(g, R)$, $Q(g, C)$, $Q(g, S)$, $Q(S, R)$ and $Q(S, C)$. For symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensors

A and B we denote by $A \wedge B$ their Kulkarni–Nomizu product. We have the identity (see e.g. [9]) $Q(S, g \wedge S) = -\frac{1}{2}Q(g, S \wedge S)$.

A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be *pseudosymmetric* ([6]) if at every point of M the tensors $R \cdot R$ and $Q(g, R)$ are linearly dependent. This is equivalent to $R \cdot R = L_R Q(g, R)$ on $U_R = \{x \in M \mid R - \frac{\kappa}{(n-1)n}G \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$, where L_R is some function on U_R . The $(0, 4)$ -tensor G is defined by $G = \frac{1}{2}g \wedge g$. Evidently, every semi-Riemannian semisymmetric manifold is pseudosymmetric. The converse is not true ([6]). It is easy to see that at every point of any pseudosymmetric manifold (M, g) the tensors $R \cdot S$ and $Q(g, S)$ are linearly dependent. The converse is not true ([3]).

A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called *Ricci-pseudosymmetric* if $R \cdot S$ and $Q(g, S)$ are linearly dependent at every point of M . (M, g) is Ricci-pseudosymmetric if and only if $R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S)$ on the set $U_S = \{x \in M \mid S \neq (\kappa/n)g \text{ at } x\}$, where L_S is some function on U_S . Examples of compact non-Einstein Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifolds which are nonpseudosymmetric were found in [17] and [18]. For instance, in [18, Theorem 1] it was shown that the Cartan hypersurfaces have that property. We recall that the Cartan hypersurface in the sphere $S^{n+1}(c)$ is a compact, minimal hypersurface with constant principal curvatures $-(3c)^{1/2}$, 0 , $(3c)^{1/2}$ of the same multiplicity ([2]). On every Cartan hypersurface we have ([18, Proposition 1])

$$(8) \quad \tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{S} = \frac{\tau}{n(n+1)} Q(\tilde{g}, \tilde{S}).$$

For recent results on Ricci-pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces in $N_s^{n+1}(c)$, $n \geq 4$, we refer to [8] and [19].

It is known that at every point of a hypersurface \tilde{N} of $N_s^{n+1}(c)$, $n \geq 4$, the following condition is satisfied ([6, Section 5.5]): the tensors $\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{R} - Q(\tilde{S}, \tilde{R})$ and $Q(\tilde{g}, \tilde{C})$ are linearly dependent. Precisely, on \tilde{N} we have

$$(9) \quad \tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{R} - Q(\tilde{S}, \tilde{R}) = -\frac{(n-2)\tau}{n(n+1)} Q(\tilde{g}, \tilde{C}).$$

In particular, if the ambient space is \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} then (9) reduces to

$$(10) \quad \tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{R} = Q(\tilde{S}, \tilde{R}).$$

Every quasi-Einstein conformally flat manifold is a pseudosymmetric manifold satisfying (10) ([6, Section 6.3]).

3. Ricci-semisymmetric manifolds. In this section we present a family of nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric quasi-Einstein warped products $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$, $\dim \overline{M} = 1$, $\dim \tilde{N} = n - 1 \geq 3$, satisfying at every point

$x \in \overline{M} \times \tilde{N}$ the following condition:

$$(11) \quad S = \frac{\kappa}{n-1}g + \beta w \otimes w, \quad w \in T_x^*(\overline{M} \times \tilde{N}), \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We also present a family of Ricci-semisymmetric nonquasi-Einstein warped products $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$, $p = \dim \overline{M} \geq 2$, $n - p = \dim \tilde{N} \geq 3$. These constructions are related to the notion of a cone in the sense of [21].

PROPOSITION 3.1 (cf. [9, Proposition 3.1(ii)]). *If (11) holds at a point x of a Ricci-semisymmetric semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $n \geq 4$, then at x we have*

$$(12) \quad R \cdot C - Q(S, C) = R \cdot R - Q(S, R).$$

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1 and (10) we obtain

COROLLARY 3.1 (cf. [9, Theorem 3.1]). *Let M be a Ricci-semisymmetric hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$. If (11) holds at a point x of M then at x we have $\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{C} = Q(\tilde{S}, \tilde{C})$.*

Let now $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ and (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $p = \dim \overline{M}$, $n - p = \dim \tilde{N}$, $1 \leq p < n$, be semi-Riemannian manifolds covered by systems of charts $\{\overline{U}; x^a\}$ and $\{\tilde{V}; y^\alpha\}$, respectively. Let F be a positive smooth function on \overline{M} . The warped product $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is the product manifold $\overline{M} \times \tilde{N}$ with the metric $g = \overline{g} \times_F \tilde{g}$, defined by $\overline{g} \times_F \tilde{g} = \pi_1^* \overline{g} + (F \circ \pi_1) \pi_2^* \tilde{g}$, where $\pi_1 : \overline{M} \times \tilde{N} \rightarrow \overline{M}$ and $\pi_2 : \overline{M} \times \tilde{N} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ are the natural projections. Let $\{\overline{U} \times \tilde{V}; x^1, \dots, x^p, x^{p+1} = y^1, \dots, x^n = y^{n-p}\}$ be a product chart for $\overline{M} \times \tilde{N}$. The local components of the metric $g = \overline{g} \times_F \tilde{g}$ with respect to this chart are $g_{hk} = \overline{g}_{ab}$ if $h = a$ and $k = b$, $g_{hk} = F \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ if $h = \alpha$ and $k = \beta$, and $g_{hk} = 0$ otherwise, where $a, b, c, d \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \{p+1, \dots, n\}$. We will mark by bars (resp., by tildes) tensors formed from \overline{g} (resp., \tilde{g}).

It is known that the local components R_{rstu} of the Riemann–Christoffel curvature tensor R and the local components S_{ts} of the Ricci tensor S of $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ which may not vanish identically are the following (see e.g. [4], [5] or [16]):

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{abcd} &= \overline{R}_{abcd}, \\ R_{\alpha ab\beta} &= -\frac{1}{2} T_{ab} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}, \\ R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= F \tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - \frac{\Delta_1 F}{4} \tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, \\ S_{ab} &= \overline{S}_{ab} - \frac{n-p}{2F} T_{ab}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(14) \quad S_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{tr} T + \frac{n-p-1}{2F} \Delta_1 F \right) \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}.$$

The $(0, 2)$ -tensor T , with local components T_{ab} , is defined by

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{ab} &= \bar{\nabla}_b F_a - \frac{1}{2F} F_a F_b, & \text{tr } T &= \bar{g}^{ab} T_{ab}, \\ \Delta_1 F &= \Delta_{1\bar{g}} F = \bar{g}^{ab} F_a F_b, & F_a &= \partial_a F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^a}. \end{aligned}$$

The scalar curvature κ of $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ satisfies the relation

$$(16) \quad \kappa = \bar{\kappa} + \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{F} - \frac{n-p}{F} \left(\text{tr } T + \frac{n-p-1}{4F} \Delta_1 F \right).$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) , $p = \dim \bar{M}$, and (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $n - p = \dim \tilde{N}$, $1 \leq p < n$, be semi-Riemannian manifolds and let F be a smooth positive function on \bar{M} .*

(i) ([5, Theorem 1]) *The condition $R \cdot R = L_R Q(g, R)$ is satisfied on U_R of $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ if and only if on U_R we have*

$$(17) \quad (\bar{R} \cdot \bar{R})_{abcdef} = L_R Q(\bar{g}, \bar{R})_{abcdef},$$

$$(18) \quad H^f_d \bar{R}_{fabc} = \frac{1}{2F} (T_{ac} H_{bd} - T_{ab} H_{cd}),$$

$$(19) \quad H_{ad} \left(\tilde{R}_{\delta\alpha\beta\gamma} - \frac{\Delta_1 F}{4F} \tilde{G}_{\delta\alpha\beta\gamma} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} T_{fd} H^f_a \tilde{G}_{\delta\alpha\beta\gamma},$$

$$(20) \quad (\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{R})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\lambda\mu} = \left(FL_R + \frac{\Delta_1 F}{4F} \right) Q(\tilde{g}, \tilde{R})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\lambda\mu},$$

where

$$(21) \quad H_{ad} = \frac{1}{2} T_{ad} + FL_R \bar{g}_{ad}.$$

(ii) ([5, Corollary 1]) *Let (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) , $p \geq 2$, and (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $n - p \geq 2$, be semi-Riemannian spaces of constant curvature. The condition $R \cdot R = L_R Q(g, R)$ is satisfied on U_R of $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ if and only if on U_R we have*

$$(22) \quad \frac{2\bar{\kappa}}{p(p-1)} (\bar{g}_{ab} H_{cd} - \bar{g}_{ac} H_{bd}) = \frac{1}{F} (T_{ac} H_{bd} - T_{ab} H_{cd}),$$

$$(23) \quad H_{ad} \left(\frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{(n-p)(n-p-1)} - \frac{\Delta_1 F}{4F} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} T_{fd} H^f_a.$$

(iii) (cf. [4, Lemma 6]) *The only local components of the Weyl tensor C of $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$, $p = 1$ and $n = 4$, which are not identically zero are*

$$(24) \quad C_{\alpha 11\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{3} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \right),$$

$$(25) \quad C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{F}{2} (\tilde{g}_{\alpha\delta} \tilde{S}_{\beta\gamma} - \tilde{g}_{\alpha\gamma} \tilde{S}_{\beta\delta} + \tilde{g}_{\beta\gamma} \tilde{S}_{\alpha\delta} - \tilde{g}_{\beta\delta} \tilde{S}_{\alpha\gamma}) - \frac{F\tilde{\kappa}}{3} \tilde{G}_{\delta\alpha\beta\gamma}.$$

(iv) ([3, Theorem 1]) *The condition $R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S)$ is satisfied on U_S of $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ if and only if on U_S we have*

$$(26) \quad (\bar{R} \cdot \bar{S})_{abcd} - L_S Q(\bar{g}, \bar{S})_{abcd} = \frac{n-p}{F} ((\bar{R} \cdot H)_{abcd} - L_S Q(\bar{g}, H)_{abcd}),$$

$$(27) \quad H_{ad} \left(\tilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2F} \left(\text{tr } T + \frac{(n-p-1)\Delta_1 F}{2F} \right) g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ = H_{cb} \left(\tilde{S}^c{}_a - \frac{n-p}{2F} T^c{}_a \right) g_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$(28) \quad (\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{S})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \left(FL_S + \frac{\Delta_1 F}{4F} \right) Q(\tilde{g}, \tilde{R})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}.$$

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let η and ξ_1, \dots, ξ_p , $p \geq 1$, be constants such that $\xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_p^2 > 0$. Let $\bar{M} = \{(x^1, \dots, x^p)\}$ be a nonempty open connected subset of \mathbb{R}^p such that the function F defined by

$$(29) \quad F(x^1, \dots, x^p) = (\xi_a x^a + \eta)^2$$

is positive on \bar{M} , where $a, b, c, d \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Further, we set $\bar{g}_{ab} = \varepsilon_a = \pm 1$. Using now (15) and (29) we find

$$(30) \quad T_{ab} = 0, \quad \frac{\Delta_1 F}{F} = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{b=1}^p \varepsilon_b F_b^2 = 4c_0, \quad c_0 = \sum_{b=1}^p \varepsilon_b \xi_b^2.$$

EXAMPLE 3.2. We consider the warped product $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ of the manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) defined in Example 3.1 and an $(n-p)$ -dimensional Einstein semi-Riemannian manifold (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $n-p \geq 3$, with F defined by (29). Now (13), (14) and (16) yield

$$(31) \quad R_{abcd} = R_{\alpha ab\beta} = 0, \quad R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = F(\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - c_0 \tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}),$$

$$(32) \quad S_{ab} = 0,$$

$$(33) \quad S_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\tilde{\kappa} - (n-p-1)(n-p)c_0}{(n-p)F} g_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$(34) \quad \kappa = \frac{\tilde{\kappa} - (n-p-1)(n-p)c_0}{F},$$

respectively. Applying (34) in (33) we get

$$(35) \quad S_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\kappa}{n-p} g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

(i) We assume that $p = 1$. The manifold $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is quasi-Einstein. In fact, we have

$$S_{ij} = \frac{\kappa}{n-1} g_{ij} + \gamma \varepsilon_1 w_i w_j,$$

where $w_i = \delta_i^1$, $\gamma = -\kappa/(n-1)$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. From Theorem 3.1(iv) it follows that $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a Ricci-semisymmetric manifold. If $\dim \tilde{N} =$

$n - 1 = 3$ then, in view of Theorem 3.1(i), (iii), $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a semisymmetric conformally flat manifold. Assume now that $\dim \tilde{N} = n - 1 \geq 4$. Using Theorem 3.1(i) we can deduce that if (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $\dim \tilde{N} \geq 4$, is an Einstein semisymmetric manifold not of constant curvature then $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a non-semisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric manifold. If the constant c_2 defined by $c_2 = \tilde{\kappa} - (n - 1)(n - 2)c_0$ is nonzero then the scalar curvature κ of $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is also nonzero.

(ii) We assume that $p \geq 2$. First of all, we note that

$$(36) \quad S_{ij} = \frac{\kappa}{n - p} g_{ij} + \varrho \sum_{a=1}^p \varepsilon_a w_{ai} w_{aj},$$

where $w_{ai} = \delta_{ai}$, $\varrho = -\kappa/(n - p)$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. From Theorem 3.1(iv) it follows that $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a Ricci-semisymmetric manifold. Using (31) and (32) we find

$$C_{abcd} = \frac{\kappa}{(n - 2)(n - 1)} G_{abcd}.$$

Thus $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a nonconformally flat manifold with nonconstant scalar curvature κ , provided that the constant c_2 defined by

$$(37) \quad c_2 = \tilde{\kappa} - (n - p - 1)(n - p)c_0$$

is nonzero. If $n - p = 3$ then, in view of Theorem 3.1(i), $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a semisymmetric manifold. Assume now that $n - p \geq 4$. Using again Theorem 3.1(i) we can deduce that if (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) is an Einstein semisymmetric manifold not of constant curvature then $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric manifold. Since $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a Ricci-semisymmetric manifold, we have $R \cdot C = R \cdot R$. Thus the $(0, 6)$ -tensor T defined by

$$T = R \cdot C - Q(S, C) - R \cdot R + Q(S, R)$$

takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} T &= -Q(S, C) + Q(S, R) = -Q(S, C - R) \\ &= \frac{1}{n - 2} Q\left(S, g \wedge S - \frac{\kappa}{n - 1} G\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n - 2} Q\left(g, \frac{1}{2} S \wedge S\right) - \frac{\kappa}{(n - 2)(n - 1)} Q(S, G). \end{aligned}$$

Applying now (35) we get

$$T_{a\beta\gamma\delta\alpha b} = \frac{(p - 1)\kappa^2}{(n - p)^2(n - 2)(n - 1)} g_{ab} G_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}.$$

If the constant c_2 defined by (37) is nonzero then (12) is not satisfied on $\overline{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$.

Proposition 3.1 yields immediately

COROLLARY 3.2. *The warped product defined in Example 3.2(i) satisfies (12).*

EXAMPLE 3.3. (i) Let (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $\dim \tilde{N} = n - p \geq 3$, $p \geq 1$, be a non-Einstein Ricci-pseudosymmetric semi-Riemannian manifold such that on $U_{\tilde{S}}$ we have $\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{S} = L_{\tilde{S}}Q(\tilde{g}, \tilde{S})$ and $L_{\tilde{S}} = \text{const} \neq 0$. We consider the warped product $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ with (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) and F defined in Example 3.1. Let $c_0 = L_{\tilde{S}}$. Now, in view of Theorem 3.1(iv), $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a Ricci-semisymmetric nonsemisymmetric manifold. If (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) is nonpseudosymmetric then so is $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$.

(ii) Let (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $\dim \tilde{N} = n - p = 3, 6, 12$ or 24 , $p \geq 1$, be a Riemannian manifold locally isometric to the Cartan hypersurface of dimension $3, 6, 12$ or 24 , respectively. We note that (8) holds on (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) . We consider the warped product $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ with (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) and F as in (i). Let $c_0 = \tau/(n(n + 1))$. Now, as in (i), $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is a nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric manifold provided that $n - p = 6, 12$ or 24 . If $n - p = 3$ then $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ is semisymmetric. This is a consequence of Theorem 3.1(ii) and the fact that the 3-dimensional Cartan hypersurface is pseudosymmetric.

REMARK 3.1. Using (13) and (14) and the definitions of the tensors $R \cdot R$ and $Q(S, R)$ we can easily check that the warped product defined in Example 3.2 satisfies $R \cdot R = Q(S, R)$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let (M, g) , $n \geq 4$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold.*

(i) *If at $x \in M$, (2), (11) and $R \cdot R = Q(S, R)$ are satisfied then at x we have*

$$(38) \quad R \cdot C = Q(S, C).$$

(ii) *If at $x \in M$, (11), $R \cdot R = Q(S, R)$, $\kappa \neq 0$ and $C \neq 0$ are satisfied then M is nonsemisymmetric.*

Proof. (i) is a consequence of our assumptions and Proposition 3.1.

(ii) We suppose that $R \cdot R = 0$ at x . Thus also $Q(S, R)$ vanishes at x . Further, by our assumptions, $\text{rank } S > 1$ at x . Now the equation $Q(S, R) = 0$, in view of Lemma 3.4 of [12], implies $\lambda R = \frac{1}{2}S \wedge S$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, which yields

$$\lambda C = \frac{1}{2} S \wedge S - \frac{\lambda}{n-2} g \wedge S + \frac{\lambda \kappa}{(n-2)(n-1)} G.$$

This leads to

$$\lambda C = \frac{1}{2} \left(S - \frac{\lambda}{n-2} g \right) \wedge \left(S - \frac{\lambda}{n-2} g \right) + \frac{\lambda}{n-2} \left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} - \frac{\lambda}{n-2} \right) G,$$

whence, by an application of (11), we get

$$(39) \quad \lambda C = \left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} - \frac{\lambda}{n-2} \right) \left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} G + \beta g \wedge w \otimes w \right).$$

By suitable contraction this gives

$$\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} - \frac{\lambda}{n-2} \right) ((\kappa + \beta \|w\|^2)g + (n-1)\beta \wedge w \otimes w) = 0.$$

Since $(\kappa + \beta \|w\|^2)g + (n-1)\beta \wedge w \otimes w$ is a nonzero tensor, the above relation leads to $\frac{\kappa}{n-1} - \frac{\lambda}{n-2} = 0$. Therefore (39) implies $C = 0$, a contradiction.

REMARK 3.2 ([6, Section 9]). If at a point of a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $n \geq 5$, the tensors C and $R \cdot R$ are nonzero then the tensor $R \cdot C$ is also nonzero at this point.

4. Ricci-semisymmetric hypersurfaces. Let \tilde{N} , $n = \dim \tilde{N} \geq 3$, be a connected hypersurface isometrically immersed in a semi-Riemannian manifold (N, g) . We denote by \tilde{g} the metric tensor induced on \tilde{N} from g . Further, we denote by $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ the Levi-Civita connections corresponding to \tilde{g} and g , respectively. Let ξ be a local unit normal vector field on \tilde{N} in N and let $\varepsilon = \tilde{g}(\xi, \xi) = \pm 1$. We can write the *Gauss formula* and the *Weingarten formula* of \tilde{N} in N in the following form: $\nabla_X Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \varepsilon \tilde{H}(X, Y)\xi$ and $\nabla_X \xi = -\mathcal{A}X$, respectively, where X, Y are vector fields tangent to \tilde{N} , \tilde{H} is the *second fundamental tensor* of \tilde{N} in N , \mathcal{A} is the *shape operator* of \tilde{N} in N and $\tilde{H}^k(X, Y) = g(\mathcal{A}^k X, Y)$, $\text{tr}(\tilde{H}^k) = \text{tr}(\mathcal{A}^k)$, $k \geq 1$, $\tilde{H}^1 = \tilde{H}$ and $\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathcal{A}$. We denote by \tilde{R} and R the Riemann–Christoffel curvature tensors of \tilde{N} and N , respectively. We let $U_{\tilde{H}}$ be the set of all $x \in \tilde{N}$ at which \mathcal{A}^2 is not a linear combination of \mathcal{A} and Id. Note that $U_{\tilde{H}} \subset U_{\tilde{g}}$. The *Gauss equation* of \tilde{N} in N has the form

$$(40) \quad \tilde{R}(X_1, \dots, X_4) = R(X_1, \dots, X_4) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\tilde{H} \wedge \tilde{H})(X_1, \dots, X_4),$$

where X_1, \dots, X_4 are vector fields tangent to \tilde{N} . Let $x^r = x^r(y^\alpha)$ be the local parametric expression of \tilde{N} in (N, g) , where y^α and x^r are local coordinates of \tilde{N} and N , respectively, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$.

Let the ambient space (N, g) be a semi-Riemannian space $N_s^{n+1}(c)$ of constant curvature. Now (40) reads

$$(41) \quad \tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \varepsilon (\tilde{H}_{\alpha\delta} \tilde{H}_{\beta\gamma} - \tilde{H}_{\alpha\gamma} \tilde{H}_{\beta\delta}) + \frac{\tau}{n(n+1)} \tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta},$$

where $\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, $\tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ and $\tilde{H}_{\alpha\delta}$ are the local components of \tilde{R} , $\tilde{G} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g} \wedge \tilde{g}$, and \tilde{H} , respectively.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.2 we have the following

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let M be a hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 5$.*

- (i) *If at $x \in M$ condition (11) is satisfied then (38) holds at x .*
- (ii) *If at $x \in M$ the following conditions are satisfied: (11), $\kappa \neq 0$ and $C \neq 0$, then at x we have $R \cdot R \neq 0$, $R \cdot C \neq 0$ and $Q(S, C) \neq 0$.*
- (iii) *If at $x \in \bar{U} = U_H \cap U_L \subset M$ condition (3) is satisfied, $\kappa \neq 0$, and either (4)(a), (4)(b), or (4)(c) holds, then M is nonsemisymmetric.*

We now present examples of nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 5$.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) , $\dim \bar{M} = p \geq 2$, be as defined in Example 3.1 and let (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $\dim \tilde{N} = n - p \geq 1$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold isometric to a hypersurface of $N_s^{n-p+1}(c)$. Let $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ be the warped product with F and c_0 defined by (29) and (30), respectively, and*

$$(42) \quad c_0 = \frac{\tau}{(n-p)(n-p+1)},$$

where τ is the scalar curvature of $N_s^{n-p+1}(c)$. Then $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ can be realized locally as a hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} .

Proof. (i) Let (M, \tilde{g}) be a hypersurface of $N_s^{n-p+1}(c)$. Thus (41) yields

$$(43) \quad \tilde{R} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tilde{H} \wedge \tilde{H} + \frac{\tau}{(n-p)(n-p+1)} \tilde{G},$$

where τ is the scalar curvature of the ambient space and \tilde{R} and \tilde{H} are the curvature tensor and the second fundamental tensor of M , respectively. By making use of (30), (42) and (43), we can write the formulas (5), (6) and (7) of [4] in the form

$$\begin{aligned} R_{abcd} &= 0, \\ R_{\alpha ab\beta} &= -\frac{1}{2} T_{ab} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \\ R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= F \tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - \frac{\Delta_1 F}{4} \tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ &= \varepsilon(\sqrt{F} \tilde{H}_{\alpha\delta} \sqrt{F} \tilde{H}_{\beta\gamma} - \sqrt{F} \tilde{H}_{\alpha\gamma} \sqrt{F} \tilde{H}_{\beta\delta}) \\ &\quad + F \left(\frac{\tau}{(n-p)(n-p+1)} - \frac{\Delta_1 F}{4F} \right) \tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ &= \varepsilon(H_{\alpha\delta} H_{\beta\gamma} - H_{\alpha\gamma} H_{\beta\delta}), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $H_{\alpha\delta} = \sqrt{F} \tilde{H}_{\alpha\delta}$. Let H be the symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor H on $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ with local components $H_{ab} = 0$, $H_{a\delta} = 0$ and $H_{\alpha\delta} = \sqrt{F} \tilde{H}_{\alpha\delta}$. Using the fact that $\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha \tilde{H}_{\beta\delta} = \tilde{\nabla}_\beta \tilde{H}_{\alpha\delta}$, we can easily check that H is a Codazzi tensor on $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$. Thus the semi-Riemannian manifold $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ can be locally realized as a hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} . Our proposition is thus proved.

Proposition 4.1 and Example 3.2 imply

COROLLARY 4.1. *If (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $n - p \geq 4$, is a semisymmetric Einstein manifold not of constant curvature then the warped product $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ defined in Proposition 4.1 is a nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric manifold which can be locally realized as a hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} .*

Proposition 4.1 and Example 3.3 yield

COROLLARY 4.2. *If (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $U_{\tilde{S}} = \tilde{N}$, $n - p \geq 4$, is a non-Einstein Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold such that on $U_{\tilde{S}}$,*

$$\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{R} = L_{\tilde{S}}Q(\tilde{g}, \tilde{S}) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\tilde{S}} = \frac{\tau}{(n-p)(n-p+1)},$$

then the warped product $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ defined in Proposition 4.1 is a nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric manifold which can be locally realized as a hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} .

REMARK 4.1. The above result is also true when $p = 1$. However, in that case we must additionally assume that the space $N_s^{n-p+1}(c)$ is nonflat.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let \tilde{N} be a hypersurface of $N_s^{n+1}(c)$, $n \geq 4$. If at a point x the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{R} = 0, \quad \tilde{S} = \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{n} \tilde{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{R} - \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{(n-1)n} \tilde{G} \neq 0,$$

then at x we have

$$(44) \quad \tilde{\kappa} = \frac{n-2}{n+1} \tau.$$

Proof. (9), by our assumptions, turns into

$$\left(\tilde{\kappa} - \frac{n-2}{n+1} \tau \right) Q(\tilde{g}, \tilde{R}) = 0.$$

Since $Q(\tilde{g}, \tilde{R}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\tilde{R} - \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{(n-1)n} \tilde{G} \neq 0$, the last equality implies (44), which completes the proof.

As an immediate consequence of the last proposition we have the following

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let \tilde{N} be a semisymmetric Einstein hypersurface of $N_s^{2k+1}(c)$, $k \geq 2$. Then on $U_{\tilde{R}} \subset \tilde{N}$ we have*

$$(45) \quad \tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \varepsilon(\tilde{H}_{\alpha\delta}\tilde{H}_{\beta\gamma} - \tilde{H}_{\alpha\gamma}\tilde{H}_{\beta\delta}) + \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{4k(k-1)} \tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}.$$

EXAMPLE 4.1 ([20]). We now present examples of semisymmetric Einstein hypersurfaces. Namely, in [20] Einstein hypersurfaces with $\mathcal{A}^2 =$

$-b^2 \text{Id}$, $b \neq 0$, were classified. They are complex spheres, either $\mathbb{C}S^k(1/b)$ or $\mathbb{C}S^k(i/b)$, where, for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\mathbb{C}S^k(\gamma) = \{(z_1, \dots, z_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{k+1} : z_1^2 + \dots + z_{k+1}^2 = \gamma^2\}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

It is known that $\mathbb{C}S^k$ is an irreducible symmetric space (see [20] and references therein). $\mathbb{C}S^k(1/b)$ is a hypersurface in the indefinite sphere $S_{k+1}^{2k+1}(1/b)$ and $\mathbb{C}S^k(i/b)$ is a hypersurface in the indefinite hyperbolic space $H_k^{2k+1}(1/b)$, defined by

$$S_{k+1}^{2k+1}(1/b) = \left\{ (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2k+2} : \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} x_j^2 - y_j^2 = 1/b^2 \right\},$$

$$H_k^{2k+1}(1/b) = \left\{ (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2k+2} : \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} x_j^2 - y_j^2 = -1/b^2 \right\}.$$

$S_{k+1}^{2k+1}(1/b)$ has constant curvature b^2 and signature $(k+1, k)$, while $H_k^{2k+1}(1/b)$ has constant curvature $-b^2$ and signature $(k, k+1)$. By identifying $(x_1 + iy_1, \dots, x_{k+1} + iy_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ with $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2k+2}$, the complex spheres can be viewed as hypersurfaces defined by the single equation $\sum_j x_j y_j = 0$ in the sphere and hyperbolic space. It is clear that (45) holds on these hypersurfaces.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , $\dim \tilde{N} = n - p = 2k \geq 4$, $p \geq 1$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold isometric to an open nonempty part of the semisymmetric Einstein hypersurface of Example 4.1. We now consider the warped product $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ where (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) and F are defined in Example 3.1, and the constant c_0 satisfies (42). In view of Corollary 4.1, $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ can be locally realized as a nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 5$. From Corollary 4.3 it follows that $c_0 = \tau/(2k(2k+1)) = \tilde{\kappa}/(4k(k-1))$, where $\tilde{\kappa}$ is the scalar curvature of (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) . Thus on $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$ we have $\kappa = -\tilde{\kappa}/((n-p-2)F)$.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Using Corollary 4.2, in the same way as in Example 4.2, we can show that the warped product $\bar{M} \times_F \tilde{N}$, $\dim \bar{M} = p \geq 1$, $\dim \tilde{N} = n - p = 3, 6, 12$ or 24 , defined in Example 3.3(ii) can be locally realized as a Ricci-semisymmetric hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$. If $n - p = 6, 12$ or 24 the hypersurface is nonsemisymmetric.

REMARK 4.2. (i) An example of a semisymmetric quasi-Einstein hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_s^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$, was given in [9, Example 5.1].

(ii) An example of a nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric quasi-Einstein hypersurface of the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , $n \geq 5$, was found recently in [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] B. E. Abdalla and F. Dillen, *A Ricci-semi-symmetric hypersurface of the Euclidean space which is not semi-symmetric*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), 1805–1808.
- [2] T. E. Cecil and P.J. Ryan, *Tight and Taut Immersions of Manifolds*, Pitman, Boston, 1988.
- [3] R. Deszcz, *On Ricci-pseudosymmetric warped products*, Demonstratio Math. 22 (1989), 1053–1065.
- [4] —, *On four-dimensional Riemannian warped product manifolds satisfying certain pseudo-symmetry curvature conditions*, Colloq. Math. 62 (1991), 103–120.
- [5] —, *On pseudosymmetric warped product manifolds*, in: Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, V, World Sci., River Edge, NJ, 1993, 132–146.
- [6] —, *On pseudosymmetric spaces*, Bull. Soc. Belg. Math. Ser. A 44 (1992), 1–34.
- [7] —, *On the equivalence of Ricci-semisymmetry and semisymmetry*, Dept. Math., Agricultural Univ. Wrocław, Ser. A, Theory and Methods, Report No. 64, 1998.
- [8] R. Deszcz and M. Głogowska, *Some nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric warped product hypersurfaces*, Dept. Math., Agricultural Univ. Wrocław, Ser. A, Theory and Methods, Report No. 90, 2000.
- [9] R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotłoś, and Z. Şentürk, *On certain quasi-Einstein semisymmetric hypersurfaces*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. 41 (1998), 151–164.
- [10] R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, and D. Kowalczyk, *A review of results on semisymmetric manifolds*, Dept. Math., Agricultural Univ. Wrocław, Ser. A, Theory and Methods, Report No. 76, 2000.
- [11] R. Deszcz and M. Hotłoś, *On a certain extension of the class of semisymmetric manifolds*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 63 (77) (1998), 115–130.
- [12] —, —, *On a certain subclass of pseudosymmetric manifolds*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 53 (1998), 29–48.
- [13] R. Deszcz, M. Hotłoś, and Z. Şentürk, *On curvature properties of quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces in semi-Euclidean spaces*, Soochow J. Math. 27 (2001), 375–389.
- [14] —, —, —, *Quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian space forms*, Colloq. Math. 89 (2001), 81–97.
- [15] —, —, —, *A review of results on quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces in semi-Euclidean spaces*, Dept. Math., Agricultural Univ. Wrocław, Ser. A, Theory and Methods, Report No. 78, 2000.
- [16] R. Deszcz and M. Kucharski, *On a certain curvature property of generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes*, Tsukuba J. Math. 23 (1999), 113–130.
- [17] R. Deszcz, P. Verheyen, and L. Verstraelen, *On some generalized Einstein metric conditions*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 60 (74) (1996), 108–120.
- [18] R. Deszcz and Ş. Yaprak, *Curvature properties of Cartan hypersurfaces*, Colloq. Math. 67 (1994), 91–98.
- [19] M. Głogowska, *A curvature characterization of Ricci-pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces*, Dept. Math., Agricultural Univ. Wrocław, Ser. A, Theory and Methods, Report No. 97, 2001.
- [20] M. A. Magid, *Indefinite Einstein hypersurfaces with imaginary principal curvatures*, Houston J. Math. 10 (1994), 57–61.
- [21] V. A. Mirzoyan, *Cones with multidimensional generatrices over Einstein spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Armen. 98 (1998), 265–268 (in Russian).
- [22] —, *Classification of Ric-semiparallel hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces*, Sb. Math. 191 (2000), 1323–1338.

[23] P. J. Ryan, *A class of complex hypersurfaces*, Colloq. Math. 26 (1972), 175–182.

Department of Mathematics
Agricultural University of Wrocław
Grunwaldzka 53
50-357 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail: rysz@ozi.ar.wroc.pl
mglog@ozi.ar.wroc.pl

Received 29 June 2000;
revised 21 March 2002

(3949)