

*ORLICZ SEQUENCE SPACES THAT ARE UNIFORMLY ROTUND
IN A WEAKLY COMPACT SET OF DIRECTIONS*

BY

ZHONGRUI SHI, YUANDI WANG and GE DONG (ShangHai)

Abstract. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for Orlicz sequence spaces equipped with the Orlicz norm to be uniformly rotund in a weakly compact set of directions, using only conditions on the generating function of the space.

Let X be a Banach space and let $S(X)$ and $B(X)$ be the unit sphere and unit ball of X . Then X is said to be:

- *uniformly rotund in a weakly compact set of directions* (URWC) if $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$, and $x_n - y_n \xrightarrow{w} z$ (in the weak topology) imply that $z = 0$ (see [10]);
- *uniformly rotund in every direction* (URED) if $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$, and $x_n - y_n \rightarrow z$ (in the norm topology) imply that $z = 0$;
- *uniformly weak* rotund* (W^*UR) if $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 1$, and $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$ imply that $x_n - y_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$;
- *rotund* (R) if $\|x\| = 1$, $\|y\| = 1$, and $\|x + y\| = 2$ imply that $x = y$.

Clearly,

$$W^*UR \Rightarrow URWC \Rightarrow URED \Rightarrow R.$$

Banach spaces with these types of rotundity were studied in [2, 4, 5, 10, 11] and have been applied to fixed point theory. For Orlicz spaces with the Luxemburg norm, W^*UR is equivalent to R . But for Orlicz spaces with the Orlicz norm, W^*UR and $URED$ have rather different criteria [8, 15, 16]. All known characterizations of $URWC$ for Orlicz spaces with the Orlicz norm involve both elements of the Orlicz space and the generating function M [3, 13, 17]. Up to now, no characterization of $URWC$ by using only conditions on the generating function M has been given for Orlicz sequence spaces. As

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46B20, 46E30.

Key words and phrases: uniform rotundity in a weakly compact set of directions, Orlicz sequence space, Orlicz norm.

Research supported in part by NSFC and FSEC.

Z. R. Shi participated in the NSF Workshop in Linear Analysis and Probability, Texas A&M University.

stated in [12], “some new methods and techniques are needed to solve this kind of difficult problem”.

In this paper, we give a characterization of URWC by using only conditions on the generating function M for Orlicz sequence spaces, following the solution of this problem for Orlicz function spaces in [9]. The proof of our result is fairly complicated.

A function $M: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called an N -function if M is convex and even, $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} M(u)/u = 0$, and $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} M(u)/u = \infty$. The *complementary function* N of M in the sense of Young is defined by

$$N(v) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \{uv - M(u)\}.$$

It is known that if M is an N -function, then so also is N . Let p and q be the right-hand derivatives of M and N , respectively. Then M is said to be:

- *strictly convex* (SC) if

$$M\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) < \frac{M(u) + M(v)}{2} \quad \text{for } u \neq v;$$

- *uniformly convex* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|u - v| \geq \varepsilon \max(|u|, |v|)$, then

$$M\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) < (1 - \delta) \frac{M(u) + M(v)}{2}.$$

Moreover, M is said to satisfy the δ_2 condition for small u ($M \in \delta_2$) if for some $u_0 > 0$ there exists $K > 0$ such that $M(u_0) > 0$ and $M(2u) \leq KM(u)$ for all $u \leq u_0$.

For a real scalar sequence $x = \{x(i)\}$, let $\varrho_M(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M(x(i))$, called the *modular* of x . The *Orlicz sequence space* l_M generated by M is the Banach space

$$l_M = \{x = \{x(i)\} : \varrho_M(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda\},$$

equipped with the *Orlicz norm*

$$\|x\|_M = \sup_{\varrho_N(y) \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x(i)y(i) = \inf_{k > 0} \frac{1}{k} (1 + \varrho_M(kx)).$$

See [2, 7] for references on Orlicz spaces.

We first state several lemmas.

LEMMA 1 ([14]). *For $x \in l_M$, if $\varrho_M(p(kx)) = 1$, then*

$$\|x\|_M = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x(i)|p(k|x(i)|) = \frac{1}{k} (1 + \varrho_M(kx)).$$

LEMMA 2 ([14]). For $x \in l_M$, there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$\|x\|_M = \frac{1}{k}(1 + \varrho_M(kx)).$$

LEMMA 3 ([14]). Let

$$\|x_n\|_M = \frac{1}{k_n}(1 + \varrho_M(k_n x_n)) \leq 2 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

If $k_n \rightarrow \infty$, then $x_n(i) \rightarrow 0$ for all i .

LEMMA 4 ([14]). In a rotund Orlicz sequence space l_M , let

$$\|x_n\|_M = \frac{1}{k_n}(1 + \varrho_M(k_n x_n)) \rightarrow 1, \quad \|y_n\|_M = \frac{1}{h_n}(1 + \varrho_M(h_n y_n)) \rightarrow 1$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\{k_n\}$ and $\{h_n\}$ are bounded. If $\|x_n + y_n\|_M \rightarrow 2$, then $k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i) \rightarrow 0$ for all i .

LEMMA 5 ([14]). Let $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{k_n\}$ and $\{h_n\}$ be as in Lemma 4. If $v_n \in l_N$, $\varrho_N(v_n) \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_n(i) + y_n(i))v_n(i) \rightarrow 2$, then for all subsets I_n of positive integers, we have uniformly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I_n} (k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i))v_n(i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I_n} (M(k_n x_n(i)) - M(h_n y_n(i))).$$

LEMMA 6 ([6, 9]). For $0 < \lambda < 1$, the function

$$\phi(t) = \frac{M(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)t)}{\lambda M(u) + (1 - \lambda)M(t)}$$

is increasing in $[0, u]$.

LEMMA 7 ([9]). For given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 1)$,

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow 1} Y = 1$$

where

$$X = X_{1/2}(u) = \frac{M\left(\frac{u+(1-\varepsilon)u}{2}\right)}{\frac{M(u)+M((1-\varepsilon)u)}{2}},$$

$$Y = Y_{\alpha, \beta}(u) = \inf_{\lambda \in [\alpha, \beta]} \frac{M(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)(1 - \varepsilon)u)}{\lambda M(u) + (1 - \lambda)M((1 - \varepsilon)u)}.$$

LEMMA 8 ([9]). For $u > 0$, if

$$\frac{\frac{M(u)+M((1-\varepsilon)u)}{2}}{M\left(\frac{u+(1-\varepsilon)u}{2}\right)} \leq 1 + \eta,$$

then there exists $(1 - \varepsilon/2)u \leq t \leq u$ with

$$p\left(\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)t\right) \geq \left(1 - 2\eta \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)p(t).$$

LEMMA 9 ([14]). Let l_M be an Orlicz sequence space equipped with the Orlicz norm. Then l_M is URED if and only if

- (i) $M \in \text{SC}[0, \pi_M]$, where $\pi_M = \inf\{t : N(p(t)) \geq 1\}$;
- (ii) for $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 1)$ and for $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in (0, 1)$, there exist $u_0 > 0$, $D = D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') > 0$, and $\gamma = \gamma(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and all u, v with $\max\{|u|, |v|\} \leq u_0$, $|u - v| \geq \varepsilon \max\{|u|, |v|\}$ and $\lambda M(u) + (1 - \lambda)M(v) \leq (1 + \gamma)M(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v)$ we have

$$M(u) \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') \frac{M(\varepsilon' u)}{\varepsilon'}.$$

Moreover, $\lambda M(u) + (1 - \lambda)M((1 - \varepsilon)u) \leq (1 + \gamma)M(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)(1 - \varepsilon)u)$, so

$$M(u) \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') \frac{M(\varepsilon' u)}{\varepsilon'}.$$

Proof. First, we show that URED implies (ii). In fact, if we suppose (ii) is not true then for some $\varepsilon > 0$ (assume $\varepsilon = \min\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'\}$) there exist $u_n \searrow 0$ and $\lambda_n \in [\alpha, \beta]$ such that

$$\lambda_n M(u_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)M((1 - \varepsilon)u_n) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)M(\lambda_n u_n + (1 - \lambda_n)(1 - \varepsilon)u_n),$$

and

$$M(u_n) \geq 2^n n \frac{M(\varepsilon u_n)}{\varepsilon}.$$

By Lemma 8 there exists $(1 - \varepsilon/2)u_n \leq t_n \leq u_n$ with

$$p\left(\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)t_n\right) \geq \left(1 - 2\eta \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)p(t_n),$$

and

$$\frac{M(\varepsilon t_n)}{\varepsilon M(t_n)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, referring to the argument of §1 of Chapter 1 in [7], we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(\varepsilon t_n) - \frac{\varepsilon t_n}{u_n} M(u_n) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon t_n}{\varepsilon u_n} (M(\varepsilon u_n) - \varepsilon M(u_n)), \\ \frac{u_n}{t_n} \frac{M(t_n)}{M(u_n)} \frac{M(\varepsilon t_n)}{\varepsilon M(t_n)} - 1 &\leq \frac{M(\varepsilon u_n)}{\varepsilon M(u_n)} - 1 \rightarrow -1, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\frac{u_n}{t_n} \frac{M(t_n)}{M(u_n)} \frac{M(\varepsilon t_n)}{\varepsilon M(t_n)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Noticing that $1 \leq |u_n/t_n| \leq 1/(1 - \varepsilon/2)$ and

$$2 \geq \frac{M(t_n)}{\frac{M(u_n)}{2}} \geq \frac{M((1 - \varepsilon/2)u_n)}{\frac{M(u_n)}{2}} \geq \frac{M((1 - \varepsilon/2)u_n)}{\frac{M(u_n) + M((1 - \varepsilon)u_n)}{2}} \rightarrow 1,$$

we have $M(\varepsilon t_n)/\varepsilon M(t_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Without loss of generality, passing to a subsequence if necessary, assume that

$$M(t_n) > 2^n n \frac{M(\varepsilon t_n)}{\varepsilon}.$$

Then

$$t_n p(t_n) \geq M(t_n) > 2^n n \frac{M(\varepsilon t_n)}{\varepsilon} \geq 2^n n p\left(\frac{\varepsilon t_n}{2}\right) \frac{\varepsilon t_n}{2\varepsilon}$$

i.e.,

$$p(t_n) \geq 2^{n-1} n p(\varepsilon t_n/2).$$

From the proof of the necessity in the Theorem of [14], we can see that l_M is not URED, a contradiction.

On the other hand, by the proof of the sufficiency in the Theorem of [14], (i) and (ii) imply URED. ■

From Lemma 7, we deduce the following

REMARK 1. l_M is URED if and only if

- (i) $M \in \text{SC}[0, \pi_M]$;
- (ii) for $0 < \varepsilon, \varepsilon' < 1$ there exist $D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$ and $u_0 > 0$ and $\gamma = \gamma(\varepsilon') > 0$ so that for all $|u| \leq u_0$ with $M(u) + M((1-\varepsilon)u) \leq (1+\gamma)2M((1-\varepsilon/2)u)$, we have

$$M(u) \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') \frac{M(\varepsilon' u)}{\varepsilon'}.$$

For convenience, we will understand $D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$ to be the smallest one as in the above inequality.

LEMMA 10. Let l_M be an Orlicz sequence space equipped with the Orlicz norm. If l_M is URWC, then for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exists $D(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') \leq D(\varepsilon)$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon' < 1$, where $D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$ is defined as in (ii) of Remark 1.

Proof. Define $D(\varepsilon) = \sup_{\varepsilon' \in (0,1)} D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$. It is clear that $D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$ is decreasing with respect to ε' . Suppose that $D(\varepsilon) = \infty$. Then there exist $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$ with $D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1) < D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2) < \dots < D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_n) \nearrow \infty$. Let

$$\mathfrak{R}_n = \left\{ u > 0 : u \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{M(u) + M((1-\varepsilon)u)}{2} < 1 + \frac{1}{n}, \right. \\ \left. M(u) > D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{n-1}) \frac{M(\varepsilon_n u)}{\varepsilon_n} \right\}$$

where $D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) = 0$. We now show that for all m ,

$$\mathfrak{R}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{R}_m \neq \emptyset.$$

In fact, suppose first that $\mathfrak{R}_1 \cap \mathfrak{R}_2 = \emptyset$, i.e., $\mathfrak{R}_1^c \cup \mathfrak{R}_2^c = \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $u \leq 1/2^3$ with

$$\frac{\frac{M(u)+M((1-\varepsilon)u)}{2}}{M\left(\frac{u+(1-\varepsilon)u}{2}\right)} < 1 + \frac{1}{2},$$

we get

$$M(u) \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \frac{M(\varepsilon_1 u)}{\varepsilon_1} = 0,$$

or

$$M(u) \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1) \frac{M(\varepsilon_2 u)}{\varepsilon_2}.$$

Thus for all such u , we get

$$M(u) \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1) \frac{M(\varepsilon_2 u)}{\varepsilon_2},$$

so $D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2) \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1)$, contrary to $D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1) < D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2)$. Proceeding inductively, assume that $\mathfrak{R}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{R}_n \neq \emptyset$, and suppose that $\mathfrak{R}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{R}_{n+1} = \emptyset$, i.e., $\mathfrak{R}_1^c \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak{R}_{n+1}^c = \mathfrak{R}$. Then for all $u \leq 1/2^{n+1}$ with

$$\frac{\frac{M(u)+M((1-\varepsilon)u)}{2}}{M\left(\frac{u+(1-\varepsilon)u}{2}\right)} < 1 + \frac{1}{n}$$

at least one of the following conditions holds:

$$\begin{aligned} M(u) &\leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1) \frac{M(\varepsilon_2 u)}{\varepsilon_2}, \\ M(u) &\leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2) \frac{M(\varepsilon_3 u)}{\varepsilon_3}, \quad \dots, \\ M(u) &\leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_n) \frac{M(\varepsilon_{n+1} u)}{\varepsilon_{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

so we have at least one of the following contradictions:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2) &< D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1) \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2), \\ D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_3) &< D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2) \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_3), \quad \dots, \\ D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{n+1}) &< D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_n) \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathfrak{R}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{R}_m \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by the induction principle. Take $u_n \in \mathfrak{R}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{R}_n$. Then $u_n \leq 1/2^{n+1}$,

$$\frac{\frac{M(u_n)+M((1-\varepsilon)u_n)}{2}}{M\left(\frac{u_n+(1-\varepsilon)u_n}{2}\right)} < 1 + \frac{1}{n},$$

and

$$(1) \quad M(u_n) > D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k-1}) \frac{M(\varepsilon_k u_n)}{\varepsilon_k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

By Lemma 8, there exists $(1 - \varepsilon/2)u_n \leq t_n \leq u_n$ with

$$(2) \quad p\left(\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)t_n\right) \geq \left(1 - \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)p(t_n).$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $p(u_1) \leq 1$. Take $c > 0$ with $1/2 \geq N(p(c)) > 0$ and take a positive integer m_n so that

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^n} \leq m_n \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)t_n p\left(\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)t_n\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Define

$$d_n = \inf\{s > 0 : N(p(s)) + N(p(c)) + m_n N(p(t_n)) \geq 1\},$$

$$d_n^* = \inf\{s > 0 : N(p(s)) + N(p(c)) + m_n N(p((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n)) \geq 1\}.$$

Then $d_n \leq d_n^* \leq b$ where $N(p(b)) \geq 1$, and by (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & N\left(p\left(\frac{n}{1+n}d_n^*\right)\right) - N(p(d_n)) \\ & \leq [1 - N(p(c)) - m_n N(p((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n))] - [1 - N(p(c)) - m_n N(p(t_n))] \\ & = m_n [N(p(t_n)) - N(p((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n))] \\ & = m_n \int_{p((1-\varepsilon/2)t_n)}^{p(t_n)} q(s) ds \leq m_n [p(t_n) - p((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n)]q(p(t_n)) \\ & \leq m_n \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} p(t_n)t_n \\ & \leq \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \frac{2}{2 - \varepsilon} (1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} p((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n)m_n \\ & \leq \frac{2}{n} \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also by (i), we have $\frac{n}{1+n}d_n^* - d_n \rightarrow 0$, so $d_n^* - d_n \rightarrow 0$. Take $p_-(d_n^*) \leq \theta_n \leq p(d_n^*)$ such that

$$N(\theta_n) + N(p(c)) + m_n N(p((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n)) = 1$$

where $p_-(s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} p(s + t)$. Next define

$$k_n = 1 + M(d_n) + M(c) + m_n M(t_n),$$

$$h_n = 1 + M(d_n^*) + M(c) + m_n M((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n),$$

$$x_n = \frac{1}{k_n} (d_n, c, \dots, \overbrace{t_n, \dots, t_n}^{m_n}, 0, \dots),$$

$$y_n = \frac{1}{h_n} (d_n^*, c, \dots, \overbrace{(1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n, \dots, (1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n}^{m_n}, 0, \dots),$$

$$v_n = (\theta_n, p(c), \overbrace{p((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n), \dots, p((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n)}^{m_n}, 0, \dots).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_n(v_n) &= 1, \\ h_n \leq k_n &\leq 1 + M(c) + M(b) + t_n p(t_n m_n) \\ &\leq 1 + M(c) + M(b) + \frac{2}{2 - \varepsilon} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{2}, \\ k_n - h_n &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} t_n p((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n) m_n \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the Hölder inequality,

$$\|y_n\|_M = \langle v_n, y_n \rangle = 1.$$

From

$$\|x_n\|_M \leq \frac{1}{k_n} (1 + \varrho_M(k_n x_n)) = 1,$$

and by the uniform continuity of M on bounded closed intervals, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_n, k_n x_n \rangle &= d_n[\theta_n] + cp(c) + m_n t_n p((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n) \\ &\leq (d_n^* - d_n)p(b) + d_n^* \theta_n + cp(c) + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} m_n t_n p(t_n) \\ &\leq (d_n^* - d_n)p(b) + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} [1 + M(d_n^*) + M(c) + m_n M(t_n)] \\ &\leq (d_n^* - d_n)p(b) + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} [M(d_n^*) - M(d_n)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} [1 + M(d_n) + M(c) + m_n M(t_n)] \\ &\leq (d_n^* - d_n)p(b) + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} [M(d_n^*) - M(d_n)] + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{n} \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} k_n \\ &\rightarrow k_n, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\langle v_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow 1$, and consequently $\|x_n + y_n\|_M \rightarrow 2$. Noticing that

$$M(u_n) m_n \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \frac{M(\varepsilon u_n)}{\varepsilon} m_n \leq D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \frac{M((1 - \varepsilon/2)t_n)}{\varepsilon} m_n \leq \frac{D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon},$$

without loss of generality, we assume $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_1$. So for arbitrary $\tau > 0$, take I with $1/D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon I) < \tau \cdot 2\varepsilon/D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, and take k_0 such that for all $k \geq k_0$ with $\sup_{1 \leq i \leq I} M(\varepsilon_k u_i) m_i / \varepsilon_k < \tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{i \geq 1} \frac{M(\varepsilon_k u_i) m_i}{\varepsilon_k} \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{1 \leq i \leq I} \frac{M(\varepsilon_k u_i) m_i}{\varepsilon_k}, \sup_{I < i < k} \frac{M(\varepsilon_k u_i) m_i}{\varepsilon_k}, \sup_{i \geq k} \frac{M(\varepsilon_k u_i) m_i}{\varepsilon_k} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \max \left\{ \sup_{1 \leq i \leq I} \frac{M(\varepsilon_k u_i) m_i}{\varepsilon_k}, \sup_{I < i < k} \frac{M(\varepsilon_i u_i) m_i}{\varepsilon_i}, \sup_{i \geq k} \frac{M(u_i) m_i}{D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k-1})} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \sup_{1 \leq i \leq I} \frac{M(\varepsilon_k u_i) m_i}{\varepsilon_k}, \sup_{I < i < k} \frac{M(u_i) m_i}{D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{i-1})}, \sup_{i \geq k} \frac{M(u_i) m_i}{D(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k-1})} \right\} < \tau. \end{aligned}$$

By [1], $\{\tilde{u}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is relatively weakly compact, where $\tilde{u}_n = (0, 0, \overbrace{u_n, \dots, u_n}^{m_n}, 0, \dots)$. But, for $\chi_{e_2} = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$,

$$\langle \chi_{e_2}, x_n - y_n \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{k_n} - \frac{1}{h_n} \right) c \not\rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

a contradiction with URWC of l_M . ■

THEOREM 1. *Let l_M be an Orlicz sequence space equipped with the Orlicz norm. Then l_M is URWC if and only if*

- (i) $M \in \text{SC}[0, \pi_M]$;
- (ii) for $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 1)$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $D = D(\varepsilon)$ and $u_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < \varepsilon' < 1$, we can find $\gamma = \gamma(\varepsilon') > 0$ so that for all $\lambda \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and all u, v satisfying $\max\{|u|, |v|\} \leq u_0$, $|u - v| \geq \varepsilon \max\{|u|, |v|\}$, $\lambda M(u) + (1 - \lambda)M(v) \leq (1 + \gamma)M(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v)$, we have

$$M(u) \leq D \frac{M(\varepsilon' u)}{\varepsilon'}.$$

Proof. Necessity. Since URWC implies rotundity, we get (i) (see [2]). By Lemma 10, (ii) follows.

Sufficiency. If we suppose that l_M is not URWC, there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ satisfying $\|x_n\|_M = \frac{1}{k_n}(1 + \varrho_M(k_n x_n)) \rightarrow 1$, $\|y_n\|_M = \frac{1}{h_n}(1 + \varrho_M(h_n y_n)) \rightarrow 1$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), $\|x_n + y_n\|_M \rightarrow 2$ but $x_n - y_n \overset{x}{\rightharpoonup} z \neq 0$. If for all i , $x_n(i) \rightarrow 0$ and $y_n(i) \rightarrow 0$, set $x'_n = x_n - z_n/4$, $y'_n = x_n - 3z_n/4$. It is easy to see that $\|x'_n\|_M \rightarrow 1$, $\|y'_n\|_M \rightarrow 1$, $\|x'_n + y'_n\|_M \rightarrow 2$ and $x'_n(i) - y'_n(i) \doteq z'_n(i) = (z_n/2)(i) \rightarrow (z/2)(i) \neq 0$. Thus $z'_n = z_n/2 \overset{w}{\rightharpoonup} z/2 \neq 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Clearly $x'_n(i) \overset{w}{\rightharpoonup} 0$. So we assume that $x_n \overset{w}{\rightharpoonup} 0$ and $y_n \overset{w}{\rightharpoonup} 0$ if necessary replacing $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ by $\{x'_n\}$ and $\{y'_n\}$. By Lemma 3, $\{k_n\}$ and $\{h_n\}$ are bounded, and we may assume that $k_n \rightarrow k$, $h_n \rightarrow h$, passing to a subsequence if necessary. By Lemma 4, this implies that for all i , $k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i) \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $(k_n - h_n)x_n(i) - h_n z_n(i) \rightarrow 0$. If $k = h$, it follows that $z_n(i) \rightarrow 0$, so $z_n \overset{w^*}{\rightharpoonup} 0$, contradicting $z \neq 0$. Hence $k \neq h$; we assume that $k > h$ and $k_n > h_n$, passing to a subsequence if necessary. We can do the same in the case of $k < h$. Define $\lambda_n = h_n/(k_n + h_n) \leq 1/2$. Since $\{k_n\}$ and $\{h_n\}$ are bounded we deduce that $\lambda_n \in [\alpha, \beta]$ for some $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 1)$.

Since $z \neq 0$, take a subset $I_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, I\}$ such that

$$(3) \quad z|_{I_0} \neq 0.$$

Take an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\{z_n\}$ is weakly compact, by [1], $\{z_n\}$ is l_N -weakly compact. Take $0 < \varepsilon' < 1$ such that

$$(4) \quad \frac{\varrho_M(\varepsilon' 2kz_n)}{\varepsilon'} < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4D}.$$

By (ii), there is $\gamma > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and all u, v satisfying $\max(|u|, |v|) \geq u_0$, $|u - v| \geq \varepsilon \max(|u|, |v|)$ with $\lambda M(u) + (1 - \lambda)M(v) \leq (1 + \gamma)M(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v)$ we have

$$(5) \quad M(u) \leq D \frac{M(\varepsilon' \varepsilon u)}{\varepsilon' \varepsilon}.$$

By (3),

$$(6) \quad \varrho_M\left(\frac{hz}{k-h}\Big|_{I_0}\right) > 0.$$

For each n , we split the set \mathbb{N} into the following parts:

$$A_n = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_0 : \max(|k_n x_n(i)|, |h_n y_n(i)|) < \varepsilon\},$$

$$B_n = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_0 \setminus A_n : |k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)| < \varepsilon \max(|k_n x_n(i)|, |h_n y_n(i)|)\},$$

$$H_n = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_0 \setminus A_n \setminus B_n : (1 + \gamma)M\left(\frac{k_n h_n}{k_n + h_n}(x_n(i) + y_n(i))\right) < \frac{h_n}{k_n + h_n} M(k_n x_n(i)) + \frac{k_n}{k_n + h_n} M(h_n x_n(i)) \right\},$$

$$I_n = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_0 \setminus A_n \setminus B_n \setminus H_n : |x_n(i)| < |y_n(i)|\},$$

$$Q_n = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_0 \setminus A_n \setminus B_n \setminus H_n \setminus I_n : |z_n(i)| < \varepsilon |x_n(i)|\},$$

$$T_n = \mathbb{N} \setminus I_0 \setminus A_n \setminus B_n \setminus H_n \setminus I_n \setminus Q_n$$

$$= \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_0 : \max(|k_n x_n(i)|, |h_n y_n(i)|) \geq \varepsilon, \right.$$

$$|k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)| \geq \varepsilon \max(|k_n x_n(i)|, |h_n y_n(i)|),$$

$$(1 + \gamma)M\left(\frac{k_n h_n}{k_n + h_n}(x_n(i) + y_n(i))\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{h_n}{k_n + h_n} M(k_n x_n(i)) + \frac{k_n}{k_n + h_n} M(h_n x_n(i))$$

$$\left. |z_n(i)| \geq \varepsilon |x_n(i)| \text{ and } |x_n(i)| \geq |y_n(i)| \right\}.$$

Pick $v_n \in B(l_N)$ such that $[x_n(i) + y_n(i)]v_n(i) \geq 0$ and

$$\langle v_n, x_n + y_n \rangle \rightarrow 2.$$

Then

$$\langle v_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow 1, \quad \langle v_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

Thus

$$k - h = \lim_n (k_n - h_n) = \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)] v_n(i).$$

In the following, we estimate the sums over the above subsets.

(a) Since $k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on I_0 , for n large enough, we get

$$\sum_{i \in I_0} |(k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)) v_n(i)| < \varepsilon.$$

(b) Clearly, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\sum_{i \in A_n} |(k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)) v_n(i)| < 2\varepsilon.$$

(c) We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in B_n} |(k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)) v_n(i)| &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{i \in B_n} (|k_n x_n(i)| + |h_n y_n(i)|) |v_n(i)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon (k_n + h_n). \end{aligned}$$

(d) Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma} \left[\frac{h_n}{k_n + h_n} \varrho_M(k_n x_n |_{H_n}) + \frac{k_n}{k_n + h_n} \varrho_M(h_n y_n |_{H_n}) \right] \\ \leq 2 - \|x_n + y_n\|_M \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5, we see that for n large enough,

$$\sum_{i \in H_n} |(k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)) v_n(i)| < \varepsilon.$$

(e) Let $i \in I_n$, that is, $|x_n(i)| < |y_n(i)|$.

If $x_n(i) y_n(i) \geq 0$, as $[x_n(i) + y_n(i)] v_n(i) \geq 0$, we have $x_n(i) v_n(i) \geq 0$ and $y_n(i) v_n(i) \geq 0$, so $x_n(i) z_n(i) = x_n(i) [x_n(i) - y_n(i)] < 0$, thus $z_n(i) v_n(i) \leq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)] v_n(i) &= (k_n - h_n) x_n(i) v_n(i) + h_n [x_n(i) - y_n(i)] v_n(i) \\ &= (k_n - h_n) x_n(i) v_n(i) + h_n z_n(i) v_n(i) \\ &\leq (k_n - h_n) x_n(i) v_n(i). \end{aligned}$$

If $x_n(i) y_n(i) < 0$, from $|x_n(i)| < |y_n(i)|$, we have $y_n(i) v_n(i) \geq 0$ and $x_n(i) v_n(i) \leq 0$. Since $z_n(i) = x_n(i) - y_n(i)$, we have $z_n(i) v_n(i) \leq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)] v_n(i) &= (k_n - h_n) x_n(i) v_n(i) + h_n [x_n(i) - y_n(i)] v_n(i) \\ &= (k_n - h_n) x_n(i) v_n(i) + h_n z_n(i) v_n(i) \\ &\leq (k_n - h_n) x_n(i) v_n(i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i \in I_n} [k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)] v_n(i) \leq \sum_{i \in I_n} (k_n - h_n) x_n(i) v_n(i).$$

(f) Let $i \in Q_n$, that is, $|z_n(i)| \leq \varepsilon |x_n(i)|$. From $|y_n(i)| \leq |x_n(i)|$ and $[x_n(i) + y_n(i)] v_n(i) \geq 0$, it follows that $x_n(i) v_n(i) \geq 0$, $z_n(i) v_n(i) \geq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} [k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)] v_n(i) &= (k_n - h_n) x_n(i) v_n(i) + h_n z_n(i) v_n(i) \\ &\leq (k_n - h_n) x_n(i) v_n(i) + \varepsilon h_n x_n(i) v_n(i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i \in Q_n} [k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)] v_n(i) \leq (k_n - h_n + \varepsilon h_n) \sum_{i \in Q_n} x_n(i) v_n(i).$$

(g) Let $i \in T_n$, that is, $\max\{|k_n x_n(i)|, |h_n y_n(i)|\} \geq \varepsilon$, $|k_n x_n(i) - h_n y_n(i)| \geq \varepsilon \max\{|k_n x_n(i)|, |h_n y_n(i)|\}$,

$$\frac{\lambda_n M(k_n x_n(i)) + (1 - \lambda_n) M(h_n y_n(i))}{M(\lambda_n k_n x_n(i) + (1 - \lambda_n) h_n y_n(i))} \leq 1 + \gamma.$$

Since $\varepsilon |x_n(i)| \leq |z_n(i)|$, from (5), it follows that for $i \in T_n$,

$$M(k_n x_n(i)) \leq D \frac{M(\varepsilon' \varepsilon k_n x_n(i))}{\varepsilon' \varepsilon} \leq D \frac{M(\varepsilon' 2k z_n(i))}{\varepsilon' \varepsilon}.$$

Hence, by (4),

$$\varrho_M(k_n x_n|_{T_n}) \leq D \frac{\varrho_M(\varepsilon' 2k z_n|_{T_n})}{\varepsilon' \varepsilon} \leq \frac{D \varepsilon^2}{D \varepsilon} = \varepsilon.$$

Since $|x_n(i)| > |y_n(i)|$ and $k_n > h_n$, we know that $|k_n x_n(i)| > |h_n y_n(i)|$, so $\varrho_M(h_n y_n|_{T_n}) \leq \varepsilon$.

Noticing that

$$\frac{1}{k_n} \varrho_M(k_n x_n|_{I_0}) \geq \varrho_M(x_n|_{I_0}) \rightarrow \varrho_M\left(\frac{h}{k-h} z|_{I_0}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leftarrow \|x_n\|_M &= \frac{1}{k_n} [1 + \varrho_M(k_n x_n|_{I_0}) + \varrho_M(k_n x_n|_{\mathbb{N} \setminus I_0})] \\ &\geq \|x_n|_{\mathbb{N} \setminus I_0}\|_M + \frac{1}{k_n} \varrho_M(k_n x_n|_{I_0}) \\ &\geq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_0} |x_n(i) v_n(i)| + \varrho_M\left(\frac{h}{k-h} z|_{I_0}\right), \end{aligned}$$

we find that for n large enough,

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_0} |x_n(i) v_n(i)| \leq 1 - \varrho_M\left(\frac{h}{k-h} z|_{I_0}\right).$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{i \in I_n \cup Q_n} |x_n(i)v_n(i)| \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_0} |x_n(i)v_n(i)| \leq 1 - \varrho_M \left(\frac{h}{k-h} z |_{I_0} \right).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, (a)–(g) lead to a contradiction:

$$k - h \leq (k - h) \left[1 - \varrho_M \left(\frac{h}{k-h} z |_{I_0} \right) \right] < k - h. \blacksquare$$

By Lemma 7, we have the following

REMARK 2. l_M is URWC if and only if

- (i) $M \in \text{SC}[0, \pi_M]$;
- (ii) for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $D = D(\varepsilon)$ and $u_0 > 0$ such that for all ε' , $0 < \varepsilon' < 1$, we can find $\gamma = \gamma(\varepsilon') > 0$ so that for all $|u| \leq u_0$ with $M(u) + M((1 - \varepsilon)u) \leq (1 + \gamma)2M((1 - \varepsilon/2)u)$, we have

$$M(u) \leq D \frac{M(\varepsilon' u)}{\varepsilon'}.$$

Z. R. Shi thanks Professor B. Johnson for his invitation to the Texas A&M University. This work was completed there.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ando, *Weakly compact sets in Orlicz spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 14 (1960), 170–196.
- [2] S. T. Chen, *Geometry of Orlicz spaces*, Dissertationes Math. 356 (1996).
- [3] C. X. Hao and T. F. Wang, *Orlicz sequence spaces that are uniformly rotund in weakly compact set of directions*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 26 (1998), 127–138.
- [4] H. Hudzik and T. R. Landes, *Characteristic of convexity of Köthe function spaces*, Math. Ann. 294 (1992), 117–124.
- [5] H. Hudzik and D. Pallaschke, *On some convexity properties of Orlicz sequence spaces equipped with the Luxemburg norm*, Math. Nachr. 186 (1997), 167–185.
- [6] A. Kamińska, *The criteria for local uniform rotundity of Orlicz spaces*, Studia Math. 79 (1984), 201–215.
- [7] M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ and Y. B. Rutickiĭ, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1961.
- [8] Y. H. Li and T. F. Wang, *Weakly* uniform rotundity of Orlicz sequence spaces*, Northeast. Math. J. 14 (1998), 195–202.
- [9] Z. R. Shi, *Orlicz spaces with Orlicz norm that are uniformly rotund in the direction of weakly compact sets*, Taiwan. J. Math. 8 (2004), 343–359.
- [10] M. A. Smith, *Banach spaces that are uniformly rotund in weakly compact sets of directions*, Canad. J. Math. 23 (1977), 963–970.
- [11] —, *Some examples concerning rotundity in Banach spaces*, Math. Ann. 233 (1978), 155–161.
- [12] T. F. Wang and C. X. Hao, *Research of geometry of Orlicz spaces in Harbin*, J. Harbin Univ. Sci. Tech. 3 (1999), 1–9.

- [13] T. F. Wang and Z. R. Shi, *On Orlicz spaces with Orlicz norm that are uniformly rotund in the direction of weak compact sets*, J. Harbin Univ. Sci. Tech. 4 (1992), 77–88.
- [14] T. F. Wang, Z. R. Shi and G. H. Chen, *Orlicz sequence spaces that are uniformly rotund in every direction*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 59 (1994), 195–208.
- [15] T. F. Wang, Z. R. Shi and Y. A. Cui, *Orlicz spaces that are uniformly rotund in every direction*, Comment. Math. (Prace Mat.) 35 (1995), 245–262.
- [16] T. F. Wang, Y. R. Wu and Y. L. Zhang, *W^* -uniform rotundity in Orlicz spaces*, J. Heilongjiang Univ. 91 (1992), 10–16.
- [17] H. L. Yao, Z. R. Shi and T. F. Wang, *The uniform rotundity in the direction of weakly compact sets for Orlicz spaces*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 24 (2000), 667–677.

Department of Mathematics
ShangHai University
ShangHai 200444, P.R. China
E-mail: zshi@sh163.net

Received 19 February 2005;
revised 3 April 2006

(4687)