

COBRAIDED SMASH PRODUCT HOM-HOPF ALGEBRAS

BY

TIANSHUI MA (Xinxiang), HAIYING LI (Xinxiang) and TAO YANG (Nanjing)

Abstract. Let (A, α) and (B, β) be two Hom-Hopf algebras. We construct a new class of Hom-Hopf algebras: R -smash products $(A \sharp_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$. Moreover, necessary and sufficient conditions for $(A \sharp_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ to be a cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra are given.

1. Introduction. Hom-structures (Lie algebras, algebras, coalgebras, Hopf algebras) have recently been intensively investigated (see [1, 3, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13]). Hom-algebras are generalizations of algebras obtained by a twisting map; they have been introduced for the first time in [5]. The associativity is replaced by Hom-associativity; Hom-coassociativity for a Hom-coalgebra can be considered in a similar way. Also definitions and properties of Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras have been proposed (see [1, 3, 6, 12, 13]).

Caenepeel and Goyvaerts [1] studied the Hom-structures from the point of view of monoidal categories and found that Hom-algebras coincide with algebras in a symmetric monoidal category. Yau [12] defined the notion of cobraided Hom-bialgebras and showed that each cobraided Hom-bialgebra comes with solutions of the operator quantum Hom-Yang–Baxter equations, which are twisted analogues of the operator form of the quantum Yang–Baxter equation. Solutions of the Hom-Yang–Baxter equation can be obtained from comodules of suitable cobraided Hom-bialgebras. In [11], Yau introduced and characterized the concept of module Hom-algebras as a twisted version of usual module algebras.

Let H be a Hopf algebra and A an H -module algebra. Then we can construct a new Hopf algebra, their smash product $A \# H$ (see [7] or [8]). Extended forms of smash product can be found in [2, 4].

Let (H, β) be a Hom-Hopf algebra and (A, α) an (H, β) -module Hom-algebra (introduced by Yau [11]). Then it is natural to ask: How to construct the smash product Hom-Hopf algebra and when is it cobraided?

The purpose of this article is to answer the above questions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16T05.

Key words and phrases: Hom-smash product, cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra, Yang–Baxter equation.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and results which will be used later. In Section 3, before constructing the smash product Hom-Hopf algebra $(A \natural H, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ (Theorem 3.3), we give a more general case, the so-called R -smash product Hom-Hopf algebra $(A \natural_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ (Theorem 3.1). We remark that the smash product Hom-Hopf algebra $(A \natural H, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ in Theorem 3.3 is different from the one defined by Chen–Wang–Zhang [3], since here the construction of $(A \natural H, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ is based on the concept of the module Hom-algebra introduced by Yau [11], which differs from Chen–Wang–Zhang’s [3]. Necessary and sufficient conditions for $(A \natural_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ to be a cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra are derived in Section 4 (Theorems 4.8 and 4.9). In the last section, we give a concrete example.

2. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper, we follow the definitions and terminology of [1, 11, 12], with all algebraic systems supposed to be over a field K . Given a K -space M , we write id_M for the identity map on M .

We now recall some useful definitions.

DEFINITION 2.1. A *Hom-algebra* is a quadruple $(A, \mu, 1_A, \alpha)$ (abbr. (A, α)), where A is a K -linear space, $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is a K -linear map, $1_A \in A$ and α is an automorphism of A such that

$$\text{(HA1)} \quad \alpha(aa') = \alpha(a)\alpha(a'), \quad \alpha(1_A) = 1_A,$$

$$\text{(HA2)} \quad \alpha(a)(a'a'') = (aa')\alpha(a''), \quad a1_A = 1_Aa = \alpha(a),$$

for $a, a', a'' \in A$. Here we use the notation $\mu(a \otimes a') = aa'$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A *Hom-coalgebra* is a quadruple $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon_C, \beta)$ (abbr. (C, β)), where C is a K -linear space, $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$, $\varepsilon_C : C \rightarrow K$ are K -linear maps, and β is an automorphism of C , such that

$$\text{(HC1)} \quad \beta(c)_1 \otimes \beta(c)_2 = \beta(c_1) \otimes \beta(c_2), \quad \varepsilon_C \circ \beta = \varepsilon_C,$$

$$\text{(HC2)} \quad \beta(c_1) \otimes c_{21} \otimes c_{22} = c_{11} \otimes c_{12} \otimes \beta(c_2), \quad \varepsilon_C(c_1)c_2 = c_1\varepsilon_C(c_2) = \beta(c),$$

for $c \in A$. Here we use the notation $\Delta(c) = c_1 \otimes c_2$ (summation implicitly understood).

REMARKS. (a) Here we use β instead of β^{-1} in [1].

(b) The first equation in (HC2) is equivalent to

$$(1) \quad c_1 \otimes c_{21} \otimes c_{22} = \beta^{-1}(c_{11}) \otimes c_{12} \otimes \beta(c_2)$$

and

$$(2) \quad c_{11} \otimes c_{12} \otimes c_2 = \beta(c_1) \otimes c_{21} \otimes \beta^{-1}(c_{22}),$$

respectively.

(c) By (1), (2) and (HC2), we have

$$(3) \quad c_{11} \otimes c_{12} \otimes c_{21} \otimes c_{22} = \beta(c_1) \otimes \beta^{-1}(c_{211}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(c_{212}) \otimes c_{22}.$$

DEFINITION 2.3. A *Hom-bialgebra* is a sextuple $(H, \mu, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \gamma)$ (abbr. (H, γ)), where $(H, \mu, 1_H, \gamma)$ is a Hom-algebra and $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \gamma)$ is a Hom-coalgebra, such that Δ and ε are morphisms of Hom-algebras, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(hh') &= \Delta(h)\Delta(h'), & \Delta(1_H) &= 1_H \otimes 1_H, \\ \varepsilon(hh') &= \varepsilon(h)\varepsilon(h'), & \varepsilon(1_H) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if there exists a linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$S(h_1)h_2 = h_1S(h_2) = \varepsilon(h)1_H \quad \text{and} \quad S(\gamma(h)) = \gamma(S(h)),$$

then we call $(H, \mu, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \gamma, S)$ (abbr. (H, γ, S)) a *Hom-Hopf algebra*.

Let (H, γ) and (H', γ') be two Hom-bialgebras. A linear map $f : H \rightarrow H'$ is called a *Hom-bialgebra map* if $f \circ \gamma = \gamma' \circ f$ and at the same time f is a bialgebra map in the usual sense.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let (A, β) be a Hom-algebra. A *left (A, β) -Hom-module* is a triple $(M, \triangleright, \alpha)$, where M is a linear space, $\triangleright : A \otimes M \rightarrow M$ is a linear map, and α is an automorphism of M , such that

$$(HM1) \quad \alpha(a \triangleright m) = \beta(a) \triangleright \alpha(m),$$

$$(HM2) \quad \beta(a) \triangleright (a' \triangleright m) = (aa') \triangleright \alpha(m), \quad 1_A \triangleright m = \alpha(m),$$

for $a, a' \in A$ and $m \in M$.

REMARKS. (a) It is obvious that (A, μ, β) is a left (A, β) -Hom-module.

(b) When $\beta = \text{id}_A$ and $\alpha = \text{id}_M$, a left (A, β) -Hom-module is the usual left A -module.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let (H, β) be a Hom-bialgebra and (A, α) a Hom-algebra. If $(A, \triangleright, \alpha)$ is a left (H, β) -Hom-module and for all $h \in H$ and $a, a' \in A$,

$$(HMA1) \quad \beta^2(h) \triangleright (aa') = (h_1 \triangleright a)(h_2 \triangleright a'),$$

$$(HMA2) \quad h \triangleright 1_A = \varepsilon_H(h)1_A,$$

then $(A, \triangleright, \alpha)$ is called an (H, β) -*module Hom-algebra*.

REMARKS. (a) It is obvious that (H, μ_H, β) is an (H, β) -module Hom-algebra.

(b) When $\alpha = \text{id}_A$ and $\beta = \text{id}_H$, an (H, β) -module Hom-algebra is the usual H -module algebra.

(c) Similar to the case of Hopf algebras, Yau [13] showed that (HMA1) is satisfied if and only if μ_A is a morphism of H -modules for suitable H -module structures on $A \otimes A$ and A , respectively.

(d) If $\beta^2 = \text{id}$ in (HMA1), then we can get (6.1) of [3]. So the two definitions of module Hom-algebra are different, which leads to the difference of smash product Hom-Hopf algebra in our Theorem 3.3 and in Definition 6.2 of [3].

DEFINITION 2.6. A *cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra* is an octuple $(H, \mu, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, S, \alpha, \sigma)$ (abbr. (H, α, σ)) in which $(H, \mu, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, S, \alpha)$ is a Hom-Hopf algebra and σ is a bilinear form on H (i.e., $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(H \otimes H, K)$), satisfying the following axioms (for all $h, g, l \in H$):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(CHA1)} \quad & \sigma(h, 1_H) = \sigma(1_H, h) = \varepsilon(h), \\ \text{(CHA2)} \quad & \sigma(hg, \alpha(l)) = \sigma(\alpha(h), l_1)\sigma(\alpha(g), l_2), \\ \text{(CHA3)} \quad & \sigma(\alpha(h), gl) = \sigma(h_1, \alpha(l))\sigma(h_2, \alpha(g)), \\ \text{(CHA4)} \quad & \sigma(h_1, g_1)h_2g_2 = g_1h_1\sigma(h_2, g_2), \\ \text{(CHA5)} \quad & \sigma(\alpha(h), \alpha(g)) = \sigma(h, g). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, σ is called the *Hom-cobraiding form*.

REMARKS. (a) When $\alpha = \text{id}_H$, a cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra is exactly the usual cobraided (or coquasitriangular) Hopf algebra.

(b) The above definition is slightly different from the definitions in [12] or [13]. Here we replace the Hom-bialgebra by Hom-Hopf algebra and also add two conditions, (CHA1) and (CHA5). Similar to the Hopf algebra setting, the Hom-cobraiding form σ in Definition 2.6 is invertible.

(c) By Yau's results [12], each cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra comes with solutions of the operator quantum Hom-Yang–Baxter equations, which are twisted analogues of the operator form of the quantum Yang–Baxter equation.

Next, we generalize the concept of skew pairing to the Hom-setting.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let (A, α, S_A) and (B, β, S_B) be two Hom-Hopf algebras, and $\vartheta \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes B, K)$ a bilinear form. A *Hom-skew pairing* is a triple (A, B, ϑ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(SP1)} \quad & \vartheta(a, 1_B) = \varepsilon_A(a), \quad \vartheta(1_A, b) = \varepsilon_B(b), \\ \text{(SP2)} \quad & \vartheta(aa', \beta(b)) = \vartheta(\alpha(a), b_1)\vartheta(\alpha(a'), b_2), \\ \text{(SP3)} \quad & \vartheta(\alpha(a), bb') = \vartheta(a_1, \beta(b'))\vartheta(a_2, \beta(b)), \\ \text{(SP4)} \quad & \vartheta(\alpha(a), \beta(b)) = \vartheta(a, b), \end{aligned}$$

for $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

REMARKS. (a) When $\alpha = \text{id}_A$ and $\beta = \text{id}_B$, we get the usual skew pairing.

(b) If (H, α, σ) is a cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra, then (H, H, σ) is a Hom-skew pairing.

(c) ϑ is (convolution) invertible with $\vartheta^{-1}(a, b) = \vartheta(S_A(a), b)$.

3. Smash product Hom-Hopf algebra. In this section, we introduce a class of Hom-Hopf algebras: R -smash products $A \bowtie_R B$, generalizing the R -smash product studied in [2]. As a special case, we obtain the Hom-smash

product based on the structure of module Hom-algebra introduced by Yau [11], [13].

Let A and B be two linear spaces, and $R : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ a linear map. In the following, we write $R(b \otimes a) = \sum a_R \otimes b_R$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, and the notations $\sum a_r \otimes b_r$, $\sum a_{R'} \otimes b_{R'}$ are the copies of $\sum a_R \otimes b_R$. As usual, we omit the summation sign “ \sum ”.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $(A, \mu_A, 1_A, \alpha)$ and $(B, \mu_B, 1_B, \beta)$ be two Hom-algebras, and $R : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ a linear map such that for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$,*

$$(4) \quad \alpha(a)_R \otimes \beta(b)_R = \alpha(a_R) \otimes \beta(b_R).$$

Then $(A \natural_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ ($A \natural_R B = A \otimes B$ as a linear space) with multiplication

$$(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = a\alpha^{-1}(a')_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_R)b',$$

where $a, a' \in A$, $b, b' \in B$, and with unit $1_A \otimes 1_B$ is a Hom-algebra if and only if the following conditions hold:

$$(C1) \quad a_R \otimes 1_{BR} = \alpha(a) \otimes 1_B, \quad 1_{AR} \otimes b_R = 1_A \otimes \beta(b),$$

$$(C2) \quad \alpha(a)_R \otimes (bb')_R = a_{Rr} \otimes \beta^{-1}(\beta(b)_r)b'_R,$$

$$(C3) \quad \alpha((aa')_R) \otimes \beta(b)_R = \alpha(a_R)\alpha(a')_r \otimes b_{Rr},$$

where $a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B$.

We call this Hom-algebra the R -smash product Hom-algebra and denote it by $(A \natural_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) For all $a, a', a'' \in A$ and $b, b', b'' \in B$, firstly, we prove that (HA1) holds. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \otimes \beta)((a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b')) &= \underline{\alpha(a\alpha^{-1}(a')_R)} \otimes \underline{\beta(\beta^{-1}(b_R)b')} \\ &\stackrel{(HA1)}{=} \alpha(a)\underline{\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(a')_R)} \otimes \underline{b_R}\beta(b') \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} \alpha(a)a'_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(\beta(b)_R)\beta(b') \\ &= ((\alpha \otimes \beta)(a \otimes b))((\alpha \otimes \beta)(a' \otimes b')) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\alpha \otimes \beta)(1_A \otimes 1_B) = \alpha(1_A) \otimes \beta(1_B) \stackrel{(HA1)}{=} 1_A \otimes 1_B.$$

Secondly, we prove (HA2):

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b))((a' \otimes b')(a'' \otimes b'')) &= \alpha(a)\underline{\alpha^{-1}(a'\alpha^{-1}(a'')_R)_r} \otimes \beta^{-1}(\beta(b)_r)(\beta^{-1}(b'_R)b'') \\ &\stackrel{(C3)}{=} \alpha(a)\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(a'_r))\alpha^{-1}(a'')_{RR'}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_{rR'}) (\beta^{-1}(b'_R)b'') \\ &= \underline{\alpha(a)(\alpha^{-1}(a'_r)\alpha^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a'')_{RR'}))} \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_{rR'}) (\beta^{-1}(b'_R)b'') \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\stackrel{\text{(HA2)}}{=} (a\alpha^{-1}(a'_r))\alpha^{-1}(a'')_{RR'} \otimes \underline{\beta^{-1}(b_{rR'})}(\underline{\beta^{-1}(b'_R)}b'') \\
&\stackrel{\text{(HA2)}}{=} (a\alpha^{-1}(a'_r))\alpha^{-1}(a'')_{RR'} \otimes \beta^{-1}(\underline{\beta^{-1}(b_{rR'})}b'_R)\beta(b'') \\
&\stackrel{\text{(C2)}}{=} (a\alpha^{-1}(a'_r)_r)a''_R \otimes \beta^{-1}((\beta^{-1}(b_r)b')_R)\beta(b'') \\
&= ((a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b'))(\alpha(a'') \otimes \beta(b''))
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(a \otimes b)(1_A \otimes 1_B) &= a\alpha^{-1}(1_A)_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_R)1_B \\
&\stackrel{\text{(HA1)}}{=} a1_{AR} \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_R)1_B \\
&\stackrel{\text{(C1)}}{=} a1_A \otimes b1_B \\
&\stackrel{\text{(HA2)}}{=} \alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(1_A \otimes 1_B)(a \otimes b) = \alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b)$ holds.

(\Rightarrow) By (HA2), we have

$$(5) \quad 1_A\alpha^{-1}(a)_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(1_{BR})b = \alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b),$$

$$(6) \quad a\alpha^{-1}(1_A) \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_R)1_B = \alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad \alpha(a)\alpha^{-1}(a'\alpha^{-1}(a'')_R)_r &\otimes \beta^{-1}(\beta(b)_r)(\beta^{-1}(b'_R)b'') \\
&= (a\alpha^{-1}(a'_r)_r)a''_R \otimes \beta^{-1}((\beta^{-1}(b_r)b')_R)\beta(b'').
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $b = 1_B$ and $a = 1$ in (5) and (6), respectively, we get (C1).

Letting $a = a' = 1_A$ and $b'' = 1_B$ in (7) and using (C1), we obtain (C2).

Likewise, (C3) can be obtained by letting $a = 1_A$ and $b' = b'' = 1_B$ in (7). ■

When $\alpha = \text{id}_A$ and $\beta = \text{id}_B$, we have

EXAMPLE 3.2 ([2]). Let $(A, \mu_A, 1_A)$ and $(B, \mu_B, 1_B)$ be two algebras, and $R : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ a linear map. Then $A \#_R B$ ($A \#_R B = A \otimes B$ as linear spaces) with multiplication

$$(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = aa'_R \otimes b_Rb',$$

where $a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B$, and unit $1_A \otimes 1_B$ becomes an algebra if and only if the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_R \otimes 1_{BR} &= a \otimes 1_B, & 1_{AR} \otimes b_R &= 1_A \otimes b, \\
a_R \otimes (bb')_R &= a_{Rr} \otimes b_r b'_R, \\
(aa')_R \otimes b_R &= a_R a'_r \otimes b_{Rr},
\end{aligned}$$

where $a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let (H, β) be a Hom-bialgebra and $(A, \triangleright, \alpha)$ an (H, β) -module Hom-algebra. Then $(A \natural H, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ ($A \natural H = A \otimes H$ as a linear space) with multiplication*

$$(a \otimes h)(a' \otimes h') = a(h_1 \triangleright \alpha^{-1}(a')) \otimes \beta^{-1}(h_2)h',$$

where $a, a' \in A$, $h, h' \in H$, and unit $1_A \otimes 1_H$ is a Hom-algebra; we call it the smash product Hom-algebra and denote it by $(A \natural H, \alpha \otimes \beta)$.

Proof. Define $R : H \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ by

$$R(h \otimes a) = h_1 \triangleright a \otimes h_2, \quad \forall a \in A, h \in H.$$

Firstly, for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a)_R \otimes \beta(h)_R &= \underline{\beta(h)_1 \triangleright \alpha(a)} \otimes \underline{\beta(h)_2} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HC1)}}{=} \underline{\beta(h_1) \triangleright \alpha(a)} \otimes \underline{\beta(h_2)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HM1)}}{=} \alpha(h_1 \triangleright a) \otimes \beta(h_2) = \alpha(a_R) \otimes \beta(h_R), \end{aligned}$$

so (4) holds.

Secondly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_R \otimes 1_{HR} &= 1 \triangleright a \otimes 1_H \stackrel{\text{(HM2)}}{=} \alpha(a) \otimes 1_H, \\ 1_{AR} \otimes h_R &= h_1 \triangleright 1_A \otimes h_2 \stackrel{\text{(HMA2)}}{=} 1_A \otimes \varepsilon(h_1)h_2 \stackrel{\text{(HC2)}}{=} 1_A \otimes \beta(h). \end{aligned}$$

Thirdly, we verify (C2) and (C3): for all $a, a' \in A$ and $h, h' \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a)_R \otimes (hh')_R &= \underline{(hh')_1 \triangleright \alpha(a)} \otimes \underline{(hh')_2} \\ &= \underline{(h_1 h'_1) \triangleright \alpha(a)} \otimes \underline{h_2 h'_2} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HM2)}}{=} \underline{\beta(h_1) \triangleright (h'_1 \triangleright a)} \otimes \underline{h_2 h'_2} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HC1)}}{=} \beta(h)_1 \triangleright (h'_1 \triangleright a) \otimes \beta^{-1}(\beta(h)_2)h'_2 \\ &= a_{Rr} \otimes \beta^{-1}(\beta(h)_r)h'_R \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha((aa')_R) \otimes \beta(h)_R &= \alpha(\underline{\beta(h)_1 \triangleright (aa')}) \otimes \underline{\beta(h)_2} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HC1)}}{=} \underline{\alpha(\beta(h_1) \triangleright (aa'))} \otimes \underline{\beta(h_2)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HM1)}}{=} \beta^2(h_1) \triangleright \underline{\alpha(aa')} \otimes \underline{\beta(h_2)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HA1)}}{=} \underline{\beta^2(h_1) \triangleright (\alpha(a)\alpha(a'))} \otimes \underline{\beta(h_2)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HMA1)}}{=} \underline{(h_{11} \triangleright \alpha(a))(h_{12} \triangleright \alpha(a'))} \otimes \underline{\beta(h_2)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HC2)}}{=} \underline{(\beta(h_1) \triangleright \alpha(a))(h_{21} \triangleright \alpha(a'))} \otimes \underline{h_{22}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HM1)}}{=} \alpha(h_1 \triangleright a)(h_{21} \triangleright \alpha(a')) \otimes h_{22} \\ &= \alpha(a_R)\alpha(a')_r \otimes h_{Rr}. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

REMARKS. (a) The smash product Hom-Hopf algebra $(A \natural H, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ is different from the one defined by Chen–Wang–Zhang in [3], since here the construction of $(A \natural B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ is based on the concept of the module Hom-algebra introduced by Yau [11], while two of conditions (6.1), (6.2) in the module Hom-algebra of [3] are as in the case of a Hopf algebra.

(b) When $\alpha = \text{id}_A$ and $\beta = \text{id}_H$, we get the usual smash product algebra $A \# H$ (see [7, 8]).

LEMMA 3.4. *Let (C, α) and (D, β) be two Hom-coalgebras. Then $(C \otimes D, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ is a Hom-coalgebra with the following comultiplication and counit:*

$$\Delta(c \otimes d) = c_1 \otimes d_1 \otimes c_2 \otimes d_2, \quad \varepsilon(c \otimes d) = \varepsilon_C(c)\varepsilon_D(d),$$

for $c \in C$ and $d \in D$. We call it the tensor product Hom-coalgebra.

Proof. Straightforward. ■

THEOREM 3.5. *Let (A, α, S_A) and (B, β, S_B) be two Hom-Hopf algebras, and $R : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ a linear map. Then the R -smash product Hom-algebra $(A \natural_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ equipped with the tensor product Hom-coalgebra structure becomes a Hom-bialgebra if and only if R is a coalgebra map, i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} a_{R1} \otimes b_{R1} \otimes a_{R2} \otimes b_{R2} &= a_{1R} \otimes b_{1R} \otimes a_{2r} \otimes b_{2r}, \\ \varepsilon_A(a_R)\varepsilon_B(b_R) &= \varepsilon_A(a)\varepsilon_B(b), \end{aligned}$$

for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Furthermore, the R -smash product Hom-bialgebra $(A \natural_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ is a Hom-Hopf algebra with antipode \bar{S} defined by

$$\bar{S}(a \otimes b) = \alpha^{-1}(S_A(a))_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(S_B(b))_R.$$

Proof. We only prove that \bar{S} is an antipode of $(A \natural_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$. The rest is straightforward by direct computation. For all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{S} * \text{id}_{A \natural_R B})(a \otimes b) &= (\alpha^{-1}(S_A(a_1))_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(S_B(b_1))_R)(a_2 \otimes b_2) \\ &= \alpha^{-1}(S_A(a_1))_R \underline{\alpha^{-1}(a_2)_r} \otimes \beta^{-1}(\underline{\beta^{-1}(S_B(b_1))_r}) b_2 \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} \underline{\alpha^{-1}(S_A(a_1))_R \alpha^{-1}(a_{2r})} \otimes \beta^{-2}(S_B(b_1)_{Rr}) b_2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HA1)}}{=} \alpha^{-1}(\underline{\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(S_A(a_1))_R) a_{2r}}) \otimes \beta^{-2}(\underline{S_B(b_1)_{Rr}}) b_2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{(C3)}}{=} \alpha^{-1}(S_A(a_1) a_2)_R \otimes \beta^{-2}(\beta(S_B(b_1))_R) b_2 \\ &= \underline{1_{AR} \varepsilon_A(a)} \otimes \beta^{-2}(\underline{\beta(S_B(b_1))_R}) b_2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{(C1)}}{=} 1_A \varepsilon_A(a) \otimes S_B(b_1) b_2 \\ &= 1_A \otimes 1_B \varepsilon_A(a) \varepsilon_B(b) = 1_A \otimes 1_B \bar{\varepsilon}(a \otimes b) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{id}_{A \natural_R B} * \bar{S})(a \otimes b) &= (a_1 \otimes b_1)(\alpha^{-1}(S_A(a_2))_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(S_B(b_2))_R) \\
 &= a_1 \underline{\alpha^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(S_A(a_2))_R)}_r \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_{1r}) \underline{\beta^{-1}(S_B(b_2))_R} \\
 &\stackrel{(4)}{=} a_1 \alpha^{-2}(S_A(a_2))_{Rr} \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_{1r}) \beta^{-1}(S_B(b_2))_R \\
 &= a_1 \underline{\alpha^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(S_A(a_2))_R)}_r \\
 &\quad \otimes \underline{\beta^{-1}(\beta(\beta^{-1}(b_1))_r)} \beta^{-1}(S_B(b_2))_R \\
 &\stackrel{(C2)}{=} a_1 \alpha^{-1}(S_A(a_2))_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_1 S_B(b_2)) \\
 &= a_1 \underline{\alpha^{-1}(S_A(a_2))_R} \otimes \underline{1_{BR} \varepsilon_B(b)} \\
 &\stackrel{(C1)}{=} a_1 S_A(a_2) \otimes 1_B \varepsilon_B(b) \\
 &= 1_A \otimes 1_B \varepsilon_A(a) \varepsilon_B(b) = 1_A \otimes 1_B \bar{\varepsilon}(a \otimes b),
 \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{S}(\alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b)) &= \alpha^{-1}(S_A(\alpha(a)))_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(S_B(\beta(b)))_R \\
 &= \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(S_A(a)))_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(\beta(S_B(b)))_R \\
 &= S_A(a)_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(\beta(S_B(b)))_R \\
 &\stackrel{(4)}{=} \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(S_A(a))_R) \otimes S_B(b)_R = (\alpha \otimes \beta)(\bar{S}(a \otimes b)),
 \end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. ■

When $\alpha = \text{id}_A$ and $\beta = \text{id}_B$, we have

EXAMPLE 3.6 ([2]). Let A and B be two Hopf algebras. Then the twisted tensor product algebra $A \#_R B$ equipped with the usual tensor product coalgebra structure is a bialgebra if and only if R is a coalgebra map.

Furthermore, the twisted tensor product bialgebra $A \#_R B$ is a Hopf algebra with antipode $S_{A \#_R B}$ defined by

$$S_{A \#_R B}(a \otimes b) = S_A(a)_R \otimes S_B(b)_R.$$

THEOREM 3.7. Let (H, β) be a Hom-Hopf algebra and $(A, \triangleright, \alpha)$ an (H, β) -module Hom-algebra. Then the smash product Hom-algebra $(A \natural H, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ endowed with the tensor product Hom-coalgebra structure becomes a Hom-bialgebra if and only if

$$(8) \quad (h \triangleright a)_1 \otimes (h \triangleright a)_2 = (h_1 \triangleright a_1) \otimes (h_2 \triangleright a_2), \quad \varepsilon_A(h \triangleright a) = \varepsilon_A(a) \varepsilon_H(h)$$

and

$$(9) \quad h_1 \otimes h_2 \triangleright a = h_2 \otimes h_1 \triangleright a.$$

Moreover, $(A \natural H, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ is a Hom-Hopf algebra with antipode

$$S_{A \natural H}(a \otimes h) = S_H(h)_1 \triangleright \alpha^{-1}(S_A(a)) \otimes \beta^{-1}(S_H(h)_2).$$

Proof. Let $R(h \triangleright a) = h_1 \triangleright a \otimes h_2$ for $a \in A$ and $h \in H$ in Theorem 3.5. Then R is a coalgebra map if and only if

$$(10) \quad (h_1 \triangleright a)_1 \otimes h_{21} \otimes (h_1 \triangleright a)_2 \otimes h_{22} = h_{11} \triangleright a_1 \otimes h_{12} \otimes h_{21} \triangleright a_2 \otimes h_{22}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_A(h \triangleright a) = \varepsilon_A(a)\varepsilon_H(h).$$

Moreover by (3) and (HC1), it is easy to deduce that the first equation in (8) and (9) are equivalent to (10). ■

REMARKS. (a) Let (H, β) be a Hom-Hopf algebra. Assume that $(A, \triangleright, \alpha)$ is a Hom-coalgebra and an (H, β) -Hom-module satisfying (8). Then we call $(A, \triangleright, \alpha)$ an (H, β) -module Hom-coalgebra.

When $\alpha = \text{id}_A$ and $\beta = \text{id}_H$, then an (H, β) -module Hom-coalgebra is exactly a module coalgebra in the usual sense (see [7]).

(b) Theorem 3.7 is the Hom-version of the usual smash product Hopf algebra (see [7]).

4. Cobiaided Hom-Hopf algebra. In this section, necessary and sufficient conditions for a smash product Hom-Hopf algebra to be cobraided are given.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ be a R -smash product Hom-Hopf algebra. Define*

$$i : A \rightarrow A \bowtie_R B, \quad i(a) = a \otimes 1_B, \quad j : B \rightarrow A \bowtie_R B, \quad j(b) = 1_A \otimes b,$$

for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then i and j are both Hom-bialgebra maps.

Proof. Straightforward. ■

Let $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ be an R -smash product Hom-Hopf algebra, and $\sigma : A \bowtie_R B \otimes A \bowtie_R B \rightarrow K$ a bilinear form. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : A \otimes A &\rightarrow K, & \tau(a, a') &= \sigma(i \otimes i)(a \otimes a'), \\ \nu : B \otimes B &\rightarrow K, & \nu(b, b') &= \sigma(j \otimes j)(b \otimes b'), \\ \varphi : A \otimes B &\rightarrow K, & \varphi(a, b) &= \sigma(i \otimes j)(a \otimes b), \\ \psi : B \otimes A &\rightarrow K, & \psi(b, a) &= \sigma(j \otimes i)(b \otimes a), \end{aligned}$$

for $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

The following two lemmas are obvious.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ be an R -smash product Hom-Hopf algebra. If σ satisfies (CHA1), then for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau(1_A, a) &= \tau(a, 1_A) = \varepsilon_A(a), \\
 v(b, 1_B) &= v(1_B, b) = \varepsilon_B(b), \\
 \varphi(1_A, b) &= \varepsilon_B(b), \quad \varphi(a, 1_B) = \varepsilon_A(a), \\
 \psi(1_B, a) &= \varepsilon_A(a), \quad \psi(b, 1_A) = \varepsilon_B(b).
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ be an R -smash product Hom-Hopf algebra. If σ satisfies (CHA5) for $\alpha \otimes \beta$, then, for $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau(\alpha(a), \alpha(a')) &= \tau(a, a'), \\
 v(\beta(b), \beta(b')) &= v(b, b'), \\
 \varphi(\alpha(a), \beta(b)) &= \varphi(a, b), \\
 \psi(\beta(b), \alpha(a)) &= \psi(b, a).
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta, \sigma)$ be a cobraided R -smash product Hom-Hopf algebra. Then, for all $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$,*

$$(11) \quad \sigma(\alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b), \alpha(a') \otimes \beta(b')) = \varphi(a_1, b'_1) \tau(a_2, a'_1) v(b_1, b'_2) \psi(b_2, a'_2).$$

Proof. By (CHA2) and (CHA3), for all $a, a', a'', a''' \in A$ and $b, b', b'', b''' \in B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(a\alpha^{-1}(a')_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_R)b', a''\alpha^{-1}(a''')_r \otimes \beta^{-1}(b''_r)b''') \\
 = \sigma(a_1 \otimes b_1, a''_1 \otimes b''_1) \sigma(a_2 \otimes b_2, a''_1 \otimes b''_1) \\
 \times \sigma(a'_1 \otimes b'_1, a''_2 \otimes b''_2) \sigma(a'_2 \otimes b'_2, a''_2 \otimes b''_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $a' = a''' = 1_A$ and $b = b'' = 1_B$ in the above equation, we get (11). ■

LEMMA 4.5. *Let $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta, \sigma)$ be a cobraided R -smash product Hom-Hopf algebra. Then, for all $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D1) \quad & \varphi(\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(a)_R), b_1) v(b'_R, b_2) = v(\beta(b'), b_1) \varphi(\alpha(a), b_2), \\
 (D2) \quad & \tau(\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(a)_R), a'_1) \psi(b_R, a'_2) = \psi(\beta(b), a'_1) \tau(\alpha(a), a'_2), \\
 (D3) \quad & v(b_1, b'_R) \psi(b_2, \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(a)_R)) = \psi(b_1, \alpha(a)) v(b_2, \beta(b')), \\
 (D4) \quad & \varphi(a_1, b_R) \tau(a_2, \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(a')_R)) = \tau(a_1, \alpha(a')) \varphi(a_2, \beta(b)), \\
 (D5) \quad & \psi(b_1, a_1) (\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(a_2)_R) \otimes b_{2R}) = (\alpha(a_1) \otimes \beta(b_1)) \psi(b_2, a_2), \\
 (D6) \quad & \varphi(a_1, b_1) (\alpha(a_2) \otimes \beta(b_2)) = (\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(a_1)_R) \otimes b_{1R}) \varphi(a_2, b_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (CHA2), for all $a, a', a'' \in A$ and $b, b', b'' \in B$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (12) \quad & \sigma(a\alpha^{-1}(a')_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_R)b', \alpha(a'') \otimes \beta(b'')) \\
 & = \sigma(\alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b), a''_1 \otimes b''_1) \sigma(\alpha(a') \otimes \beta(b'), a''_2 \otimes b''_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $a = 1_A$ and $b' = b'' = 1_B$ in (12) yields (D1) by (11). Similarly, setting $a = a'' = 1_A$ and $b' = 1_B$ in (12), we get (D2) by (11).

By (CHA3), for all $a, a', a'' \in A$ and $b, b', b'' \in B$, we have

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(\alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b), a' \alpha^{-1}(a'')_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b'_R) b'') \\ = \sigma(a_1 \otimes b_1, \alpha(a'') \otimes \beta(b'')) \sigma(a_2 \otimes b_2, \alpha(a') \otimes \beta(b')). \end{aligned}$$

(D3) can be obtained by letting $a = a' = 1_A$ and $b'' = 1_B$ in (13) and by (11). Likewise, one gets (D4) by putting $a' = 1_A$ and $b = b'' = 1_B$ in (13) and using (11).

By (CHA4), for all $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$, we have

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(a_1 \otimes b_1, a'_1 \otimes b'_1) (a_2 \alpha^{-1}(a'_2)_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_{2R}) b'_2) \\ = (a'_1 \alpha^{-1}(a_1)_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b'_{1R}) b_1) \sigma(a_2 \otimes b_2, a'_2 \otimes b'_2). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $a = 1_A$ and $b' = 1_B$ in (14), we get (D5); and (D6) is derived by letting $a' = 1_A$ and $b = 1_B$ in (14). ■

LEMMA 4.6. *Given the cobrading σ on an R -smash product Hom-Hopf algebra $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$, consider the induced maps τ, ν, φ and ψ . Then*

- (1) (A, α, τ) and (B, β, ν) are cobraided Hom-Hopf algebras,
- (2) (A, B, φ) and (B, A, ψ) are Hom-skew pairings.

Proof. (1) Setting $b = b' = b'' = 1_B$ in (12) and (13), we get (CHA2) and (CHA3) for τ , respectively. (CHA4) can be derived by letting $b = b' = 1_B$ in (14); then by Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, (A, α, τ) is a cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra. Similarly, we can prove that (B, β, ν) is a cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra.

(2) Letting $a'' = 1_A$ and $b = b' = 1_B$ in (12), and $a' = a'' = 1_A$ and $b = 1_B$ in (13), one can obtain (SP2) and (SP3) for φ , respectively. Then (A, B, φ) is a Hom-skew pairing by Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. The rest of (2) can be demonstrated similarly. ■

LEMMA 4.7. *Let $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ be an R -smash product Hom-Hopf algebra. Suppose there exist forms $\tau : A \otimes A \rightarrow K$, $\varphi : A \otimes B \rightarrow K$, $\psi : B \otimes A \rightarrow K$, and $\nu : B \otimes B \rightarrow K$ such that*

- (1) (A, α, τ) and (B, β, ν) are cobraided Hom-Hopf algebras,
- (2) (A, B, φ) and (B, A, ψ) are Hom-skew pairings,
- (3) the conditions (D1)–(D6) in Lemma 4.5 hold.

Then $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta, \sigma)$ is a cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra with the cobraided structure given by

$$\sigma(\alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b), \alpha(a') \otimes \beta(b')) = \varphi(a_1, b'_1) \tau(a_2, a'_1) \nu(b_1, b'_2) \psi(b_2, a'_2)$$

for $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

Proof. It is obvious that σ satisfies (CHA1) and (CHA5).

Next, we show that (CHA2) holds for σ . For all $a, a', a'' \in A$ and $b, b', b'' \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma((a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b'), \alpha(a'') \otimes \beta(b'')) \\
&= \sigma(\alpha \alpha^{-1}(a')_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_R) b', \alpha(a'') \otimes \beta(b'')) \\
&= \varphi(\alpha^{-1}(\alpha \alpha^{-1}(a')_R)_1, b''_1) \tau(\alpha^{-1}(\alpha \alpha^{-1}(a')_R)_2, a''_1) \\
&\quad \times v(\beta^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(b_R) b')_1, b''_2) \psi(\beta^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(b_R) b')_2, a''_2) \\
&\stackrel{(HA1), (HC1)}{=} \varphi(\alpha^{-1}(a_1) \alpha^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a')_{R1}), b''_1) \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a_2) \alpha^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a')_{R2}), a''_1) \\
&\quad \times v(\beta^{-2}(b_{R1}) \beta^{-1}(b'_1), b''_2) \psi(\beta^{-2}(b_{R2}) \beta^{-1}(b'_2), a''_2) \\
&\stackrel{(CHA2), (SP2)}{=} \varphi(a_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{11})) \varphi(\alpha^{-1}(a')_{R1}, \beta^{-1}(b''_{12})) \tau(a_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{11})) \\
&\quad \times \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a')_{R2}, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{12})) v(\beta^{-1}(b_{R1}), \beta^{-1}(b''_{21})) v(b'_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{22})) \\
&\quad \times \psi(\beta^{-1}(b_{R2}), \alpha^{-1}(a''_{21})) \psi(b'_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{22})) \\
&= \varphi(a_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{11})) \varphi(\alpha^{-1}(a')_{1R}, \beta^{-1}(b''_{12})) \tau(a_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{11})) \\
&\quad \times \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a')_{2r}, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{12})) \times v(\beta^{-1}(b_{1R}), \beta^{-1}(b''_{21})) v(b'_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{22})) \\
&\quad \times \psi(\beta^{-1}(b_{2r}), \alpha^{-1}(a''_{21})) \psi(b'_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{22})) \\
&\stackrel{(3)}{=} \varphi(a_1, b''_1) \varphi(\alpha^{-1}(a')_{1R}, \beta^{-2}(b''_{211})) \tau(a_2, a''_1) \\
&\quad \times \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a')_{2r}, \alpha^{-2}(a''_{211})) v(\beta^{-1}(b_{1R}), \beta^{-2}(b''_{212})) v(b'_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{22})) \\
&\quad \times \psi(\beta^{-1}(b_{2r}), \alpha^{-2}(a''_{212})) \psi(b'_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{22})) \\
&\stackrel{(4), (HC1)}{=} \varphi(a_1, b''_1) \varphi(\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a')_1)_R), \beta^{-2}(b''_{211})) \tau(a_2, a''_1) \\
&\quad \times \tau(\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a')_2)_r), \alpha^{-2}(a''_{211})) v(\beta^{-1}(b_1)_R, \beta^{-2}(b''_{212})) \\
&\quad \times v(b'_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{22})) \psi(\beta^{-1}(b_2)_r, \alpha^{-2}(a''_{212})) \psi(b'_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{22})) \\
&\stackrel{(D1), (D2)}{=} \varphi(a_1, b''_1) \varphi(a'_1, \beta^{-2}(b''_{212})) \tau(a_2, a''_1) \tau(a'_2, \alpha^{-2}(a''_{212})) \\
&\quad \times v(b_1, \beta^{-2}(b''_{211})) v(b'_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{22})) \psi(b_2, \alpha^{-2}(a''_{211})) \psi(b'_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{22})) \\
&\stackrel{(3)}{=} \varphi(a_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{11})) \varphi(a'_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{21})) \tau(a_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{11})) \tau(a'_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{21})) \\
&\quad \times v(b_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{12})) v(b'_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{22})) \psi(b_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{12})) \psi(b'_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{22})) \\
&\stackrel{(HC1)}{=} \varphi(a_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{11})) \tau(a_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{11})) v(b'_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{22})) \psi(b'_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{22})) \\
&\quad \times \varphi(a'_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{21})) \tau(a'_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{21})) v(b_1, \beta^{-1}(b''_{12})) \psi(b_2, \alpha^{-1}(a''_{12})) \\
&= \sigma(\alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b), a''_1 \otimes b''_1) \sigma(\alpha(a') \otimes \beta(b'), a''_2 \otimes b''_2).
\end{aligned}$$

(CHA3) for σ can be proved by a similar method.

Now we check (CHA4): for all $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(a_1 \otimes b_1, a'_1 \otimes b'_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2)(a'_2 \otimes b'_2) \\
&= u(\alpha^{-1}(a_1)_1, \beta^{-1}(b'_1)_1) \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a_1)_2, \alpha^{-1}(a'_1)_1) v(\beta^{-1}(b_1)_1, \beta^{-1}(b'_1)_2) \\
&\quad \times \psi(\beta^{-1}(b_1)_2, \alpha^{-1}(a'_1)_2)(a_2 \alpha^{-1}(a'_2)_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_{2R}) b'_2) \\
&\stackrel{(HC1)}{=} \varphi(\alpha^{-1}(a_{11}), \beta^{-1}(b'_{11})) \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a_{12}), \alpha^{-1}(a'_{11})) v(\beta^{-1}(b_{11}), \beta^{-1}(b'_{12})) \\
&\quad \times \psi(\beta^{-1}(b_{12}), \alpha^{-1}(a'_{12}))(a_2 \alpha^{-1}(a'_2)_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_{2R}) b'_2) \\
&\quad \times \psi(\beta^{-1}(b_1)_2, \alpha^{-1}(a'_1)_2)(a_2 \alpha^{-1}(a'_2)_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_{2R}) b'_2) \\
&\stackrel{(2)}{=} \varphi(a_1, b'_1) \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a_{21}), a'_1) v(b_1, \beta^{-1}(b'_{21})) \psi(\beta^{-1}(b_{21}), \alpha^{-1}(a'_{21})) \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{-1}(a_{22}) \alpha^{-2}(a'_{22})_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(b_{22})_R) \beta^{-1}(b'_{22})) \\
&\stackrel{(HC1)}{=} \varphi(a_1, b'_1) \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a_2)_1, a'_1) v(b_1, \beta^{-1}(b'_2)_1) \psi(\beta^{-1}(b_2)_1, \alpha^{-1}(a'_2)_1) \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{-1}(a_2)_2 \alpha^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a'_2)_2)_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(b_2)_{2R}) \beta^{-1}(b'_2)_2) \\
&\stackrel{(D5)}{=} \varphi(a_1, b'_1) \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a_2)_1, a'_1) v(b_1, \beta^{-1}(b'_2)_1) \psi(\beta^{-1}(b_2)_2, \alpha^{-1}(a'_2)_2) \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{-1}(a_2)_2 \alpha^{-1}(a'_2)_1 \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_2)_1 \beta^{-1}(b'_2)_2) \\
&\stackrel{(1), (HC1)}{=} \varphi(a_1, b'_1) \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a_2)_1, \alpha^{-1}(a'_1)_1) \underline{v(\beta^{-1}(b_1)_1, \beta^{-1}(b'_2)_1)} \psi(b_2, a'_2) \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{-1}(a_2)_2 \alpha^{-1}(a'_1)_2 \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_1)_2 \beta^{-1}(b'_2)_2) \\
&\stackrel{(CHA4)}{=} u(a_1, b'_1) \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a_2)_2, \alpha^{-1}(a'_1)_2) v(\beta^{-1}(b_1)_2, \beta^{-1}(b'_2)_2) \psi(b_2, a'_2) \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{-1}(a'_1)_1 \alpha^{-1}(a_2)_1 \otimes \beta^{-1}(b'_2)_1 \beta^{-1}(b_1)_1) \\
&\stackrel{(1), (HC1)}{=} \underline{\varphi(\alpha^{-1}(a_1)_1, \beta^{-1}(b'_1)_1)} \tau(a_2, \alpha^{-1}(a'_1)_2) v(\beta^{-1}(b_1)_2, b'_2) \psi(b_2, a'_2) \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{-1}(a'_1)_1 \alpha^{-1}(a_1)_2 \otimes \beta^{-1}(b'_1)_2 \beta^{-1}(b_1)_1) \\
&\stackrel{(D6)}{=} \varphi(\alpha^{-1}(a_1)_2, \beta^{-1}(b'_1)_2) \tau(a_2, \alpha^{-1}(a'_1)_2) v(\beta^{-1}(b_1)_2, b'_2) \psi(b_2, a'_2) \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{-1}(a'_1)_1 \alpha^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_1)_1)_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(b'_1)_{1R}) \beta^{-1}(b_1)_1) \\
&\stackrel{(2), (3)}{=} (a'_1 \alpha^{-1}(a_1)_R \otimes \beta^{-1}(b'_{1R}) b_1) \varphi(\alpha^{-1}(a_2)_1, \beta^{-1}(b'_1)_2) \\
&\quad \times \tau(\alpha^{-1}(a_2)_2, \alpha^{-1}(a'_2)_1) v(\beta^{-1}(b_2)_1, \beta^{-1}(b'_2)_2) \psi(\beta^{-1}(b_2)_2, \alpha^{-1}(a'_2)_2) \\
&= (a'_1 \otimes b'_1)(a_1 \otimes b_1) \sigma(a_2 \otimes b_2, a'_2 \otimes b'_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta, \sigma)$ is a cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra. ■

Lemmas 4.2–4.7 imply

THEOREM 4.8. *An R -smash product Hom-Hopf algebra $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ is cobraided if and only if there exist forms $\tau : A \otimes A \rightarrow K$, $\varphi : A \otimes B \rightarrow K$, $\psi : B \otimes A \rightarrow K$, and $v : B \otimes B \rightarrow K$ such that (A, α, τ) and*

(B, β, v) are cobraided Hom-Hopf algebras, (A, B, φ) and (B, A, ψ) are Hom-skew pairings, and the conditions (D1)–(D6) of Lemma 4.5 hold. Moreover, the cobraided structure σ on $(A \bowtie_R B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ has the decomposition

$$\sigma(\alpha(a) \otimes \beta(b), \alpha(a') \otimes \beta(b')) = \varphi(a_1, b'_1)\tau(a_2, a'_1)v(b_1, b'_2)\psi(b_2, a'_2).$$

THEOREM 4.9. *A smash product Hom-Hopf algebra $(A \bowtie H, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ is cobraided if and only if there exist forms $\tau : A \otimes A \rightarrow K$, $\varphi : A \otimes H \rightarrow K$, $\psi : H \otimes A \rightarrow K$, and $v : H \otimes H \rightarrow K$ such that (A, α, τ) and (H, β, v) are cobraided Hom-Hopf algebras, (A, H, φ) and (H, A, ψ) are Hom-skew pairings, and the conditions (D1)'–(D6)' below hold: for all $a, a' \in A$ and $h, h' \in B$,*

$$(D1)' \quad \varphi(\beta(h'_1) \triangleright a, h_1)v(h'_2, h_2) = v(\beta(h'), h_1)\varphi(\alpha(a), h_2),$$

$$(D2)' \quad \tau(\beta(h_1) \triangleright a, a'_1)\psi(h_2, a'_2) = \psi(\beta(h), a'_1)\tau(\alpha(a), a'_2),$$

$$(D3)' \quad v(h_1, h'_2)\psi(h_2, \beta(h'_1) \triangleright a) = \psi(h_1, \alpha(a))v(h_2, \beta(h')),$$

$$(D4)' \quad \varphi(a_1, h_2)\tau(a_2, \beta(h_1) \triangleright a') = \tau(a_1, \alpha(a'))\varphi(a_2, \beta(h)),$$

$$(D5)' \quad \psi(h_1, a_1)(\beta(h_{21}) \triangleright a_2 \otimes h_{22}) = (\alpha(a_1) \otimes \beta(h_1))\psi(h_2, a_2),$$

$$(D6)' \quad \varphi(a_1, h_1)(\alpha(a_2) \otimes \beta(h_2)) = (\beta(h_{11}) \triangleright a_1 \otimes h_{12})\varphi(a_2, h_2).$$

Moreover, the cobraided structure σ' on $(A \bowtie H, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ has the decomposition

$$\sigma'(\alpha(a) \otimes \beta(h), \alpha(a') \otimes \beta(h')) = \varphi(a_1, h'_1)\tau(a_2, a'_1)v(h_1, h'_2)\psi(h_2, a'_2).$$

Proof. Let $R(h \otimes a) = h_1 \triangleright a \otimes h_2$ for $a \in A$ and $h \in H$ in Theorem 4.8. ■

5. Applications. In this section, we apply the main results of Sections 3 and 4 to a concrete example.

The following result is clear.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $K\mathbb{Z}_2 = K\{1, a\}$ be a Hopf group algebra (see [9]). Then $(K\mathbb{Z}_2, \text{id}_{K\mathbb{Z}_2}, v)$ is a cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra, where v is given by*

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} v & 1 & a \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a & 1 & -1 \end{array}$$

Let

$$T_{2,-1} = K\{1, g, x, gx \mid g^2 = 1, x^2 = 0, xg = -gx\}$$

be Taft's Hopf algebra (see [10]); its coalgebra structure and antipode are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(g) &= g \otimes g, & \Delta(x) &= x \otimes g + 1 \otimes x, & \Delta(gx) &= gx \otimes 1 + g \otimes gx; \\ \varepsilon(g) &= 1, & \varepsilon(x) &= 0, & \varepsilon(gx) &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S(g) = g, \quad S(x) = gx, \quad S(gx) = -x.$$

Define a linear map $\alpha : T_{2,-1} \rightarrow T_{2,-1}$ by

$$\alpha(1) = 1, \quad \alpha(g) = g, \quad \alpha(x) = kx, \quad \alpha(gx) = kgx$$

where $0 \neq k \in K$. Then α is an automorphism of Hopf algebras.

So we get a Hom-Hopf algebra

$$H_\alpha = (T_{2,-1}, \alpha \circ \mu_{T_{2,-1}}, 1_{T_{2,-1}}, \Delta_{T_{2,-1}} \circ \alpha, \varepsilon_{T_{2,-1}}, \alpha)$$

(see [6]).

LEMMA 5.2. *Let H_α be the Hom-Hopf algebra defined above. Then (H_α, α, τ) is a cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra, where τ is given by*

τ	1	g	x	gx
1	1	1	0	0
g	1	-1	0	0
x	0	0	0	0
gx	0	0	0	0

Proof. A straightforward but tedious computation. ■

THEOREM 5.3. *Let $K\mathbb{Z}_2$ be the Hopf group algebra and H_α the Hom-Hopf algebra defined above. Define a module action $\triangleright : K\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes H_\alpha \rightarrow H_\alpha$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2} \triangleright 1_{H_\alpha} &= 1_{H_\alpha}, & a \triangleright 1_{H_\alpha} &= 1_{H_\alpha}, \\ 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2} \triangleright g &= g, & a \triangleright g &= g, \\ 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2} \triangleright x &= kx, & a \triangleright x &= -kx, \\ 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2} \triangleright gx &= kgx, & a \triangleright gx &= -kgx, \end{aligned}$$

Then by a routine computation we find that H_α is a $K\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module Hom-algebra. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, $(H_\alpha \bowtie K\mathbb{Z}_2, \alpha \otimes \text{id}_{K\mathbb{Z}_2})$ is a smash product Hom-algebra.

Furthermore, $(H_\alpha \bowtie K\mathbb{Z}_2, \alpha \otimes \text{id}_{K\mathbb{Z}_2})$ with the tensor product Hom-coalgebra structure becomes a Hom-Hopf algebra, where the antipode \bar{S} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(1_{H_\alpha} \otimes 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2}) &= 1_{H_\alpha} \otimes 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2}, & \bar{S}(1_{H_\alpha} \otimes a) &= 1_{H_\alpha} \otimes a, \\ \bar{S}(g \otimes 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2}) &= g \otimes 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2}, & \bar{S}(g \otimes a) &= g \otimes a, \\ \bar{S}(x \otimes 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2}) &= -gx \otimes 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2}, & \bar{S}(x \otimes a) &= -gx \otimes a, \\ \bar{S}(gx \otimes 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2}) &= x \otimes 1_{K\mathbb{Z}_2}, & \bar{S}(gx \otimes a) &= x \otimes a. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.4. *Let $K\mathbb{Z}_2$ be the Hopf group algebra and H_α the Hom-Hopf algebra defined above. Define two linear maps $\varphi : H_\alpha \otimes K\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow K$ and $\psi : K\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes H_\alpha \rightarrow K$ as follows:*

φ	1	a	ψ	1	g	x	gx
1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
g	1	-1	a	1	-1	0	0
x	0	0					
gx	0	0					

Then $(H_\alpha, K\mathbb{Z}_2, \varphi)$ and $(K\mathbb{Z}_2, H_\alpha, \psi)$ are Hom-skew pairings.

Proof. Straightforward. ■

THEOREM 5.5. *With the notations above, the smash product Hom-Hopf algebra $(H_\alpha \bowtie K\mathbb{Z}_2, \alpha \otimes \text{id}_{K\mathbb{Z}_2}, \sigma)$ is a cobraided Hom-Hopf algebra with co-braiding σ given as follows:*

σ	$1 \otimes 1$	$1 \otimes a$	$g \otimes 1$	$g \otimes a$	$x \otimes 1$	$x \otimes a$	$gx \otimes 1$	$gx \otimes a$
$1 \otimes 1$	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
$1 \otimes a$	1	-1	-1	1	0	0	0	0
$g \otimes 1$	1	-1	-1	1	0	0	0	0
$g \otimes a$	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
$x \otimes 1$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$x \otimes a$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$gx \otimes 1$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$gx \otimes a$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Proof. It is easy to prove that the conditions (D1)'–(D6)' hold. We finish the proof by using Lemmas 5.1, 5.2, 5.4 and Theorem 4.9. ■

Acknowledgements. The authors are deeply indebted to the referee for his/her useful suggestions and some improvements to the original manuscript, and for calling our attention to [3]. This work was partially supported by the NNSF of China (No. 11101128) and the NSF of Henan Province (Nos. 12A110013, 122300410110, 2011GGJS-062).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Caenepeel and I. Goyvaerts, *Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras*, Comm. Algebra 39 (2011), 2216–2240.
- [2] S. Caenepeel, B. Ion, G. Militaru and S. L. Zhu, *The factorization problem and the smash biproduct of algebras and coalgebras*, Algebra Represent. Theory 3 (2000), 19–42.
- [3] Y. Chen, Z. Wang and L. Zhang, *Integrals for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras and their applications*, J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013), 073515.
- [4] T. S. Ma and S. H. Wang, *General double quantum groups*, Comm. Algebra 38 (2010), 645–672.
- [5] A. Makhoulouf and S. D. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2 (2008), 51–64.

- [6] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebras and hom-coalgebras*, J. Algebra Appl. 9 (2010), 553–589.
- [7] R. K. Molnar, *Semi-direct products of Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra 47 (1977), 29–51.
- [8] S. Montgomery, *Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 82, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [9] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [10] E. J. Taft, *The order of the antipode of finite-dimensional Hopf algebra*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 68 (1971), 2631–2633.
- [11] D. Yau, *Module Hom-algebras*, arXiv:0812.4695v1.
- [12] D. Yau, *Hom-quantum groups II: cobraided Hom-bialgebras and Hom-quantum geometry*, arXiv:0907.1880.
- [13] D. Yau, *Hom-quantum groups III: Representations and module Hom-algebras*, arXiv:0911.5402.

Tianshui Ma (corresponding author), Haiying Li
College of Mathematics and Information Science
Henan Normal University
453007 Xinxiang, China
E-mail: matianshui@yahoo.com
haiyingli2012@yahoo.com

Tao Yang
College of Science
Nanjing Agricultural University
210095 Nanjing, China
E-mail: tao.yang.seu@gmail.com

Received 26 April 2013;
revised 19 October 2013

(5924)