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#### Abstract

The aim of the present paper is to classify real hypersurfaces with pseudo-$\mathbb{D}$-parallel structure Jacobi operator, in non-flat complex space forms.


1. Introduction. An n-dimensional Kählerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$ is called a complex space form and is denoted by $M_{n}(c)$. A complete and simply connected complex space form is complex analytically isometric to a projective space $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$ if $c>0$, a hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C} H^{n}$ if $c<0$, or a Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ if $c=0$. The induced almost contact metric structure of a real hypersurface $M$ of $M_{n}(c)$ is denoted by $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$. The vector field $\xi$ is defined by $\xi=-J N$, where $J$ is the complex structure of $M_{n}(c)$ and $N$ is a unit normal vector field.

Real hypersurfaces have been studied by many authors and under several conditions ([B], [BD], [IR1], [R2], [KR], [T], [T1]).

An important class of hypersurfaces consists of Hopf hypersurfaces, that is, real hypersurfaces satisfying $A \xi=\alpha \xi$, where $A$ is the shape operator and $\alpha=g(A \xi, \xi)$.

Several authors have studied real hypersurfaces under conditions which involve the structure Jacobi operator $l$ given by $l X=R_{\xi} X=R(X, \xi) \xi$ ( OPS , $\overline{\mathrm{PS}}$, PSS$]$ ).

In [LPS], H. Lee, J. D. Pérez and Y. J. Suh introduced the notion of pseudo-DD-parallel structure Jacobi operator, that is, the case where $l$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} l\right) Y=\kappa\{\eta(Y) \phi A X+g(\phi A X, Y) \xi\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is a non-zero constant, $X \in \mathbb{D}$ and $Y \in T M$. They classified the real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{n}, n \geq 3$, satisfying (1.1).

However, the problem remains open for the case of $\mathbb{C} H^{n}$. In the present paper the condition (1.1) is treated in an even more generalized form for

[^0]both $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$ and $\mathbb{C} H^{n}$ : the constant $\kappa$ is replaced by a $C^{2}$ function satisfying $\kappa+c / 4 \neq 0$. Namely we prove the following:

Main Theorem. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_{n}(c), n \geq 3$, whose structure Jacobi operator satisfies condition (1.1) for some non-vanishing $C^{2}$ function $\kappa$. Then $M$ is a Hopf hypersurface and $\kappa$ is a negative constant. Furthermore:

- If $M_{n}(c)=\mathbb{C} P^{n}$, then $M$ is a geodesic hypersurface of radius $r$, satisfying $\cot ^{2} r=-\kappa$.
- If $M_{n}(c)=\mathbb{C} H^{n}$, then $M$ is:
(i) a horosphere in $\mathbb{C} H^{n}$, where $c=4 \kappa$, or
(ii) a geodesic sphere of radius $r=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} \ln \left(\frac{2 \sqrt{\kappa / c}+1}{2 \sqrt{\kappa / c}-1}\right)$, where $4 \kappa>c$, or
(iii) a tube of radius $r=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} \ln \left(\frac{1+2 \sqrt{\kappa / c}}{1-2 \sqrt{\kappa / c}}\right)$, where $4 \kappa>c$, around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C} H^{n-1}$.

2. Preliminaries. Let $M_{n}$ be a Kählerian manifold of real dimension $2 n$, equipped with an almost complex structure $J$ and a Hermitian metric tensor $G$. Then for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M_{n}(c)$, the following relations hold: $J^{2} X=-X, G(J X, J Y)=G(X, Y), \widetilde{\nabla} J=0$, where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ denotes the Riemannian connection of $G$.

Let $M_{2 n-1}$ be a real ( $2 n-1$ )-dimensional hypersurface of $M_{n}(c)$, and denote by $N$ a unit normal vector field on a neighborhood of a point in $M_{2 n-1}$ (from now on we shall write $M$ instead of $M_{2 n-1}$ ). For any vector field $X$ tangent to $M$ we have $J X=\phi X+\eta(X) N$, where $\phi X$ is the tangent component of $J X, \eta(X) N$ is the normal component, and $\xi=-J N, \eta(X)=$ $g(X, \xi), g=\left.G\right|_{M}$.

By properties of the almost complex structure $J$ and the definitions of $\eta$ and $g$, the following relations hold [BL]:
(i) $\phi^{2}=-I+\eta \otimes \xi$,
(ii) $\eta \circ \phi=0$,
(iii) $\phi \xi=0, \quad$ (iv) $\eta(\xi)=1$,
(i) $g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y)$,
(ii) $g(X, \phi Y)=-g(\phi X, Y)$.

The above relations define an almost contact metric structure on $M$ which is denoted by $(\phi, \xi, g, \eta)$. When an almost contact metric structure is defined on $M$, we can define a local orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}, \phi e_{1}, \ldots, \phi e_{n-1}, \xi\right\}$, called a $\phi$-basis. Furthermore, let $A$ be the shape operator in the direction of $N$, and denote by $\nabla$ the Riemannian connection of $g$ on $M$. Then $A$ is symmetric and
(i) $\nabla_{X} \xi=\phi A X$,
(ii) $\left(\nabla_{X} \phi\right) Y=\eta(Y) A X-g(A X, Y) \xi$.

As the ambient space $M_{n}(c)$ is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$, the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z= & \frac{c}{4}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y+g(\phi Y, Z) \phi X-g(\phi X, Z) \phi Y  \tag{2.4}\\
& -2 g(\phi X, Y) \phi Z]+g(A Y, Z) A X-g(A X, Z) A Y, \\
\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y- & \left(\nabla_{Y} A\right) X=\frac{c}{4}[\eta(X) \phi Y-\eta(Y) \phi X-2 g(\phi X, Y) \xi] . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The tangent space $T_{p} M$, for every point $p \in M$, is decomposed as follows: $T_{p} M=\mathbb{D}^{\perp} \oplus \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathbb{D}=\operatorname{ker}(\eta)=\left\{X \in T_{p} M: \eta(X)=0\right\}$.

Based on the above decomposition, by virtue of (2.3), we decompose the vector field $A \xi$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \xi=\alpha \xi+\beta U \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=\left|\phi \nabla_{\xi} \xi\right|, \alpha$ is a smooth function on $M$ and $U=-\frac{1}{\beta} \phi \nabla_{\xi} \xi \in \operatorname{ker}(\eta)$, provided that $\beta \neq 0$. If $A \xi=\alpha \xi$, then $\xi$ is called a principal vector field.

Finally, the differentiation of a function $f$ along a vector field $X$ will be denoted by $(X f)$. All manifolds, vector fields, etc., in this paper are assumed to be connected and of class $C^{\infty}$.
3. Auxiliary lemmas. Let $\mathcal{N}=\{p \in M: \beta \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of $p\}$. We define the open subsets $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{2}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ by setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}_{1}=\{p \in \mathcal{N}: \alpha \neq 0 \text { in a neighborhood of } p\}, \\
& \mathcal{N}_{2}=\{p \in \mathcal{N}: \alpha=0 \text { in a neighborhood of } p\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mathcal{N}_{1} \cup \mathcal{N}_{2}$ is open and dense in the closure of $\mathcal{N}$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_{n}(c)$ satisfying (1.1). Then the following relations hold on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& A U=\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right) U+\beta \xi+\lambda W, \quad A \phi U=\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \phi U+\mu Z,  \tag{3.1}\\
& \nabla_{\xi} \xi=\beta \phi U, \quad \nabla_{U} \xi=\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right) \phi U+\lambda \phi W, \\
& \nabla_{\phi U} \xi=-\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) U+\mu \phi Z,  \tag{3.2}\\
& \nabla_{\xi} U=W_{1}, \quad \nabla_{U} U=W_{2}, \quad \nabla_{\phi U} U=W_{3}+\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \xi, \\
& \nabla_{\xi}(\phi U)=\phi W_{1}-\beta \xi, \quad \nabla_{U}(\phi U)=\phi W_{2}-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right) \xi, \\
& \nabla_{\phi U}(\phi U)=\phi W_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

where $W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}$ are vector fields on $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3} \perp U, W_{1}, W_{2}$ $\perp \xi$ and $W, Z$ are vector fields in $\operatorname{span}^{\perp}\{U, \phi U, \xi\}$.

Proof. From (2.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
l X=\frac{c}{4}[X-\eta(X) \xi]+\alpha A X-g(A X, \xi) A \xi \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for $X=U$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
l U=\frac{c}{4} U+\alpha A U-\beta A \xi \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scalar product of (3.6) with $U$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(A U, U)=\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=g(l U, U)$.
In addition, from (1.1), we have $\left(\nabla_{\phi U} l\right) \xi=\kappa \phi A \phi U$, which is expanded by virtue of (2.3) and (3.5), giving

$$
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \phi A \phi U+\alpha A \phi A \phi U+\beta g(A \phi U, \phi U) \xi=0
$$

The inner product of the above relation with $\phi U$, because of the symmetry of the shape operator $A$ and 2.2 (ii), implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(A U, \phi U)=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symmetry of $A$ and 2.6 imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(A U, \xi)=\beta \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From relations (3.7)-(3.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A U=\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right) U+\beta \xi+\lambda W \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W \in \operatorname{span}^{\perp}\{U, \phi U, \xi\}$. Combining the last equation with (3.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
l U=\gamma U+\lambda \alpha W \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.5), for $X=\phi U$, gives $l \phi U=(c / 4) \phi U+\alpha A \phi U$, whose inner product with $\phi U$ (due to (3.8)) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(A \phi U, \phi U)=\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon=g(l \phi U, \phi U)$. Furthermore, the symmetry of $A$ and (2.6) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(A \phi U, \xi)=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from $(3.8),(3.12)$ and $(3.13)$ we conclude the second relation of (3.1). Using (2.3) (i), for $X=\xi, X=U, X=\phi U$ and by virtue of (2.6), (3.1), we obtain (3.2).

It is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X g(Y, Z)=g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)+g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us set $\nabla_{\xi} U=W_{1}$ and $\nabla_{U} U=W_{2}$. If we use (3.1), (3.2) and (3.14), it is easy to verify that $g\left(\nabla_{\xi} U, U\right)=0=\eta\left(\nabla_{\xi} U\right)$ and $g\left(\nabla_{U} U, U\right)=0=\eta\left(\nabla_{U} U\right)$, which means $W_{1} \perp\{\xi, U\}$ and $W_{2} \perp\{\xi, U\}$.

On the other hand, using (3.14) and (3.2) we find $\eta\left(\nabla_{\phi U} U\right)=\epsilon / \alpha-c / 4 \alpha$ and $g\left(\nabla_{\phi U} U, U\right)=0$ which means that $\nabla_{\phi U} U$ is decomposed as $\nabla_{\phi U} U=$ $W_{3}+(\epsilon / \alpha-c / 4 \alpha) \xi, W_{3} \perp U$. We also observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(W_{3}, \xi\right) & =g\left(\nabla_{\phi U} U+\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \xi, \xi\right)=g\left(\nabla_{\phi U} U, \xi\right)+\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha} \\
& =(\phi U g(U, \xi))-g\left(U, \nabla_{\phi U} \xi\right)+\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

which by virtue of $(3.2)$ yields $g\left(W_{3}, \xi\right)=0$. So (3.3) has been proved too.
In order to prove (3.4), we use (2.3) (ii) with (i) $X=\xi, Y=U$, (ii) $X=$ $Y=U$, (iii) $X=\phi U, Y=U$, combined with (3.1), 3.3).

Let $X \in \operatorname{span}^{\perp}\{U, \phi U, \xi\}$. Then (1.1) implies that $\nabla_{X} l \phi X-l \nabla_{X} \phi X=$ $\kappa g(A X, X) \xi$. Taking the inner product of the last relation with $\xi$ and using (3.5) and (2.3) (i) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) g(A X, X)=-\alpha g(A \phi X, \phi A X) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, 1.1) yields $\nabla_{\phi X} l X-l \nabla_{\phi X} X=-\kappa g(A \phi X, \phi X) \xi$, whose inner product with $\xi$ has the form

$$
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) g(A \phi X, \phi X)=-\alpha g(A \phi X, \phi A X)
$$

The above equation and 3.15 lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(A X, X)=g(A \phi X, \phi X), \quad \forall X \in \operatorname{span}^{\perp}\{U, \phi U, \xi\} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_{n}(c)$ satisfying (1.1). Then $\lambda=\mu=0$ on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.

Proof. Condition (1.1) yields $\left(\nabla_{\phi U} l\right) \xi=\kappa \phi A \phi U$, which is further expanded with the aid of Lemma 3.1, giving

$$
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \phi A \phi U+\alpha A \phi A \phi U+\beta\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) A \xi=0
$$

The inner products of the above equation with $U, \phi Z$ and $W$ (with the aid of Lemma 3.1 and (3.16) yield, respectively,
(i) $(\kappa+\gamma)\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\alpha \lambda \mu g(Z, \phi W)=0$,
(ii) $\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \mu-\left(\epsilon-\frac{c}{4}\right) \lambda g(W, \phi Z)+\alpha \mu g(A Z, Z)=0$,
(iii) $\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \mu g(W, \phi Z)-\left(\epsilon-\frac{c}{4}\right) \lambda+\alpha \mu g(A \phi Z, W)=0$.

Similarly, condition (1.1) yields $\left(\nabla_{U} l\right) \xi=\kappa \phi A U$ which is further expanded with the aid of Lemma 3.1, giving

$$
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \phi A U+\alpha A \phi A U=0 .
$$

The inner products of the above equation with $\phi U$ and $Z$ yield, respectively,
(i) $(\kappa+\epsilon)\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)+\lambda \mu g(Z, \phi W)=0$,
(ii) $\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \lambda g(Z, \phi W)+\left(\gamma-\frac{c}{4}+\beta^{2}\right) \mu+\alpha \lambda g(A \phi W, Z)=0$.

Again from (1.1) we have $\left(\nabla_{\phi Z} l\right) \xi=\kappa \phi A \phi Z$ which is further expanded with the aid of Lemma 3.1, (2.2) (ii), (2.3)(i) and (3.5), giving

$$
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \phi A \phi Z+\alpha A \phi A \phi Z=0 .
$$

The inner product of the last equation with $\phi U$, because of (2.2), (3.16) and the symmetry of $A$, yields

$$
(\kappa+\epsilon) \lambda g(W, \phi Z)-\alpha \mu g(A Z, Z)=0 .
$$

Combining the above relation with (3.17)(ii) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda g(W, \phi Z)=\mu . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.1), (2.2) (ii) and the symmetry of $A$ we further get $\nabla_{Z} l U-l \nabla_{Z} U=$ $-\kappa g(A \phi U, Z) \xi$. Taking the inner product of the last relation with $\xi$, and using (2.2) (ii), (2.3)(i), (3.14) and the symmetry of $A$, we find that $\mu=0$. Therefore (3.17) (iii) yields $(\epsilon-c / 4) \lambda=0$.

Let us assume there exists a point $p_{1} \in \mathcal{N}_{1}$ at which $\lambda \neq 0$. Then there exists a neighborhood $V_{1}$ of $p_{1}$ such that $\lambda \neq 0$ in $V_{1}$. This means $\epsilon=c / 4$, and so Lemma 3.1 implies $A \phi U=0$. Because of the last relation, (1.1) and (2.3)(i), we have

$$
\left(\nabla_{W} l\right) \xi=\kappa \phi A W \Rightarrow l \phi A W=-\kappa \phi A W \Rightarrow\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \phi A W+\alpha A \phi A W=0 .
$$

The inner product of the above equation with $\phi U$, combined with $A \phi U=0$, (2.2) (i) and the symmetry of $A$, gives $\lambda=0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore there do no not exist points in $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ at which $\lambda \neq 0$ and so $\lambda=0$ on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.

We define the functions $\kappa_{1}=g\left(\phi U, W_{1}\right), \kappa_{2}=g\left(\phi U, W_{2}\right), \kappa_{3}=g\left(\phi U, W_{3}\right)$, which will be needed very often in what follows. Since $\lambda=\mu=0$, (3.17) (i) and (3.18) are rewritten as
(i) $(\kappa+\gamma)\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)=0$,
(ii) $(\kappa+\epsilon)\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)=0$.

Condition (1.1) yields $\left(\nabla_{U} l\right) U=\kappa g(\phi A U, U)$, which is expanded from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 giving $(U \gamma) U+\gamma \nabla_{U} U-l W_{2}=0$. This relation is multiplied by $U$ and $\phi U$ (using also the symmetry of $l$ and (3.5)) giving, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(U \gamma)=0, \quad(\gamma-\epsilon) \kappa_{2}=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way, from (1.1) we have $\left(\nabla_{\phi U} l\right) U=\kappa g(\phi A \phi U, U)$, which is expanded to give $(\phi U \gamma) \bar{U}+\gamma W_{3}-l W_{3}=0$. The inner products of this equation with $U$ and $\phi U$ (using also the symmetry of $l$ and (3.5) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi U \gamma)=0, \quad(\gamma-\epsilon) \kappa_{3}=0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, condition (1.1) for $X=Y=\phi U$ gives $(\phi U \epsilon) \phi U+\epsilon \phi W_{3}-l \phi W_{3}=0$. The inner product of this equation by $\phi U$ (using also the symmetry of $l$ and (3.5) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi U \epsilon)=0 . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_{n}(c)$ satisfying (1.1). Then $\kappa=-\gamma$ on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.

Proof. Let us assume there exists a point $p_{2} \in \mathcal{N}_{1}$ at which $\kappa \neq-\gamma$. Then there exists a neighborhood $V_{2}$ of $p_{2}$ such that $\kappa \neq-\gamma$ in $V_{2}$. This means $\epsilon=c / 4$ (due to (3.20)(i)). Since $\epsilon=c / 4$, (3.20)(ii) yields $\gamma-\epsilon+\beta^{2}=0$ (also because $\kappa+c / 4 \neq 0$ ). So we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma-\epsilon=-\beta^{2} \neq 0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making use of (3.21) $-(\sqrt[3.24]{ })$ we obtain $(\phi U \beta)=0$ and $\kappa_{2}=0$. We are going to combine the last two equations with $\epsilon=c / 4$, Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, (3.24) and (2.5):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{U} A\right) \phi U-\left(\nabla_{\phi U} A\right)=-\frac{c}{2} \xi & \Rightarrow-A\left(\nabla_{U} \phi U\right)-\nabla_{\phi U} A U+A\left(\nabla_{\phi U} U\right)=-\frac{c}{2} \xi \\
& \Rightarrow-A \phi W_{2}+A W_{3}=-\frac{c}{2} \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

The inner product of the above equation with $\xi$, because of the symmetry of $A$, (2.2) (ii), (2.6), Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and $\kappa_{2}=0, A \phi U=0$ yields $c=0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, there do not exist points in $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ at which $\kappa \neq-\gamma$ and so $\kappa=-\gamma$ on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_{n}(c)$ satisfying (1.1). Then $\gamma=\epsilon$ on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.

Proof. Let us assume there exists a point $p_{3} \in \mathcal{N}_{1}$ at which $\gamma \neq \epsilon$. Then there exists a neighborhood $V_{3}$ of $p_{3}$ such that $\gamma \neq \epsilon$ in $V_{3}$. So, from Lemma 3.3 and equations (3.20)-(3.22) we obtain $\kappa_{2}=\kappa_{3}=0$ and $\gamma-c / 4+\beta^{2}=0$. In addition the differentiation of the last relation along $U$ and $\phi U$, by virtue of (3.21), (3.22), yields $(U \beta)=(\phi U \beta)=0$. Summarizing
the relations that hold on $V_{3}$, we have
(i) $\kappa_{2}=\kappa_{3}=0$,
(ii) $\gamma-\frac{c}{4}+\beta^{2}=0$,
(iii) $(U \beta)=(\phi U \beta)=0$.

From 2.5 we deduce $\left(\nabla_{U} A\right) \xi-\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) U=-(c / 4) \phi U$, which is expanded with the aid of Lemmas $3.1-3.3$ and relation 3.25$)$, to give $[(U \alpha)-(\xi \beta)] \xi+$ $\beta W_{2}-\beta^{2} \phi U+A W_{1}=-(c / 4) \phi U$. The inner product of this equation with $\phi U$ (because of (3.25), the symmetry of $A$ and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\beta^{2}+\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \kappa_{1}=-\frac{c}{4} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again from 2.5 we have $\left(\nabla_{\phi U} A\right) \xi-\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) \phi U=(c / 4) U$, which is expanded in a similar way to give

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[(\phi U \alpha)+3 \beta\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)-\alpha \beta\right] } & \xi-\left[\epsilon+\beta^{2}\right] U-\xi\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \phi U \\
& +\beta W_{3}-\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \phi W_{1}+A \phi W_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The inner product of the last equation by $U$ yields

$$
-\beta^{2}+\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \kappa_{1}=\epsilon
$$

Comparing the above relation with (3.26) we are led to $\epsilon=-c / 4$, which by virtue of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 implies

$$
A \phi U=-\frac{c}{2 \alpha} \phi U .
$$

We make use of the last two equations and 3.25, to write $\left(\nabla_{U} A\right) \phi U-$ $\left(\nabla_{\phi U} A\right) U=-(c / 2) \xi$ and obtain

$$
\frac{c}{2 \alpha^{2}} \phi U-\frac{c}{2 \alpha} \phi W_{2}-A \phi W_{2}-\frac{\beta c}{\alpha} U
$$

whose inner product with $U$ gives $c \beta=0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, there do not exist points in $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ at which $\gamma \neq \epsilon$ and we have $\gamma=\epsilon$ on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.

Next we make use of (2.5) with the following substitutions: (i) $X=U$, $Y=\xi$, (ii) $X=\phi U, Y=\xi$, (iii) $X=U, Y=\phi U$, with the aid of Lemmas 3.1 3.4.

Case (i).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[(U \alpha)-(\xi \beta)] \xi+\left[(U \beta)-\xi\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)\right] U} \\
& +\left[\gamma-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)\right] \phi U+\beta W_{2}-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right) W_{1}+A W_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The inner products of the above equation with $\xi, U$ and $\phi U$ yield, respectively,

$$
\text { (i) }(U \alpha)=(\xi \beta), \quad \text { (ii) }(U \beta)=\xi\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right),
$$

(iii) $\gamma+\kappa_{2} \beta-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)-\kappa_{1} \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}=0$.

Case (ii).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[(\phi U \alpha)+3 \beta\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)-\alpha \beta\right] \xi} \\
& +\left[(\phi U \beta)+\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)-\beta^{2}\right] U \\
& \\
& \quad-\xi\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \phi U+\beta W_{3}-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \phi W_{1}+A \phi W_{1}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The inner products of the above equation with $\xi, \phi U$ and $U$ yield, respectively,

$$
\text { (i) }(\phi U \alpha)+3 \beta\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)-\alpha \beta-\kappa_{1} \beta=0, \text { (ii) } \beta \kappa_{3}=\xi\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (iii) } \gamma-(\phi U \beta)-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\kappa_{1} \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}+\beta^{2}=0 \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (iii).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[-2\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\gamma+\beta^{2}-(\phi U \beta)\right] \xi} \\
& \quad+\left[3 \beta\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\frac{\beta^{3}}{\alpha}-\phi U\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)\right] U+U\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \phi U \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \phi W_{2}-A \phi W_{2}-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right) W_{3}+A W_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The inner products of the above equation with $\phi U$ and $U$ yield, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (i) } \kappa_{2} \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}+3 \beta\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\frac{\beta^{3}}{\alpha}-\phi U\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)=0 \\
& \text { (ii) } U\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)=\kappa_{3} \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha} \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

We analyze 3.29 (i) by replacing the terms $(\phi U \alpha),(\phi U \beta)$ from 3.28)(i) and (3.28) (iii), to obtain

$$
\phi U\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)=\frac{3 \beta}{\alpha}\left[\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)^{2}-\frac{c}{4}\right] .
$$

The last relation, because of (3.22) and (3.28) (i), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{1}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)=c-\gamma \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, putting $X=Y=W_{1}$ in (1.1) we get $\nabla_{W_{1}} l W_{1}-l \nabla_{W_{1}} W_{1}=$ $(c / 4) g\left(\phi A W_{1}, W_{1}\right) \xi$. The inner product of the last equality with $\xi$, combined with (2.2) (ii), (3.5), (3.14) and the restriction $c / 4+\kappa \neq 0$, gives $g\left(A W_{1}, \phi W_{1}\right)=0$. So, taking the inner product of the equation in Case (i) with $\phi W_{1}$, due to $g\left(A W_{1}, \phi W_{1}\right)=0$ and 2.2 (ii), we get $g\left(\phi W_{1}, W_{2}\right)=0$. Furthermore, the inner product of the equation in Case (ii) with $W_{1}$, because of $g\left(A W_{1}, \phi W_{1}\right)=0$, 2.2) (ii) and (3.28), yields $g\left(W_{1}, W_{3}\right)=\kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}$. Summarizing the relations we have proved in this last paragraph, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\phi W_{1}, W_{2}\right)=0, \quad g\left(W_{1}, W_{3}\right)=\kappa_{1} \kappa_{3} . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. The hypersurface $M$ is Hopf

Lemma 4.1. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_{n}(c)$ satisfying (1.1). Then $\gamma$ is constant on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.

Proof. From (3.21) and (3.22) we have $[\phi U, U] \gamma=0$. However the same Lie bracket is calculated from Lemma 3.1 as

$$
[\phi U, U] \gamma=\left(W_{3} \gamma\right)-\left(\phi W_{2} \gamma\right)+\left[2\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right](\xi \gamma)
$$

Therefore the two expressions for $[\phi U, U] \gamma$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(W_{3} \gamma\right)-\left(\phi W_{2} \gamma\right)+\left[2\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right](\xi \gamma)=0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, condition (1.1) yields $\left(\nabla_{W_{3}} l\right) U=\kappa g\left(\phi A W_{3}, U\right) \xi$, which is expanded by Lemma 3.2 and (3.11) to give $\left(W_{3} \gamma\right) U+\gamma \nabla_{W_{3}} U-l \nabla_{W_{3}} U=$ $\kappa g\left(\phi A W_{3}, U\right) \xi$. The inner product of this equation with $U$, due to Lemma 3.2, the symmetry of $l$, (3.11) and (3.14), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(W_{3} \gamma\right)=0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way from (1.1) we have $\left(\phi W_{2} \gamma\right) U+\gamma \nabla_{\phi W_{2}} U-l \nabla_{\phi W_{2}} U=$ $\kappa g\left(\phi A \phi W_{2}, U\right) \xi$, whose inner product with $U$ yields

$$
\left(\phi W_{2} \gamma\right)=0
$$

The above equation combined with (4.1), (4.2) leads to $[2(\gamma / \alpha-c / 4 \alpha)+$ $\left.\beta^{2} / \alpha\right](\xi \gamma)=0$.

Let us assume there exists a point $p_{4} \in \mathcal{N}_{1}$ at which $(\xi \gamma) \neq 0$. Then there exists a neighborhood $V_{4}$ of $p_{4}$ such that $(\xi \gamma) \neq 0$ in $V_{4}$. Therefore, from the last inequality and $\left[2(\gamma / \alpha-c /(4 \alpha))+\beta^{2} / \alpha\right](\xi \gamma)=0$ we get

$$
2\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}=0
$$

which is rewritten as $\gamma / \alpha-c /(4 \alpha)+\beta^{2} / \alpha=-(\gamma / \alpha-c /(4 \alpha))$. Differentiating
the last equation along $\xi$ and using (3.27), (3.28) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(U \beta)=\kappa_{3} \beta \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But since $2(\gamma / \alpha-c /(4 \alpha))+\beta^{2} / \alpha=0$ in $V_{4}$, we get $2(\gamma-c / 4)+\beta^{2}=0$, which is differentiated along $U$ (also with the help of $(3.21)$ ), giving $(U \beta)=0$. The last equality is combined with (4.3) leading to $\kappa_{3}=0$. From $\kappa_{3}=0$, (3.21), (3.29) and 3.27 (i) we have $(\xi \beta)=0$. Since $(\xi \beta)=0$, the differentiation of $2(\gamma-c / 4)+\beta^{2}=0$ along $\xi$ gives $(\xi \gamma)=0$, which is a contradiction on $V_{4}$.

Therefore there do not exist points on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ at which $(\xi \gamma) \neq 0$ and so $(\xi \gamma)=0$ on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.

Now, for every vector field $X \in \operatorname{span}^{\perp}\{U, \phi U, \xi\}$, condition 1.1 yields $\nabla_{X} l U-l \nabla_{X} U=\kappa g(\phi A X, U) \xi$ and hence $(X \gamma) U+\gamma \nabla_{X} U-l \nabla_{X} U=$ $\kappa g(\phi A X, U) \xi$, the inner product of which with $U$, in view of (3.11, 3.14) and Lemma 3.2, yields $(X \gamma)=0$.

From the last equation, $(\xi \gamma)=0$ and (3.21), (3.22) the lemma follows. -
Lemma 4.2. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_{n}(c)$ satisfying (1.1). Then $\kappa_{3}=0$ on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.1, (3.27), 3.28) (ii) and 3.29 (ii) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(U \alpha) & =(\xi \beta)=-\frac{\alpha \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4} \kappa_{3}, \quad(\xi \alpha)=-\frac{\alpha^{2} \beta}{\gamma-c / 4} \kappa_{3} \\
(U \beta) & =\beta\left[1-\frac{\beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}\right] \kappa_{3} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (4.4), we differentiate 3.30 along $U$ and $\xi$, respectively, to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U \kappa_{1}\right)=-\frac{\kappa_{1} \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4} \kappa_{3}, \quad\left(\xi \kappa_{1}\right)=-\frac{\kappa_{1} \alpha \beta}{\gamma-c / 4} \kappa_{3} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From 2.5 we have $\nabla_{W_{3}} A \xi-A \nabla_{W_{3}} \xi-\nabla_{\xi} A W_{3}+A \nabla_{\xi} W_{3}=-(c / 4) \phi W_{3}$, which is expanded using 2.3 (i) and 2.6 to give $\left(W_{3} \alpha\right) \xi+\alpha \phi A W_{3}+$ $\left(W_{3} \beta\right) U+\beta \nabla_{W_{3}} U-A \phi A W_{3}-\nabla_{\xi} A W_{3}+\nabla_{\xi} A W_{3}=-(c / 4) \phi W_{3}$. Taking the inner product of the last relation with $\xi$ and applying (2.2)(ii), 2.3)(i), (2.6), 3.14), the symmetry of $A$ and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(W_{3} \alpha\right)=\left[-3\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\alpha+1\right] \beta \kappa_{3} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way, from (2.5) we have $\left(\nabla_{\phi W_{2}} A\right) \xi-\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) \phi W_{2}=(c / 4) W_{2}$, which is rewritten as $\left(\phi \overline{W_{2} \alpha}\right) \xi+\alpha \phi A \phi W_{2}+\left(\phi W_{2} \beta\right) U+\beta \nabla_{\phi W_{2}} U-A \phi A \phi W_{2}-$ $\nabla_{\xi} A \phi W_{2}+A \nabla_{\xi} \phi W_{2}=(c / 4) W_{2}$. Taking the inner product of the last equation with $\xi$ and making similar calculations to those in the proof of (4.6) we
are led to the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi W_{2} \alpha\right)=\frac{\alpha \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4} \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, 2.5) for $X=\phi W_{1}, Y=\xi$ gives $\left(\phi W_{1} \alpha\right) \xi+\alpha \phi A \phi W_{1}+\left(\phi W_{1} \beta\right) U+$ $\beta \nabla_{\phi W_{1}} U-A \phi A \phi W_{1}-\nabla_{\xi} A \phi W_{1}+A \nabla_{\xi} \phi W_{2}=(c / 4) W_{1}$, the inner product of which with $U$ yields (in a similar way to (4.6) and 4.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi W_{1} \beta\right)=-\kappa_{1} \beta\left(1-\frac{\beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}\right) \kappa_{3} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (3.28) (i), (3.29) (ii), (4.4) and (4.5), the Lie bracket $[\phi U, U] \alpha$ $=(\phi U(U \alpha))-(U(\phi U \alpha))$ is calculated as follows:
$[\phi U, U] \alpha=(\phi U(U \alpha))+\beta\left[3\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)-\kappa_{1}-\alpha+\frac{2 \kappa_{1} \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}+\frac{2 \alpha \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}\right] \kappa_{3}$.
However the same Lie bracket is calculated from $[\phi U, U] \alpha=\left(\nabla_{\phi U} U-\right.$ $\left.\nabla_{U} \phi U\right) \alpha$, Lemmas 3.1, 3.4, and (4.6), 4.7), giving

$$
[\phi U, U] \alpha=\beta\left[-3\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\kappa_{1}-\alpha-\frac{\kappa_{2} \alpha \beta}{\gamma-c / 4}-\frac{\alpha \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}\right] \kappa_{3} .
$$

Comparing the two expressions for $[\phi U, U] \alpha$ we end up with

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi U(U \alpha))=\beta\left[-6\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+2 \kappa_{1}-\frac{2 \kappa_{1} \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}-\frac{\kappa_{2} \alpha \beta}{\gamma-c / 4}-\frac{3 \alpha \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}\right] \kappa_{3} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lie bracket $[\phi U, \xi] \beta=(\phi U(\xi \beta))-(\xi(\phi U \beta))$ is obtained from (3.27) (i), 3.28 (ii), 3.28 (iii), 4.4) and Lemma 4.1.

$$
[\phi U, \xi] \beta=(\phi U(\xi \beta))+\beta\left[2\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\frac{2 \kappa_{1} \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}+\frac{2 \alpha \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}\right] \kappa_{3} .
$$

In addition we have $[\phi U, \xi] \beta=\left(\nabla_{\phi U} \xi-\nabla_{\xi} \phi U\right) \beta$, which is further expanded with the aid of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.4 and (4.4, (4.8) as

$$
[\phi U, \xi] \beta=\beta\left[-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}+\kappa_{1}-\frac{\kappa_{1} \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}-\frac{\alpha \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}\right] \kappa_{3}
$$

The two expressions for $[\phi U, \xi] \beta$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi U(\xi \beta))=\beta\left[-3\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)+\kappa_{1}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}-\frac{3 \kappa_{1} \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}-\frac{3 \alpha \beta^{2}}{\gamma-c / 4}\right] \kappa_{3} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We equate (4.9) with (4.10) (since 3.27 holds) and replace the terms $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ using (3.30) and (3.27) (iii), which leads to

$$
\left[4 \beta\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)-\frac{c}{\gamma / \alpha-c /(4 \alpha)}+\frac{2 \beta^{3}}{\alpha}\right] \kappa_{3}=0 .
$$

Let us assume there exists a point $p_{5} \in \mathcal{N}_{1}$ at which $\kappa_{3} \neq 0$. So there exists a neighborhood $V_{5}$ of $p_{5}$ such that $\kappa_{3} \neq 0$ in $V_{5}$. Then from the above
equation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \beta\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)-\frac{c}{\gamma / \alpha-c /(4 \alpha)}+\frac{2 \beta^{3}}{\alpha}=0, \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is rewritten as

$$
\frac{4 \beta}{\alpha^{2}}\left(\gamma-\frac{c}{4}\right)^{2}-c+\frac{2 \beta^{3}}{\alpha^{2}}\left(\gamma-\frac{c}{4}\right)=0 .
$$

The differentiation of the last equation along $\xi$, due to Lemma 4.1 and (4.4), yields $\left[4 \beta(\gamma / \alpha-c / 4 \alpha)-\beta^{3} / \alpha-2(\gamma-c / 4)\right] \kappa_{3}$, which implies

$$
4 \beta\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right)-\frac{\beta^{3}}{\alpha}=2\left(\gamma-\frac{c}{4}\right),
$$

since $\kappa_{3} \neq 0$ on $V_{5}$. Combining the above relation with (4.11) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 \beta^{3}}{\alpha}+2\left(\gamma-\frac{c}{4}\right)=-\frac{\alpha c}{\gamma-c / 4} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.12) is differentiated along $\xi$ and, because of (4.4), $\kappa_{3} \neq 0$, so that we obtain

$$
-\frac{6 \beta^{3}}{\alpha}=\frac{\alpha c}{\gamma-c / 4}
$$

The last equation and (4.12) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 \beta^{3}}{\alpha}=2\left(\gamma-\frac{c}{4}\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.12) and (4.13) we get

$$
4\left(\gamma-\frac{c}{4}\right)=-\frac{\alpha c}{\gamma-c / 4} .
$$

Differentiating this equation along $\xi$ and using (4.4), we have $\kappa_{3}=0$, which is a contradiction on $V_{5}$. Hence we conclude that $V_{5}=\emptyset$ and $\kappa_{3}=0$ on $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_{n}(c)$ satisfying (1.1). Then $\mathcal{N}_{1}=\emptyset$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.2 and (4.4) we have $[U, \xi] \alpha=0$. In addition, from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.4 we have

$$
[U, \xi] \alpha=\left(\nabla_{U} \xi-\nabla_{\xi} U\right) \alpha=\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)(\phi U \alpha)-\left(W_{1} \alpha\right) .
$$

So we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}\right)(\phi U \alpha)-\left(W_{1} \alpha\right)=0 . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to obtain the term $\left(W_{1} \alpha\right)$ we make use of 2.5 for $X=W_{1}, Y=\xi$, which results in

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(W_{1} \alpha\right) \xi+\alpha \phi A W_{1}+\left(W_{1} \beta\right) U+\beta \nabla_{W_{1}} U-A \phi A W_{1}-\nabla_{\xi} A W_{1}+A \nabla_{\xi} W_{1} \\
&=-\frac{c}{4} \phi W_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We take the inner product of the above equation with $\xi$ and make use of (2.2) (ii), 2.3) (i), 2.6, (3.14) and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, to get

$$
\left(W_{1} \alpha\right)=-3 \beta\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}-\frac{c}{4 \alpha}\right) \kappa_{1}+\alpha \beta \kappa_{1}+\beta\left|W_{1}\right|^{2}
$$

The combination of the above relation with (4.14), 3.28) (i) and (3.30) leads eventually to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\frac{c-\gamma}{\gamma-c / 4} \alpha^{4}+\left[3 c-3\left(\gamma-\frac{c}{4}\right)+\beta^{2} \frac{c-\gamma}{\gamma-c / 4}+\beta^{2}\right] \alpha^{2}-3\left(\gamma-\frac{c}{4}\right)^{2}-3 \beta^{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{c}{4}\right)^{2} \\
=\alpha^{2}\left|W_{1}\right|^{2}
\end{array}
$$

Putting $\gamma-c / 4=C_{1}=$ const $\neq 0$ (due to Lemmas 3.3, 4.1), we may rewrite the above relation as

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\frac{3 c / 4-C_{1}}{C_{1}} \alpha^{4}+\left[3 c-3 C_{1}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{C_{1}}\left(\frac{3 c}{4}-C_{1}\right)+\beta^{2}\right] \alpha^{2}  \tag{4.15}\\
&-\left[3 C_{1}^{2}+3 C_{1} \beta^{2}\right]=\alpha^{2}\left|W_{1}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Because of 4.15, the quadratic function
$f(\alpha)=-\frac{3 c / 4-C_{1}}{C_{1}} \alpha^{4}+\left[3 c-3 C_{1}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{C_{1}}\left(\frac{3 c}{4}-C_{1}\right)+\beta^{2}\right] \alpha^{2}-\left[3 C_{1}{ }^{2}+3 C_{1} \beta^{2}\right]$
is non-negative for every $\alpha$. We are going to prove that $f(\alpha)$ is strictly positive.

If instead we had $f(\alpha)=0$, then $W_{1}=0$ and so $\kappa_{1}=g\left(\phi U, W_{1}\right)=0$. In addition, from (3.30 we would have $\gamma=c$. Using $W_{1}=\kappa_{1}=0, \gamma=c$, (3.28 (i) and 4.14), we would obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{3 c}{4}+\beta^{2}\right)\left(\alpha-\frac{9 c}{4 \alpha}\right)=0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we had $3 c / 4+\beta^{2}=0$, then (3.28) combined with $\kappa_{1}=0, \gamma=c$ would give $c=0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $3 c / 4+\beta^{2} \neq 0$, and 4.16 would yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2}=\frac{9 c}{4}>0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from (4.4) and Lemma 4.2, we would get $[U, \xi] \beta=0$, which by virtue of $[U, \xi] \beta=\left(\nabla_{U} \xi-\nabla_{\xi} U\right) \beta$, Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, $\gamma=c, W_{1}=0$ and $3 c / 4+\beta^{2} \neq 0$ would give $(\phi U \beta)=0$. The last equation, together with
(3.28)(iii), 4.17), $\gamma=c, \kappa_{1}=0$, would eventually lead to $\beta^{2}=-9 c / 8$, contradicting (4.17).

Since in the last paragraph we showed that $f(\alpha) \neq 0$, by virtue of (4.15) we have $f(\alpha)>0$. This can happen only if the discriminant $D_{f}$ of $f(\alpha)$ is negative. But $D_{f}$ is calculated to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{f}= & \frac{9 c^{2}}{16 C_{1}^{2}} \beta^{4}+\left[-\frac{9 c}{2}+\frac{9 c^{2}}{2 C_{1}}-12\left(\frac{3 c}{4}-C_{1}\right)\right] \beta^{2} 9 C_{1}^{2} \\
& +9 c^{2}-2 c C_{1}-12\left(\frac{3 c}{4}-C_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $D_{f}$ cannot always be negative, since it is a quadratic function of $\beta^{4}$ and the coefficient of $\beta^{4}$ is positive. Therefore we have a contradiction and $\mathcal{N}_{1}=\emptyset$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_{n}(c)$ satisfying (1.1). Then the real hypersurface $M$ is Hopf.

Proof. From Lemma 4.3, we have $\alpha=0$ on $\mathcal{N}$. So, by virtue of (2.4) and (2.6) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
l X & =\frac{c}{4}[X-\eta(X) \xi]-\beta^{2} g(X, U) U, \\
l U & =\left(\frac{c}{4}-\beta^{2}\right) U, \quad l \phi U=\frac{c}{4} \phi U . \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Condition (1.1) yields $\left(\nabla_{U} l\right) \xi=\kappa \phi A U$, which is expanded with the help of (4.18), 2.2) (ii) and (2.3) (i), giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \phi A U=g(A U, \phi U) \beta^{2} U . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.1) we have $\left(\nabla_{\phi U} l\right) \phi U=\kappa g(\phi A \phi U, \phi U) \xi$. Rewriting this relation with the aid of (4.18), (3.14), (2.3)(i) and $\sqrt{2.2)}$ (i) we obtain $\beta^{2} g\left(\nabla_{\phi U} \phi U, U\right) U$ $=(c / 4+\kappa) g(A U, \phi U) \xi$. The last equation, with $c / 4+\kappa \neq 0$ and the linear independence of $U, \xi$, yields $g(A U, \phi U)=0$. Combining $g(A U, \phi U)=0$ and (4.19) we obtain $\phi A U=0$, hence $\phi^{2} A U=0$, so $-A U+g(A U, \xi) \xi=0$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
A U=\beta \xi . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $X=\phi U, Y=U$ in (1.1) and making use of (2.2) (ii), (2.3)(i), (3.14), (4.18), we have

$$
2 \beta(\phi U \beta) U+\beta^{2} \nabla_{\phi U} U=-\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) g(A \phi U, \phi U) \xi .
$$

Taking the inner product of the above relation with $U$ and $\phi U$ we obtain,
respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi U \beta)=0, \quad g(A \phi U, \phi U)=0 . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we make use of (4.20) and (4.21) in order to expand $\left(\nabla_{U} A\right) \phi U-$ $\left(\nabla_{\phi U} A\right) U=-(c / 2) \xi$ (which holds due to 2.5) ; this leads to

$$
\nabla_{U} A \phi U-A \nabla_{U} \phi U-\beta \nabla_{\phi U} \xi+A \nabla_{\phi U} U=-\frac{c}{2} \xi
$$

The inner product of the above relation with $\xi$, combined with (3.14), (4.20), (4.21) and 2.3)(i), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=2 \beta g\left(\nabla_{U} U, \phi U\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

But from (1.1) and 4.20) we have $\nabla_{U} l U-l \nabla_{U} U=0$, which is expanded, using (3.14), (4.18), (4.20), to give $2(U \beta) U+\beta \nabla_{U} U=0$. The inner product of the last equation with $\phi U$ gives $g\left(\nabla_{U} U, \phi U\right)=0$, which shows, due to (4.22), that $c=0$. We have arrived at a contradiction, which means that $\mathcal{N}_{2}=\emptyset$. From Lemma 4.3 and since $\mathcal{N}_{1} \cup \mathcal{N}_{2}$ is open and dense in the closure of $\mathcal{N}$, we have $\mathcal{N}=\emptyset$. So, the real hypersurface $M$ consists only of points where $\beta=0$, i.e. $M$ is a Hopf hypersurface.
5. The classification. Let $\left\{e_{i}, \phi e_{i}, \xi\right\}, i=1, \ldots, n-1$, be a local $\phi$-basis. If we had $\alpha=0$ then from (2.4) it would follow that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l X=\frac{c}{4}[X-\eta(X) \xi], \quad l e_{i}=\frac{c}{4} e_{i}, \quad l \phi e_{i}=\frac{c}{4} \phi e_{i} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, putting $X=e_{i}, Y=\xi$ in (1.1), and using (2.3), (5.1), $c / 4+\kappa \neq 0$ we get $A e_{i}=0$. In a similar way putting $X=\phi e_{i}, Y=\xi$ in (1.1) we obtain $A \phi e_{i}=0$. So we have shown that $A=0$. Applying (2.5) to $X=e_{i}, Y=\phi e_{i}$ we have $c=0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, the function $\alpha$ must be non-zero. According to [NR the function $\alpha$ must be constant.

Due to symmetry of $A$, the vector fields $A e_{i}, A \phi e_{i}$ are decomposed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A e_{i}=\sum_{j} \lambda_{i j} e_{j}+\sum_{j} \mu_{i j} \phi e_{j}, \quad A \phi e_{i}=\sum_{j} \mu_{j i} e_{j}+\sum_{j} \nu_{i j} \phi e_{j} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i j}=g\left(A e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=g\left(A e_{j}, e_{i}\right)=\lambda_{j i}(i \neq j)$. In addition, from (2.4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
l X & =\frac{c}{4}[X-\eta(X) \xi]+\alpha A X-\alpha^{2} \eta(X) \xi  \tag{5.3}\\
l e_{i} & =\frac{c}{4} e_{i}+\alpha A e_{i}, \quad l \phi e_{i}=\frac{c}{4} \phi e_{i}+\alpha A \phi e_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

Condition (1.1) for $X=e_{i}, Y=\xi$, combined with (5.2), (5.3) and (2.3)(i), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \phi A e_{i}=-\alpha A \phi A e_{i} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inner product of (5.4 with $e_{i}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i i}=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.1) we have $\nabla_{e_{i}} l e_{j}-l \nabla_{e_{i}} e_{j}=-\kappa \mu_{i j} \xi(i \neq j)$. The inner product of this relation with $\xi$, due to (5.1) and (2.3) (i), leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \mu_{i j}=\alpha\left(\sum_{k} \mu_{i k} \lambda_{j k}-\sum_{k} \lambda_{i k} \mu_{j k}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way, from (1.1) for $X=e_{j}, Y=e_{i}(i \neq j)$ we eventually get

$$
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \mu_{j i}=\alpha\left(\sum_{k} \mu_{i k} \lambda_{j k}-\sum_{k} \lambda_{i k} \mu_{j k}\right) .
$$

So from the above equation and (5.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i j}=\mu_{j i} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the inner product of 5.4 with $e_{j}(i \neq j)$, with the aid of (5.2), leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \mu_{i j}=\alpha\left(\sum_{k} \lambda_{i k} \mu_{j k}-\sum_{k} \lambda_{j k} \mu_{i k}\right) . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.4) is rewritten as

$$
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \phi A e_{j}=-\alpha A \phi A e_{j}
$$

whose the inner product with $e_{i}(i \neq j)$, due to (5.7) and by similar calculations, gives

$$
-\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \mu_{i j}=\alpha\left(\sum_{k} \lambda_{i k} \mu_{j k}-\sum_{k} \lambda_{j k} \mu_{i k}\right)
$$

The last equation and (5.8) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i j}=0 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.1) we get $\nabla_{e_{i}} l \phi e_{j}-l \nabla_{e_{i}} \phi e_{j}=\kappa \lambda_{i j} \xi$. The inner product of this relation with $\xi$, due to (5.1), (5.2), (5.7), (5.9) and (2.3) (i), leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \lambda_{i j}=-\alpha \sum_{k} \lambda_{i k} \nu_{j k} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way we have $\nabla_{\phi e_{i}} l e_{j}-l \nabla_{\phi e_{i}} e_{j}=-\kappa \nu_{i j} \xi$, the inner product of which with $\xi$ yields

$$
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa\right) \nu_{i j}=-\alpha \sum_{k} \lambda_{i k} \nu_{j k}
$$

The above relation and 5.10 lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i j}=\nu_{i j} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i, j=1, \ldots, n-1$. Next we expand $\nabla_{e_{i}} l \phi e_{j}-l \nabla_{e_{i}} \phi e_{j}=\kappa \lambda_{i j} \xi(i \neq j)$, which holds due to (1.1), with the aid of (5.1), (5.2), (5.5), 5.9, 5.10, getting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} A\right) \phi e_{j}=\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa+\alpha^{2}\right) \lambda_{i j} \xi \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by expanding of $\nabla_{\phi e_{j}} l e_{i}-l \nabla_{\phi e_{j}} e_{i}=-\kappa \lambda_{i j} \xi(i \neq j)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\nabla_{\phi e_{j}} A\right) e_{i}=-\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa+\alpha^{2}\right) \lambda_{i j} \xi \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from 2.5 we have $\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} A\right) \phi e_{j}=\left(\nabla_{\phi e_{j}} A\right) e_{i}(i \neq j)$. Therefore, the last equation, 5.12 and 5.13 give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa+\alpha^{2}\right) \lambda_{i j}=0, \quad i \neq j \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, from $\nabla_{e_{i}} l \phi e_{i}-l \nabla_{e_{i}} \phi e_{i}=\kappa \lambda_{i i} \xi$ and $\nabla_{\phi e_{i}} l e_{i}-l \nabla_{\phi e_{i}} e_{i}=\kappa \lambda_{i i} \xi$ we obtain, respectively, $\alpha\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} A\right) \phi e_{i}=\left(c / 4+\kappa+\alpha^{2}\right) \lambda_{i i} \xi$ and $\alpha\left(\nabla_{\phi e_{i}} A\right) e_{i}=$ $-\left(c / 4+\kappa+\alpha^{2}\right) \lambda_{i i} \xi$. The last two equations are combined with $\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} A\right) \phi e_{i}-$ $\left(\nabla_{\phi e_{i}} A\right) e_{i}=-(c / 2) \xi$ (which holds because of 2.5$)$ to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{c}{4}+\kappa+\alpha^{2}\right) \lambda_{i i}=-\frac{\alpha c}{4} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently, $c / 4+\kappa+\alpha^{2} \neq 0$, otherwise from $(5.15)$ we would have $c=0$, which is a contradiction. So from (5.2), (5.7), (5.9), (5.11), (5.14), (5.15) we deduce $A e_{i}=\lambda_{i i} e_{i}, A \phi e_{i}=\lambda_{i i} \phi e_{i}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i i}=\frac{-\alpha c}{c+4 \kappa+4 \alpha^{2}} . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the term $\lambda_{i i}$ is also calculated from (1.1), for $X=e_{i}, Y=\phi e_{i}$, giving $\nabla_{e_{i}} l \phi e_{i}-l \nabla_{e_{i} \phi e_{i}}=\kappa \lambda_{i i} \xi$. The inner product of this equation with $\xi$ yields $\lambda_{i i}=-(c / 4 \alpha+\kappa / \alpha)$. Therefore, from 5.15, (5.16), $A e_{i}=\lambda_{i i} e_{i}$, $A \phi e_{i}=\lambda_{i i} \phi e_{i}$, we have finally proved

$$
\begin{align*}
A e_{i} & =-\left(\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right) e_{i}, \quad A \phi e_{i}=-\left(\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right) \phi e_{i} \\
\kappa & =-\left(\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right)^{2}<0 \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating the last equality of (5.17) along $\xi$ we obtain $(\xi \kappa)[2(c / 4+\kappa)$ $\left.+\alpha^{2}\right]=0$. If we had $(\xi \kappa) \neq 0$ we would also have $2(c / 4+\kappa)+\alpha^{2}=0$, which would mean $\kappa=$ const and $(\xi \kappa)=0$, thus a contradiction.

Therefore $(\xi \kappa)=0$ and by a similar reasoning $\left(e_{i} \kappa\right)=\left(\phi e_{i} \kappa\right)=0$. This means that the real hypersurface $M$ has two constant principal curvatures, $\alpha$ and $-(c / 4 \alpha+\kappa / \alpha)$.

In case $M_{n}(c)=\mathbb{C} P^{n}$, according to [T1, $M$ can only be a geodesic hypersphere, with $\alpha=2 \cot 2 r,-(c / 4 \alpha+\kappa / \alpha)=\cot r$. The last two equations lead to $\cot ^{2} r=-\kappa$.

In case $M_{n}(c)=\mathbb{C} H^{n}$, based on $\left[\mathrm{M}, M\right.$ can be a horosphere (type $A_{0}$ ), a geodesic sphere of radius $r, 0<r<\infty$ (type $A_{1,0}$ ) or a tube of radius $r$ around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C} H^{k}(1 \leq k \leq n-2)$, where $0<r<\infty$ (type $\left.A_{1,1}\right)$. In type $A_{0}$ we have

$$
\alpha=\sqrt{c}, \quad-\left(\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{|c|}}{2} .
$$

The last two equations lead to $\kappa=c / 4$. In type $A_{1,0}$ we have

$$
\alpha=\sqrt{c} \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{|c|} r), \quad-\left(\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{|c|}}{2} \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\sqrt{|c|} r}{2}\right) .
$$

The last two equations lead to

$$
r=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} \ln \left(\frac{2 \sqrt{\kappa / c}+1}{2 \sqrt{\kappa / c}-1}\right)
$$

where $4 \kappa>c$. In type $A_{1,1}$ we have

$$
\alpha=\sqrt{c} \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{|c|} r), \quad-\left(\frac{c}{4 \alpha}+\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{|c|}}{2} \tanh \left(\frac{\sqrt{|c|} r}{2}\right) .
$$

The last two equations lead to

$$
r=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} \ln \left(\frac{1+2 \sqrt{\kappa / c}}{1-2 \sqrt{\kappa / c}}\right)
$$

where $4 \kappa<c$.
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