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#### Abstract

We give a classification of minimal homothetical hypersurfaces in an $(n+1)$-dimensional Euclidean space. In fact, when $n \geq 3$, a minimal homothetical hypersurface is a hyperplane, a quadratic cone, a cylinder on a quadratic cone or a cylinder on a helicoid.


1. Introduction. An $n$-dimensional hypersurface in Euclidean space $E^{n+1}$ is called a translation hypersurface if it is the graph of a function $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+\cdots+f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)$, where $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ are smooth functions. A hypersurface is said to be minimal if its mean curvature is zero identically. As is well known, a minimal translation surface in a 3 dimensional Euclidean space $E^{3}$ must be a plane or a Scherk surface which is the graph of the function

$$
F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{a} \ln \frac{\cos \left(a x_{1}\right)}{\cos \left(a x_{2}\right)},
$$

where $a$ is a non-zero constant. Some general results on translation hypersurfaces have been given in [1]-[5].

A hypersurface in an $(n+1)$-dimensional Euclidean space is called homothetical if it is given by

$$
X\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right),
$$

where $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)$ with smooth functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$.

In [6], I. Van de Woestyne classified 2-dimensional minimal homothetical surfaces, and proved:

Theorem 1. A 2-dimensional minimal homothetical surface in a 3dimensional Euclidean space $E^{3}$ must be a plane or a helicoid.

In the present paper, we study $n$-dimensional minimal homothetical hypersurfaces in an $(n+1)$-dimensional Euclidean space, and give their classification. On the way, when $n=2$, we give a new method to prove Theorem 1 .
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We obtain the following result:
ThEOREM 2. Let $M^{n}(n \geq 3)$ be an $n$-dimensional minimal homothetical hypersurface in an $(n+1)$-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{n+1}$. Then the hypersurface is a hyperplane, a quadratic cone, a cylinder on a quadratic cone or a cylinder on a helicoid.
2. Preliminaries. Let $M^{n}$ be a hypersurface in Euclidean space $E^{n+1}$, given by

$$
X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

So

$$
\frac{\partial X}{\partial x_{i}}=\left(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}\right), \quad \frac{\partial^{2} X}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{i}}=\left(0, \ldots, 0, \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}\right)
$$

Let $P_{i}=\partial F / \partial x_{i}$. We have

$$
g_{i j}=\left\langle\frac{\partial X}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_{j}}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{j}}
$$

so $\left(g_{i j}\right)=I+P^{T} P$, where $P=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)$. The inverse of the matrix $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ is given by

$$
\left(g^{i j}\right)=I-\frac{1}{1+|P|^{2}} P_{i} P_{j}
$$

where $|P|^{2}=P^{T} P$. Let $g=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}\right)=1+|P|^{2}$, and

$$
W:=\sqrt{g}=\sqrt{1+|P|^{2}} .
$$

We have

$$
g^{i j}=\delta_{i j}-\frac{1}{W^{2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{j}}
$$

The unit normal vector is given by

$$
\nu=\frac{1}{W}\left(-\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots,-\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}}, 1\right) .
$$

So the second fundamental form is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{i j} & =\left\langle\frac{\partial^{2} X}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}, \nu\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(0, \ldots, 0, \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right), \frac{1}{W}\left(-\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots,-\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}}, 1\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{W} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we define the mean curvature by

$$
n H=\sum_{i, j} g^{i j} b_{i j}=\sum_{i, j} g^{i j} \frac{1}{W} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}=\frac{1}{W} \sum_{i, j}\left(\delta_{i j}-\frac{1}{W^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n H=\frac{1}{W}\left(\sum_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}-\frac{1}{W^{2}} \sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call a hypersurface minimal if $H \equiv 0$.
3. Proof of Theorem 2. Before the proof, we introduce some notation to simplify the writing:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \\
F^{\prime}= & f_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots f_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \\
F_{i}= & f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots f_{i-1}\left(x_{i-1}\right) f_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) f_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \cdots f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right), \\
F_{i^{2}}= & f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots f_{i-1}\left(x_{i-1}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right) f_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \cdots f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right), \\
F(i)= & f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots f_{i-1}\left(x_{i-1}\right) f_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \cdots f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right), \\
F^{\prime}(i)= & f_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots f_{i-1}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right) f_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \cdots f_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), \\
F(i j)= & f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots f_{i-1}\left(x_{i-1}\right) f_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \\
& \cdots f_{j-1}\left(x_{j-1}\right) f_{j+1}\left(x_{j+1}\right) \cdots f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \\
F^{\prime}(i j)= & f_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots f_{i-1}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right) f_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \\
& \cdots f_{j-1}^{\prime}\left(x_{j-1}\right) f_{j+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{j+1}\right) \cdots f_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $H \equiv 0$, from (2.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{2} \sum_{i} F_{i^{2}}-\sum_{i, j} F_{i} F_{j} F_{i j}=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} F_{i^{2}}+\sum_{i, j}\left(F_{i}^{2} F_{j^{2}}-F_{i} F_{j} F_{i j}\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $\sum_{i, j}\left(F_{i}^{2} F_{j^{2}}-F_{i} F_{j} F_{i j}\right)=0$, when $i=j$, from (3.2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} F_{i^{2}}+\sum_{i \neq j}\left(F_{i}^{2} F_{j^{2}}-F_{i} F_{j} F_{i j}\right)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} F_{i^{2}}+\sum_{i \neq j} F\left(F_{i} F_{i j^{2}}-F_{i j}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{i j^{2}}=f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots f_{i-1} & \left(x_{i-1}\right) f_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) f_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \\
& \cdots f_{j-1}\left(x_{j-1}\right) f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right) f_{j+1}\left(x_{j+1}\right) \cdots f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in accordance with the notation introduced above.

When $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$ and $f_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$, from (3.4) we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} \frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{i}}+\sum_{i \neq j} F(i j)^{2}{f_{i}^{\prime 2}}^{2}\left(f_{j} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}-{f_{j}^{\prime 2}}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $T_{i j}=F^{2}(i j) f_{i}^{\prime 2}\left(f_{j} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}-{f_{j}^{\prime 2}}^{2}\right), i \neq j$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T_{i j}}{\partial x_{i}}=2 F(i j)^{2} f_{i}^{\prime} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{j} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}-f_{j}^{\prime 2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} T_{i j}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}=2 F(i j)^{2} f_{i}^{\prime} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{j} f_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}-f_{j}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{n} T_{i j}}{\partial x_{1} \ldots \partial x_{n}}=2^{n-1} F(i j) F^{\prime}(i j) f_{i}^{\prime} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{j} f_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}-f_{j}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.5) with respect to $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\partial^{n} T_{i j}}{\partial x_{1} \ldots \partial x_{n}}=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.8) and (3.9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{n-1} \sum_{i \neq j} F(i j) F^{\prime}(i j) f_{i}^{\prime} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{j} f_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}-f_{j}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $f_{i}^{\prime} \neq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, from (3.10) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{i}}\left(\frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}-\frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{j}}\right)=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n=2$, we assume that $f(x)=f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $g(y)=f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$, so that (3.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}-\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{g}\right)+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{g}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}\right)=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, from (3.12) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{g g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}=2 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\frac{f f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}=a$ and $\frac{g g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}=b$, where $a+b=2$.
If $a \neq-1$ and $b \neq-1$, we easily get

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime \prime} & =a_{1} f^{a}  \tag{3.14}\\
g^{\prime \prime} & =b_{1} g^{b} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime 2} & =\frac{2 a_{1}}{a+1} f^{a+1}+a_{2}  \tag{3.16}\\
g^{\prime 2} & =\frac{2 b_{1}}{b+1} g^{b+1}+b_{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ are constants. Substituting the four equations above into (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} f^{a-1}\left(b_{2} \frac{a-3}{a+1} f^{2}+1\right)+b_{1} g^{b-1}\left(a_{2} \frac{b-3}{b+1} g^{2}+1\right)-2 a_{2} b_{2}=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.18) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1} f^{a-1}\left(b_{2} \frac{a-3}{a+1} f^{2}+1\right) & =K=\mathrm{const} \\
b_{1} g^{b-1}\left(a_{2} \frac{b-3}{b+1} g^{2}+1\right) & =T=\mathrm{const}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K+T=2 a_{2} b_{2}$. Hence $a_{1}=b_{1}=a_{2} b_{2}=0$, which implies

$$
f^{\prime \prime}=g^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

When $a=-1$ or $b=-1$, taking $a=-1$ and $b=3$ for example, from (3.14)-(3.17) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{a_{1}}{f}, \quad f^{\prime 2}=2 a_{1} \ln f+2 a_{2} \\
& g^{\prime \prime}=b_{1} g^{3}, \quad g^{\prime 2}=\frac{b_{1} g^{4}}{2}+2 b_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ are constants. Substituting the four equations above into (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1} f g^{3}+\frac{a_{1} b_{1} f g^{5}}{2}-8 a_{1} b_{2} f g \ln f+\frac{a_{1} g}{f}-8 a_{2} b_{2} f g+2 a_{1} b_{2} f g=0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $f \neq$ const and $g \neq$ const, from (3.19) we obtain

$$
\left(b_{1} g^{2}+\frac{a_{1} b_{1}}{2} g^{4}\right)+\left(\frac{a_{1}}{f}-8 a_{1} b_{2} \ln f\right)-8 a_{2} b_{2}+2 a_{1} b_{2}=0
$$

which means that $a_{1}=b_{1}=a_{2} b_{2}+a_{1} b_{2}=0$, i.e. $f^{\prime \prime}=g^{\prime \prime}=0$. This contradicts the assumption that $f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Therefore $f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime}=0$.
 $f=0, f^{\prime}=0, g=0$ or $g^{\prime}=0$, and then $f$ and $g$ must be polynomials of degree no more than one.

When only one of $f^{\prime \prime}$ and $g^{\prime \prime}$ is zero, without loss of generality, we set $f^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Then we have $f(x)=a x+b$, where $a$ and $b$ are constant. Thus from (3.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(g^{\prime \prime}-2 a^{2} g g^{2}+a^{2} g^{2} g^{\prime \prime}\right)=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, from (3.20) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 g g^{2}}{g^{\prime \prime}}-g^{2}=\frac{1}{a^{2}}=\text { const. } \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{1}{a} \tan \left(\frac{c}{a} y+\frac{d}{a}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ and $d$ are constants. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
When $n \geq 3$, without loss of generality we assume that $f_{i}^{\prime \prime} / f_{i} \neq$ const, $i=1, \ldots, r$, while $f_{i}^{\prime \prime} / f_{i}=\eta_{i}=$ const, $i=r+1, \ldots, n$, and we set $\eta=$ $\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} \eta_{k}$.

CASE 1: $r=0$. In this case, from $f_{i}^{\prime \prime} / f_{i}=\eta_{i}, i=r+1, \ldots, n$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime 2}=\eta_{i} f_{i}^{2}+\eta_{i}^{\prime} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{i}^{\prime}$ is a constant. Then from (3.5), (3.23), we have

$$
\sum_{i} \eta_{i}+\sum_{i \neq j} F^{2}(i j)\left(\eta_{i} f_{i}^{2}+\eta_{i}^{\prime}\right)\left(-\eta_{j}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{2} \sum_{i \neq j}\left(\eta_{i}+\frac{\eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}\right) \frac{\eta_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{2}}=\sum_{i} \eta_{i} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F \neq 0$, from (3.24) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \neq j}\left(\eta_{i}+\frac{\eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}\right) \frac{\eta_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{2}}=\frac{\sum_{i} \eta_{i}}{F^{2}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $k=1, \ldots, n$, from (3.25) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\eta_{k}^{\prime}}{f_{k}^{2}} \sum_{i \neq k}\left(\eta_{i}+\frac{2 \eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}\right)+\Omega\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i} \eta_{i}}{F^{2}} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\Omega$. Differentiating (3.26) with respect to $x_{k}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \eta_{k}^{\prime} f_{k}^{\prime}}{f_{k}^{3}} \sum_{i \neq k}\left(\eta_{i}+\frac{2 \eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}\right)=\frac{2 f_{k}^{\prime} \sum_{i} \eta_{i}}{F^{2} f_{k}} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{i}^{\prime} \neq 0$, from (3.27) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{k}^{\prime} \sum_{i \neq k}\left(\eta_{i}+\frac{2 \eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}\right)=\frac{f_{k}^{2}}{F^{2}} \sum_{i} \eta_{i} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields

$$
\eta_{k}^{\prime} \sum_{i \neq k}\left[\left(F(k)_{x_{i}}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\eta_{i}^{\prime}[F(i k)]^{2}\right]=\sum_{i} \eta_{i}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{k}^{\prime} \sum_{i \neq k}\left(f_{i}^{\prime 2}+\eta_{i}^{\prime}\right) F(i k)^{2}=\sum_{i} \eta_{i} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for $n \geq 3, F(i k)$ is not a constant, from (3.23) we see that ${f_{i}^{\prime 2}}^{2}+\eta_{i}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Noting that $F(i k)$ and $f_{i}^{\prime 2}+\eta_{i}^{\prime}$ are functions of different independent variables, we see that

$$
\sum_{i \neq k}\left(f_{i}^{\prime 2}+\eta_{i}^{\prime}\right) F(i k)^{2} \neq \text { const. }
$$

Hence

$$
\eta_{k}^{\prime}=\sum_{i} \eta_{i}=0
$$

Thus $f_{i}^{\prime 2}=\eta_{i} f_{i}^{2}, i=1, \ldots, n, \eta_{i} \neq 0$. Further, from $f_{i}^{\prime \prime} / f_{i}=\eta_{i}$ we get $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i} e^{\sqrt{\eta_{i}} x_{i}}+a_{i}^{\prime} e^{-\sqrt{\eta_{i}} x_{i}}$ when $\eta_{i}>0$, and $f_{i}=b_{i} \cos \sqrt{-\eta_{i}} x_{i}+$ $b_{i}^{\prime} \sin \sqrt{-\eta_{i}} x_{i}$ when $\eta_{i}<0$, where $a_{i}, a_{i}^{\prime}, b_{i}$ and $b_{i}^{\prime}$ are constants.

Noting that $f_{i}^{\prime 2}=\eta_{i} f_{i}^{2}$, we have $a_{i} a_{i}^{\prime}=0$, which means $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i} e^{\sqrt{\eta_{i}} x_{i}}$ or $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i}^{\prime} e^{-\sqrt{\eta_{i}} x_{i}}$ when $\eta_{i}>0$; and $b_{i}^{2}+b_{i}^{\prime 2}=0$, which means $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \equiv 0$ when $\eta_{i}<0$.

By the assumption that $F \neq 0$, we see that $\eta_{i}>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, while $\sum_{i} \eta_{i}=0$, which is impossible. Thus $r \neq 0$.

CASE 2: $3 \leq r \leq n$. In this case, from (3.11) we infer that for $i=1, \ldots, r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{i}} \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}+\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{i}^{\prime}}-\frac{2 f_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{i}}\right) \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{j}}+\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\phi$. Differentiating this with respect to $x_{i}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}}{\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{j}}}=-\frac{\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{i}^{\prime}}-\frac{2 f_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{i}}\right)_{x_{i}}^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{i}}\right)_{x_{i}}^{\prime}}=\lambda_{i} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}=$ const. Then for $j \neq i$, from (3.31) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}-\lambda_{i} \frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{j}}=a_{i j}=\mathrm{const} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} a_{i j}=0 \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.32) we see that for all $i \neq k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}\right) \frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{j}}=a_{k j}-a_{i j} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}=0$ and $a_{k j}-a_{i j}=0$. Therefore, we assume

$$
\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{r}=\lambda \quad \text { and } \quad a_{k j}=a_{i j}=a_{j}
$$

Noting $f_{j}^{\prime \prime} / f_{j}=\eta_{j}, j=r+1, \ldots, n$, for $i=1, \ldots, r$ from (3.33) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=r+1}^{n}(\lambda-1) \eta_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k}-a_{i}=0 \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $a_{1}=\cdots=a_{r}$, where $a_{i}=f_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime} / f_{j}^{\prime}-\lambda f_{j}^{\prime \prime} / f_{j}$.
On the other hand, from (3.31) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{i}^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{2 f_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{i}}\right)_{x_{i}}^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{i}}\right)_{x_{i}}^{\prime}}=\lambda \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.36) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}=(2-\lambda) \frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{j}}+b_{j} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{j}$ is a constant.
Comparing (3.32) with (3.37), we get $\lambda=1$ and $a_{i}=b_{i}$ immediately. Thus (3.35) becomes

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k}-a_{i}=0
$$

This yields $a_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, r$, and so $f_{i}^{\prime \prime} / f_{i}=\eta_{i}=$ const, $i=1, \ldots, n$. This is a contradiction.

Case 3: $r=2$. In this case, (3.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}+\eta\right)\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{2}^{\prime}}-\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}+\eta\right)\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{1}^{\prime}}-\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}\right)=0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta=\sum_{k=3}^{n} \eta_{k}$. Hence

$$
\frac{\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{2}^{\prime}}-\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}}{\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}+\eta}=-\frac{\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{1}^{\prime}}-\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}}{\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}+\eta}=K=\mathrm{const}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{2}^{\prime}}=(K+1) \frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}+\eta K  \tag{3.39}\\
& \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{1}^{\prime}}=(1-K) \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}-\eta K \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

As mentioned above, for $i=3, \ldots, n, f_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\eta_{i} f$ and $f_{i}^{\prime 2}=\eta_{i} f_{i}^{2}+\eta_{i}^{\prime}$, and
from (3.11) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}+\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}+f_{1}^{2} f_{2}^{2} & \sum_{i \neq j}^{n} G(i j) f_{i}^{\prime 2}\left(f_{j} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}-f_{j}^{\prime 2}\right)  \tag{3.41}\\
& +\left(f_{1}^{\prime 2} f_{2}^{2}+f_{1}^{2} f_{2}^{\prime 2}\right) \sum_{i=3}^{n} G(j)^{2}\left(f_{j} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}-f_{j}^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& +\left[f_{1}^{2}\left(f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)+f_{2}^{2}\left(f_{1} f_{1}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} G(i)^{2} f_{i}^{\prime 2} \\
& +\left[f_{1}^{\prime 2}\left(f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)+f_{2}^{\prime 2}\left(f_{1} f_{1}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)\right] G=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $G=f_{3} \ldots f_{n}$ and

$$
G(i)=f_{3} \ldots f_{i-1} f_{i+1} \ldots f_{n}, \quad G(i j)=f_{3} \ldots f_{i-1} f_{i+1} \ldots f_{j-1} f_{j+1} \ldots f_{n}
$$

Differentiating (3.41) with respect to $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ and substituting $f_{i}^{\prime \prime} / f_{i}=\eta_{i}$, (3.23), (3.39) and (3.40) into (3.11), after simplifying we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2-K) \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}} \sum_{i=3}^{n} \frac{\eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}+(2+K) \frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}} \sum_{i=3}^{n} \frac{\eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}+2 \sum_{i, j=3, i \neq j}^{n}\left(\eta_{i}+\frac{\eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}\right) \frac{\eta_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{2}}=0 \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating with respect to $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, we get respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2-K)\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}\right)_{x_{1}}^{\prime} \sum_{i=3}^{n} \frac{\eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}=0 \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2+K)\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{3}}\right)_{x_{1}}^{\prime} \sum_{i=3}^{n} \frac{\eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}=0 \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2+K=2-K=0$ is impossible, we see that $\eta_{i}^{\prime}=0, i=3, \ldots, n$. Then (3.11) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta+\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}+\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}+G^{2}(\eta & {\left[f_{1}^{2}\left(f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)+f_{2}^{2}\left(f_{1} f_{1}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)\right] }  \tag{3.45}\\
& \left.+\left[f_{1}^{\prime 2}\left(f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)+f_{2}^{\prime 2}\left(f_{1} f_{1}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)\right]\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\eta+f_{1}^{\prime \prime} / f_{1}+f_{2}^{\prime \prime} / f_{2} \neq 0$, we see that $G^{2}=$ const, which means that $f_{i}^{\prime}=0$ for $i \geq 3$, contrary to assumption. So we get $r \neq 2$.

Case 4: $r=1$. In this case, (3.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{1}^{\prime}}-\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}\right)=0 \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means $\eta=\sum_{i=2}^{n} \eta_{i}=0$. Substituting (3.23) into (3.11) and using
$f_{i}^{\prime \prime} / f_{i}=\eta_{i}$, after simplifying we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}^{3}}+\bar{G}^{2} \sum_{i, j=2, i \neq j}^{n}\left(\eta_{i}+\frac{\eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}\right) \frac{\left(-\eta_{j}^{\prime}\right)}{f_{j}^{2}}+\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}-2 \frac{f_{1}^{\prime 2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\right) \bar{G}^{2} \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{\eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}=0 \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{G}=f_{2} \ldots f_{n}$. Because $f_{1}^{\prime \prime} / f_{1} \neq$ const, differentiating (3.47) with respect to $x_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}^{3}}\right)_{x_{1}}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}-2 \frac{f_{1}^{\prime 2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\right)_{x_{1}}^{\prime} \bar{G}^{2} \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{\eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}=0 \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\eta_{i}^{\prime} / f_{i}^{2}=0$, we have $\eta_{i}^{\prime}=0(i \geq 2)$ and $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0$, which means $f_{1}^{\prime \prime} / f_{1}=0$ $=$ const. This is a contradiction.

If $\left(f_{i}^{\prime \prime} / f_{i}^{3}\right)_{x_{i}}^{\prime}=0$ and $\left(f_{1}^{\prime \prime} / f_{1}-2 f_{1}^{\prime 2} / f_{1}^{2}\right)_{x_{i}}^{\prime}=0$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}^{3}}=K_{1}=\text { const },  \tag{3.49}\\
& \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}-2 \frac{f_{1}^{\prime 2}}{f_{1}^{2}}=K_{2}=\text { const. } \tag{3.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.49) into (3.50), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1} f_{1}^{4}-2 f_{1}^{2}=K_{2} f_{1}^{2} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating with respect to $x_{1}$, from (3.51) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 K_{2} f_{1}^{2}=0 \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means $K_{2} \equiv 0$. Then (3.47) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}^{2} \sum_{i, j=2, i \neq j}^{n}\left(\eta_{i}+\frac{\eta_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{2}}\right) \frac{\eta_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{2}}=K_{1} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to the passage from (3.24) to (3.29) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{k}^{\prime} \sum_{i \neq k} G(i k)^{2}\left(f_{i}^{\prime 2}+\eta_{i}^{\prime}\right)=K_{1} \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n \geq 4$, similarly to (3.29) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \neq k} G(i k)^{2}\left(f_{i}^{\prime 2}+\eta_{i}^{\prime}\right) \neq \text { const } \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means $\eta_{k}^{\prime}=K_{1}=0$. Thus we have $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $f_{1}^{\prime \prime} / f_{1}=0=$ const, which is a contradiction.

When $n=3$, (3.54) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{2}^{\prime}\left(f_{3}^{\prime 2}+\eta_{3}^{\prime}\right)=K_{1}  \tag{3.56}\\
& \eta_{3}^{\prime}\left(f_{2}^{\prime 2}+\eta_{2}^{\prime}\right)=K_{1} \tag{3.57}
\end{align*}
$$

which means that $f_{3}^{\prime}=\sqrt{\eta_{3}^{\prime}}=$ const and $f_{2}^{\prime}=\sqrt{\eta_{2}^{\prime}}=$ const. Then from (3.50), (3.51) and $K_{2}=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)= \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_{1} / 2} x_{1}+C_{1}} \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a constant. From (3.56) and (3.57) we see that $\eta_{2}=\eta_{3}=0$ and $\eta_{2}^{\prime} \eta_{3}^{\prime}=K_{1} / 2$, and further

$$
f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\eta_{2}^{\prime}} x_{2}+C_{2}, \quad f_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)=\sqrt{\eta_{3}^{\prime}} x_{3}+C_{3}
$$

where $C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ are constants. This shows that the hypersurface is a quadratic cone.

From the proof above, we see that among the $f_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$, no more than three are non-zero, while others satisfy $f_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ so that $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$ $=$ const. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2 .
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