

KOSZUL DUALITY FOR N -KOSZUL ALGEBRAS

BY

ROBERTO MARTÍNEZ-VILLA (Morelia) and MANUEL SAORÍN (Murcia)

Abstract. The correspondence between the category of modules over a graded algebra and the category of graded modules over its Yoneda algebra was studied in [8] by means of A_∞ algebras; this relation is very well understood for Koszul algebras (see for example [5], [6]). It is of interest to look for cases such that there exists a duality generalizing the Koszul situation. In this paper we will study N -Koszul algebras [1], [7], [9] for which such a duality exists.

Dualities for N -Koszul algebras. In [10], we studied a generalization of Yoshino's results [12] concerning the relation between the exterior algebra and the polynomial algebra, very close in line with the famous paper by Bernstein–Gelfand–Gelfand [2]–[4].

It was proved there that for Koszul algebras there exists a duality between graded modules and linear complexes of projective modules over the Yoneda algebra which restricts to a duality between Koszul modules and complexes (P^\bullet, d^\bullet) of finitely generated projective modules over the Yoneda algebra such that $P^j = 0$ for $j < 0$ and $H^j(P^\bullet) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$. The aim of this paper is generalize this theorem to a particular class of N -Koszul algebras.

We will start by recalling some definitions and results from [1], [7], [9].

DEFINITION 1. Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$ be a graded factor of a path algebra. Let N be a positive integer and $\delta : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the function $\delta(2k) = kN$ and $\delta(2k + 1) = kN + 1$. We say that a finitely generated graded module M is *N -Koszul* if it has a graded projective resolution $\cdots \rightarrow P^j \rightarrow P^{j-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that each $P^{(j)}$ is finitely generated with generators in degree $\delta(j)$. If all graded simple modules with support in degree zero are N -Koszul, then we say that Λ is *N -Koszul*.

DEFINITION 2. A graded factor $\Lambda = KQ/I$ of a path algebra is *N -homogeneous* if the ideal I is generated by homogeneous elements of degree N .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16E05, 18G10, 18G35.

Key words and phrases: N -Koszul algebras, graded modules, linear complexes.

The first author thanks CONACYT for funding the research project. The second author thanks the D.G.I. of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology and the Fundación “Séneca” of Murcia for their financial support.

N -Koszul algebras are a natural generalization of Koszul algebras. Indeed, 2-Koszul algebras are just the Koszul algebras. As in the Koszul situation, if $\Lambda = KQ/I$ is N -Koszul, then it is N -homogeneous and we may consider its *homogeneous dual algebra* $\Lambda^! = KQ^{\text{op}}/\langle I_N^\perp \rangle$, where $\langle I_N^\perp \rangle$ is the orthogonal ideal constructed in a way similar to the quadratic case. There will be some differences from the classical situation:

- (a) The algebra $\Lambda^! = KQ^{\text{op}}/\langle I_N^\perp \rangle$ will not in general be N -Koszul.
- (b) $\Lambda^!$ is not isomorphic to the Yoneda algebra for $N > 2$.

For (a) it is very easy to give examples such that $\Lambda^!$ is N -Koszul and examples where it is not [9].

For (b) we have the following result:

THEOREM 1 ([7]). *Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$ be an N -Koszul algebra with $N \geq 2$, and $\Lambda^! = KQ^{\text{op}}/\langle I_N^\perp \rangle$ its homogeneous dual algebra. Then the Yoneda algebra $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0)$ is isomorphic as a graded algebra to the algebra $B = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} B_j$ defined in the following way: $B_n = \Lambda_{\delta(n)}^!$ as vector spaces, and multiplication in B is defined as follows: if $x \in B_n$ and $y \in B_m$, then $x \cdot y = 0$ if both m and n are odd, and $x \cdot y$ is the product in $\Lambda^!$ if either n or m is even. ■*

In this paper we will consider N -Koszul algebras $\Lambda = KQ/I$ such that $\Lambda^!$ is N -Koszul. We will see that under mild restrictions on such algebras, there exists a natural generalization of Koszul duality.

We will need the following:

LEMMA 1. *Let Λ be any ring. Consider the following commutative diagram of Λ -modules of finite length:*

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
 & & & & & & & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_{n,n} \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 \rightarrow & A_{n-1,n-1} & \rightarrow & A_{n-1,n} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 (1) & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_{3,3} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{3,n-1} \rightarrow A_{3,n} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 \rightarrow & A_{2,2} \rightarrow A_{2,3} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{2,n-1} \rightarrow A_{2,n} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 \rightarrow & A_{1,1} \rightarrow A_{1,2} \rightarrow A_{1,3} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{1,n-1} \rightarrow A_{1,n} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & & & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & & & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

such that:

- (i) All columns are exact.
- (ii) The row $0 \rightarrow A_{1,1} \rightarrow A_{1,2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{1,n-1} \rightarrow A_{1,n} \rightarrow 0$ is a complex.
- (iii) All other rows are exact.
- (iv) $0 \rightarrow A_{1,1} \rightarrow A_{1,2}$ is exact.
- (v) $A_{1,1} \cong A_{n,n}$.

Then

$$0 \rightarrow A_{1,1} \rightarrow A_{1,2} \rightarrow A_{1,3} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{1,n-1} \rightarrow A_{1,n} \rightarrow 0$$

is also exact.

Proof. By induction on n . For $n = 1$ or $n = 2$ there is nothing to prove. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_{3,3} \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_{2,2} & \rightarrow & A_{2,3} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A_{1,1} & \rightarrow & A_{1,2} & \rightarrow & A_{1,3} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact columns, $0 \rightarrow A_{1,1} \rightarrow A_{1,2}$ exact and $A_{1,1} \cong A_{3,3}$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & \searrow & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & A_{1,1} & \dashrightarrow & C & \rightarrow & A_{3,3} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \searrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_{2,2} & \rightarrow & A_{2,3} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_{1,3} & \xrightarrow{1} & A_{1,3} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

where C is the kernel of $A_{2,2} \rightarrow A_{1,3}$. Hence $C \cong A_{3,3}$ and the induced map $A_{1,1} \rightarrow C$ is a monomorphism. It follows, by a length argument, that the map is an isomorphism. Therefore $0 \rightarrow A_{1,1} \rightarrow A_{1,2} \rightarrow A_{1,3} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Now assume the result is true for all diagrams of size $n - 1 \times n - 1$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A_{1,1} & \rightarrow & C_{2,3} & \rightarrow & C_{2,4} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & C_{2,n-1} & \rightarrow & C_{2,n} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 (2) & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_{2,2} & \rightarrow & A_{2,3} & \rightarrow & A_{2,3} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & A_{2,n-1} & \rightarrow & A_{2,n} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & A_{1,3} & \rightarrow & A_{1,4} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & A_{1,n-1} & \rightarrow & A_{1,n} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

where $C_{2,j}$ is the kernel of $A_{2,j} \rightarrow A_{1,j}$ for $j \geq 3$ and C is the cokernel of $0 \rightarrow A_{1,1} \rightarrow A_{2,2}$.

We have an induced commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_{n,n} \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_{n-1,n-1} & \rightarrow & A_{n-1,n} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 (3) & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_{4,4} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & A_{4,n-1} & \rightarrow & A_{4,n} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_{3,3} & \rightarrow & A_{3,4} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & A_{3,n-1} & \rightarrow & A_{3,n} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A_{1,1} & \rightarrow & C_{2,3} & \rightarrow & C_{2,4} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & C_{2,n-1} & \rightarrow & C_{2,n} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

which is a diagram of size $n - 1 \times n - 1$ satisfying the conditions of the lemma, hence, by the induction hypothesis, the row $0 \rightarrow A_{1,1} \rightarrow C_{2,3} \rightarrow C_{2,4} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_{2,n-1} \rightarrow C_{2,n} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

It follows that the diagram (2) is an exact sequence of complexes such that two of them are exact. Then, by the long homology sequence, the third one, $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow A_{1,3} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{1,n-1} \rightarrow A_{1,n} \rightarrow 0$, is also exact, as claimed. ■

COROLLARY 1. *Assume we have a commutative diagram (1) as in Lemma 1 such that:*

- (i) *All columns are exact.*
- (ii) *$0 \rightarrow A_{2,2} \rightarrow A_{2,3} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{2,n-1} \rightarrow A_{2,n} \rightarrow 0$ is a complex and the remaining rows are exact.*

- (iii) $A_{1,1} \cong A_{n,n}$.
- (iv) $0 \rightarrow A_{2,2} \rightarrow A_{2,3}$ is exact.

Then $0 \rightarrow A_{2,2} \rightarrow A_{2,3} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{2,n-1} \rightarrow A_{2,n} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Proof. As in the proof of the lemma, we have a commutative diagram (2) and a diagram of type (3). Hence, by the lemma the sequence $0 \rightarrow A_{1,1} \rightarrow C_{2,3} \rightarrow C_{2,4} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_{2,n-1} \rightarrow C_{2,n} \rightarrow 0$ is exact and in the diagram (2) we have an exact sequence of complexes and two of them are acyclic. Then, by the long homology sequence, the middle complex $0 \rightarrow A_{2,2} \rightarrow A_{2,3} \rightarrow A_{2,4} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{2,n-1} \rightarrow A_{2,n} \rightarrow 0$ is exact. ■

Given a graded quiver algebra $\Lambda = KQ/I = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \Lambda_j$, a finitely generated graded projective Λ -module P is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{s=1}^m (\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \Lambda_j) e_{k_s}$ where the e_{k_s} denote, not necessarily distinct, primitive idempotents of Λ . Hence

$$P \cong \bigoplus_{s=1}^m \left(\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \Lambda_j e_{k_s} \right) \cong \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \left(\bigoplus_{s=1}^m \Lambda_j \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \Lambda_0 e_{k_s} \right) \cong \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \Lambda_j \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \left(\bigoplus_{s=1}^m \Lambda_0 e_{k_s} \right).$$

Consider the right Λ_0 -module $V = \bigoplus_{s=1}^m e_{k_s} \Lambda_0$. Then $P \cong \Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda_0} V^*$, with $V^* = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_0}(V, \Lambda_0)$.

Given a graded Λ -module M , the module $M[n]$ is defined by $M[n]_j = M_{n+j}$.

The following proposition is a consequence of [9]; we give the proof for completeness.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$ be an N -Koszul algebra with $N \geq 2$, and $\Lambda^!$ its homogeneous dual algebra. Then we have exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (\Lambda_{3N}^!)^*[-3N] &\rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (\Lambda_{2N+1}^!)^*[-(2N+1)] \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (\Lambda_{2N}^!)^*[-2N] \\ &\rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (\Lambda_{N+1}^!)^*[-(N+1)] \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (\Lambda_N^!)^*[-N] \\ &\rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (\Lambda_1^!)^*[-1] \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (\Lambda_0^!)^*[0] \rightarrow \Lambda_0 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow (\Lambda_{3N}^!)^* \otimes \Lambda[-3N] &\rightarrow (\Lambda_{2N+1}^!)^* \otimes \Lambda[-(2N+1)] \rightarrow (\Lambda_{2N}^!)^* \otimes \Lambda[-2N] \\ &\rightarrow (\Lambda_{N+1}^!)^* \otimes \Lambda[-(N+1)] \rightarrow (\Lambda_N^!)^* \otimes \Lambda[-N] \rightarrow (\Lambda_1^!)^* \otimes \Lambda[-1] \\ &\rightarrow (\Lambda_0^!)^* \otimes \Lambda[0] \rightarrow \Lambda_0 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here for a right Λ_0 -module V , $V^* = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_0}(V, \Lambda_0)$.

Proof. By hypothesis, there exists a minimal graded projective resolution of the Λ -module Λ_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (V_4)^*[-2N] &\rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (V_3)^*[-(N+1)] \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (V_2)^*[-N] \\ &\rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (V_1)^*[-1] \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes (V_0)^*[0] \rightarrow \Lambda_0 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{Ext}_A^n(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Lambda \otimes (V_n)^*, \Lambda_0) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Lambda, (V_n)^{**}) \cong (V_n)^{**} \cong V_n.$$

It was proved in [1] and [7] that $\Lambda_{\delta(n)}^! \cong \mathrm{Ext}_A^n(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0)$, so the exactness of the first sequence follows. The exactness of the second sequence follows by using right modules and the fact proved in [1], [7] that the opposite algebra of an N -Koszul algebra is N -Koszul. ■

Omitting arrows and looking at proper degrees, we can display the left resolution of Λ_0 in a matrix as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & \Lambda_0 \\ & & & & & & \Lambda_1 \\ & & & & & & \Lambda_2 \\ & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \Lambda_{N-2} \otimes (\Lambda_1^!)^* & \Lambda_{N-1} \\ & & & & & & \Lambda_{N-1} \otimes (\Lambda_1^!)^* & \Lambda_N \\ & & & & & & \Lambda_N \otimes (\Lambda_1^!)^* & \Lambda_{N+1} \\ & & & & & & \Lambda_{N+1} \otimes (\Lambda_1^!)^* & \Lambda_{N+2} \\ & & & & & & \vdots & \\ & & & & & & \Lambda_{N-2} \otimes (\Lambda_{N+1}^!)^* & \Lambda_{N-1} \otimes (\Lambda_N^!)^* & \Lambda_{2N-2} \otimes (\Lambda_1^!)^* & \Lambda_{2N-1} \\ & & & & & & \Lambda_{N-1} \otimes (\Lambda_{N+1}^!)^* & \Lambda_N \otimes (\Lambda_N^!)^* & \Lambda_{2N-1} \otimes (\Lambda_1^!)^* & \Lambda_{2N} \\ & & & & & & \Lambda_0 \otimes (\Lambda_{2N}^!)^* & \Lambda_{N-1} \otimes (\Lambda_{N+1}^!)^* & \Lambda_N \otimes (\Lambda_N^!)^* & \Lambda_{2N-1} \otimes (\Lambda_1^!)^* & \Lambda_{2N} \\ & & & & & & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \Lambda_{2N+1} \end{array}$$

Hence we get exact sequences of Λ_0 -modules

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN}^!)^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{N-1} \otimes (\Lambda_{(k-1)N+1}^!)^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Lambda_{(k-1)N-1} \otimes (\Lambda_{N+1}^!)^* \\ \rightarrow \Lambda_{(k-1)N} \otimes (\Lambda_N^!)^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN-1} \otimes (\Lambda_1^!)^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN+1}^!)^* \rightarrow \Lambda_1 \otimes (\Lambda_{kN}^!)^* \rightarrow \Lambda_N \otimes (\Lambda_{(k-1)N+1}^!)^* \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow \Lambda_{(k-1)N} \otimes (\Lambda_{N+1}^!)^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{(k-1)N+1} \otimes (\Lambda_N^!)^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN} \otimes (\Lambda_1^!)^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN+1} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that Λ^{op} is N -Koszul we obtain exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN}^!)^* \rightarrow (\Lambda_{(k-1)N+1}^!)^* \otimes \Lambda_{N-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (\Lambda_{N+1}^!)^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-1)N-1} \\ \rightarrow (\Lambda_N^!)^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-1)N} \rightarrow (\Lambda_1^!)^* \otimes \Lambda_{kN-1} \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN+1}^!)^* \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN}^!)^* \otimes \Lambda_1 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{(k-1)N+1}^!)^* \otimes \Lambda_N \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow (\Lambda_{N+1}^!)^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-1)N} \rightarrow (\Lambda_N^!)^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-1)N+1} \rightarrow (\Lambda_1^!)^* \otimes \Lambda_{kN} \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN+1} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Dualizing the previous sequences, we obtain exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN})^* \rightarrow \Lambda_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{kN-1})^* \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{(k-1)N}^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^* \\
 \rightarrow \Lambda_{(k-1)N+1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN}^! \rightarrow 0, \\
 0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN+1})^* \rightarrow \Lambda_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{kN})^* \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{(k-1)N+1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^* \\
 \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN}^! \otimes (\Lambda_1)^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN+1}^! \rightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN})^* \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN-1})^* \otimes \Lambda_1^! \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (\Lambda_N)^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-1)N}^! \\
 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{N-1})^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-1)N+1}^! \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN}^! \rightarrow 0, \\
 0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN+1})^* \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN})^* \otimes \Lambda_1^! \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (\Lambda_N)^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-1)N+1}^! \\
 \rightarrow (\Lambda_1)^* \otimes \Lambda_{kN}^! \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN+1}^! \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we now assume that $\Lambda^!$ is also N -Koszul, then interchanging the roles of Λ and $\Lambda^!$ we get the corresponding exact sequences for Λ_{kN} and Λ_{kN+1} . We now prove the following by induction on k .

PROPOSITION 2. *Assume that $\Lambda = KQ/I$ and $\Lambda^!$ are N -Koszul with $N \geq 2$ and that the quiver Q is connected and has no sources. Then for any $k \geq 2$, there exist exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{kN-1})^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{N-1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_{(k-1)N})^* \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{(k-2)N}^! \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^* \\
 \rightarrow \Lambda_{(k-1)N-1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{(k-1)N}^! \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{kN-1}^! \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $k = 2$, then we have a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{5,5} \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{4,4} \rightarrow A_{4,5} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{3,3} \rightarrow A_{3,4} \rightarrow A_{3,5} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{2,2} \rightarrow A_{2,3} \rightarrow A_{2,4} \rightarrow A_{2,5} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 0 \rightarrow & A_{1,1} \rightarrow & A_{1,2} \rightarrow & A_{1,3} \rightarrow & A_{1,4} \rightarrow & A_{1,5} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0
 \end{array}$$

with entries:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{5,5} = A_{1,1} = (\Lambda_{2N})^*, \quad A_{4,4} = (\Lambda_{N+1})^* \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^*, \\
 A_{4,5} = (\Lambda_{N+1})^* \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^!, \quad A_{3,3} = (\Lambda_N)^* \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, \\
 A_{3,4} = (\Lambda_N)^* \otimes \Lambda_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^*, \quad A_{3,5} = (\Lambda_N)^* \otimes \Lambda_N^!,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2,2} &= A_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^*, & A_{2,3} &= A_1^! \otimes A_{N-1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, \\
A_{2,4} &= A_1^! \otimes A_N^! \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^*, & A_{2,5} &= A_1^! \otimes A_{2N-1}^!, \\
A_{1,2} &= A_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^*, & A_{1,3} &= A_N^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, \\
A_{1,4} &= A_{N+1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^*, & A_{1,5} &= A_{2N}^!.
\end{aligned}$$

Since both Λ and $A^!$ are N -Koszul the columns are exact. By the above observations, so are all rows except perhaps

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^* &\rightarrow A_1^! \otimes A_{N-1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^* \\
&\rightarrow A_1^! \otimes A_N^! \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^* \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes A_{2N-1}^! \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The product $\Lambda_N \otimes \Lambda_{N-1} \rightarrow \Lambda_{2N-1} \rightarrow 0$ induces a monomorphism $0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{2N-1})^* \rightarrow A_{N-1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*$, hence a monomorphism $0 \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^* \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes A_{N-1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*$. Now Corollary 1 shows that the sequence (4) is exact.

Since we are assuming that Q has no sources, $A_1^!$ is a projective generator as a right A_0 -module. It follows that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_{2N-1})^* \rightarrow A_{N-1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^* \rightarrow A_N^! \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^* \rightarrow A_{2N-1}^! \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

To illustrate the general situation, consider the case $k = 3$. As before we have a commutative diagram, which we write as a matrix without arrows:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
& & & & & & & 0 \\
& & & & & & & 0 & A_{7,7} \\
& & & & & & & 0 & A_{6,6} & A_{6,7} & 0 \\
& & & & & & & 0 & A_{5,5} & A_{5,6} & A_{5,7} & 0 \\
& & & & & & & 0 & A_{4,4} & A_{4,5} & A_{4,6} & A_{4,7} & 0 \\
& & & & & & & 0 & A_{3,3} & A_{3,4} & A_{3,5} & A_{3,6} & A_{3,7} & 0 \\
& & & & & & & 0 & A_{2,2} & A_{2,3} & A_{2,4} & A_{2,5} & A_{2,6} & A_{2,7} & 0 \\
& & & & & & & 0 & A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} & A_{1,4} & A_{1,5} & A_{1,6} & A_{1,7} & 0 \\
& & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}$$

with the following entries:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1,1} &= A_{7,7} = (\Lambda_{3N})^*, & A_{6,6} &= (\Lambda_{2N+1})^* \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^*, \\
A_{6,7} &= (\Lambda_{2N+1})^* \otimes A_{N-1}^!, & A_{5,5} &= (\Lambda_{2N})^* \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, \\
A_{5,6} &= (\Lambda_{2N})^* \otimes A_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^*, & A_{5,7} &= (\Lambda_{2N})^* \otimes A_N^!, \\
A_{4,4} &= (\Lambda_{N+1})^* \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^*, & A_{4,5} &= (\Lambda_{N+1})^* \otimes A_{N-1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, \\
A_{4,6} &= (\Lambda_{N+1})^* \otimes A_N^! \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^*, & A_{4,7} &= (\Lambda_{N+1})^* \otimes A_{2N-1}^!, \\
A_{3,3} &= (\Lambda_N)^* \otimes (\Lambda_{2N})^*, & A_{3,4} &= (\Lambda_N)^* \otimes A_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^*, \\
A_{3,5} &= (\Lambda_N)^* \otimes A_N^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, & A_{3,6} &= (\Lambda_N)^* \otimes A_{N+1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^*, \\
A_{3,7} &= (\Lambda_N)^* \otimes A_{2N}^!, & A_{2,2} &= A_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{3N-1})^*, \\
A_{2,3} &= A_1^! \otimes A_{N-1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_{2N})^*, & A_{2,4} &= A_1^! \otimes A_N^! \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^*, \\
A_{2,5} &= A_1^! \otimes A_{2N-1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, & A_{2,6} &= A_1^! \otimes A_{2N}^! \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^*, \\
A_{2,7} &= A_1^! \otimes A_{3N-1}^!, & A_{1,2} &= A_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{3N-1})^*, & A_{1,3} &= A_N^! \otimes (\Lambda_{2N})^*,
\end{aligned}$$

$$A_{1,4} = A_{N+1}^! \otimes (A_{2N-1})^*, \quad A_{1,5} = A_{2N}^! \otimes (A_N)^*,$$

$$A_{1,6} = A_{2N+1}^! \otimes (A_{N-1})^*, \quad A_{1,7} = A_{3N}^!.$$

Since we are assuming $A^!$ to be N -Koszul, all the columns are exact by the exactness of the sequences above and the case $k = 2$, and hence so are all rows except perhaps the row

$$0 \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes (A_{3N-1})^* \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes A_{N-1}^! \otimes (A_{2N})^* \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes A_N^! \otimes (A_{2N-1})^* \\ \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes A_{2N-1}^! \otimes (A_N)^* \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes A_{2N}^! \otimes (A_{N-1})^* \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes A_{3N-1}^! \rightarrow 0.$$

As in case $k = 2$, the map $0 \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes (A_{3N-1})^* \rightarrow A_1^! \otimes A_{N-1}^! \otimes (A_{2N})^*$ is mono, hence the above sequence is also exact.

From the fact that $A_1^!$ is a generator as a right A_0 -module, it follows that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (A_{3N-1})^* \rightarrow A_{N-1}^! \otimes (A_{2N})^* \rightarrow A_N^! \otimes (A_{2N-1})^* \\ \rightarrow A_{2N-1}^! \otimes (A_N)^* \rightarrow A_{2N}^! \otimes (A_{N-1})^* \rightarrow A_{3N-1}^! \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. It is clear how to continue the induction. ■

Now let M be an N -Koszul A -module and denote by $E^k(M)$ the A_0 -module $\text{Ext}_A^k(M, A_0)$. The minimal graded projective resolution of M can be displayed as a matrix without arrows where we have put $A_n.E^k(M)^*$ instead of $A_n \otimes (\text{Ext}_A^k(M, A_0))^*$ and $A_n.M_j$ instead of $A_n \otimes M_j$:

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} & & & 0 & A_0.M_0 & M_0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & A_0.E^1(M)^* & A_1.M_0 & M_1 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & A_1.E^1(M)^* & A_2.M_0 & M_2 & 0 \\ & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & 0 & A_{N-2}.E^1(M)^* & A_{N-1}.M_0 & M_{N-1} & 0 \\ & & 0 & A_0.E^2(M)^* & A_{N-1}.E^1(M)^* & A_N.M_0 & M_N & 0 \\ & A_0.E^3(M)^* & A_1.E^2(M)^* & A_N.E^1(M)^* & A_{N+1}.M_0 & M_{N+1} & 0 \\ & A_1.E^3(M)^* & A_2.E^2(M)^* & A_{N+1}.E^1(M)^* & A_{N+2}.M_0 & M_{N+2} & 0 \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & A_{N-2}.E^3(M)^* & A_{N-1}.E^2(M)^* & A_{2N-2}.E^1(M)^* & A_{2N-1}.M_0 & M_{2N-1} & 0 \\ \cdots & A_{N-1}.E^3(M)^* & A_N.E^2(M)^* & A_{2N-1}.E^1(M)^* & A_{2N}.M_0 & M_{2N} & 0 \\ \cdots & A_N.E^3(M)^* & A_{N+1}.E^2(M)^* & A_{2N}.E^1(M)^* & A_{2N+1}.M_0 & M_{2N+1} & 0 \end{array}$$

Dualizing the first two rows we obtain exact sequences $0 \rightarrow (M_0)^* \otimes A_0^! \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, A_0) \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow (M_1)^* \rightarrow (M_0)^* \otimes A_1^! \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(M, A_0) \rightarrow 0$.

We can now prove our main theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let $A = KQ/I$ be an N -Koszul algebra with $N \geq 2$ such that its homogeneous dual algebra $A^! = KQ^{\text{op}}/\langle I_N^! \rangle$ is also N -Koszul and*

that the quiver Q is connected and has no sources. Let $M = \{M_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ be an N -Koszul module. Then for any $k \geq 0$, there exist exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow (M_{kN})^* \rightarrow (M_{(k-1)N+1})^* \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^! \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (M_{2N})^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-2)N}^! \\
 \rightarrow (M_{N+1})^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-1)N-1}^! \rightarrow (M_N)^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-1)N}^! \\
 \rightarrow (M_1)^* \otimes \Lambda_{kN-1}^! \rightarrow (M_0)^* \otimes \Lambda_{kN}^! \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{2k}(M, \Lambda_0) \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow (M_{kN+1})^* \rightarrow (M_{kN})^* \otimes \Lambda_1^! \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (M_{2N})^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-2)N+1}^! \\
 \rightarrow (M_{N+1})^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-1)N}^! \rightarrow (M_N)^* \otimes \Lambda_{(k-1)N+1}^! \rightarrow (M_1)^* \otimes \Lambda_{kN}^! \\
 \rightarrow (M_0)^* \otimes \Lambda_{kN+1}^! \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{2k+1}(M, \Lambda_0) \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We illustrate the proof by looking at the cases $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, and leave the general argument to the reader.

The cases $k = 0, 1$ are clear.

Dualizing the corresponding row of (5) we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (M_N)^* \rightarrow (M_0)^* \otimes (\Lambda_N)^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes (\Lambda_{N-1})^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^2(M, \Lambda_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

With the same notation as above, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & (M_N)^* \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & 0 & \rightarrow & (M_1)^* \cdot \Lambda_{N-1}^! & \rightarrow (M_1)^* \cdot \Lambda_{N-1}^! \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & (M_0)^* \cdot (\Lambda_N)^* & \rightarrow & (M_0)^* \cdot \Lambda_1^! \cdot \Lambda_{N-1}^! & \rightarrow & (M_0)^* \cdot \Lambda_N^! \rightarrow 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 \rightarrow & (M_N)^* & \rightarrow & (M_0)^* \cdot (\Lambda_N)^* & \rightarrow & E^1(M) \cdot \Lambda_{N-1}^! & \rightarrow E^2(M) \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 & & & 0 & & 0 & 0
 \end{array}$$

such that:

- (i) All rows are exact.
- (ii) All columns but perhaps the last one are exact and this column is a complex.
- (iii) $0 \rightarrow (M_N)^* \rightarrow (M_1)^* \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^!$ is exact.

Then by symmetry, Lemma 1 applies and it follows that the last column is also exact.

Consider now the case $k = 3$. Dualizing the corresponding sequence in (5) we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\rightarrow (M_{N+1})^* \rightarrow (M_0)^* \otimes (\Lambda_{N+1})^* \\
 &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes (\Lambda_N)^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^2(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes \Lambda_1^! \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^3(M, \Lambda_0) \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain as above a commutative diagram of the type

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{5,5} \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{4,4} \rightarrow A_{4,5} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{3,3} \rightarrow A_{3,4} \rightarrow A_{3,5} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{2,2} \rightarrow A_{2,3} \rightarrow A_{2,4} \rightarrow A_{2,5} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 0 & A_{1,1} & \rightarrow & A_{1,2} & \rightarrow & A_{1,3} & \rightarrow & A_{1,4} & \rightarrow & A_{1,5} & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 &
 \end{array}$$

with the following entries:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{1,1} &= A_{5,5} = (M_{N+1})^*, & A_{4,4} &= A_{4,5} = (M_N)^* \otimes \Lambda_1^!, \\
 A_{3,3} &= (M_1)^* \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, & A_{3,4} &= (M_1)^* \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^! \otimes \Lambda_1^!, \\
 A_{3,5} &= (M_1)^* \otimes \Lambda_N^!, & A_{2,2} &= (M_0)^* \otimes (\Lambda_{N+1})^*, \\
 A_{2,3} &= (M_0)^* \otimes \Lambda_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, & A_{2,4} &= (M_0)^* \otimes \Lambda_N^! \otimes \Lambda_1^!, \\
 A_{2,5} &= (M_0)^* \otimes \Lambda_{N+1}^!, & A_{1,2} &= (M_0)^* \otimes (\Lambda_{N+1})^*, \\
 A_{1,3} &= \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, & A_{1,4} &= \text{Ext}_\Lambda^2(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes \Lambda_1^!, \\
 A_{1,5} &= \text{Ext}_\Lambda^3(M, \Lambda_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

The diagram satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) All rows are exact.
- (ii) The last column is a complex and the remaining columns are exact.
- (iii) $0 \rightarrow (M_{N+1})^* \rightarrow (M_N)^* \otimes \Lambda_1^!$ is exact.

According to Lemma 1, the first column is also exact.

For $k = 4$, dualizing the corresponding columns of (5) we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\rightarrow (M_{2N})^* \rightarrow (M_0)^* \otimes (\Lambda_{2N})^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^* \\
 &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^2(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes (\Lambda_N)^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^3(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^! \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^4(M, \Lambda_0) \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have as above a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & & & & & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{6,6} \\
 & & & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{5,5} \rightarrow A_{5,6} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{4,4} \rightarrow A_{4,5} \rightarrow A_{4,6} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{3,3} \rightarrow A_{3,4} \rightarrow A_{3,5} \rightarrow A_{3,6} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{2,2} \rightarrow A_{2,3} \rightarrow A_{2,4} \rightarrow A_{2,5} \rightarrow A_{2,6} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 \rightarrow A_{1,1} \rightarrow A_{1,2} \rightarrow A_{1,3} \rightarrow A_{1,4} \rightarrow A_{1,5} \rightarrow A_{1,6} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0
 \end{array}$$

with the following entries:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{1,1} &= A_{6,6} = (M_{2N})^*, & A_{5,5} &= A_{5,6} = (M_{N+1})^* \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^!, \\
 A_{4,4} &= (M_N)^* \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, & A_{4,5} &= (M_N)^* \otimes \Lambda_1^! \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^!, \\
 A_{4,6} &= (M_N)^* \otimes \Lambda_N^!, & A_{3,3} &= (M_1)^* \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^*, \\
 A_{3,4} &= (M_1)^* \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, & A_{3,5} &= (M_1)^* \otimes \Lambda_N^! \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^!, \\
 A_{3,6} &= (M_1)^* \otimes \Lambda_{2N-1}^!, & A_{2,2} &= (M_0)^* \otimes (\Lambda_{2N})^*, \\
 A_{2,3} &= (M_0)^* \otimes \Lambda_1^! \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^*, & A_{2,4} &= (M_0)^* \otimes \Lambda_N^! \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, \\
 A_{2,5} &= (M_0)^* \otimes \Lambda_{N+1}^! \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^!, & A_{2,6} &= (M_0)^* \otimes \Lambda_{2N}^!, \\
 A_{1,2} &= (M_0)^* \otimes (\Lambda_{2N})^*, & A_{1,3} &= \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes (\Lambda_{2N-1})^*, \\
 A_{1,4} &= \text{Ext}_\Lambda^2(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes (\Lambda_N)^*, & A_{1,5} &= \text{Ext}_\Lambda^3(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^!, \\
 A_{1,6} &= \text{Ext}_\Lambda^4(M, \Lambda_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous cases, the last column is a complex and all remaining columns are exact. All the rows are exact and the sequence $0 \rightarrow (M_{2N})^* \rightarrow (M_{N+1})^* \otimes \Lambda_{N-1}^!$ is exact. It follows as above that the last column is exact.

Now it is clear how to continue the induction. ■

The following definition was given in [11]:

DEFINITION 3. Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$ be a graded factor of a path algebra. A sequence of graded modules

$$\rightarrow M_n[-n] \xrightarrow{\delta} M_{n-1}[-(n-1)] \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta} M_k[-k] \xrightarrow{\delta}$$

is called an N -complex if N is a positive integer such that the composition of N maps δ is zero; this is written as $\delta^N = 0$.

If an N -complex is bounded above, for example if it is of the form

$$\rightarrow M_n[-n] \xrightarrow{\delta} M_{n-1}[-(n-1)] \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} M_1[-1] \xrightarrow{\delta} M_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

then it induces by composition an ordinary complex:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow M_{2N+1}[-(2N+1)] \xrightarrow{\delta} M_{2N}[-(2N)] \xrightarrow{\delta^{N-1}} M_{N+1}[-(N+1)] \\ \xrightarrow{\delta} M_N[-(N)] \xrightarrow{\delta^{N-1}} M_1[-1] \xrightarrow{\delta} M_0 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem was proved in [11]:

THEOREM 3. *Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$ be an N -homogeneous graded factor of a path algebra with homogeneous dual $\Lambda^! = KQ^{\text{op}}/\langle I_N^\perp \rangle$. Then there exists a duality between the category of locally finite graded Λ -modules, lfg_Λ , and the category of N -complexes of finitely generated projective $\Lambda^!$ -modules, ${}_N\mathcal{L}c_{\Lambda^!}$. The duality is given as follows: to a graded locally finite Λ -module $M = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ corresponds an N -complex*

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow D(M_n) \otimes \Lambda^![-n] \xrightarrow{\delta} D(M_{n-1}) \otimes \Lambda^![-(n-1)] \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \\ \xrightarrow{\delta} D(M_k) \otimes \Lambda^![-k] \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

where the maps δ are induced by the multiplication $\mu : \Lambda_1 \otimes M_n \rightarrow M_{n+1}$ and $D(M_n) = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_0}(M_n, \Lambda_0)$. ■

The main theorem of the paper can be interpreted as follows:

THEOREM 4. *Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$ be an N -Koszul algebra with $N \geq 2$ such that its homogeneous dual algebra $\Lambda^! = KQ^{\text{op}}/\langle I_N^\perp \rangle$ is also N -Koszul and that the quiver Q is connected and has no sources. Let $M = \{M_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ be an N -Koszul module. Then the corresponding N -complex*

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow D(M_n) \otimes \Lambda^![-n] \xrightarrow{\delta} D(M_{n-1}) \otimes \Lambda^![-(n-1)] \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \\ \xrightarrow{\delta} D(M_1) \otimes \Lambda^![-1] \rightarrow D(M_0) \otimes \Lambda^! \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

induces an ordinary complex (\mathcal{P}, d) of finitely generated graded projective $\Lambda^!$ -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow D(M_{N+1}) \otimes \Lambda^![-(N+1)] \xrightarrow{\delta} D(M_N) \otimes \Lambda^![-N] \\ \xrightarrow{\delta^{N-1}} D(M_1) \otimes \Lambda^![-1] \xrightarrow{\delta} D(M_0) \otimes \Lambda^! \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

with homology $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})_{\delta(k)}^j = 0$ for $j \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})_{\delta(k)}^0 = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^!}^k(M, \Lambda_0)$. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Berger, *Koszulity of nonquadratic algebras*, J. Algebra 239 (2001), 705–734.
- [2] J. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand and S. I. Gelfand, *Algebraic vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^n and problems of linear algebra*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 12 (1978), no. 3, 66–67 (in Russian).

- [3] S. I. Gelfand, *Sheaves on \mathbf{P}^n and problems of linear algebra*, appendix to: M. Okonek, M. Schneider and H. Spindler, *Vector Bundles on Complex Projective Spaces*, Mir, Moscow, 1984, 278–305 (in Russian).
- [4] S. I. Gelfand and Yu. I. Manin, *Methods of Homological Algebra*, Springer, 1996.
- [5] E. L. Green and R. Martínez-Villa, *Koszul and Yoneda algebras*, in: CMS Conf. Proc. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., 1996, 247–297.
- [6] —, —, *Koszul and Yoneda algebras II*, in: CMS Conf. Proc. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., 1998, 227–244.
- [7] E. Green, E. Marcos, R. Martínez-Villa and P. Zhang, *D-Koszul algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 193 (2004), 141–162.
- [8] B. Keller, *A-infinity algebras in representation theory*, in: Representations of Algebra, Beijing Norm. Univ. Press, Beijing, 2002, 74–86.
- [9] E. Marcos and R. Martínez-Villa, *The odd part of an N-Koszul algebra*, Comm. Algebra, to appear.
- [10] R. Martínez-Villa and M. Saorín, *Koszul equivalences and dualities*, Pacific J. Math. 214 (2004), 359–378.
- [11] —, —, *Generalized Koszul equivalences and dualities*, preprint, 2004.
- [12] Y. Yoshino, *Modules with linear resolution over a polynomial ring in two variables*, Nagoya Math. J. 113 (1989), 89–98.

Instituto de Matemáticas
UNAM, AP 61-3
58089 Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico
E-mail: mvilla@matmor.unam.mx

Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad de Murcia, Aptdo. 4021
30100 Espinardo, Murcia, Spain
E-mail: msaorinc@um.es

Received 16 December 2004;
revised 4 March 2005

(4547)