

*PRODUCTS OF DISJOINT BLOCKS OF CONSECUTIVE
INTEGERS WHICH ARE POWERS*

BY

MARIUSZ SKAŁBA (Warszawa)

Abstract. The product of consecutive integers cannot be a power (after Erdős and Selfridge), but products of disjoint blocks of consecutive integers can be powers. Even if the blocks have a fixed length $l \geq 4$ there are many solutions. We give the bound for the smallest solution and an estimate for the number of solutions below x .

Our starting point is the celebrated theorem of Erdős and Selfridge stating that the product of consecutive integers is never a power ([3], [4]).

On the other hand, the product of disjoint blocks of consecutive integers can be a power ([4]). Let us first consider the case of three consecutive integers. Let $f(x) = x^3 - x$, fix $k \geq 2$ and consider the diophantine equation

$$(1) \quad \prod_{i=1}^k f(x_i) = y^2.$$

We look for solutions in natural numbers x_1, \dots, x_k, y which satisfy

$$(2) \quad 2 \leq x_1 < \dots < x_k, \quad x_{j+1} \geq x_j + 3.$$

For $k = 2$, K. R. S. Sastry takes

$$x_1 = n, \quad x_2 = 2n - 1,$$

where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the equation

$$(n + 1)(2n - 1) = m^2$$

of the Pellian type with infinitely many solutions ([4]). For $k = 3$ one can take

$$x_1 = F_{2u-1}, \quad x_2 = F_{2u+1}, \quad x_3 = F_{2u}^2, \quad u \geq 2,$$

where F_u is the u th term of Fibonacci sequence.

Since each $k \geq 2$ is of the form $3a + 2b$ with nonnegative a, b and we can combine solutions we obtain

THEOREM 1. *For any fixed $k \geq 2$ the equation (1) has infinitely many natural solutions satisfying (2).*

From now on, we will consider a more general problem. Let $l \geq 2$ be a fixed natural number and

$$(3) \quad f(x) = (x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+l).$$

Moreover let $q \geq 2$ be a fixed prime and consider the equation

$$(4) \quad \prod_{i=1}^k f(x_i) = y^q.$$

We are interested in nonnegative integral solutions which satisfy

$$(5) \quad x_1 < \cdots < x_k, \quad x_{j+1} \geq x_j + l.$$

Erdős and Graham [2, p. 67] suggest that if $l \geq 4$, $q = 2$, then (4) has only finitely many solutions satisfying (5) (cf. [4, Problem D.17]).

If we allow k to vary, the above suggestion is not true, because we have

THEOREM 2. (a) *There exist nonnegative integers x_1, \dots, x_k, y satisfying (4), (5) and*

$$x_k + l < e^{cql},$$

where

$$c = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\pi(n) \log n}{n} = \frac{30 \log 113}{113} < 1.25506.$$

(b) *Let $N(x)$ denote the number of solutions of (4) satisfying (5) and $x_k + l \leq x$. Then*

$$N(x) \gg 2^{\frac{x}{l}(1+o(1))} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. (a) Let $G = \mathbb{Q}_+ / \mathbb{Q}_+^q$ and $G(x) = \langle \bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_{\pi(x)} \rangle$, where \bar{m} denotes the image of $m \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ in G , $x \geq 1$. Obviously $G(x) \simeq C_q^{\pi(x)}$, where C_q stands for a cyclic group of order q . Now define

$$(6) \quad g_j = \overline{f((j-1)l)} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, [x/l].$$

The elements g_j belong to $G(x)$. We recall the definition of the Davenport constant of a finite Abelian group H ([1]). It is the smallest natural number $D(H)$ such that for any sequence g_1, \dots, g_k of k elements of H with $k \geq D(H)$ one can choose a subsequence g_{j_1}, \dots, g_{j_u} with

$$g_{j_1} \cdots g_{j_u} = 1.$$

It can be proved ([6], [5]) that

$$(7) \quad D(C_q^t) = (q-1)t + 1.$$

By the above definition, in order to prove part (a) it is sufficient to show that

$$(8) \quad \left[\frac{x}{l} \right] \geq (q-1)\pi(x) + 1$$

for $x = e^{cql}$. For $x \geq 2$, we have $\pi(x) \geq 1$, hence (8) will follow from

$$\frac{x}{l} \geq q \cdot \frac{cx}{\log x},$$

which is equality for $x = e^{cql}$.

(b) We apply the following theorem of J. E. Olson [6]:

For each sequence (g_1, \dots, g_k) , $g_i \in H$, let $N(g_1, \dots, g_k)$ be the number of solutions (e_1, \dots, e_k) , $e_i = 0$ or 1, of the equation

$$g_1^{e_1} \cdot \dots \cdot g_k^{e_k} = 1.$$

Let $N(H, k)$ be the minimum value of $N(g_1, \dots, g_k)$. Then

$$N(H, k) = \max(1, 2^{k+1-D(H)}).$$

Our result follows now immediately:

$$N(x) \gg 2^{\frac{x}{l} - (q-1)\frac{x}{\log x}} \gg 2^{\frac{x}{l}(1+o(1))} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

REMARK. The numerical value of c is taken from [7].

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Prof. J. Browkin for very stimulating examples of nontrivial solutions of (4) for $l \geq 4$. I thank also Prof. A. Schinzel for his kind interest, remarks improving presentation and bibliographical hints.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Davenport, in: Proc. Midwestern Conf. on Group Theory, Ohio State Univ., 1966.
- [2] P. Erdős and R. L. Graham, *Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory*, Monograph. Enseign. Math. 28, Genève, 1980.
- [3] P. Erdős and J. L. Selfridge, *The product of consecutive integers is never a power*, Illinois J. Math. 19 (1975), 292–301.
- [4] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*, Springer, 1994.
- [5] W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, PWN, Warszawa, 1990.
- [6] J. E. Olson, *A combinatorial problem on finite Abelian groups, I, II*, J. Number Theory 1 (1969), 8–10, 195–199.
- [7] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some function of prime numbers*, Illinois J. Math. 6 (1962), 64–89.

Department of Mathematics, Computer Science and Mechanics
 University of Warsaw
 Banacha 2
 02-097 Warszawa, Poland
 E-mail: skalba@mimuw.edu.pl