

*FINITE-DIMENSIONAL MAPS AND DENDRITES WITH  
DENSE SETS OF END POINTS*

BY

HISAO KATO and EIICHI MATSUHASHI (Ibaraki)

**Abstract.** The first author has recently proved that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $k$ -dimensional map between compacta and  $Y$  is  $p$ -dimensional ( $0 \leq k, p < \infty$ ), then for each  $0 \leq i \leq p + k$ , the set of maps  $g$  in the space  $C(X, I^{p+2k+1-i})$  such that the diagonal product  $f \times g : X \rightarrow Y \times I^{p+2k+1-i}$  is an  $(i+1)$ -to-1 map is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, I^{p+2k+1-i})$ . In this paper, we prove that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is as above and  $D_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ) are superdendrites, then the set of maps  $h$  in  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i})$  such that  $f \times h : X \rightarrow Y \times (\prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i})$  is  $(i+1)$ -to-1 is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i})$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq p$ .

**1. Introduction.** In this paper, all spaces are separable metric spaces and maps are continuous. We denote the unit interval by  $I$ . A compact metric space is called a *compactum*, and *continuum* means a connected compactum. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compacta. Then  $C(X, Y)$  denotes the set of all continuous maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  endowed with the sup metric. A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called  *$\sigma$ -closed* if there exists a family  $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of closed subsets in  $X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty F_i$  and  $f|_{F_i} : F_i \rightarrow f(F_i)$  is a closed map for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called  *$k$ -dimensional* if  $\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq k$  for each  $y \in Y$ , and  *$k$ -to-1* if  $|f^{-1}(y)| \leq k$  for each  $y \in Y$ . In [3] and [4], Pasynkov proved that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $k$ -dimensional map from a compactum  $X$  to a finite-dimensional compactum  $Y$ , then there is a map  $g : X \rightarrow I^k$  such that  $\dim(f \times g) = 0$ . Also, he proved that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $k$ -dimensional map of compacta and  $\dim Y = p < \infty$ , then the set of maps  $g$  in the space  $C(X, I^{p+2k+1})$  such that the diagonal product  $f \times g : X \rightarrow Y \times I^{p+2k+1}$  is an embedding is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, I^{p+2k+1})$ . Furthermore, in [2] the first author proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1 ([2]).** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $k$ -dimensional map of compacta and  $\dim Y = p < \infty$ , then for each  $0 \leq i \leq p + k$ , the set of maps  $g$  in the space  $C(X, I^{p+2k+1-i})$  such that  $f \times g : X \rightarrow Y \times I^{p+2k+1-i}$  is*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54F45, 54B20; Secondary 55M10, 54F15, 54C65.

*Key words and phrases*: dimension, finite-dimensional map, finite-to-one map, embedding, superdendrite,  $F_\sigma$ -set, dense  $G_\delta$ -set, map parallel to a superdendrite.

$(i + 1)$ -to-1 is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, I^{p+2k+1-i})$ . Hence the restriction  $g|f^{-1}(y) : f^{-1}(y) \rightarrow I^{p+2k+1-i}$  is  $(i + 1)$ -to-1 for each  $y \in Y$ .

A locally connected continuum  $D$  is called a *dendrite* if it contains no circle. A dendrite  $D$  is called a *superdendrite* [5] if the set of all end points of  $D$  is dense in  $D$ . The main aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $k$ -dimensional map of compacta and  $\dim Y = p < \infty$ , and let  $D_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ) be superdendrites. Then the set of maps  $h$  in the space  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i})$  such that  $f \times h : X \rightarrow Y \times (\prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i})$  is  $(i+1)$ -to-1 is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i})$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq p$ . Hence  $h|f^{-1}(y) : f^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i}$  is  $(i + 1)$ -to-1 for each  $y \in Y$ .*

This is a generalization of the following theorem of Bowers [1] (cf. [5]) : If  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional compactum and  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  are superdendrites, then the set  $\{h \in C(X, \prod_{j=1}^n D_j \times I) \mid h \text{ is an embedding}\}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^n D_j \times I)$ . As a corollary, we have a representation theorem for finite-dimensional maps using superdendrites (see Theorem 15).

**2. Main theorem.** First we set up some notation and terminology (cf. [5] and [6]). Let  $X, Y$  be compacta and let  $A \subset X$  be a closed subset. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a map, we set

$$S_f = \{x \in X \mid f^{-1}f(x) = \{x\}\}, \quad R_{(X,A,Y)} = \{f \in C(X, Y) \mid A \subset S_f\}.$$

A set  $S \subset X$  is said to be *residual* if  $S$  contains a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$ . A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a  $(k, \varepsilon)$ -map ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ) if for each  $y \in Y$ , there are subsets  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  of  $f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$  and  $\text{diam } A_i < \varepsilon$ . The main aim of this section is to prove Theorem 2. To do this we need the following results.

**THEOREM 3** ([6]). *Let  $X, Y$  be spaces with  $\dim Y < \infty$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -closed  $k$ -dimensional map. Then there exists a 0-dimensional  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $A \subset X$  such that  $f|(X \setminus A)$  is  $(k - 1)$ -dimensional.*

**THEOREM 4** ([5]). *Let  $X$  be a compactum and let  $A \subset X$  be a 0-dimensional  $F_\sigma$ -subset. Then for each superdendrite  $D$ ,  $R_{(X,A,D)} = \{f \in C(X, D) \mid A \subset S_f\}$  is residual in  $C(X, D)$ .*

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be compacta and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. Then  $\{g \in C(X, Z) \mid f|(X \setminus S_g) \text{ is } k\text{-dimensional}\}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $C(X, Z)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $a, b > 0$  and  $g \in C(X, Z)$ , let

$$F(g, a) = \{x \in X \mid \text{diam}(g^{-1}g(x)) \geq a\},$$

$$U(a, b) = \{g \in C(X, Z) \mid d_{k+1}(F(g, a) \cap f^{-1}(y)) < b \text{ for each } y \in Y\},$$

where  $d_{n+1}(F) < b$  if there exists an open cover of  $F$  with mesh  $< b$  and order  $\leq n$ .

CLAIM.  $U(a, b)$  is an open subset in  $C(X, Y)$ .

*Proof of claim.* Assume that  $U(a, b)$  is not open in  $C(X, Y)$ . Then there exist  $g \in U(a, b)$  and  $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset C(X, Y) \setminus U(a, b)$  such that  $g_i \rightarrow g$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , there exists  $y_i \in Y$  such that  $d_{k+1}(f^{-1}(y_i) \cap F(g_i, a)) \geq b$ . We may assume  $y = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_i$ . Since  $g \in U(a, b)$ , there exists a family  $\mathcal{U}$  of open subsets in  $X$  such that  $\text{ord} \mathcal{U} \leq k$ ,  $\text{mesh} \mathcal{U} < b$  and  $f^{-1}(y) \cap F(g, a) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $d_{k+1}(f^{-1}(y_i) \cap F(g_i, a)) \geq b$ , there exist  $x_i \in X$  such that  $\text{diam}(g_i^{-1}g_i(x_i)) \geq a$  and  $f^{-1}(y_i) \cap g_i^{-1}g_i(x_i) \not\subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . In fact, we may choose  $x_i \in (f^{-1}(y_i) \cap g_i^{-1}g_i(x_i)) \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ . We may assume  $x = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i$ . Since  $\text{diam} g^{-1}g(x) \geq a$ , we have  $f^{-1}(y) \cap g^{-1}g(x) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ . So  $f^{-1}(y_i) \cap g_i^{-1}g_i(x_i) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}$  for infinitely many  $i$ . This is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the claim.

It is easy to see that  $\{g \in C(X, Z) \mid f|(X \setminus S_g) \text{ is } k\text{-dimensional}\} = \bigcap_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}} U(1/m, 1/n)$ . This completes the proof.

The next result is due to Pasynkov. For completeness, we will give the proof.

PROPOSITION 6 ([3]). *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be compacta and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. Then  $\{g \in C(X, Z) \mid f \times g \text{ is } k\text{-dimensional}\}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $C(X, Z)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to observe that

$$\{g \in C(X, Z) \mid d_{k+1}((f \times g)^{-1}(y, z)) < b \text{ for each } y \in Y \text{ and } z \in Z\}$$

is an open subset of  $C(X, Z)$ . The argument is similar to that in the proof of the preceding proposition. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 7. *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $k$ -dimensional map of compacta with  $\dim Y = p < \infty$ , and let  $D_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ) be superdendrites. Then the set of maps  $g$  in the space  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j)$  such that  $f|(X \setminus S_g)$  is 0-dimensional is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j)$ . In particular, the set of maps  $g$  in the space  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j)$  such that the diagonal product  $f \times g : X \rightarrow Y \times \prod_{j=1}^k D_j$  is 0-dimensional is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G}(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j) = \{g \in C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j) \mid f|(X \setminus S_g) \text{ is } 0\text{-dimensional}\}$ . By Propositions 5 and 6, it is sufficient to show that

$\mathcal{G}(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j)$  is a dense subset of  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j)$ . Let  $r = r_1 \times \dots \times r_k \in C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j)$ . We will find a map  $g = g_1 \times \dots \times g_k \in \mathcal{G}(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j)$  arbitrarily close to  $r$ . By Theorem 3, there exists a 0-dimensional  $F_\sigma$ -set  $A_1 \subset X$  such that  $f|(X \setminus A_1) : X \setminus A_1 \rightarrow Y$  is  $(k-1)$ -dimensional. By Theorem 4,  $R_{(X, A_1, D_1)}$  is residual in  $C(X, D_1)$ . So we can take a map  $g_1 \in R_{(X, A_1, D_1)}$  arbitrarily close to  $r_1$ . Note that  $A_1 \subset S_{g_1}$ . Let  $B_1 = X \setminus S_{g_1}$ . Then  $B_1$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset in  $X$  because  $S_{g_1}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $X$ . Since  $f|B_1 : B_1 \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\sigma$ -closed  $(k-1)$ -dimensional map, by Theorem 3 there exists a 0-dimensional  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $A_2 \subset B_1$  such that  $f|(B_1 \setminus A_2) : B_1 \setminus A_2 \rightarrow Y$  is  $(k-2)$ -dimensional. By Theorem 4, we can take a map  $g_2 \in R_{(X, A_2, D_2)}$  arbitrarily close to  $r_2$ . Note that  $A_2 \subset S_{g_2}$ . Let  $B_2 = B_1 \setminus S_{g_2}$ . Note that  $B_2 = X \setminus (S_{g_1} \cup S_{g_2})$ . By induction, for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , we can find a map  $g_i : X \rightarrow D_i$ , an  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $B_i \subset X$  and a 0-dimensional  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $A_i \subset B_{i-1}$  such that

- (1)  $r_i$  and  $g_i$  are arbitrarily close to each other,
- (2)  $g_i \in R_{(X, A_i, D_i)}$ ,
- (3)  $f|(B_{i-1} \setminus A_i) : B_{i-1} \setminus A_i \rightarrow Y$  is  $(k-i)$ -dimensional,
- (4)  $B_i = B_{i-1} \setminus S_{g_i}$ .

Note that  $B_k = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k S_{g_i}$ . Then  $r$  and  $g = g_1 \times \dots \times g_k$  are arbitrarily close to each other and  $f|B_k : B_k \rightarrow Y$  is 0-dimensional. Note that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k S_{g_i} \subset S_g$ . So  $g$  is the required map. This completes the proof.

Perhaps the next proposition is known. For completeness, we will give the proof.

**PROPOSITION 8.** *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be compacta and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. Then for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , the set  $H = \{h \in C(X, Z) \mid f \times h \text{ is } k\text{-to-1}\}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $C(X, Y)$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $H_n = \{h \in C(X, Z) \mid f \times h \text{ is a } (k, 1/n)\text{-map}\}$ . It is easy to see that  $H_n$  is an open subset in  $C(X, Z)$  and  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ . This completes the proof.

Now we prove Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$  and

$$v = (r_1 \times \dots \times r_k) \times (u_1 \times \dots \times u_{p+1-i}) \in C\left(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i}\right).$$

Let  $r = r_1 \times \dots \times r_k$ . By Proposition 8, it is sufficient to show that there exists a map  $h : X \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i}$  arbitrarily close to  $v$  and such that  $f \times h : X \rightarrow Y \times (\prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i})$  is  $(i+1)$ -to-1. By Theorem 7, there exists  $g : X \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^k D_j$  arbitrarily close to  $r$  and such that  $f|(X \setminus S_g)$  is 0-dimensional. Let  $X \setminus S_g = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} F_l$ , where  $F_l$  is closed in  $X$  and  $F_l \subset F_{l+1}$

for  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} S_l(X, I^{p+1-i}) \\ = \{s \in C(X, I^{p+1-i}) \mid (f \times s)|_{F_l} : F_l \rightarrow Y \times I^{p+1-i} \text{ is } (i+1)\text{-to-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $f|_{F_l} : F_l \rightarrow Y$  is 0-dimensional, by Theorem 1 the set  $\{s \in C(F_l, I^{p+1-i}) \mid f|_{F_l} \times s : F_l \rightarrow Y \times I^{p+1-i} \text{ is } (i+1)\text{-to-1}\}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $C(F_l, I^{p+1-i})$  for  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ . So it is easy to see that  $S_l(X, I^{p+1-i})$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $C(X, I^{p+1-i})$  for  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ . By Baire's theorem  $\bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} S_l(X, I^{p+1-i})$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $C(X, I^{p+1-i})$ . So we can select  $s \in \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} S_l(X, I^{p+1-i})$  arbitrarily close to  $u = u_1 \times \dots \times u_{p+1-i}$ . Let  $h = g \times s : X \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i}$ . Then  $h$  is as required. This completes the proof.

**3. Finite-dimensional maps and compositions of maps parallel to the unit interval and superdendrites.** Now we consider an application of Theorem 2. A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *embedded in a map*  $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  (see [3], [4]) if there exist embeddings  $g : X \rightarrow X_0$  and  $h : Y \rightarrow Y_0$  such that  $h \circ f = f_0 \circ g$ . A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *parallel* to the space  $Z$  (see [3], [4]) if  $f$  can be embedded in the natural projection  $p : Y \times Z \rightarrow Y$ . In [3], [4], Pasynkov proved the following theorem: If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has  $\dim f = k$  and  $\dim Y < \infty$ , then  $f$  can be represented as the composition  $f = h_k \circ \dots \circ h_1 \circ g$  of a zero-dimensional map  $g$  and maps  $h_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) parallel to the unit interval  $I$ . Furthermore the first author proved the following [2]: A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of compacta with  $\dim Y = p < \infty$  is  $k$ -dimensional if and only if  $f$  can be represented as the composition  $f = g_{p+2k+1} \circ \dots \circ g_{p+k+1} \circ g_{p+k} \circ \dots \circ g_1$  of maps parallel to the unit interval  $I$  such that  $g_i$  is  $(i+1)$ -to-1 for each  $i = 1, \dots, p+k$  and  $g_{p+k+1}$  is zero-dimensional. In this section we prove another representation theorem for finite-dimensional maps using superdendrites.

LEMMA 9 ([6]). *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a map of compacta with  $\dim f = 0$  and  $\dim Y = p < \infty$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , let  $K_i$  and  $L_i$  be closed disjoint subsets of  $X$ . Then there are open subsets  $E_i$  of  $X$  separating  $X$  between  $K_i$  and  $L_i$  such that  $f|_{(\text{Cl}(E_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{Cl}(E_l))}$  is a  $(p, \varepsilon)$ -map.*

The next three results are essentially contained in [2]. For completeness, we give their proofs.

PROPOSITION 10 ([2]). *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be compacta and  $0 \leq k < \infty$ . Let  $T$  be the set of maps  $g = u \times v : X \rightarrow Y \times Z$  in  $C(X, Y \times Z)$  such that  $\dim v(u^{-1}(y)) \leq k$  for each  $y \in Y$ . Then  $T$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, Y \times Z)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $T_\varepsilon$  be the set of maps  $g = u \times v : X \rightarrow Y \times Z$  in  $C(X, Y \times Z)$  such that for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $v(u^{-1}(y))$  is covered by a family

$\mathcal{U}$  of open sets of  $Z$  such that  $\text{mesh } \mathcal{U} < \varepsilon$  and  $\text{ord } \mathcal{U} \leq k$ . Then  $T_\varepsilon$  is open in  $C(X, Y \times Z)$ . Note that  $T = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{1/n}$ .

LEMMA 11 ([2], cf. [7], [9]). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a 0-dimensional map from a compactum  $X$  to a  $p$ -dimensional compactum  $Y$  ( $p < \infty$ ). Let  $T$  be the set of all maps  $u : X \rightarrow I$  such that  $\dim u(f^{-1}(y)) = 0$  for each  $y \in Y$ . Then  $T$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, I)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W_b$  be the set of maps  $u : X \rightarrow I$  such that for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $u(f^{-1}(y))$  is covered by disjoint open sets of diameters less than  $b$ . By an argument similar to that in the proof of Proposition 5,  $W_b$  is an open subset of  $C(X, I)$ . Since  $T = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{1/n}$ , it suffices to prove that  $W_b$  is dense in  $C(X, I)$ . Let  $h \in C(X, I)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $A \subset X$  and  $\text{diam } A < \delta$ , then  $\text{diam } h(A) < \min\{2\varepsilon, b/(2p)\} = \varepsilon'$ . Choose a finite family  $\{(U_n, V_n) \mid n = 1, \dots, m\}$ , where  $U_n$  and  $V_n$  are open subsets of  $X$  such that  $\{U_n \mid n = 1, \dots, m\}$  is a cover of  $X$  with  $\text{Cl}(U_n) \subset V_n$  and  $\text{diam } V_n < \delta$  for all  $n = 1, \dots, m$ . By Lemma 9, there are open subsets  $E_n$  separating  $X$  between  $\text{Cl}(U_n)$  and  $X \setminus V_n$  such that  $f|(\text{Cl}(E_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{Cl}(E_m))$  is a  $(p, \delta)$ -map. Note that  $X \setminus (E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_m) = \bigcup_{n=1}^m D_n$ , where  $D_n$  ( $n = 1, \dots, m$ ) are disjoint closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\text{diam } D_n < \delta$ . There are points  $x_n \in I$  such that  $h(D_n) \subset (x_n - \varepsilon'/2, x_n + \varepsilon'/2)$ . The function that maps each  $D_n$  to  $x_n$  has a continuous extension  $u : X \rightarrow I$  whose supremum distance to  $h$  is less than  $\varepsilon'/2 \leq \varepsilon$ . Let  $y \in Y$ . Since  $f|(\text{Cl}(E_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{Cl}(E_m))$  is a  $(p, \delta)$ -map, there are closed subsets  $A_1, \dots, A_p$  of  $f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \cap (\text{Cl}(E_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{Cl}(E_m)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$  and  $\text{diam } A_i < \delta$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . Note that  $\text{diam } u(A_i) < 2\varepsilon'$  for each  $i$ , and  $u(D_n) = \{x_n\}$  for each  $n$ . We can see that for each component  $C$  of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^p u(A_i)$ , we have  $\text{diam } C < 2p\varepsilon' \leq b$  and

$$u(f^{-1}(y)) \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^p u(A_i).$$

Hence, each component of  $u(f^{-1}(y))$  has a neighbourhood that is closed and open in  $u(f^{-1}(y))$  and has diameter less than  $b$ . It follows that  $u \in W_b$ , which completes the proof.

LEMMA 12 ([2]). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $g : X \rightarrow Z$  and  $u : X \rightarrow I$  be maps of compacta such that  $\dim Z = k$  and  $\dim u((f \times g)^{-1}(y, z)) = 0$  for each  $y \in Y$  and  $z \in Z$ . Then  $\dim(g \times u)(f^{-1}(y)) \leq k$  for each  $y \in Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in Y$ . Consider the natural projection  $p : Y \times Z \times I \rightarrow Y \times Z$ . Then  $p|(f \times g \times u)(X) : (f \times g \times u)(X) \rightarrow (f \times g)(X)$  is a 0-dimensional map, because for  $(y, z) \in (f \times g)(X) \subset Y \times Z$ ,

$$p^{-1}(y, z) \cap (f \times g \times u)(X) = \{(y, z)\} \times u((f \times g)^{-1}(y, z)).$$

Also, note that  $(f \times g \times u)(f^{-1}(y)) = \{y\} \times (g \times u)(f^{-1}(y))$ , and hence the set

$$p(\{y\} \times (g \times u)(f^{-1}(y))) = (f \times g)(f^{-1}(y)) = \{y\} \times g(f^{-1}(y)) \subset \{y\} \times Z$$

is at most  $k$ -dimensional. Since

$$p\{\{y\} \times (g \times u)(f^{-1}(y)) : \{y\} \times (g \times u)(f^{-1}(y)) \rightarrow \{y\} \times g(f^{-1}(y))\}$$

is a zero-dimensional map, by a theorem of Hurewicz we conclude that  $\dim(g \times u)(f^{-1}(y)) \leq k$ .

By Theorem 7, Propositions 6 and 10, and Lemmas 11 and 12, we obtain the next result.

**PROPOSITION 13** ([2], cf. [7], [9]). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $k$ -dimensional map of compacta and  $\dim Y = p < \infty$ . Let  $T$  be the set of all maps  $h = g \times u : X \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I$  in  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I)$  such that  $\dim h(f^{-1}(y)) \leq k$ ,  $\dim u((f \times g)^{-1}(y, t)) = 0$  for all  $y \in Y$  and  $t \in \prod_{j=1}^k D_j$ , and  $\dim(f \times g) = 0$ . Then  $T$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I)$ .*

**PROPOSITION 14.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $k$ -dimensional map of compacta and  $\dim Y = p < \infty$ . For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p+1$ , let  $p_i : \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1} \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i}$  be the natural projection, where  $p_0 : \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1} \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1}$  is the identity. Let  $\tilde{E}(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1})$  be the set of maps  $g$  in  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1})$  such that*

- (1) *for each  $0 \leq i \leq p$ ,  $f \times (p_i \circ g) : X \rightarrow Y \times \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i}$  is an  $(i+1)$ -to-1 map,*
- (2) *the map  $h = p_p \circ g = g' \times u : X \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I$  satisfies  $\dim h(f^{-1}(y)) \leq k$ ,  $\dim u((f \times g')^{-1}(y, t)) = 0$  for all  $y \in Y$  and  $t \in \prod_{j=1}^k D_j$ , and  $\dim(f \times g') = 0$ .*

*Then  $\tilde{E}(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1})$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1})$ .*

*Proof.* Note that if  $q : A \rightarrow B$  is an open map and  $C$  is a dense subset of  $B$ , then  $q^{-1}(C)$  is dense in  $A$ . The natural projection  $p_i : \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1} \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i}$  induces the open map  $P_i : C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1}) \rightarrow C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i})$  defined by  $P_i(h) = p_i \circ h$  for  $h \in C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1})$ . For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$ , let  $E_i$  be the set of  $g \in C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i})$  such that  $f \times g : X \rightarrow Y \times \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i}$  is  $(i+1)$ -to-1. Also, let  $T$  be the subset of  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I)$  as in Proposition 13. By Theorem 2 and Proposition 13,

$$\tilde{E}\left(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1}\right) = \bigcap_{i=0}^p P_i^{-1}(E_i) \cap P_p^{-1}(T)$$

is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1})$ . This completes the proof.

Now, we have the following representation theorem for finite-dimensional maps.

**THEOREM 15.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map of compacta such that  $0 \leq k < \infty$  and  $\dim Y = p < \infty$ . Then  $f$  is  $k$ -dimensional if and only if  $f$  can be represented as the composition*

$$f = g_{p+k+1} \circ \cdots \circ g_{p+1} \circ g_p \circ \cdots \circ g_1$$

such that

- (1)  $g_i$  is an  $(i+1)$ -to-1 map for each  $i = 1, \dots, p$  and  $g_{p+1}$  is a zero-dimensional map,
- (2)  $g_i$  is parallel to  $I$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p+1$ ,
- (3)  $g_i$  is parallel to a superdendrite for  $i = p+2, \dots, p+k+1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{E}(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1})$  be as in Proposition 14. Choose  $g \in \tilde{E}(X, \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1})$ . Let

$$Z_i = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^k D_j \times I^{p+1-i} & \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, p+1, \\ \prod_{j=1}^{p+k+1-i} D_j & \text{for } i = p+2, \dots, p+k. \end{cases}$$

For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p+k$ , let  $p_i : Z_0 \rightarrow Z_i$  be the natural projection. For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p+k$ , put  $X_i = (f \times (p_i \circ g))(X)$  and put  $X_{p+k+1} = Y$ . Let  $g_1 = q_1 \circ (f \times g)$  and for  $i = 2, \dots, p+k+1$ , let  $g_i = q_i|_{X_{i-1}} : X_{i-1} \rightarrow X_i$ , where  $q_i : Y \times Z_{i-1} \rightarrow Y \times Z_i$  is the natural projection for  $i = 1, \dots, p+k$  and  $q_{p+k+1} : Y \times D_1 \rightarrow Y$  is the natural projection. By Proposition 14, we see that  $g_{p+1}$  is a 0-dimensional map. Hence the maps  $g_i$  are as desired. This completes the proof.

**REMARK.** After the paper [2] had been submitted for publication, the paper of Tuncali and Valov [8] was published. They obtained a more general result in the class of all metrizable spaces.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the paper and helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. L. Bowers, *General position properties satisfied by finite products of dendrites*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 228 (1985), 739–753.
- [2] H. Kato, *Geometry of finite-dimensional maps and finite-to-one maps*, preprint.

- [3] B. A. Pasynkov, *On dimension and geometry of mappings*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 221 (1975), 543–546 (in Russian).
- [4] —, *On the geometry of continuous mappings of finite-dimensional metrizable compacta*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 212 (1996), 138–162.
- [5] Y. Sternfeld, *Mapping in dendrites and dimension*, Houston J. Math. 19 (1993), 483–497.
- [6] H. Toruńczyk, *Finite-to-one restrictions of continuous functions*, Fund. Math. 75 (1985), 237–249.
- [7] H. M. Tuncali and V. Valov, *On finite-dimensional maps II*, Topology Appl. 132 (2003), 81–87.
- [8] —, —, *On regularly branched maps*, *ibid.* 150 (2005), 213–221.
- [9] V. V. Uspenskij, *A remark on a question of R. Pol concerning light maps*, *ibid.* 103 (2000), 291–293.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Ibaraki, 305-8571 Japan  
E-mail: hisakato@sakura.cc.tsukuba.ac.jp  
aichi@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

*Received 6 June 2005;*  
*revised 26 December 2005*

(4609)