# Metric spaces admitting only trivial weak contractions

by

## Richárd Balka (Budapest)

**Abstract.** If (X, d) is a metric space then a map  $f: X \to X$  is defined to be a weak contraction if d(f(x), f(y)) < d(x, y) for all  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ . We determine the simplest non-closed sets  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  in the sense of descriptive set-theoretic complexity such that every weak contraction  $f: X \to X$  is constant. In order to do so, we prove that there exists a non-closed  $F_{\sigma}$  set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that every weak contraction  $f: F \to F$  is constant. Similarly, there exists a non-closed  $G_{\delta}$  set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that every weak contraction  $f: G \to G$  is constant. These answer questions of M. Elekes.

We use measure-theoretic methods, first of all the concept of generalized Hausdorff measure.

**1. Introduction.** We use the following descriptive set-theoretical notation.

NOTATION 1.1. The classes of open, closed,  $F_{\sigma}$ , and  $G_{\delta}$  sets are denoted by  $\Sigma_1^0$ ,  $\Pi_1^0$ ,  $\Sigma_2^0$ , and  $\Pi_2^0$ , respectively. The simultaneously  $F_{\sigma}$  and  $G_{\delta}$  sets are denoted by  $\Delta_2^0$ .

M. Elekes [E] introduced the definition below.

DEFINITION 1.2. We say that the metric space X has the Banach Fixed Point Property (BFPP) if every contraction  $f: X \to X$  has a fixed point.

The Banach Fixed Point Theorem implies that every complete metric space has the BFPP. E. Behrends [Be] pointed out that the converse implication does not hold. He presented the following example, which he referred to as 'folklore'.

THEOREM 1.3. Let  $X = \operatorname{graph}(\sin(1/x)|_{(0,1]})$ . Then  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is a nonclosed simultaneously  $F_{\sigma}$  and  $G_{\delta}$  set with the Banach Fixed Point Property.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54H25, 47H10, 28A78, 54H05; Secondary 03E15.

*Key words and phrases*: Banach, Borel class, contraction, dimension function, fixed point, gauge, Hausdorff measure.

M. Elekes [E] described the simplest non-closed sets having the BFPP in the sense of descriptive set-theoretic complexity. He proved the following theorems.

THEOREM 1.4 (M. Elekes). Every open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the Banach Fixed Point Property is closed. Every simultaneously  $F_{\sigma}$  and  $G_{\delta}$  subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ with the Banach Fixed Point Property is closed.

THEOREM 1.5 (M. Elekes). There exist non-closed  $F_{\sigma}$  and non-closed  $G_{\delta}$  subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  with the Banach Fixed Point Property.

The above three theorems answer the question about the lowest possible Borel classes of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  having a non-closed element with the BFPP. In the language of descriptive set theory, if  $n \geq 2$  then  $\Delta_2^0$  is the best possible class, since there are no  $\Sigma_1^0$  and  $\Pi_1^0$  examples. If n = 1 then  $\Sigma_2^0$  and  $\Pi_2^0$  are possible, but  $\Delta_2^0$  is not.

Note that if every weak contraction  $f: X \to X$  is constant then X has the BFPP. There are infinite complete metric spaces that admit only trivial weak contractions, for example the metric spaces  $X = \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ clearly have this property (there is a non-degenerate connected compact example in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for every  $n \ge 2$ , see later). Therefore it is natural to ask the following question.

QUESTION 1.6 (M. Elekes). What are the lowest possible Borel classes of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  having a non-closed element X such that every weak contraction  $f: X \to X$  is constant?

The main goal of our paper is to answer Question 1.6.

On the one hand, Theorem 1.4 shows that there are no  $\Sigma_1^0$  and  $\Pi_1^0$  examples in the cases  $n \geq 2$ .

On the other hand, T. Dobrowolski [D] pointed out a connection between our question and the so called *Cook continua*, non-degenerate connected compact topological spaces C such that every continuous map  $f: C \to C$ is either constant or the identity. They were named after H. Cook [C], who first constructed such an object. Cook's example cannot be embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , only in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Later T. Maćkowiak [M, Cor. 32] has shown that there exists an arc-like (snake-like) Cook continuum, and arc-like continua are embeddable in the plane by [Bi, Thm. 4].

The next theorem is straightforward; it follows that the answer to Question 1.6 is  $\Delta_2^0$  if  $n \ge 2$ .

THEOREM 1.7 (Maćkowiak, Dobrowolski). Let  $X = C \setminus \{c_0\}$ , where  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is a Cook continuum and  $c_0 \in C$  is arbitrary. Then  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is nonclosed, simultaneously  $F_{\sigma}$  and  $G_{\delta}$ , and every weak contraction  $f: X \to X$  is constant. If n = 1 then Theorem 1.4 implies that there is no  $\Delta_2^0$  example for Question 1.6. In the positive direction M. Elekes obtained the following partial result.

THEOREM 1.8 (M. Elekes). There exists a non-closed  $G_{\delta}$  set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that every contraction  $f: G \to G$  is constant.

The proof of Theorem 1.8 is based on the following theorem, interesting in its own right.

THEOREM 1.9 (M. Elekes). For the generic compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  (in the sense of Baire category) for any contraction  $f: K \to \mathbb{R}$  the set f(K) does not contain a non-empty relatively open subset of K.

In order to answer Question 1.6 it is enough to show that there are nonclosed  $\Sigma_2^0$  and  $\Pi_2^0$  subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  that admit only trivial weak contractions. Therefore we prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 6.1 (Main Theorem,  $F_{\sigma}$  case). There exists a non-closed  $F_{\sigma}$  set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that every weak contraction  $f: F \to F$  is constant.

THEOREM 6.2 (Main Theorem,  $G_{\delta}$  case). There exists a non-closed  $G_{\delta}$  set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that every weak contraction  $f: G \to G$  is constant.

The heart of the proof is the following theorem, a partial measuretheoretic analogue of Theorem 1.9. For a gauge function h let us denote by  $\mathcal{H}^h$  the *h*-Hausdorff measure.

THEOREM 5.1 (simplified version). There exists a compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and a continuous gauge function h such that  $0 < \mathcal{H}^h(K) < \infty$ , and for every weak contraction  $f: K \to \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\mathcal{H}^h(K \cap f(K)) = 0$ .

Based on the present paper, A. Máthé and the author show in [BM] the following more general theorem. If X is a Polish space, then the generic compact set  $K \subseteq X$  is either finite or there is a continuous gauge function h such that  $0 < \mathcal{H}^h(K) < \infty$ , and for every weak contraction  $f: K \to X$  we have  $\mathcal{H}^h(K \cap f(K)) = 0$ . If X is perfect, then the generic compact set  $K \subseteq X$  is infinite, so the first case does not occur. This is the measure-theoretic analogue of Theorem 1.9, which also answers a question of C. Cabrelli, U. B. Darji, and U. M. Molter. This is the reason why we will work in Polish spaces instead of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The structure of the paper is as follows. In the Preliminaries section we introduce some notation and definitions. In Section 3 we define balanced compact sets in a Polish space X, and we prove their existence if X is uncountable. In Section 4 we show that every balanced compact set

 $K \subseteq X$  has a continuous gauge function h such that  $0 < \mathcal{H}^h(K) < \infty$ . In Section 5 we show that  $\mathcal{H}^h(K \cap f(K)) = 0$  for every weak contraction  $f: K \to X$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. In Section 6 we prove our Main Theorems, making use of Theorem 5.1 and of ideas from [E].

**2. Preliminaries.** Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let  $A, B \subseteq X$  be arbitrary sets. We denote by int A and diam A the interior and the diameter of A, respectively. We use the convention diam  $\emptyset = 0$ . The *distance* of the sets A and B is dist $(A, B) = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in A, y \in B\}$ .

The function  $h: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is defined to be a gauge function if it is non-decreasing, right-continuous, and h(x) = 0 iff x = 0.

For all  $A \subseteq X$  and  $\delta > 0$  consider

$$\mathcal{H}^{h}_{\delta}(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(\operatorname{diam} A_{i}) : A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}, \forall i \operatorname{diam} A_{i} \le \delta \right\},\$$
$$\mathcal{H}^{h}(A) = \lim_{\delta \to 0+} \mathcal{H}^{h}_{\delta}(A).$$

We call  $\mathcal{H}^h$  the *h*-Hausdorff measure. For more information on these concepts see [R].

A metric space X is *perfect* if it has no isolated points. A metric space X is *Polish* if it is complete and separable.

Given two metric spaces  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$ , a function  $f: X \to Y$  is called *Lipschitz* if there is a constant  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2))$  $\leq C \cdot d_X(x_1, x_2)$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . The smallest such constant C is the *Lipschitz constant* of f and denoted by Lip(f). If  $\text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$  then f is a 1-*Lipschitz map*; if Lip(f) < 1 then f is a *contraction*. We say that fis a *weak contraction* if  $d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) < d_X(x_1, x_2)$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $x_1 \neq x_2$ .

We write  $\lambda$  for the Lebesgue measure of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $2\mathbb{N}+1$  for the odd positive integers.

## 3. The definition and existence of balanced compact sets

DEFINITION 3.1. If  $a_n$   $(n \in \mathbb{N}^+)$  are positive integers then set, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \{1, \dots, a_k\}$$
 and  $\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{I}_n.$ 

We say that a map  $\Phi: 2\mathbb{N} + 1 \to \mathcal{I}$  is an *index function according to the sequence*  $\langle a_n \rangle$  if it is surjective and  $\Phi(n) \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathcal{I}_k$  for every odd n.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let X be a Polish space. A compact set  $K \subseteq X$  is balanced if it is of the form

(3.1) 
$$K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{i_1=1}^{a_1} \cdots \bigcup_{i_n=1}^{a_n} C_{i_1 \dots i_n} \right),$$

where  $a_n$  are positive integers and  $C_{i_1...i_n} \subseteq X$  are non-empty closed sets with the following properties. There are positive reals  $b_n$  and an index function  $\Phi: 2\mathbb{N} + 1 \to \mathcal{I}$  according to the sequence  $\langle a_n \rangle$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n), (j_1, \ldots, j_n) \in \mathcal{I}_n$ ,

- (i)  $a_1 \ge 2$  and  $a_{n+1} \ge na_1 \cdots a_n$ ,
- (ii)  $C_{i_1\ldots i_{n+1}} \subseteq C_{i_1\ldots i_n}$ ,
- (iii) diam  $C_{i_1...i_n} \leq b_n$ ,
- (iv) dist $(C_{i_1...i_n}, C_{j_1...j_n}) > 2b_n$  if  $(i_1, ..., i_n) \neq (j_1, ..., j_n)$ ,
- (v) if n is odd,  $C_{i_1...i_n} \subseteq C_{\Phi(n)}$  and  $C_{j_1...j_n} \nsubseteq C_{\Phi(n)}$ , then for all  $s, t \in \{1, \ldots, a_{n+1}\}, s \neq t$ , we have

$$\operatorname{dist}(C_{i_1\dots i_n s}, C_{i_1\dots i_n t}) > \operatorname{diam}\left(\bigcup_{j_{n+1}=1}^{a_{n+1}} C_{j_1\dots j_n j_{n+1}}\right).$$

REMARK 3.3. The only reason why the domain of  $\Phi$  is  $2\mathbb{N} + 1$  instead of  $\mathbb{N}^+$  is that we refer to this construction in [BM], where this is important.

REMARK 3.4. In a countable Polish space X there is no balanced compact set  $K \subseteq X$ , since every balanced compact set has cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

THEOREM 3.5. If X is an uncountable Polish space, then there exists a balanced compact set  $K \subseteq X$ .

*Proof.* Every uncountable Polish space contains a non-empty perfect subset (see [K, (6.4) Thm.]), so we may assume by shrinking that X is also perfect. Fix positive integers  $a_n$  according to (i) and an index function  $\Phi$  according to  $\langle a_n \rangle$ . We need to construct non-empty closed sets  $C_{i_1...i_n}$  and positive reals  $b_n$  that satisfy (ii)–(v); then the set

$$K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{i_1=1}^{a_1} \cdots \bigcup_{i_n=1}^{a_n} C_{i_1 \dots i_n} \right)$$

will be a balanced compact set.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $b_k$  and  $C_{i_1...i_k}$  with int  $C_{i_1...i_k} \neq \emptyset$  are already defined for all  $k \leq n$  and  $(i_1, \ldots, i_k) \in \mathcal{I}_k$ , where we use the convention  $\mathcal{I}_0 = \{\emptyset\}, C_{\emptyset} = X$ , and  $b_0 = \infty$ . It is enough to construct  $b_{n+1}$  and  $C_{i_1...i_{n+1}}$ such that int  $C_{i_1...i_{n+1}} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $(i_1, \ldots, i_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ .

We define distinct points  $x_{i_1...i_{n+1}} \in \operatorname{int} C_{i_1...i_n}$  for all  $(i_1, \ldots, i_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ . First assume that n is even. As X is perfect and  $\operatorname{int} C_{i_1...i_n} \neq \emptyset$ , we can fix distinct points  $x_{i_1...i_{n+1}} \in \operatorname{int} C_{i_1...i_n}$  for all  $(i_1, \ldots, i_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ . Now assume that n is odd. First consider those  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n)$  for which  $C_{i_1...i_n} \subseteq C_{\Phi(n)}$ , then fix distinct points  $x_{i_1...i_{n+1}} \in \operatorname{int} C_{i_1...i_n}$  for all  $i_{n+1} \in \{1, \ldots, a_{n+1}\}$ . Let  $\delta$  be the minimum distance between the points  $x_{i_1...i_{n+1}}$  we have defined so far. Now consider those  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n)$  for which  $C_{i_1...i_n} \notin C_{\Phi(n)}$ . For each of them, fix distinct points  $x_{i_1...i_{n+1}} \in \operatorname{int} C_{i_1...i_n}$  for all  $i_{n+1} \in \{1, \ldots, a_{n+1}\}$  such that

$$\operatorname{diam}\left(\bigcup_{i_{n+1}=1}^{a_{n+1}} \{x_{i_1\dots i_{n+1}}\}\right) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$$

For  $(i_1, \ldots, i_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$  consider the non-empty closed sets

$$C_{i_1\dots i_{n+1}} = B(x_{i_1\dots i_{n+1}}, b_{n+1}/2),$$

where  $b_{n+1} > 0$  is sufficiently small. Then the sets  $C_{i_1...i_{n+1}}$  satisfy (ii)–(v), and clearly int  $C_{i_1...i_{n+1}} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $(i_1, \ldots, i_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ .

FACT 3.6. If  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a balanced compact set, then K has zero Lebesgue measure.

*Proof.* For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathcal{I}_n$  let  $I_{i_1 \ldots i_n} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be compact intervals such that  $C_{i_1 \ldots i_n} \subseteq I_{i_1 \ldots i_n}$  and diam  $I_{i_1 \ldots i_n} = \operatorname{diam} C_{i_1 \ldots i_n}$ . Set  $I_n^* = \bigcup_{i_1=1}^{a_1} \cdots \bigcup_{i_n=1}^{a_n} I_{i_1 \ldots i_n}$ . Properties (iii) and (iv) imply that  $\lambda(I_{n+1}^*) \leq \lambda(I_n^*)/2$ for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , thus  $K \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^*$  has zero Lebesgue measure.

4. Balanced compact sets admit exact continuous gauge functions. The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 4.2.

Assume that X is a Polish space and  $K \subseteq X$  is a fixed balanced compact set. Let  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$ ,  $C_{i_1...i_n}$ ,  $\Phi$  witness that K is balanced according to Definition 3.2.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let  $K_{i_1...i_n} = K \cap C_{i_1...i_n}$  for all  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathcal{I}_n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . These sets are called the *n*th level elementary pieces of K. For a set  $A \subseteq K$  we define the *n*th level elementary pieces of A to be the *n*th level elementary pieces of K that intersect A.

THEOREM 4.2. There exists a continuous gauge function h with  $\mathcal{H}^h(K) = 1$ . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{H}^h(K_{i_1\dots i_n}) = \frac{1}{a_1 \cdots a_n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathcal{I}_n$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $h: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ ,

(4.1) 
$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \ge 2b_1, \\ \frac{1}{a_1 \cdots a_n} & \text{if } 2b_{n+1} \le x \le b_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^+, \\ \text{linear} & \text{if } b_n \le x \le 2b_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^+, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

As  $a_n \geq 2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , properties (ii)–(iv) imply that  $2b_{n+1} < b_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Thus  $b_n < b_1/2^{n-1} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Consequently, h is well-defined. Clearly, h is non-decreasing, continuous, and h(x) = 0 iff x = 0. Therefore h is a continuous gauge function.

It is enough to prove that  $\mathcal{H}^h(K) = 1$ , because applying the same argument for  $K_{i_1...i_n}$  yields the more general statement. Then

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{i_1=1}^{a_1} \cdots \bigcup_{i_n=1}^{a_n} C_{i_1\dots i_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam} \ C_{i_1\dots i_n} \le b_n$$

imply

$$\mathcal{H}_{b_n}^h(K) \le \sum_{i_1=1}^{a_1} \cdots \sum_{i_n=1}^{a_n} h(\operatorname{diam} C_{i_1\dots i_n}) \le a_1 \cdots a_n h(b_n) = 1.$$

Since  $b_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we obtain  $\mathcal{H}^h(K) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{H}^h_{b_n}(K) \le 1$ .

For the opposite inequality assume that  $K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j$ ; it is enough to prove that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h(\operatorname{diam} U_j) \geq 1$ . By the continuity of h we may assume that the  $U_j$ 's are non-empty open, and the compactness of K implies that there is a finite subcover,  $K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} U_j$ . Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2b_m < \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} \operatorname{diam} U_j$ . For all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$  consider

$$s_j = \#\{(i_1,\ldots,i_m) \in \mathcal{I}_m : U_j \cap K_{i_1\ldots i_m} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Since  $K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} U_j$ , we have

(4.2) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} s_j \ge a_1 \cdots a_m.$$

Now we show that for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ ,

(4.3) 
$$h(\operatorname{diam} U_j) \ge \frac{s_j}{a_1 \cdots a_m}.$$

Fix  $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ . If diam  $U_j \ge 2b_1$  then  $h(\operatorname{diam} U_j) = 1$  and  $s_j \le a_1 \cdots a_m$ imply (4.3). Thus we may assume that there is an  $1 \le n < m$  such that  $2b_{n+1} \le \operatorname{diam} U_j \le 2b_n$ . On the one hand, (iv) implies that  $U_j$  can intersect at most one *n*th level elementary piece of K, that is,  $s_j \le a_{n+1} \cdots a_m$ . On the other hand, the definition of h implies  $h(\operatorname{diam} U_j) \ge 1/(a_1 \cdots a_n)$ . Therefore (4.3) holds. Finally, (4.3) and (4.2) yield

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} h(\operatorname{diam} U_j) \ge \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{s_j}{a_1 \cdots a_m} \ge 1,$$

and the proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$ 

REMARK 4.3. Note that property (v) and the notion of an index function  $\Phi$  are not needed for the proof of Theorem 4.2. We used only the natural condition  $a_n \geq 2$   $(n \in \mathbb{N}^+)$  instead of property (i).

FACT 4.4. Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a balanced compact set, and let h be the gauge function for K according to (4.1). Then  $\lambda$  is absolutely continuous for  $\mathcal{H}^h$ .

Proof. Let I be a compact interval such that  $\bigcup_{i_1=1}^{a_1} C_{i_1} \subseteq I$ , and assume diam I = c. Set g(x) = x/c. First we prove that  $h(x) \ge g(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, b_1]$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . On the one hand, the definition of h implies  $h(b_n) = 1/(a_1 \cdots a_n)$ . On the other hand, (iv) yields  $2b_n(\#\mathcal{I}_n - 1) \le \text{diam } I$ , so

$$b_n \le \frac{\operatorname{diam} I}{2(\#\mathcal{I}_n - 1)} \le \frac{c}{a_1 \cdots a_n}.$$

Thus  $h(b_n) \ge b_n/c = g(b_n)$ . As h is concave and g is linear on  $[b_{n+1}, b_n]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , we have  $h(x) \ge g(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, b_1]$ .

Finally,  $h|_{[0,b_1]} \ge g|_{[0,b_1]}$  implies that for all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\mathcal{H}^h(A) \ge \mathcal{H}^g(A) = \lambda(A)/c$ , so  $\lambda$  is absolutely continuous for  $\mathcal{H}^h$ .

5. The proof of Theorem 5.1. The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. Let X be a Polish space, and let  $K \subseteq X$  be a balanced compact set. Then there exists a continuous gauge function h such that  $0 < \mathcal{H}^h(K) < \infty$ , and for every weak contraction  $f: K \to X$  we have  $\mathcal{H}^h(K \cap f(K)) = 0$ .

Proof. Let  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$ ,  $C_{i_1...i_n}$ ,  $\Phi$  witness that K is balanced as in Definition 3.2. Let h be the continuous gauge function for K according to (4.1). Theorem 4.2 implies  $\mathcal{H}^h(K) = 1$ . Let  $f: K \to X$  be a weak contraction. It is enough to prove that  $\mathcal{H}^h(K \cap f(K)) = 0$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  let

$$A_n = \bigcup_{i_1=1}^{a_1} \cdots \bigcup_{i_n=1}^{a_n} (K_{i_1\dots i_n} \cap f(K \setminus K_{i_1\dots i_n})).$$

First we prove

(5.1) 
$$K \cap f(K) \subseteq \operatorname{Fix}(f) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n,$$

where  $\operatorname{Fix}(f) = \{x \in K : f(x) = x\}$ . Assume that  $y \in K \cap f(K)$  and  $y \notin \operatorname{Fix}(f)$ ; we need to prove that  $y \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . There is an  $x \in K$  such that f(x) = y and  $x \neq y$ . Then diam  $K_{i_1\dots i_n} \leq b_n$  and  $b_n \to 0$  imply that there are  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathcal{I}_n$  such that  $y \in K_{i_1\dots i_n}$  and  $x \in K \setminus K_{i_1\dots i_n}$ , so  $y \in A_n$ . Thus  $y \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , hence (5.1) holds.

As f is a weak contraction,  $\operatorname{Fix}(f)$  has at most one element. Therefore (5.1) implies that it is enough to prove that  $\mathcal{H}^h(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = 0$ . Property (ii) easily yields  $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , so it is enough to prove that (5.2)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{H}^h(A_n) = 0.$ 

Fix 
$$n \in \mathbb{N}^+$$
 and  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathcal{I}_n$ . The definition of  $\Phi$  implies that there is  
an odd  $m \geq n$  such that  $\Phi(m) = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ . Let  $\Delta_m$  be the set of *m*th level  
elementary pieces of  $K \setminus K_{i_1...i_n}$ . Pick  $E \in \Delta_m$ . As  $f$  is a weak contraction,  
diam  $f(E) \leq$  diam  $E$ . Therefore (v) together with (iii) and (iv) implies that  
 $f(E)$  can intersect at most one  $(m + 1)$ st level elementary piece of  $K_{i_1...i_n}$ .  
Thus  $f(\bigcup \Delta_m) = f(K \setminus K_{i_1...i_n})$  can intersect at most  $\#\Delta_m \leq a_1 \cdots a_m$   
many  $(m + 1)$ st level elementary pieces of  $K_{i_1...i_n}$ . Theorem 4.2 shows that  
every  $(m + 1)$ st level elementary piece of  $K$  has  $\mathcal{H}^h$  measure  $1/(a_1 \cdots a_{m+1})$ ,  
and  $m \geq n$  implies  $a_{m+1} \geq a_{n+1}$ . Therefore

(5.3) 
$$\mathcal{H}^{h}(K_{i_{1}...i_{n}} \cap f(K \setminus K_{i_{1}...i_{n}})) \leq \frac{a_{1}\cdots a_{m}}{a_{1}\cdots a_{m+1}} = \frac{1}{a_{m+1}} \leq \frac{1}{a_{n+1}}.$$

Finally, (5.3), the definition of  $A_n$ , the subadditivity of  $\mathcal{H}^h$ , and property (i) yield

$$\mathcal{H}^h(A_n) \le \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{a_{n+1}} \le \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus (5.2) follows, and the proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$ 

6. The proof of our Main Theorems. Let us recall that the main goal of our paper is to answer the following question.

QUESTION 1.6. What are the lowest possible Borel classes of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  having a non-closed element X such that every weak contraction  $f: X \to X$  is constant?

If  $n \geq 2$  then the answer is  $\Delta_2^0$ , and there is no non-closed  $\Delta_2^0$  example in  $\mathbb{R}$  (see the Introduction). If n = 1 then the following theorems show that  $\Sigma_2^0$  and  $\Pi_2^0$  are the lowest possible Borel classes satisfying Question 1.6.

THEOREM 6.1 (Main Theorem,  $F_{\sigma}$  case). There exists a non-closed  $F_{\sigma}$  set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that every weak contraction  $f: F \to F$  is constant.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.5 there exists a balanced compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $a_n$  be the positive integers and let h be the continuous gauge function for K as in Definition 3.2 and (4.1), respectively. Set  $\mathbb{Q} = \{q_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ . Pick

 $z_0 \in K$  arbitrarily and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  let  $K_n^*$  be the *n*th level elementary piece of K containing  $z_0$  (see Definition 4.1). Consider

(6.1) 
$$F_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (K_n^* + q_n).$$

Clearly,  $F_0$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set, thus  $\mathcal{H}^h$  measurable. The countable subadditivity and translation invariance of  $\mathcal{H}^h$ , and Theorem 4.2, imply

$$\mathcal{H}^{h}(F_{0}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{h}(K_{n}^{*}+q_{n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{h}(K_{n}^{*})$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{1}\cdots a_{n}} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} = 1.$$

As  $F_0$  is an  $\mathcal{H}^h$ -measurable set with finite measure, there is a  $G_\delta$  set  $G_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that

(6.2) 
$$F_0 \subseteq G_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^h(G_0 \setminus F_0) = 0$$

(see [R, Thm. 27] for the proof). Set  $F = \mathbb{R} \setminus G_0$ . Clearly, F is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set. First we prove that F is non-closed. Fact 3.6 yields  $\lambda(K) = 0$ , so the translation invariance and countable subadditivity of the Lebesgue measure imply  $\lambda(F_0) = 0$ . Fact 4.4 and (6.2) imply  $\lambda(G_0 \setminus F_0) = 0$ . Hence  $\lambda(G_0) = 0$ . Therefore  $G_0 \neq \emptyset$ , hence that  $G_0$  is not open, so  $F = \mathbb{R} \setminus G_0$  is non-closed. As F is of full Lebesgue measure, it is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Assume to the contrary that there exists a non-constant weak contraction  $f: F \to F$ . As F is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , f has a unique 1-Lipschitz extension  $\widehat{f}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . First we prove that  $\widehat{f}$  is a weak contraction. Assume to the contrary that there are  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , a < b such that  $|\widehat{f}(b) - \widehat{f}(a)| = |b - a|$ . Since  $\widehat{f}$  is 1-Lipschitz, for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$  we have

(6.3) 
$$|\widehat{f}(x) - \widehat{f}(y)| = |x - y|.$$

Since F is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there are  $x_0, y_0 \in F \cap [a, b], x_0 \neq y_0$ . Applying (6.3) for  $x_0, y_0$  contradicts f being a weak contraction. Thus  $\hat{f}$  is a weak contraction.

As f is non-constant,  $I = \widehat{f}(\mathbb{R})$  is a non-degenerate interval. Then  $\widehat{f}(F) = f(F) \subseteq F$  and the definition of F implies  $F_0 \cap I \subseteq I \setminus F \subseteq \widehat{f}(\mathbb{R} \setminus F)$  $= \widehat{f}(G_0)$ , so

(6.4) 
$$F_0 \cap I \subseteq F_0 \cap \widehat{f}(G_0).$$

Property (iii) and  $b_n \to 0$  yield diam  $K_n^* \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus  $z_0 \in K_n^*$  implies that there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that  $K_n^* + q_n \subseteq I$ , and Theorem 4.2 implies  $\mathcal{H}^h(K_n^*) > 0$ . Therefore, by translation invariance,

(6.5) 
$$\mathcal{H}^h(F_0 \cap I) \ge \mathcal{H}^h(K_n^* + q_n) = \mathcal{H}^h(K_n^*) > 0.$$

Theorem 5.1 implies that for all  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have  $\mathcal{H}^h((K+p) \cap \widehat{f}(K+q)) = 0$ , as  $\widehat{f}(K+q)$  is a weak contractive image of K + p. Therefore  $F_0 \subseteq K + \mathbb{Q}$ and the countable subadditivity of  $\mathcal{H}^h$  yield

(6.6) 
$$\mathcal{H}^{h}(F_{0} \cap \widehat{f}(F_{0})) \leq \mathcal{H}^{h}((K + \mathbb{Q}) \cap \widehat{f}(K + \mathbb{Q}))$$
$$\leq \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}^{h}((K + p) \cap \widehat{f}(K + q)) = 0.$$

As  $\widehat{f}$  is a weak contraction and (6.2) holds, we obtain

(6.7) 
$$\mathcal{H}^h(\widehat{f}(G_0 \setminus F_0)) \le \mathcal{H}^h(G_0 \setminus F_0) = 0.$$

Finally, (6.5), (6.4), the subadditivity of  $\mathcal{H}^h$ , (6.6), and (6.7) imply

$$0 < \mathcal{H}^{h}(F_{0} \cap I) \leq \mathcal{H}^{h}(F_{0} \cap \widehat{f}(G_{0}))$$
$$\leq \mathcal{H}^{h}(F_{0} \cap \widehat{f}(F_{0})) + \mathcal{H}^{h}(\widehat{f}(G_{0} \setminus F_{0})) = 0.$$

This is a contradiction, so the proof is complete.

THEOREM 6.2 (Main Theorem,  $G_{\delta}$  case). There exists a non-closed  $G_{\delta}$  set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that every weak contraction  $f: G \to G$  is constant.

*Proof.* Let  $G = \mathbb{R} \setminus F_0$  (for the definition of  $F_0$ , see (6.1)). Clearly, G is a  $G_{\delta}$  set. Since  $\lambda(F_0) = 0$ , G is of full Lebesgue measure, thus it is non-closed and dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Assume to the contrary that  $f: G \to G$  is a non-constant weak contraction. Now the argument can be completed by replacing F and  $G_0$  in the proof of Theorem 6.1 by G and  $F_0$ , respectively. Notice that  $F_0$  remains unchanged, e.g.  $G_0 \setminus F_0$  becomes  $F_0 \setminus F_0 = \emptyset$ . The reason of this asymmetry is that we do not consider  $G_{\delta}$  hulls as in (6.2), which makes things a little easier.

Acknowledgments. The author is indebted to A. Máthé for some helpful suggestions. He also pointed out that the original proof of Theorem 5.1 can be significantly shortened.

This research was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund grant no. 72655.

### References

- [BM] R. Balka and A. Máthé, Generalized Hausdorff measure for generic compact sets, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., to appear; arXiv:1204.1100.
- [Be] E. Behrends, Problem Session of the 34th Winter School in Abstract Analysis, 2006.
- [Bi] R. H. Bing, *Snake-like continua*, Duke Math. J. 18 (1951), 653–663.
- [C] H. Cook, Continua which admit only the identity mapping onto nondegenerate subcontinua, Fund. Math. 60 (1967), 241–249.

- [D] T. Dobrowolski, private communication, 2006.
- M. Elekes, On a converse to Banach's fixed point theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 3139–3146.
- [K] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer, 1995.
- [M] T. Maćkowiak, The condensation of singularities in arc-like continua, Houston J. Math. 11 (1985), 535–558.
- [R] C. A. Rogers, *Hausdorff Measures*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970.

Richárd Balka

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics Hungarian Academy of Sciences PO Box 127, 1364 Budapest, Hungary E-mail: balka.richard@renyi.mta.hu

> Received 26 March 2012; in revised form 2 February 2013