## Lusin sequences under CH and under Martin's Axiom

by

Uri Abraham (Beer-Sheva) and Saharon Shelah (Jerusalem)

**Abstract.** Assuming the continuum hypothesis there is an inseparable sequence of length  $\omega_1$  that contains no Lusin subsequence, while if Martin's Axiom and  $\neg$ CH are assumed then every inseparable sequence (of length  $\omega_1$ ) is a union of countably many Lusin subsequences.

**1. Introduction.** We first fix some notations and definitions. The set of natural numbers is denoted by  $\omega$ , and for  $A, B \subseteq \omega$  we write  $A \subseteq^* B$  iff  $A \setminus B$  is finite, and  $A \perp B$  iff  $A \cap B$  is finite (almost inclusion, almost disjointness). Let  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_{\zeta} \mid \zeta \in \omega_1 \rangle$  be a sequence of pairwise almost disjoint, infinite subsets of  $\omega$ . So  $A_{\zeta} \subset \omega$  and  $A_{\zeta_1} \perp A_{\zeta_2}$  for  $\zeta_1 \neq \zeta_2$ . We say that  $B \subseteq \omega$  separates  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\{\xi \in \omega_1 \mid A_{\xi} \subseteq^* B\}$  and  $\{\xi \in \omega_1 \mid A_{\xi} \subseteq^* \omega \setminus B\}$  are both uncountable. If no B separates  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *inseparable*. That is,  $\mathcal{A}$  is inseparable if it is an almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of  $\omega$  such that there is no  $B \subset \omega$  for which

$$(\exists^{\aleph_1} A \in \mathcal{A})(A \subseteq^* B) \& (\exists^{\aleph_1} A \in \mathcal{A})(A \subseteq^* \omega \setminus B).$$

An inseparable family of size  $\aleph_1$  can be constructed in ZFC alone (Lusin [1], cited by [2]). We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *Lusin* sequence if for every  $i < \omega_1$  and  $n \in \omega$ ,

$$\{j < i \mid A_i \cap A_j \subseteq n\}$$
 is finite.

A seemingly stronger property is the following. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Lusin<sup>\*</sup> family if for every  $i < \omega_1$  and  $n \in \omega$ ,

$$\{j < i \mid |A_i \cap A_j| < n\}$$

is finite.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E05, 03E50, 03E35.

Key words and phrases: Lusin, Martin's Axiom, continuum hypothesis.

The work was carried out at the meeting in Lyon in 1996.

The second author would like to thank the Israel Science Foundation, founded by the Israel Academy of Science and Humanities. Publication # 537.

It is not difficult to prove that every Lusin sequence is inseparable, and Lusin constructed a Lusin sequence in ZFC. Is this the only way to build inseparable families? The answer depends on set-theoretical assumptions as the following two results show (obtained by the first and second author respectively).

THEOREM 1.1. (1) CH implies that there is an inseparable family which contains no Lusin subsequence. (2) "Martin's  $Axiom + \neg CH$ " implies that every inseparable sequence is a countable union of Lusin\* sequences.

## 2. Proofs

**2.1.** CH gives an inseparable non-Lusin sequence. Assume the continuum hypothesis (CH) throughout this subsection. We shall define a sequence  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$  of almost disjoint subsets of  $\omega$  which is inseparable by virtue of the following property **P**.

For every infinite  $X \subseteq \omega$  one of the following three possibilities holds:

- **P1** X is finitely covered by  $\mathcal{A}$  (which means that for some finite set  $u \subset \omega_1$ ,  $X \subseteq^* \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in u\}$ ).
- **P2**  $\omega \setminus X$  is finitely covered by  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- **P3** For some  $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$  for all  $\alpha_0 \le \alpha < \omega_1$ , X splits  $A_\alpha$  (which means that both  $X \cap A_\alpha$  and  $A_\alpha \setminus X$  are infinite).

It is quite obvious that if  $\mathcal{A}$  has this property then it is inseparable, and so we describe the construction, assuming CH, of a sequence that has property  $\mathbf{P}$ , but does not contain any Lusin subsequence.

Let  $\langle X_{\xi} \mid \xi \in \omega_1 \rangle$  be an enumeration of all infinite subsets of  $\omega$ , and let  $\langle e_i \mid i \in \omega_1 \rangle$  be an enumeration of all countable subsets of  $\omega_1$  of order-type a limit ordinal. The sequence  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$  is defined by induction on  $\alpha$ . First  $\langle A_i \mid i \in \omega \rangle$  are defined as some almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of  $\omega$ . Each  $A_{\alpha}$ , for  $\alpha \geq \omega$ , is required to satisfy the following three conditions.

- **C1**  $A_{\alpha} \subseteq \omega$  is infinite and  $A_{\beta} \perp A_{\alpha}$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ .
- C2 For every  $\xi < \alpha$  one of the following possibilities holds:
  - **p1**  $X_{\xi}$  is finitely covered by  $\langle A_{\beta} \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle$ , or
  - **p2**  $\omega \setminus X_{\xi}$  is finitely covered by  $\langle A_{\beta} | \beta < \alpha \rangle$ , or else
  - **p3**  $X_{\xi}$  splits  $A_{\alpha}$  (i.e. both  $X_{\xi} \cap A_{\alpha}$  and  $A_{\alpha} \setminus X_{\xi}$  are infinite).
- **C3** For every  $i < \alpha$  such that  $e_i \subseteq \alpha$  there are two possibilities:
  - 1. For some  $m \in \omega$ ,  $A_{\alpha} \cap A_{\xi} \subseteq m+1$  for an infinite number of indices  $\xi \in e_i$ . (This is the "good" possibility.)

2. For some  $m \in A_{\alpha}$  there is  $\xi_0 < \sup(e_i)$  such that for every  $\xi$  with  $\xi_0 < \xi \in e_i$ ,

 $\min(A_{\xi} \setminus m+1) < \min(A_{\alpha} \setminus m+1).$ 

Or, equivalently, if n is the first member of  $A_{\alpha}$  above m then  $A_{\xi} \cap (m, n) \neq \emptyset$ .

If we succeed then **C1** and **C2** clearly imply that  $\mathcal{A}$  is pairwise almost disjoint and inseparable. We are going to show that **C3** implies that  $\mathcal{A}$  contains no Lusin subsequences. Suppose that  $L = \langle A_i \mid i \in I \rangle$  is a subsequence of  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $I \subseteq \omega_1$  is uncountable. We want to find some  $\alpha \in I$  and  $m \in \omega$ for which  $\{\xi \in I \cap \alpha \mid A_\alpha \cap A_\xi \subseteq m\}$  is infinite.

Consider the structure on  $\omega \cup I$  with predicates for  $\omega$ , I,  $\in$ , and the binary relation  $m \in A_i$  (for  $m \in \omega$ ,  $i \in I$ ). Let  $e \subseteq I$  be the universe of a countable elementary substructure. Then  $e = e_i$  for some  $i \in \omega_1$ . Let  $\alpha \in I$ be any ordinal such that  $\alpha > i$  and  $\alpha > \sup(e)$ . We want to prove that possibility **C3**(1) holds for  $\alpha$ . This shows that L is not a Lusin sequence. Suppose instead that **C3**(2) holds. Then there are  $m \in A_\alpha$  and  $\xi_0 < \sup(e)$ as in **C3**(2). Namely, if n is the first member of  $A_\alpha$  above m then

(1) 
$$A_{\xi} \cap (m, n) \neq \emptyset$$

for every  $\xi > \xi_0$  in *e*. However, since  $e_i$  is an elementary substructure, we actually have (1) for every  $\xi_0 < \xi$  in *I*. But this is clearly impossible for  $\xi = \alpha$  itself!

Having shown the usefulness of the three conditions C1–C3, we now return to the inductive construction. At the  $\alpha$ th stage of this construction, to construct  $A_{\alpha}$ , it is convenient to define a poset  $P = (P, \leq)$  and a countable collection of dense subsets of P, and then to define a filter  $G \subseteq P$  such that G intersects each of the dense sets in the countable collection. With this we shall define  $A_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{a \mid (\exists E)((a, E) \in G)\}$ , and  $A_{\alpha}$  will satisfy all three conditions because of the choice of the dense sets. In this fashion one does not have to over-specify the construction.

A condition  $p = (a, E) \in P$  consists of:

1. A finite set  $a \subseteq \omega$  (which will grow to become  $A_{\alpha}$ ).

2. A finite set  $E \subseteq \alpha$  (p promises that  $A_{\beta} \cap A_{\alpha} = A_{\beta} \cap a$  for all  $\beta \in E$ ).

Following the tradition that  $p_1 \leq p_2$  means that  $p_2$  gives more information than  $p_1$ , the partial order on P is defined by

$$(a_1, E_1) \ge (a_0, E_0)$$
 iff  $E_0 \subseteq E_1$  and  $a_1$  is an end-extension of  $a_0$   
such that, for every  $\xi \in E_0$ ,  $(a_1 \setminus a_0) \cap A_{\xi} = \emptyset$ 

We say that an end-extension  $a_1$  of  $a_0$  respects E (where  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  is finite) if  $(a_1 \setminus a_0) \cap A_\beta = \emptyset$  for every  $\beta \in E$ . So  $(a_1, E_1)$  extends  $(a_0, E_0)$  if and only if  $E_0 \subseteq E_1$  and  $a_1$  is an end-extension of  $a_0$  that respects  $E_0$ .

Now we shall define a countable collection of dense subsets of P. First, to ensure that  $A_{\alpha}$  is infinite, for every  $k \in \omega$  and  $p \in P$  observe that there is an extension (a', E') of p with  $k < \sup(a')$ . Then to ensure that  $A_{\alpha} \perp A_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$  observe that  $(a, E \cup \{\beta\})$  extends (a, E). These dense sets take care of **C1**.

For every  $X \subseteq \omega$  such that neither X nor  $\omega \setminus X$  are finitely covered by  $\langle A_{\beta} \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle$ , and for every  $k \in \omega$ , define  $D_{X,k} \subset P$  by

 $D_{X,k} = \{(a, E) \in P \mid \text{both } a \cap X \text{ and } a \setminus X \text{ contain } \ge k \text{ members}\}.$ 

CLAIM 2.1.  $D_{X,k}$  is dense (open) in P.

*Proof.* Take any  $(a_0, E_0) \in P$ . Since neither X nor its complement are  $\subseteq^*$ -included in  $A = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in E_0\}$ , both  $X \setminus A$  and  $(\omega \setminus X) \setminus A$  are infinite. We can find an end-extension  $a_1$  of  $a_0$  such that  $(a_1 \setminus a_0) \cap A = \emptyset$  and both  $a_1 \cap X$  and  $a_1 \setminus X$  contain  $\geq k$  members. Thus  $(a_0, E_0) < (a_1, E_0) \in D_{X,k}$ .

So add to the countable list of dense sets all sets  $D_{X_{\xi},k}$  for  $k \in \omega$  and  $\xi < \alpha$  such that neither  $X_{\xi}$  nor  $\omega \setminus X_{\xi}$  are finitely covered by  $\langle A_{\beta} | \beta < \alpha \rangle$ . This ensures **C2**.

The main issue of the proof is to take care of **C3**. What dense sets will do the job? Fix  $e = e_i$  for any  $i < \alpha$  such that  $e \subseteq \alpha$ . We say that a condition  $p = (a, E) \in P$  is of type (a) for e if for some  $m \in a$  the following holds:

(2) For every end-extension a' of a that respects E and for every  $\xi_0 \in e$  there is some  $\xi \in e, \xi_0 \leq \xi$ , such that  $A_{\xi} \cap a' \subseteq m + 1$ .

If p is of type (a) then the least  $m \in a$  that satisfies (2) is denoted by  $m_p$ . Observe that if p is of type (a) then any extension of p is also of type (a) (and with the same m).

We say that  $p = (a, E) \in P$  is of type (b) for e if there are two adjacent members of a, m and n (i.e.  $m, n \in a$  and  $(m, n) \cap a = \emptyset$ ), such that for some  $\xi_0 \in e$  for every  $\xi_0 \leq \xi \in e$ ,  $A_{\xi} \cap (m, n) \neq \emptyset$ .

CLAIM 2.2. Any condition in P has an extension of type (a) or an extension of type (b).

*Proof.* Given p = (a, E) let  $m = \max(a)$ . Is p of type (a) by virtue of m? If yes, we are done, and if not then there are

1. a' an end-extension of a, respecting E, and

2.  $\xi_0 \in e$ ,

such that for every  $\xi \in e$  with  $\xi_0 \leq \xi$ ,  $A_{\xi} \cap a' \setminus m + 1 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $n > \max a'$  be such that  $n \notin \bigcup \{A_{\beta} \mid \beta \in E\}$  and consider the condition  $p' = (a \cup \{n\}, E)$ extending p. Then for every  $\xi_0 \leq \xi \in e$ ,  $A_{\xi} \cap (m, n) \neq \emptyset$ . That is, p' is of type (b).  $\blacksquare$ 

100

For every  $\xi_0 \in e$  define  $D_{\xi_0,e}$  by  $p = (a, E) \in D_{\xi_0,e}$  iff either p is of type (b), or p is of type (a) and there exists some  $\xi \in e \cap E$  above  $\xi_0$  with  $A_{\xi} \cap a \subseteq m+1$  (where  $m = m_p$ ).

CLAIM 2.3.  $D_{\xi_0,e}$  is dense in P.

*Proof.* Suppose  $p_0 \in P$  is given. If  $p_0$  is extendible into a condition of type (b) then we are done. Otherwise there is  $p_1 = (a_1, E_1) \ge p_0$  of type (a). By the definition of type (a), there is some  $\xi \in e$  with  $\xi_0 \le \xi$  such that  $A_{\xi} \cap a_1 \subseteq m+1$ . Hence  $(a_1, E_1 \cup \{\xi\}) \in D_{\xi_0, e}$  is as required.

Add to the countable list of dense sets all sets  $D_{\xi_0,e}$  where  $e = e_i$  for some  $i < \alpha$  such that  $e_i \subseteq \alpha$  and  $\xi_0 \in e_i$ . We claim that if  $A_\alpha$  is defined from a filter G that intersects all the above dense sets, then condition **C3** is ensured. Given  $i < \alpha$  such that  $e_i = e \subseteq \alpha$ , we ask if there is  $(a, E) \in G$ of type (b) for e. If yes, then possibility **C3**(2) holds for  $A_\alpha$ .

So we assume that G contains no condition of type (b) for e. Since any two conditions in G are compatible, it follows that if  $p, q \in G$  are of type (a), then  $m_p = m_q$ . Let m denote this common value. We claim that there is an unbounded set of  $\xi \in e$  such that  $A_{\xi} \cap A_{\alpha} \subseteq m + 1$ . To see this, consider any  $\xi_0 \in e$  and pick  $p = (a, E) \in D_{\xi_0, e} \cap G$ . Then p is of type (a) and there is  $\xi \in E \cap e$  above  $\xi_0$  with  $A_{\xi} \cap a \subseteq m + 1$ . But then  $A_{\xi} \cap A_{\alpha} \subseteq m + 1$  follows.

**2.2.** Martin's Axiom: Inseparable  $\Rightarrow$  contains a Lusin<sup>\*</sup> subsequence. Assume Martin's Axiom  $+ 2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_{\zeta} | \zeta \in \omega_1 \rangle$  be an inseparable sequence of length  $\omega_1$  (any length below the continuum works). Define the following poset:

$$Q = \{(u, n) \mid u \subseteq \omega_1 \text{ is finite and } n < \omega\}$$

ordered by

$$\begin{aligned} (u_1,n_1) \leq (u_2,n_2) \quad \text{iff} \quad u_1 \subseteq u_2 \ \& \ n_1 \leq n_2 \ \& \\ (\forall i \in u_1) (\forall j \in u_2 \setminus u_1) (j < i \Rightarrow |A_i \cap A_j| > n_1). \end{aligned}$$

This relation is easily shown to be transitive. We intend to prove that Q is a c.c.c. poset, and that for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $k < \omega$  the set  $D_{\alpha,k}$  of (u, n)in Q for which  $\sup(u) > \alpha$  and n > k is dense. So if  $G \subset Q$  is a filter provided by Martin's Axiom which intersects each of these dense sets, then  $U = \bigcup \{u \mid (\exists n)((u, n) \in G)\}$  is uncountable and  $\langle A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in U \rangle$  is a Lusin<sup>\*</sup> sequence. Because if  $i \in U$  and  $k < \omega$  then

$$\{A_j \mid j \in U \cap i \text{ and } |A_i \cap A_j| \le k\}$$

is finite by the following argument. For some  $(u, n) \in G$ ,  $i \in u$  and  $n \geq k$ . This implies that  $|A_i \cap A_j| > k$  for every j < i such that  $j \in U \setminus u$ .

The full result, concerning the decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$  into countably many Lusin<sup>\*</sup> subsequences, follows from the fact that (under Martin's Axiom) if

Q is a c.c.c. poset, then Q is a countable union of filters (each intersects the required dense sets). (Consider the finite support product of  $\omega$  copies of Q, and remember that  $|Q| = \aleph_1$ .)

It is easy to see that if  $(u, n) \in Q$  and v is any end-extension of u then  $(u, n) \leq (v, n)$ . Also, if  $n \leq m$  then  $(u, n) \leq (u, m)$ . This shows that the required sets  $D_{\alpha,k}$  are indeed dense in Q, and so the main point of the proof is to show that Q satisfies the countable chain condition.

LEMMA 2.4. Q satisfies the c.c.c.

*Proof.* Let  $\langle (u_{\zeta}, n_{\zeta}) | \zeta \in \omega_1 \rangle$  be an  $\omega_1$ -sequence of conditions in Q. We may assume that for some fixed n and k,  $n = n_{\zeta}$  and  $k = |u_{\zeta}|$  for all  $\zeta \in \omega_1$ , and that the sets  $u_{\zeta}$  form a  $\Delta$ -system. That is, for some finite  $c_0 \subset \omega_1$ ,  $c_0 = u_{\zeta_1} \cap u_{\zeta_2}$  for all  $\zeta_1 \neq \zeta_2$  and  $\max(u_{\zeta_1}) < \min(u_{\zeta_2} \setminus c_0)$  for  $\zeta_1 < \zeta_2$ .

We want to find  $\zeta_1 < \zeta_2$  such that  $(u_{\zeta_1} \cup u_{\zeta_2}, n)$  extends both  $(u_{\zeta_1}, n)$ and  $(u_{\zeta_2}, n)$ . It is evident that  $(u_{\zeta_1} \cup u_{\zeta_2}, n)$  extends  $(u_{\zeta_1}, n)$  (the lower part) but the problem is the possibility that  $|A_i \cap A_j| \leq n$  for some  $i \in u_{\zeta_2}$  and  $j \in u_{\zeta_1} \setminus c_0$ .

We shall find two uncountable sets  $K, L \subseteq \omega_1$  such that for every  $\zeta_1 \in K$  and  $\zeta_2 \in L$ ,  $(u_{\zeta_1}, n)$  and  $(u_{\zeta_2}, n)$  are compatible. We start with  $K_0 = L_0 = \omega_1$ , and define  $K_{i+1} \subseteq K_i$  and  $L_{i+1} \subseteq L_i$  by induction, considering in turn each pair  $0 \leq i, j \leq |u_{\zeta} \setminus c_0|$  (any  $\zeta$  can be taken, as these sets have all the same size). The definition of  $K_i$  and  $L_i$  depends on a finite parameter set, and it is convenient to have a countable model in which the definition is carried out. So let  $M \prec \langle H_{\aleph_1}, \mathcal{A}, Q, \{(u_{\zeta}, n_{\zeta}) : \zeta \in \omega_1\}\rangle$  be a countable elementary submodel (where  $H_{\aleph_1}$  is the collection of all sets that are hereditarily countable). The following lemma is used.

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $U, V \in M$  be two uncountable subsets of  $\omega_1$  and  $n < \omega$ . There are uncountable subsets  $U_1 \subseteq U$  and  $V_1 \subseteq V$  (definable in M) such that  $|A_{\zeta} \cap A_{\xi}| > n$  for every  $\zeta \in U_1$  and  $\xi \in V_1$  (and hence  $(\{\zeta\}, n)$  and  $(\{\xi\}, n)$  are compatible in Q).

It should be obvious how successive applications of the lemma yield the c.c.c., and so we turn to the proof of the lemma. Let  $\delta = \omega_1 \cap M$  be the set of countable ordinals in our countable structure M.

CASE 1:  $|A_{\zeta} \cap A_{\xi}| > n$  for some  $\zeta \in U \setminus \delta$  and  $\xi \in V \setminus \delta$ . In this case pick a finite  $X \subset A_{\zeta} \cap A_{\xi}$  with |X| > n, and let  $U_1 = \{i \in U \mid X \subset A_i\}$ and  $V_1 = \{j \in V \mid X \subset A_j\}$ . Both  $U_1$  and  $V_1$  are uncountable (for if  $U_1$  is countable then it would be included in M, but  $A_{\zeta}$  shows that this is not the case).

CASE 2: not Case 1. So  $|A_{\zeta} \cap A_{\xi}| \leq n$  for every  $\zeta \in U \setminus \delta$  and  $\xi \in V \setminus \delta$ . Let  $0 \leq m_0 \leq n$  be the maximal size of some intersection  $F = A_{\zeta} \cap A_{\xi}$  for indices  $\zeta$  and  $\xi$  as above. Then  $U_1 = \{i \in U \mid F \subset A_i\}$  and  $V_1 = \{j \in V \mid F \subset A_j\}$ 

## Lusin sequences

are uncountable and  $A_i \cap A_j = F$  for  $i \in U_1 \setminus \delta$  and  $j \in V_1 \setminus \delta$  (by maximality of |F|). So the set  $B = \bigcup \{A_i \mid i \in U_1\}$  separates  $\mathcal{A}$  (as  $B \cap A_j = F$  for every  $j \in V_1$ ), which is a contradiction.

## References

- N. Lusin, Sur les parties de la suite naturelle des nombres entiers, Comptes Rendus (Doklady) de l'Académie des Sciences de l'URSS 40 (1943), 175–178.
- [2] M. Scheepers, Gaps in  $\omega^{\omega}$ , in: Set Theory of the Reals (Ramat Gan, 1991), Israel Math. Conf. Proc. 6, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1993, 439–561.

| Departments of Mathematics and Computer Science | Institute of Mathematics       |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Ben-Gurion University                           | The Hebrew University          |
| Beer-Sheva, Israel                              | 91904 Jerusalem, Israel        |
| E-mail: abraham@cs.bgu.ac.il                    | E-mail: shelah@math.huji.ac.il |

Received 2 July 1998; in revised form 13 February 2001