

## On nonmeasurable selectors of countable group actions

by

Piotr Zakrzewski (Warszawa)

**Abstract.** Given a set  $X$ , a countable group  $H$  acting on it and a  $\sigma$ -finite  $H$ -invariant measure  $m$  on  $X$ , we study conditions which imply that each selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $H$  be a group of permutations of a set  $X$ . An  $H$ -orbit is the set  $Hx = \{h(x) : h \in H\}$ . A *complete section* for  $H$  is a subset of  $X$  that meets every  $H$ -orbit. A *selector* of  $H$ -orbits (sometimes also called a *Vitali set* of  $H$ , see [13]) is a subset of  $X$  that meets every  $H$ -orbit in exactly one point. A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $X$  is  $H$ -*invariant* if  $h[A] \in \mathcal{A}$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $h \in H$ ; then we also say that  $H$  is a group of *measurable transformations* of  $X$ . By a *measure* on  $X$  we mean a  $\sigma$ -additive, nonzero measure  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$ . We say that  $m$  is  $H$ -*invariant* if  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $H$ -invariant and  $m(h[A]) = m(A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $h \in H$ .

Recall that every Vitali set of the group  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational translations of  $\mathbb{R}$ , the set of reals, is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -invariant extension of the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . This situation is to some extent typical. Indeed, Solecki [14] proved that if a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $m$  is invariant with respect to an uncountable group  $G$  of permutations of  $X$  and, moreover, the action of  $G$  is  $m$ -*free* (i.e.,  $m^*(\{x \in X : g(x) = x\}) = 0$  for any  $g \in G \setminus \{\text{id}_X\}$ , where  $m^*$  stands for the outer measure of  $m$ ), then there exists a countable subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that each Vitali set of  $H$  is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ .

Throughout the paper we always assume that  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $X$ , invariant with respect to a *countable* group  $H$  of measur-

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 28A05, 28C10; Secondary 28D05.

*Key words and phrases*: invariant measure, countable group of transformations, complete section of orbits, selector of orbits, countably equidecomposable sets, nonmeasurable sets, Borel measure.

able transformations of  $X$ . Following [5], the quadruple  $\langle X, \mathcal{A}, H, m \rangle$  will sometimes be referred to as a *dynamical system*.

In principle, there are two methods of proving that selectors of  $H$ -orbits are nonmeasurable:

**1. The Vitali method.** The original Vitali [16] method was further elaborated by Solecki [13], [14] and, in particular, used by him in the proof of the theorem quoted above. Let us say that the measure  $m$  (more precisely: the dynamical system  $\langle X, \mathcal{A}, H, m \rangle$ ) satisfies the *Vitali condition* (**V**) if there exists a complete section  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  for  $H$  such that

$$0 < m(A) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad m^*(\{x : |Hx \cap A| < \omega\}) = 0.$$

Note that if  $H = \{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with  $h_n \neq h_m$  for  $n \neq m$ , then for every  $x \in X$ , if  $Hx \cap A$  is infinite, then  $x \in \bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n>m} h_n^{-1}[A]$ , and the converse is also true provided that  $h_n(x) \neq h_m(x)$  for  $n \neq m$ . It follows that if the action of  $H$  is  $m$ -free then in the terminology of [13] condition (**V**) means that  $X$  is *infinitely covered by  $H$* , up to a subset of outer measure zero. The following fact was proved in [13, Lemma 3.1] under the assumption that the action of  $H$  is  $m$ -free. A proof not using this assumption will be given at the beginning of Section 2.

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *Let  $H$  be a countable group of permutations of a set  $X$  and let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite  $H$ -invariant measure on  $X$ . If  $m$  satisfies the Vitali condition, then every selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ .*

More applications of condition (**V**) may be found in [13] and [14].

**2. The Minkowski method.** This is a method invented by Minkowski [11] for another purpose and elaborated by Kharazishvili and Kirtadze in their recent paper [8] in order to demonstrate its role in existence proofs of nonmeasurable sets for invariant measures. Let us say that the measure  $m$  (more precisely: the dynamical system  $\langle X, \mathcal{A}, H, m \rangle$ ) satisfies the *Minkowski condition* (**M**) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a complete section  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  for  $H$  such that  $m(A) < \varepsilon$ . In the terminology of [8], condition (**M**) means that the measure  $m$  is *weakly metrically transitive*, and in the terminology of [5], this is equivalent to the statement that the dynamical system  $\langle X, \mathcal{A}, H, m \rangle$  is *continuous*. Examples of measures satisfying (**M**) include the Haar measure on an uncountable locally compact Polish group  $X$ , where  $H$  is a countable nondiscrete subgroup of  $X$  acting on it by (say) left shifts (see [8, Theorem 3]), and the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $H$  is a countable, nondiscrete group of isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see [8, Theorem 4]).

The following fact was proved in [8, Theorem 2], again under the additional assumption that the action of  $H$  is  $m$ -free. A proof avoiding this assumption will also be given at the beginning of Section 2.

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *Let  $H$  be a countable group of permutations of a set  $X$  and let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite  $H$ -invariant measure on  $X$ . If  $m$  satisfies the Minkowski condition, then every selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ .*

The aim of this paper is to compare the strength of conditions **(V)** and **(M)** and to evaluate their role in proving that all selectors of  $H$ -orbits are nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ . We observe that **(M)** always implies **(V)** (Theorem 2.2) (evidently, in general, not vice versa). On the other hand, if  $H$  is a countable group of Borel automorphisms of a Polish space  $X$  and  $m$  is an  $H$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on  $X$  (i.e.,  $m$  is defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbf{B}(X)$  of Borel subsets of  $X$ ), then the two conditions are equivalent (Theorem 3.1) and their local instances are equivalent to the statement that every selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to every  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$  (Theorem 3.2). We also give an example showing that the latter is not true in general (Example 4.1) but for reasons that have not much to do with the group  $H$  under consideration. Indeed, under a certain condition imposed on the measure space  $\langle X, \mathcal{A}, m \rangle$  we prove that a local instance of condition **(V)** is in fact necessary even for the existence of a selector of  $H$ -orbits, nonmeasurable with respect to every  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$  (Theorem 4.4); the latter is also true if the action of  $H$  is  $m$ -free (Theorem 4.6). The results just quoted are consequences of the main technical result of the paper (Theorem 4.3) giving sufficient conditions for the existence of an  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$  for which a given selector of  $H$ -orbits is measurable.

Our main technical notion is *countable equidecomposability*. All facts that we use concerning this notion may be retrieved from a well-forgotten paper of Kawada [6] (see also [2], [5] and [19]).

We use standard set-theoretical notation. In particular, the cardinality of a set  $A$  is denoted by  $|A|$ . The first infinite cardinal is the first infinite ordinal  $\omega$  and we identify it with the set of natural numbers; thus  $|A| < \omega$  (respectively:  $|A| = \omega$ ) means that  $A$  is finite (respectively: countable infinite) and  $n < \omega$  means that  $n$  is a natural number.

**2. (M) versus (V) for general actions.** Recall that  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on a set  $X$  and  $m$  is invariant with respect to a countable group  $H$  of measurable transformations of  $X$ .

We say that sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  are *countably  $H$ -equidecomposable in  $\mathcal{A}$* , in symbols  $A \sim_{\infty} B$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , if there is a partition of  $A$  into countably many sets  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $n < \omega$ , and elements  $h_n \in H$  such that the sets  $h_n[A_n]$  form a partition of  $B$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $H$  are clear from the context we simply say that  $A$  and  $B$  are countably equidecomposable and write  $A \sim_{\infty} B$ .

We say that sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  are *almost countably equidecomposable in  $\mathcal{A}$* , and write  $A \overset{m}{\sim}_{\infty} B$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , if there are  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $m(E_1) = m(E_2) = 0$  and  $A \setminus E_1 \sim_{\infty} B \setminus E_2$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Clearly, if  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A \overset{m}{\sim}_{\infty} B$ , then  $m(A) = m(B)$ .

A set  $C \subseteq X$  is  *$H$ -invariant* if  $h[C] = C$  for every  $h \in H$ ; the smallest  $H$ -invariant set containing  $A \subseteq X$  is  $A^* = \bigcup_{h \in H} h[A]$ .

We call sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  *almost equal* and write  $A \overset{m}{\equiv} B$  if  $m(A \Delta B) = 0$ . An *almost complete section* for  $H$  is a set  $A \subseteq X$  such that  $A^* \overset{m}{\equiv} X$ ; likewise,  $S$  is an *almost selector* of  $H$ -orbits if  $S^* \overset{m}{\equiv} X$  and  $S$  meets every  $H$ -orbit in at most one point. Note that  $A \overset{m}{\equiv} B$  (respectively  $A \overset{m}{\sim}_{\infty} B$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ ) if and only if there is an  $H$ -invariant set  $C \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $C \overset{m}{\equiv} X$  and  $A \cap C = B \cap C$  (respectively  $A \cap C \sim_{\infty} B \cap C$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ ).

Clearly, condition **(V)** states the existence of an almost complete section  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  for  $H$ ,  $0 < m(A) < \infty$ , having infinite intersection with every  $H$ -orbit it meets.

The following folklore-like fact is a special case of the comparability theorem (see e.g. [6] or [5]).

**LEMMA 2.1** (The comparability lemma). *If  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  are almost complete sections for  $H$ , then there is an  $H$ -invariant set  $Z \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A \cap Z$  is almost countably equidecomposable in  $\mathcal{A}$  with a subset of  $B$  and  $B \setminus Z$  is almost countably equidecomposable in  $\mathcal{A}$  with a subset of  $A$ . In particular, if  $S \in \mathcal{A}$  is an almost selector of  $H$ -orbits and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is an almost complete section for  $H$ -orbits, then  $S$  is almost countably equidecomposable in  $\mathcal{A}$  with a subset of  $A$ .*

Proofs of Propositions 1.1 and 1.2 are now almost immediate.

*Proof of Proposition 1.1.* Let  $S$  be an arbitrary selector of  $H$ -orbits and let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  be an almost complete section for  $H$  witnessing condition **(V)**. Suppose that  $m' : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is an  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$  and  $S \in \mathcal{A}'$ . By the comparability lemma,  $S$  is almost countably equidecomposable in  $\mathcal{A}'$  with a subset of  $A$ ; moreover, an easy induction shows that there is an infinite sequence  $\langle S_n : n < \omega \rangle$  of pairwise disjoint almost selectors of  $H$ -orbits such that  $S_n \subseteq A$  and  $S_n \overset{m}{\sim}_{\infty} S$  for each  $n < \omega$ . This easily implies that  $m'(S) = 0$ , a contradiction. ■

*Proof of Proposition 1.2.* Let  $m' : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be an  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ . Let  $S$  be a selector of  $H$ -orbits and suppose that  $S \in \mathcal{A}'$ . Take an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  be a complete section for  $H$  with  $m(A) < \varepsilon$ . By the comparability lemma,  $S$  is almost countably equidecomposable in  $\mathcal{A}'$  with a subset of  $A$ . Hence  $m'(S) < \varepsilon$ . But due to the arbitrary choice of  $\varepsilon > 0$  this implies that  $m'(S) = 0$ , a contradiction. ■

Now we are ready to state the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $H$  be a countable group of permutations of a set  $X$  and let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite  $H$ -invariant measure on  $X$ . If  $m$  satisfies the Minkowski condition then it satisfies the Vitali condition as well.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $m$  satisfies condition **(M)**. Then it follows from a lemma of Kawada (see [6, Lemma 19] or [5, Corollary V.3]) that every almost complete section for  $H$  can be partitioned into two disjoint almost complete sections for  $H$ .

Using this, inductively construct a sequence  $\langle A_n : n < \omega \rangle$  of pairwise disjoint almost complete sections for  $H$  keeping the following conditions satisfied for every  $n < \omega$ :

- $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m(A_n) < 1/2^{n+1}$ ,
- $X \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} A_k$  is a complete section for  $H$ .

Finally,  $A = \bigcup_{n < \omega} A_n$  is an almost complete section for  $H$  witnessing condition **(V)**. ■

It is obvious that the implication from the theorem above cannot in general be reversed. A trivial example is provided by an arbitrary infinite set  $X$  together with a countable group  $H$  of permutations of  $X$  with infinite  $H$ -orbits, the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset, X\}$  and the canonical two-valued probability measure  $m$  defined on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**3. (M) versus (V) for Borel actions.** Natural examples of measures satisfying condition **(M)** happen to be of the form: a countable group  $H$  of Borel automorphisms of an uncountable Polish (i.e., separable, completely metrizable) space  $X$  and an  $H$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure  $m$  on  $X$ . Recall that they include the Haar measure on an uncountable locally compact Polish group  $X$  (where  $H$  is a countable nondiscrete subgroup of  $X$ ) and the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (where  $H$  is a countable, nondiscrete group of isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Moreover, Kharazishvili and Kir tadze proved (see [8, Theorems 3 and 4]) that in these cases condition **(M)** is equivalent to the statement that every selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ . The results of this section generalize the latter to the case of arbitrary Borel measures on Polish spaces and also show that in this situation conditions **(M)** and **(V)** are equivalent.

Recall that the partition into  $H$ -orbits defines an equivalence relation  $E_H$  on  $X$  called the *orbit equivalence relation*. If  $B \subseteq X$ , then  $E_H|B$  denotes the restriction of  $E_H$  to  $B$ , i.e., the equivalence relation on  $B$  whose equivalence classes are exactly the nonempty intersections of  $H$ -orbits with  $B$ .

If  $E$  is an equivalence relation on a set  $B \subseteq X$ , we say that a subset of  $B$  is:

- a *complete section for  $E$*  if it meets every  $E$ -equivalence class,
- a *selector for  $E$*  if it meets every  $E$ -equivalence class in exactly one point,
- a *partial selector for  $E$*  if it meets every  $E$ -equivalence class in at most one point.

Note that if  $B = X$  and  $E = E_H$ , then the notions of a complete section and a selector for  $E_H$  coincide with those for  $H$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $H$  be a countable group of Borel automorphisms of an uncountable Polish space  $X$  and let  $m$  be an  $H$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on  $X$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $m$  satisfies the Minkowski condition,
- (2)  $m$  satisfies the Vitali condition,
- (3)  $m$  vanishes on every partial Borel selector for  $E_H$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.2, (1) implies (2). To see that (2) implies (3), argue as in the proof of Proposition 1.1.

So assume now that  $m$  vanishes on every partial Borel selector for  $E_H$ . Since  $m$  is  $\sigma$ -finite,  $X$  is a disjoint union of countably many sets of the form  $B^*$  where  $B$  is a Borel set with  $0 < m(B) < \infty$ . Then the union of small enough complete sections for equivalence relations  $E_H|B$  will be a complete section for  $H$  we are looking for. Thus, with no loss of generality, we simply assume that  $X = B^*$  for a fixed  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  with  $0 < m(B) < \infty$ . Let  $E = E_H|B$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Let  $C = \{x \in B : |Hx \cap B| < \omega\}$ . Since  $C \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  and  $E|C$  is a Borel equivalence relation with finite equivalence classes, there is a Borel selector  $S \subseteq C$  for  $E|C$  (see [7, Theorem 12.16]). Then  $S$  is a partial selector for  $E_H$ , so  $m(S) = 0$ , and since  $C \subseteq \bigcup_{h \in H} h[S]$ , we have  $m(C) = 0$  as well.

Let  $D = B \setminus C$ . Then  $E|D$  is a Borel equivalence relation on  $D$  with countable infinite equivalence classes, and a basic fact about such relations says (see [3, Lemma 4.5.3]) that there is a sequence  $D = A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq \dots$  of Borel complete sections for  $E|D$  such that  $\bigcap_{n < \omega} A_n = \emptyset$ . Since  $m(D) = m(B) < \infty$  it follows that there is  $n_0$  for which  $m(A_{n_0}) < \varepsilon$ . Then  $A = A_{n_0} \cup S$  is a complete section for  $H$  with  $m(A) < \varepsilon$ . This completes the proof of the implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). ■

As a corollary we show that local instances of conditions **(V)** and **(M)** are in fact also necessary for the nonmeasurability of every selector of  $H$ -orbits with respect to all  $H$ -invariant extensions of the  $H$ -invariant Borel measure  $m$  under consideration.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $H$  be a countable group of Borel automorphisms of an uncountable Polish space  $X$  and let  $m$  be an  $H$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on  $X$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *there is  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  such that  $0 < m(B) < \infty$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a complete section  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  for  $E_H|B$  such that  $m(A) < \varepsilon$ ,*
- (2) *there is  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  such that  $0 < m(B) < \infty$  and  $|Hx \cap B| = \omega$  for every  $x \in B$ ,*
- (3) *there is  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  such that  $0 < m(B) < \infty$  and  $m$  vanishes on every partial Borel selector for  $E_H|B$ ,*
- (4) *every selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ ,*
- (5) *every selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to the measure completion  $\bar{m}$  of  $m$ ,*
- (6) *there is no Borel almost selector of  $H$ -orbits.*

*Proof.* The equivalence of the first three conditions is just a relativization of Theorem 3.1. The fact that any of the first two of them implies (4) follows from Propositions 1.2 and 1.1. The implications  $(4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6)$  are obvious. Finally, if every Borel subset  $B$  of positive finite measure contains a partial Borel selector for  $E_H|B$  of positive measure, then it is easy to construct a Borel almost selector of  $H$ -orbits. This shows that  $\neg(3)$  implies  $\neg(6)$ , completing the proof. ■

**REMARK 3.3.** *Let  $H$  be a countable group of Borel automorphisms of an uncountable Polish space  $X$ . There exists an  $H$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure  $m$  on  $X$  with the properties from Theorem 3.2 if and only if there exists a Borel subset  $B$  of  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- $|Hx \cap B| = \omega$  for every  $x \in B$ ,
- $B$  is incompressible, i.e., there is no Borel set  $A \subseteq B$  with  $B \sim_{\infty} A$  in  $\mathbf{B}(X)$  and such that  $B \setminus A$  is a complete section for  $E_H|B$ .

*Proof.* First assume that  $m$  is an  $H$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on  $X$  satisfying condition (2) of Theorem 3.2. Take  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  with  $0 < m(B) < \infty$  and  $|Hx \cap B| = \omega$  for every  $x \in B$ . Clearly,  $B$  is incompressible. This shows the necessity of the conditions above. To prove their sufficiency, fix an incompressible set  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  with  $|Hx \cap B| = \omega$  for every  $x \in B$ . Since  $B$  is incompressible, by Nadkarni's theorem (see [12] and [3, Theorem 4.3.1] for a proof in the general case), there exists a probability Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $B$  which is  $H$ -invariant in the following sense: whenever  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbf{B}(B)$  and  $A_1 \sim_{\infty} A_2$  in  $\mathbf{B}(X)$ , then  $\mu(A_1) = \mu(A_2)$ . Now it is easy to extend  $\mu$  to a  $\sigma$ -finite  $H$ -invariant Borel measure  $m$  on  $X$  (see e.g. [18, Proposition 2.2]); in particular,  $0 < m(B) = \mu(B) < \infty$ . ■

**4. The necessity of a local instance of (V) for the existence of nonmeasurable selectors.** It is obvious that in general, by contrast to the Borel case discussed in the previous section, no local instance of condition (M) follows from the statement that every selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$  (see the last paragraph of Section 2). We start this section with an example in which the latter is still true but all  $H$ -orbits are finite (in particular, no local instance of condition (V) is satisfied).

Recall that  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a *universally measure zero set* if it carries no finite nonzero Borel measure vanishing on singletons (see e.g. [10]).

EXAMPLE 4.1. *There exist a set  $X$  and a probability measure  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  invariant with respect to a countable group  $H$  of permutations of  $X$  and having the following properties:*

- *every selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ ,*
- $|Hx| = 2$  for every  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an uncountable universally measure zero set. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n < \omega\}$  be a countable basis for the topology of  $Y$  inherited from  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $X = \{0, 1\} \times Y$  and for each  $n$  let  $h_n$  be the permutation of  $X$  defined as follows:

$$h_n(i, x) = \begin{cases} \langle i, x \rangle & \text{if } x \in U_n, \\ \langle 1 - i, x \rangle & \text{if } x \in Y \setminus U_n. \end{cases}$$

Let  $H$  be the group of permutations of  $X$  generated by  $\{h_n : n < \omega\}$ . Finally, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra consisting of countable and co-countable subsets of  $X$  and  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the canonical two-valued probability measure defined as follows:

$$m(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |A| \leq \omega, \\ 1 & \text{if } |X \setminus A| \leq \omega. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $m$  is  $H$ -invariant and  $|Hx| = 2$  for each  $x \in X$ .

Let  $m' : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be an  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$  and suppose that  $S \in \mathcal{A}'$  for a certain selector  $S$  of  $H$ -orbits. Note that

$$(\{0, 1\} \times U_n) \cap S = h_n[S] \cap S \in \mathcal{A}' \quad \text{for each } n < \omega.$$

It follows that if we define

$$\mu(A) = m'((\{0, 1\} \times A) \cap S) \quad \text{whenever } (\{0, 1\} \times A) \cap S \in \mathcal{A}',$$

then  $\mu|_{\mathbf{B}(Y)}$  is a finite ( $\mu(Y) = m'(S) = 1/2$ ) nonzero Borel measure on  $Y$  which vanishes on singletons. This contradicts the choice of  $Y$ . ■

The nonmeasurability of selectors of  $H$ -orbits in the example above stems from the fact that the measure under consideration cannot be extended to a certain countable collection of subsets of  $X$ . Our subsequent results show that for measures without this property condition **(V)** becomes relevant again.

We say that a set  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $m(A) > 0$  is an  $H$ -atom if it is minimal among the sets  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $B^* \stackrel{m}{=} A^*$  in the sense that for any  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  the conditions  $B^* \stackrel{m}{=} A^*$  and  $B \subseteq A$  imply  $m(A \setminus B) = 0$  (see [5] and [6]). Following [5] we say that the dynamical system  $\langle X, \mathcal{A}, H, m \rangle$  is *discrete* if there exists an  $H$ -atom which is an almost complete section for  $H$ . We need the following well-known fact (see e.g. [5, Theorem III.2 and Proposition VII.1]).

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** *Assume that no  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $0 < m(B) < \infty$  has the property that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a complete section  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  for  $E_H|B$  with  $m(A) < \varepsilon$ . Then the dynamical system  $\langle X, \mathcal{A}, H, m \rangle$  is discrete. Moreover, if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is an  $H$ -atom with  $A^* \stackrel{m}{=} X$ , then there is a partition of  $X$  into  $H$ -invariant parts  $X_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $n \leq \omega$ , such that if  $m(X_n) > 0$  then  $X_n \stackrel{m}{=} \bigcup_{i < n} A_{n,i}$  where  $A_{n,0} = A \cap X_n$  and  $\{A_{n,i} : i < n\}$  is a family of  $n$  pairwise disjoint  $H$ -atoms with  $A_{n,i} \sim_\infty A_{n,0}$  for each  $i < n$ .*

Now we are ready to prove the main technical result of this paper.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $H$  be a countable group of permutations of a set  $X$  and let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite  $H$ -invariant measure on  $X$  such that  $m^*(\{x \in X : |Hx \cap B| = \omega\}) = 0$  for every  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $0 < m(B) < \infty$ . Let  $S$  be a selector of  $H$ -orbits. If there exists an extension of  $m$  for which all sets  $h[S]$ ,  $h \in H$ , are measurable, then there exists an  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$  for which  $S$  is measurable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  generated by  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{h[S] : h \in H\}$ . Since the set of generators of  $\mathcal{A}'$  is  $H$ -invariant, so is  $\mathcal{A}'$ . Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be an arbitrary extension of  $m$ . With the help of  $\mu$  we are going to find an  $H$ -invariant extension  $m' : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  of  $m$ .

By a relativization of Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 4.2, the dynamical system  $\langle X, \mathcal{A}, H, m \rangle$  is discrete. Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\{X_n : n \leq \omega\}$ , and  $\{A_{n,i} : i < n\}$  have the properties stated in Proposition 4.2.

It suffices to find for every  $n \leq \omega$  an extension of  $m|(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{P}(A_{n,0}))$  to a measure  $m'_{n,0}$  defined on  $\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{P}(A_{n,0})$  and  $H$ -invariant in the following sense: whenever  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{P}(A_{n,0})$  and  $B_1 \sim_\infty B_2$  in  $\mathcal{A}'$ , then  $m'_{n,0}(B_1) = m'_{n,0}(B_2)$ . Indeed, suppose that we already have extensions  $m'_{n,0}$ . For each pair  $n \leq \omega$  and  $i < n$  let  $g_{n,i}$  be a fixed bijection between  $A_{n,0}$  and  $A_{n,i}$  which witnesses that  $A_{n,0} \sim_\infty A_{n,i}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  (more precisely,  $g_{n,i}|C_k = h_k|C_k$  for  $k < \omega$ , where  $\{C_k : k < \omega\}$  and  $\{D_k : k < \omega\}$  are some fixed partitions of

$A_{n,0}$  and  $A_{n,i}$ , respectively, into pieces from  $\mathcal{A}$ , with  $D_k = h_k[C_k]$  for each  $k < \omega$  and some fixed  $h_k \in H$ ). Then the measure  $m'$  defined by

$$m'(A) = \sum_{n \leq \omega} \sum_{i < n} m'_{n,0}(g_{n,i}^{-1}[A \cap A_{n,i}]) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{A}'$$

is easily seen to be an  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ .

Thus, with no loss of generality we assume that  $A = A_{n,0}$  for a fixed  $n \leq \omega$ . For each  $k \leq \omega$  let  $A_k = \{x \in A : |Hx \cap A| = k\}$ ; clearly,  $A = \bigcup_{k \leq \omega} A_k$  and the sets  $A_k$  form a partition of  $A$ . We claim that  $A_k \in \mathcal{A}'$  or even more:  $\{x \in A : |Hx \cap B| = k\} \in \mathcal{A}'$  for every  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{A}'$  and  $k \leq \omega$ . For if, moreover,  $B \neq \emptyset$ , then  $S \cap B^*$  is countably equidecomposable in  $\mathcal{A}'$  with a subset  $T$  of  $B$  (see [17, Lemma 1.1]). Hence  $T \in \mathcal{A}'$  is a partial selector for  $E_H|B$  such that  $T \subseteq B$  and it follows that for every  $k < \omega$  we have

$$\{x \in A : |Hx \cap B| \geq k + 1\} = \{x \in A : |Hx \cap (B \setminus T)| \geq k\}.$$

The statement that  $\{x \in A : |Hx \cap B| \geq k\} \in \mathcal{A}'$  for every  $B \in \mathcal{A}'$  and  $k < \omega$  can now be proved by induction, and then the rest easily follows.

Define the measure  $m'_{n,0}$  by putting, for  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{A}'$ ,

$$m'_{n,0}(B) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{j}{k} \mu(\{x \in A : |Hx \cap (B \cap A_k)| = j\}).$$

Note that  $m'_{n,0}$  is  $H$ -invariant in the sense described above. Indeed, assume that  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{P}(A)$  and  $B_1 \sim_{\infty} B_2$  in  $\mathcal{A}'$ . Then  $B_2 = g[B_1]$  for a certain bijection  $g : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  with  $g(x) \in Hx$  for each  $x \in B_1$ . Hence if  $|Hx \cap (B_1 \cap A_k)| = j$ , then  $|Hx \cap (B_2 \cap A_k)| = |Hx \cap (g[B_1] \cap A_k)| = |g[Hx \cap B_1 \cap A_k]| = j$  for every  $k < \omega$  and  $j \leq k$ .

To complete the proof, it is enough to check that  $m'_{n,0}$  extends  $m|(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{P}(A))$ . So let  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $m$  is  $\sigma$ -finite it is enough to prove that  $m'_{n,0}(B) = m(B)$  under the assumption that  $m(B) < \infty$ . But then  $m^*(\{x \in B : |Hx \cap B| = \omega\}) = 0$ . At the same time  $B \stackrel{m}{=} B^* \cap A$ , since  $A$  is an  $H$ -atom. Consequently, there are disjoint  $H$ -invariant sets  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $B \subseteq C_1 \cup C_2$ ,  $m(C_1) = 0$ ,  $B \cap C_2 = A \cap C_2$  and  $|Hx \cap (A \cap C_2)| < \omega$  for every  $x \in A \cap C_2$ . It follows that it suffices to prove that if  $C \in \mathcal{A}$  is  $H$ -invariant, then:

- (1) if  $m(C) = 0$ , then  $m'_{n,0}(A \cap C) = 0$ ,
- (2) if  $|Hx \cap (A \cap C)| < \omega$  for every  $x \in A \cap C$ , then  $m'_{n,0}(A \cap C) = m(A \cap C)$ .

To prove (1), note that  $\mu(A \cap C) = m(A \cap C) = 0$ .

To prove (2), note that since  $C$  is  $H$ -invariant,  $|Hx \cap (C \cap A_k)| = k$  whenever  $Hx \cap (C \cap A_k) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m'_{n,0}(A \cap C) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k \cap C) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \cap C\right) \\ &= \mu(A \cap C) = m(A \cap C). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The following two results are immediate corollaries of Theorem 4.3.

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a set  $X$  and let  $H$  be a countable group of measurable transformations of  $X$  such that  $\{x \in X : |Hx \cap B| = \omega\} \in \mathcal{A}$  for every  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $0 < m(B) < \infty$ . Let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite  $H$ -invariant measure on  $X$  which can be extended to any countable collection of subsets of  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *there is  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $0 < m(B) < \infty$  and  $|Hx \cap B| = \omega$  for every  $x \in B$ ,*
- (2) *every selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ ,*
- (3) *there exists a selector of  $H$ -orbits nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ .*

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $H$  be a countable group of permutations of a set  $X$  such that all  $H$ -orbits are finite. Let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite  $H$ -invariant measure on  $X$  which can be extended to any countable collection of subsets of  $X$ . Then for every selector of  $H$ -orbits there exists an  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$  for which  $S$  is measurable.*

Recall that the action of  $H$  is  $m$ -free if  $m^*(\{x \in X : h(x) = x\}) = 0$  for any  $h \in H \setminus \{\text{id}_X\}$ . Under this assumption we get the equivalences from Theorem 4.4 without any additional hypotheses.

**THEOREM 4.6.** *Let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $X$  invariant under a countable group  $H$  of measurable transformations of  $X$  such that the action of  $H$  on  $X$  is  $m$ -free. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *there is  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $0 < m(B) < \infty$  and  $|Hx \cap B| = \omega$  for every  $x \in B$ ,*
- (2) *every selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ ,*
- (3) *there exists a selector of  $H$ -orbits nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ .*

*Proof.* To see that (1) implies (2), argue as in the proof of Proposition 1.1. Then the only nontrivial implication left is (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) or, equivalently,  $\neg(1)\Rightarrow\neg(3)$ . So assume that there is no  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $0 < m(B) < \infty$  and such

that  $|Hx \cap B| = \omega$  for every  $x \in B$ . Let  $S$  be a selector of  $H$ -orbits. With no loss of generality assume that the measure  $m$  is complete. In particular,  $\{x \in X : h(x) = x\} \in \mathcal{A}$  for each  $h \in H$ .

In order to prove the existence of an  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$  for which  $S$  is measurable, it suffices to check the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3.

To prove that  $m(\{x \in X : |Hx \cap B| = \omega\}) = 0$  for every  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $0 < m(B) < \infty$ , note that for each  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |Hx \cap B| &< \omega \\ \Leftrightarrow \exists F \subseteq H \ (|F| < \omega \wedge \forall h \in H \ (h(x) \in B \Rightarrow \exists f \in F \ h(x) = f(x))). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\{x \in X : |Hx \cap B| < \omega\} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since, moreover, for every  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $0 < m(B) < \infty$  there is  $x \in B$  with  $|Hx \cap B| < \omega$ , the claim follows.

Finally, to prove that there exists an extension of  $m$  for which all sets  $h[S]$ ,  $h \in H$ , are measurable, note that there is an  $H$ -invariant set  $Z \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $m(Z) = 0$  and the sets  $h[Z] \setminus Z$  form a partition of  $X \setminus Z$ . But, by a theorem of Bierlein (see [4] or [1]), one can always extend a measure so that all members of a given countable partition of a measurable set are in the domain of the extension. ■

Finally, the following result shows that in general we cannot strengthen Theorem 4.4 by removing the additional measurability condition from its hypotheses.

**EXAMPLE 4.7.** *Assume that there is no universally measure zero set of cardinality continuum (by a theorem of Miller [9], this assumption is consistent with the usual axioms of set theory). There exist a set  $X$  and a probability measure  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  invariant with respect to a countable group  $H$  of permutations of  $X$  and having the following properties:*

- (1)  *$m$  can be extended to any countable collection of subsets of  $X$ ,*
- (2)  *$m^*(\{x \in X : |Hx| < \omega\}) = 1$ ,*
- (3) *every selector of  $H$ -orbits is nonmeasurable with respect to any  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X_1 = \{0, 1\} \times Y$  where  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an uncountable universally measure zero set. Let  $H_1$  be the group of permutations of  $Y_1$  constructed in Example 4.1.

Let  $X_2$  be an arbitrary set of cardinality continuum disjoint from  $X_1$ . Let  $H_2$  be a countable group of permutations of  $X_2$  with infinite  $H_2$ -orbits.

Let  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra consisting of countable and co-countable subsets of  $X$  and  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the canonical two-valued probability measure on  $\mathcal{A}$  (see Example 4.1).

Finally, let  $H$  consist of permutations  $h$  of  $X$  such that  $h|X_1 \in H_1$  and  $h|X_2 \in H_2$ .

Clearly,  $m$  is an  $H$ -invariant probability measure on  $X$ .

To prove property (1), let  $\{A_n : n < \omega\}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ . Since there is no universally measure zero set of cardinality continuum, there is a probability measure  $m_2$  defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$  containing all sets of the form  $A_n \cap X_2$  and such that all singletons have measure  $m_2$  zero. Then the measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  defined by

$$\mu(A) = m_2(A \cap X_2) \quad \text{whenever } A \cap X_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$$

extends  $m$  and measures all the  $A_n$ 's.

Property (2) is obvious, since  $\{x \in X : |Hx| < \omega\} = X_1$ .

To prove property (3), let  $m' : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be an  $H$ -invariant extension of  $m$ . Since any  $H$ -invariant measure can always be extended in an invariant way by a single  $H$ -invariant set (this is essentially due to Szpirlajn [15]; see also [19, Section 3]), with no loss of generality assume that  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{A}'$ . Then there is  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $m'(X_i) > 0$  and  $S \cap X_i$  is a measurable selector of  $H_i$ -orbits. To reach a contradiction argue either as in Example 4.1 (if  $i = 1$ ) or as in Proposition 1.1 (if  $i = 2$ ). ■

## References

- [1] A. Ascherl and J. Lehn, *Two principles for extending probability measures*, Manuscripta Math. 21 (1977), 43–50.
- [2] S. Banach et A. Tarski, *Sur la décomposition des ensembles de points en parties respectivement congruents*, Fund. Math. 6 (1924), 244–277.
- [3] H. Becker and A. S. Kechris, *The Descriptive Set Theory of Polish Group Actions*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 232, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996.
- [4] D. Bierlein, *Über die Fortsetzung von Wahrscheinlichkeitsfeldern*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 1 (1962), 28–46.
- [5] Dang-Ngoc-Nghiem, *On the classification of dynamical systems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B 9 (1973), 397–425.
- [6] Y. Kawada, *Über die Existenz der invarianten Integrale*, Japan. J. Math. 19 (1944), 81–95.
- [7] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Grad. Texts in Math. 156, Springer, 1995.
- [8] A. B. Kharazishvili and A. P. Kirtadze, *On weakly metrically transitive measures and nonmeasurable sets*, Real Anal. Exchange 32 (2007), 553–562.
- [9] A. W. Miller, *Mapping a set of reals onto the reals*, J. Symbolic Logic 48 (1983), 575–584.
- [10] —, *Special subsets of the real line*, in: Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North-Holland, 1984, 201–233.
- [11] H. Minkowski, *Geometrie der Zahlen*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1896.
- [12] M. G. Nadkarni, *On the existence of a finite invariant measure*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 100 (1990), 203–220.

- [13] S. Solecki, *On sets nonmeasurable with respect to invariant measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1993), 115–124.
- [14] —, *Measurability properties of sets of Vitali's type*, ibid., 897–902.
- [15] E. Szpilrajn, *Sur l'extension de la mesure lebesgienne*, Fund. Math. 25 (1935), 551–558.
- [16] G. Vitali, *Sul problema della misura dei gruppi di punti di una retta*, Gamberini e Parmeggiani, Bologna, 1905.
- [17] P. Zakrzewski, *When do equidecomposable sets have equal measures?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1991), 831–837.
- [18] —, *The existence of invariant  $\sigma$ -finite measures for a group of transformations*, Israel J. Math. 83 (1993), 275–287.
- [19] —, *Measures on algebraic-topological structures*, in: Handbook of Measure Theory, E. Pap (ed.), North-Holland, 2002, 1091–1130.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Warsaw  
Banacha 2  
02-097 Warszawa, Poland  
E-mail: piotr.zak@mimuw.edu.pl

*Received 1 July 2008*