

A QUASI-DICHOTOMY FOR  $C(\alpha, X)$  SPACES,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ 

BY

ELÓI MEDINA GALEGO (São Paulo) and MAURÍCIO ZAHN (Rio Grande do Sul)

**Abstract.** We prove the following quasi-dichotomy involving the Banach spaces  $C(\alpha, X)$  of all  $X$ -valued continuous functions defined on the interval  $[0, \alpha]$  of ordinals and endowed with the supremum norm.

Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are arbitrary Banach spaces of finite cotype. Then at least one of the following statements is true.

- (1) There exists a finite ordinal  $n$  such that either  $C(n, X)$  contains a copy of  $Y$ , or  $C(n, Y)$  contains a copy of  $X$ .
- (2) For any infinite countable ordinals  $\alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta$ , the following are equivalent:
  - (a)  $C(\alpha, X) \oplus C(\xi, Y)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\beta, X) \oplus C(\eta, Y)$ .
  - (b)  $C(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\beta)$ , and  $C(\xi)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\eta)$ .

This result is optimal in the sense that it cannot be extended to uncountable ordinals.

**1. Introduction.** We follow the standard notation and terminology for Banach space theory, as can be found in [5]. Let  $K$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $X$  a Banach space. We denote by  $C(K, X)$  the Banach space of all  $X$ -valued continuous functions defined on  $K$  endowed with the supremum norm. If  $X$  is the scalar field, this space will be denoted by  $C(K)$ . When  $K$  is the interval  $[0, \alpha]$  of ordinals endowed with the order topology, these spaces will be denoted respectively by  $C(\alpha, X)$  and  $C(\alpha)$ . Let  $\omega$  denote the first infinite ordinal, and  $\omega_1$  the first uncountable ordinal. Given Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we write  $X \sim Y$  whenever  $X$  and  $Y$  are isomorphic, and  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  when  $X$  contains a copy of  $Y$ , that is, a subspace isomorphic to  $Y$ .

The notion of cotype of a Banach space emerged from the works of Hoffmann-Jorgensen, S. Kwapien, B. Maurey and G. Pisier in the early 1970's [4], [6], [7] and [9], and has since found frequent use in the geometry of Banach spaces; see for instance [8]. A Banach space  $X \neq \{0\}$  is of *finite cotype* if there exist  $2 \leq q < \infty$  and a constant  $K > 0$  such that no matter how we select finitely many vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  from  $X$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|v_i\|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq K \left( \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t)v_i \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46B03, 46E40; Secondary 46B25.

*Key words and phrases*: separable  $C(\alpha)$  spaces, spaces of finite cotype.

where  $r_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the *Rademacher functions*, defined by setting

$$r_i(t) = \text{sign}(\sin 2^i \pi t).$$

It is well known that the classical  $l_p$  spaces,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , are of finite cotype, and no infinite-dimensional  $C(K)$  space is.

Moreover, in the setting of the local theory of Banach spaces, it was proved in [9, Corollary 1.2] that a Banach space  $X$  is of finite cotype if and only if  $C(\omega)$  is not finitely representable in  $X$ , that is, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and a finite-dimensional subspace  $F$  of  $C(\omega)$  such that for every linear isomorphism  $T$  of  $F$  onto  $T(F) \subset X$  we have  $\|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\| \geq 1 + \epsilon$ .

So, it seems natural to also investigate the interplay between the geometry of finite cotype spaces with the geometry of infinite-dimensional  $C(K)$  spaces.

In this direction, the main purpose of this paper is to show that for any two Banach spaces of finite cotype, either one of them is isomorphic to a subspace of some finite sum of the other, or their respective spaces of vector-valued continuous functions defined on  $[0, \alpha]$  for  $\alpha$  an infinite countable ordinal are in some sense very far from each other. More precisely, our main result is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces of finite cotype such that neither  $X$  embeds into  $Y^n$  nor  $Y$  embeds into  $X^n$  for any finite ordinal  $n$ . Then for any infinite countable ordinals  $\alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $C(\alpha, X) \oplus C(\xi, Y) \sim C(\beta, X) \oplus C(\eta, Y)$ .
- (b)  $C(\alpha) \sim C(\beta)$  and  $C(\xi) \sim C(\eta)$ .

**REMARK 1.2.** It is interesting to notice that Theorem 1.1 cannot be extended to uncountable ordinals. Indeed, take  $1 < p \neq q < \infty$ ,  $X = l_p$  and  $Y = l_q$ . It is well known that  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. Moreover, since  $X$  is isomorphic to its square  $X^2 = X \oplus X$ , it follows that

$$C(\omega_1, X) \sim C(\omega_1, X^2) \sim C(\omega_1, X) \oplus C(\omega_1, X) \sim C(\omega_1 2, X).$$

Thus, for every ordinal  $\xi$  we have

$$C(\omega_1, X) \oplus C(\xi, Y) \sim C(\omega_1 2, X) \oplus C(\xi, Y).$$

However, according to [11],  $C(\omega_1)$  is not isomorphic to  $C(\omega_1 2)$ .

**REMARK 1.3.** Without the assumption of finite cotype of  $X$  and  $Y$ , the statement of Theorem 1.1, may be false. Indeed, it is easy to check that for any Banach space  $Y \neq \{0\}$  we have

$$C(\omega, C(\omega)) \oplus C(\omega^\omega, Y) \sim C(\omega^\omega, C(\omega)) \oplus C(\omega^\omega, Y),$$

but by [1] we know that  $C(\omega)$  is not isomorphic to  $C(\omega^\omega)$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In order to prove Theorem 1.1, in Section 2, we first extend [3, Theorem 1.3.a] by proving:

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space of finite cotype,  $Y$  a Banach space, and  $\alpha, \beta$  ordinals with  $\omega \leq \alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1$ . Then*

$$C(\alpha, X \oplus Y) \sim C(\beta, X \oplus Y) \Rightarrow \text{either } X \hookrightarrow Y^n \text{ for some } 1 \leq n < \omega, \\ \text{or } C(\alpha) \sim C(\beta).$$

**REMARK 1.5.** We stress that Theorem 1.4 in the case  $X = l_1$  solves [3, Problem 5.2.a]. However, it remains an open problem whether the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 is also true when  $X = l_\infty$  (see [3, Problem 5.2.b]).

Finally, in Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. It was inspired by the proof of [3, Theorem 4.1], where the particular case  $X = l_p$  and  $Y = l_q$  with  $1 < p \neq q < \infty$  was considered.

**2.  $C(\alpha, X \oplus Y)$  spaces for  $X$  of finite cotype.** Before proving Theorem 1.4, we state some preliminary results. We set  $C_0(\alpha, X) = \{f \in C(\alpha, X) : f(\alpha) = 0\}$ . In what follows, we will often make use without explicit mention of [1, Lemma 1.2.1] which states that  $C(\alpha, X)$  is isomorphic to  $C_0(\alpha, X)$  whenever  $\alpha \geq \omega$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space of finite cotype,  $Y$  a Banach space containing no copy of  $X$ , and  $\xi$  an ordinal with  $\omega \leq \xi < \omega_1$ . Then*

$$C(\xi^\omega, X) \hookrightarrow C(\xi, X) \oplus Y \Rightarrow C(\xi, X) \hookrightarrow C(\gamma, X) \oplus Y \text{ for some } \omega \leq \gamma < \xi.$$

*Proof.* Let  $2 \leq q < \infty$ , and  $K > 0$  be a constant satisfying (1). Suppose for contradiction that

$$C(\xi, X) \hookrightarrow C_0(\gamma, X) \oplus Y, \quad \forall \gamma < \xi.$$

By hypothesis there exist bounded linear operators  $T_1 : C(\xi^\omega, X) \rightarrow C_0(\xi, X)$  and  $T_2 : C(\xi^\omega, X) \rightarrow Y$  and a constant  $M > 0$  such that for every  $f$  in  $C(\xi^\omega, X)$  we have

$$(2) \quad M\|f\| \leq \sup(\|T_1(f)\|, \|T_2(f)\|) \leq \|f\|.$$

Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$M \sqrt[m]{m}/K > 1,$$

and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$(3) \quad 1 + \epsilon < M \sqrt[m]{m}/K.$$

For every  $\eta \in [0, \xi)$ , denote

$$\Delta_\eta^1 = [\xi^m \eta + 1, \xi^m(\eta + 1)],$$

and let  $X_m$  be the subspace of all  $f \in C(\xi^\omega, X)$  satisfying

$$\forall \eta \in [0, \xi), f \text{ is constant in } \Delta_\eta^1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(\gamma) = 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in [\xi^{m+1}, \xi^\omega].$$

As we can easily see,  $X_m$  is isometric to  $C_0(\xi, X)$ . Since  $Y$  contains no copy of  $X$ , the restriction of  $T_2$  to  $X_m$  is not an isomorphism onto its image. Thus, there exists  $f_1 \in X_m$  with

$$\|f_1\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_2(f_1)\| \leq \epsilon/2.$$

Let  $0 \leq \eta_1 < \xi$  be such that there exists  $x_1 \in X$  with

$$\|x_1\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f_1(\gamma) = x_1, \forall \gamma \in \Delta_{\eta_1}^1.$$

Since  $T_1(f_1) \in C_0(\xi, X)$ , it follows that there exists  $\gamma_1 < \xi$  such that

$$\|T_1(f_1(\gamma))\| \leq \epsilon/2, \quad \forall \gamma \in [\gamma_1 + 1, \xi].$$

For every  $\eta \in [0, \xi)$ , denote

$$\Delta_\eta^2 = [\xi^m \eta_1 + \xi^{m-1} \eta + 1, \xi^m \eta_1 + \xi^{m-1}(\eta + 1)],$$

and let  $X_{m-1}$  the subspace of all  $f \in C(\xi^\omega, X)$  satisfying

$$\forall \eta \in [0, \xi), f \text{ is constant in } \Delta_\eta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad f(\gamma) = 0, \forall \gamma \notin [\xi^m \eta_1, \xi^m(\eta_1 + 1)].$$

We can again easily see that  $X_{m-1}$  is isometric to  $C_0(\xi, X)$ . Let  $P_{\gamma_1}$  be the canonical projection from  $C(\xi, X)$  onto  $C(\gamma_1, X)$ . By our hypothesis

$$C(\xi, X) \hookrightarrow C(\gamma_1, X) \oplus Y.$$

Thus, the restriction to  $X_{m-1}$  of the bounded linear operator  $T_2 + P_{\gamma_1}T_1$  defined by

$$(T_2 + P_{\gamma_1}T_1)(g) = (T_2(g), P_{\gamma_1}T_1(g))$$

cannot be an isomorphism onto the image. So, there exists  $f_2 \in X_{m-1}$  such that

$$\|f_2\| = 1, \quad \|T_2(f_2)\| \leq \epsilon/2^2, \quad \|T_1(f_2)(\gamma)\| \leq \epsilon/2^2, \quad \forall \gamma \in [0, \gamma_1].$$

Fix  $\gamma_2 \in [\gamma_1 + 1, \xi)$  such that

$$\|T_1(f_2)(\gamma)\| \leq \epsilon/2^2, \quad \forall \gamma \in [\gamma_2 + 1, \xi].$$

Let  $0 \leq \eta_2 < \xi$  be such that there exists  $x_2 \in X$  with

$$\|x_2\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(\gamma) = x_2, \forall \gamma \in \Delta_{\eta_2}^2.$$

Repeating this procedure  $m$  times we can find ordinals  $\eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m < \xi$  and  $\gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_m < \xi$ , functions  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  and elements  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$  such that:

- (i)  $\|T_2(f_i)\| \leq \epsilon/2^i, 1 \leq i \leq m.$
- (ii)  $\|T_1(f_i)(\gamma)\| \leq \epsilon/2^i, \forall \gamma \in [0, \gamma_{i-1}]$  and  $2 \leq i \leq m.$
- (iii)  $\|T_1(f_i)(\gamma)\| \leq \epsilon/2^i, \forall \gamma \in [\gamma_i + 1, \xi]$  and  $1 \leq i < m.$
- (iv)  $\|x_i\| = 1, 1 \leq i \leq m.$
- (v)  $f_i(\gamma) = x_i, \forall \gamma \in \Delta_{\eta_i}^i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq m,$  where

$$\Delta_{\eta_i}^i = [\xi^m \eta_1 + \xi^{m-1} \eta_2 + \dots + \xi^{m-(i-1)} \eta_i + 1, \xi^m \eta_1 + \xi^{m-1} \eta_2 + \dots + \xi^{m-(i-1)}(\eta_i + 1)].$$

Since  $\|x_i\| = 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , it follows from (1) that

$$\sqrt[m]{m}/K \leq \left( \frac{\|x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_m\|^2}{2^m} + \frac{\|x_1 - x_2 + \cdots + x_m\|^2}{2^m} + \cdots + \frac{\|-x_1 - x_2 - \cdots - x_m\|^2}{2^m} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, for an appropriate choice of scalars  $r_i = \pm 1$ , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m r_i x_i \right\| \geq \sqrt[m]{m}/K.$$

Let  $f = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i f_i$ . It is clear that:

- (vi)  $\|f\| \geq \sqrt[m]{m}/K$ .
- (vii)  $\|T_2(f)\| \leq \epsilon$ .
- (viii)  $\|T_1(f)\| \leq 1 + \epsilon$ .

Consequently, by (2) we see that

$$M \sqrt[m]{m}/K \leq 1 + \epsilon.$$

This contradicts (3), and the proposition is proved. ■

Since Banach spaces of finite cotype contain no copy of  $c_0$ , we can use Proposition 2.1 and follow step by step the proof of [3, Proposition 2.3] to prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let  $X$  be a Banach space of finite cotype, and  $Y$  a Banach space containing no copy of  $X$ . Then, for every  $\omega \leq \xi < \omega_1$ ,*

$$C(\xi^\omega, X) \hookrightarrow C(\xi, X) \oplus Y.$$

Finally, we need to recall [2, Theorem 2.3].

THEOREM 2.3. *Let  $\xi$  be any ordinal,  $Y$  a Banach space, and  $X$  a closed subspace of  $C(\xi, Y)$ . Then either  $X$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $Y^n$  for some  $1 \leq n < \omega$ , or  $X$  contains a copy of  $c_0$  complemented in  $C(\xi, Y)$ .*

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Suppose that  $\omega \leq \alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1$ ,

$$C(\alpha, X \oplus Y) \sim C(\beta, X \oplus Y),$$

and

$$(4) \quad X \hookrightarrow Y^n, \quad \forall 1 \leq n < \omega.$$

We will prove that  $C(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\beta)$ . For the classical Bessaga and Pełczyński Theorem [1] it suffices to show that  $\beta < \alpha^\omega$ . For contradiction assume that  $\alpha^\omega \leq \beta$ . Then by our hypothesis,

$$C(\alpha^\omega, X) \hookrightarrow C(\alpha^\omega, X \oplus Y) \hookrightarrow C(\beta, X \oplus Y) \sim C(\alpha, X \oplus Y).$$

That is,

$$(5) \quad C(\alpha^\omega, X) \hookrightarrow C(\alpha, X) \oplus C(\alpha, Y).$$

On the other hand, since  $X$  contains no copy of  $c_0$  and (4) holds, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that

$$X \hookrightarrow C(\alpha, Y).$$

Hence according to Proposition 2.2, (5) cannot hold. Thus, the theorem is proved. ■

**3. The proof of the quasi-dichotomy Theorem 1.1.** In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 which provides a quasi-dichotomy for spaces of finite cotype involving the  $C(\alpha, X)$  spaces,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* First assume that statement (b) is true. Then according to [12, Corollary 21.5.2], we see that  $C(\alpha, X)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\beta, X)$ , and  $C(\xi, Y)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\eta, Y)$ . Consequently, (a) is also true.

Now, we will prove that (a) implies (b). Take ordinals  $\omega \leq \alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta < \omega_1$  satisfying

$$(6) \quad C(\alpha, X) \oplus C(\xi, Y) \sim C(\beta, X) \oplus C(\eta, Y).$$

We will prove that  $C(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\beta)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . By the Bessaga and Pełczyński Theorem [1],  $C(\omega^{\omega^\gamma})$  for  $0 \leq \gamma < \omega_1$  are a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of  $C(\alpha)$  spaces,  $\omega \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ . Thus, without loss of generality we can suppose that  $\alpha = \omega^{\omega^{\delta_1}}$ ,  $\xi = \omega^{\omega^{\delta_2}}$ ,  $\beta = \omega^{\omega^{\delta_3}}$  and  $\eta = \omega^{\omega^{\delta_4}}$  for some ordinals  $\delta_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

Pick  $\omega < \theta < \omega_1$  such that  $\theta > \delta_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . For every  $1 \leq i \leq 4$  consider the compact metric spaces

$$F_i = [0, \omega^{\omega^{\delta_i}}] \times [0, \omega^{\omega^\theta}] \quad \text{and} \quad K = [0, \omega^{\omega^\theta}].$$

It is easy to check that

$$(7) \quad C(K) \oplus C(\omega^{\omega^{\delta_i}}) \sim C(K), \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq 4,$$

$$(8) \quad C([0, \omega^{\omega^{\delta_i}}] \times K) \sim C(K), \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq 4,$$

and

$$(9) \quad C(K, X) \sim C(K, X)^n, \quad \forall 1 \leq n < \omega.$$

Moreover, since  $X$  contains no copy of  $c_0$ , and by hypothesis no  $Y^n$  contains a copy of  $X$  for any  $1 \leq n < \omega$ , Theorem 2.3 implies that

$$(10) \quad X \hookrightarrow C(K, Y).$$

Now, by (6) we deduce

$$C(K, Y) \oplus C(\alpha, X) \oplus C(\xi, Y) \sim C(K, Y) \oplus C(\beta, X) \oplus C(\eta, Y).$$

Thus, from (7) we infer that

$$C(\alpha, X) \oplus C(K, Y) \sim C(\beta, X) \oplus C(K, Y).$$

Next, in view of (8) we see that

$$C(\alpha, X) \oplus C([0, \alpha] \times K, Y) \sim C(\beta, X) \oplus C([0, \beta] \times K, Y),$$

that is,

$$C(\alpha, X) \oplus C(\alpha, C(K, Y)) \sim C(\beta, X) \oplus C(\beta, C(K, Y)).$$

Consequently,

$$(11) \quad C(\alpha, X \oplus C(K, Y)) \sim C(\beta, X \oplus C(K, Y)).$$

Hence from (9)–(11) and Theorem 1.4 we conclude that  $C(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\beta)$ .

Analogously, we prove that  $C(\xi)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\eta)$ , and the proof is complete. ■

#### 4. Final remarks and open problems on $C(\alpha, X)$ spaces

REMARK 4.1. Concerning extensions of Theorem 1.1, recall that if  $K$  is a countable compact metric space, then by the classical Mazurkiewicz and Sierpiński Theorem [10]  $K$  is homeomorphic to an ordinal interval  $[0, \alpha]$  with  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Thus, the equivalence of assertions (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.1 is a cancellation law for infinite countable compact metric spaces. We do not know whether this cancellation law can be extended to uncountable compact metric spaces, whenever the Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1.

However, notice that if  $[0, 1]$  is the interval of real numbers, then we have:

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces of finite cotype, and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be infinite countable ordinals. Suppose that*

$$C([0, 1], X) \oplus C([0, 1], Y) \sim C(\alpha, X) \oplus C(\beta, Y).$$

*Then there exist  $1 \leq m, n < \omega$  such that*

$$X \hookrightarrow Y^m \quad \text{and} \quad Y \hookrightarrow X^n.$$

*Proof.* Towards a contradiction, by symmetry we can assume that

$$(12) \quad X \not\hookrightarrow Y^m$$

for every  $1 \leq m < \omega$ . Since  $C([0, 1])$  contains a copy of  $C(\alpha^\omega)$ , we would have

$$(13) \quad C(\alpha^\omega, X) \hookrightarrow C([0, 1], X) \hookrightarrow C(\alpha, X) \oplus C(\beta, Y).$$

Thus, from (12) and Theorem 2.3, we infer that  $C(\beta, Y)$  contains no copy of  $X$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2.2, (13) cannot hold. ■

REMARK 4.3. Observe that Theorem 1.4 is a vector-valued extension of the classical isomorphic classification of  $C(\alpha)$  spaces,  $\omega \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ , due to Bessaga and Pełczyński [1]. Of course, Theorem 1.4 can be used to provide the isomorphic classification of many spaces involving finite cotype spaces. For example, let  $c$  denote the cardinality of the continuum. Then it is well known that  $l_\infty$  contains no copy of  $l_p(c)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  [13, p. 48]. Hence it follows directly from Theorem 1.4 that if  $\omega \leq \alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1$ , then

$$(14) \quad C(\alpha, l_p(c) \oplus l_\infty) \sim C(\beta, l_p(c) \oplus l_\infty) \Leftrightarrow C(\alpha) \sim C(\beta).$$

However, we have not been able to solve the following problem closely related to Theorem 1.1 and (14).

PROBLEM 4.4. *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $\alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta$  be countable ordinals. Is  $C(\alpha)$  isomorphic to  $C(\beta)$ , and  $C(\xi)$  isomorphic to  $C(\eta)$ , whenever*

$$C(\alpha, l_p(c)) \oplus C(\xi, l_\infty) \sim C(\beta, l_p(c)) \oplus C(\eta, l_\infty)?$$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the paper and for helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *Spaces of continuous functions, IV*, Studia Math. 19 (1960), 53–61.
- [2] E. M. Galego, *On subspaces and quotients of Banach spaces  $C(K, X)$* , Monatsh. Math. 136 (2002), 87–97.
- [3] E. M. Galego, *The  $C(K, X)$  spaces for compact metric spaces  $K$  and  $X$  with a uniformly convex maximal factor*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 384 (2011), 357–365.
- [4] J. Hoffmann-Jorgensen, *Sums of independent Banach space valued random variables*, Studia Math. 52 (1974), 159–186.
- [5] W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, *Basics concepts in the geometry of Banach spaces*, in: Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, 1–84.
- [6] S. Kwapiień, *A remark on  $p$ -summing operators in  $l_r$ -spaces*, Studia Math. 34 (1970), 109–111.
- [7] B. Maurey, *Espaces de cotype  $p$* , Sémin. Maurey–Schwartz 1972–1973, exp. 7.
- [8] B. Maurey, *Type, cotype and  $K$ -convexity*, in: Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol. 2, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003, 1299–1332.
- [9] B. Maurey et G. Pisier, *Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach*, Studia Math. 58 (1976), 45–90.
- [10] S. Mazurkiewicz et W. Sierpiński, *Contribution à la topologie des ensembles dénombrables*, Fund. Math. 1 (1920), 17–27.
- [11] Z. Semadeni, *Banach spaces non-isomorphic to their Cartesian squares. II*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 8 (1960), 81–84.

- [12] Z. Semadeni, *Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions Vol. I*, Monografie Mat. 55, PWN–Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, 1971.
- [13] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach Spaces for Analysts*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.

Elói Medina Galego

Department of Mathematics, IME

University of São Paulo

Rua do Matão

1010, São Paulo, Brazil

E-mail: eloi@ime.usp.br

Maurício Zahn

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, DME

Federal University of Pelotas

Campus Universitário Capão do Leão, s/n

Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

E-mail: mauricio.zahn@ufpel.edu.br

*Received 27 August 2014;*

*revised 29 January 2015*

(6353)

