

## Finite-to-one continuous $s$ -covering mappings

by

Alexey Ostrovsky (München)

**Abstract.** The following theorem is proved. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite-to-one map such that the restriction  $f|f^{-1}(S)$  is an inductively perfect map for every countable compact set  $S \subset Y$ . Then  $Y$  is a countable union of closed subsets  $Y_i$  such that every restriction  $f|f^{-1}(Y_i)$  is an inductively perfect map.

All spaces in this paper are supposed to be separable and metrizable and all the mappings  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to be continuous and “onto”.

We recall the following definitions:

$f$  is *inductively perfect* if there exists a closed subset  $X' \subset X$  such that  $f(X') = Y$  and the restriction  $f|X'$  is perfect, i.e.  $f|X'$  is a closed map with compact fibers  $f^{-1}(y)$ .

$f$  is  *$s$ -covering* if  $f|f^{-1}(S)$  is inductively perfect for every countable and compact set  $S \subset Y$  <sup>(1)</sup>.

The following main theorem is an obvious corollary of Theorem 6 below:

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a finite-to-one  $s$ -covering mapping, then  $Y$  is a countable union of closed subsets  $Z_i$  such that every restriction  $f|f^{-1}(Z_i)$  is an inductively perfect mapping. If  $Y \subset 2^\omega$ , then the  $Z_i$  are pairwise disjoint.*

Under the assumption that for some integer  $n$  all the fibers have at most  $n$  points G. Debs and J. Saint Raymond proved that  $f$  is inductively perfect, but the finiteness of the fibers does not suffice to ensure the same conclusion [1].

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54C10, 54H05, 54E40.

*Key words and phrases*: inductively perfect,  $s$ -covering, finite-to-one.

<sup>(1)</sup> Since the inverse image of a compact set under a perfect mapping is always compact, a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $s$ -covering if and only if every countable compact subset  $S \subset Y$  is the image of some compact  $B \subset X$ .

**1. Some properties of  $s$ -covering mappings.** In this section, we use the following property of  $s$ -covering mappings, which was proved by W. Just and H. Wicke [2], as well as independently by the author [3].

**PROPOSITION 2.** *A mapping  $f$  is  $s$ -covering if and only if in every fiber  $f^{-1}(y)$  there exists a nonempty family  $\varepsilon_y$  of nonempty compact subsets such that every open set containing  $K \in \varepsilon_y$  also contains a set  $K' \in \varepsilon_{y'}$  for any point  $y'$  from a neighborhood of  $y$  <sup>(2)</sup>.*

Throughout the paper, we keep the notation  $\varepsilon_y$ ,  $y \in Y$ , for the above families of compact subsets of the fibers  $f^{-1}(y)$  of an  $s$ -covering map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $s$ -covering mapping. Set*

$$M_y = \bigcap \{K : K \in \varepsilon_y\}, \quad X_0 = \bigcup_{y \in Y} M_y, \quad Y_0 = f(X_0).$$

*Then the restriction  $f|X_0$  is a perfect mapping.*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in f(X_0)$  and  $V \supset M_y$  be an open set. We will prove that  $f_0 = f|X_0$  is a closed mapping by applying the following characterization:  $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  is closed if and only if for every  $y \in Y_0$  and every open  $V \supset f_0^{-1}(y)$  there is an open  $O \ni y$  such that  $f_0^{-1}(y') \subset V$  for every  $y' \in O$ .

Since  $M_y$  is compact, there are finitely many  $K_i \in \varepsilon_y$  such that  $\bigcap_i K_i \subset V$ . It follows from the normality of  $X$  that there are open sets  $V_i \supset K_i \setminus V$  such that  $\bigcap_i V_i = \emptyset$ .

Since  $V_i \cup V \supset K_i$  are open sets, for every  $i$  there exists an open set  $O_i(y)$  such that for every  $y' \in O_i(y)$  there is  $B'_i \in \varepsilon_{y'}$  with  $B'_i \subset V_i \cup V$ .

Let  $O(y) = \bigcap_i O_i(y)$ . If  $y' \in O(y) \cap f(X_0)$ , then

$$M_{y'} = \bigcap \{K : K \in \varepsilon_{y'}\} \subset \bigcap_i B'_i \subset \bigcap_i (V_i \cup V) = \left( \bigcap_i V_i \right) \cup V = V,$$

and hence  $f|X_0$  is a closed mapping with compact fibers  $M_y$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $s$ -covering mapping, let  $X_0, Y_0$  be as in Lemma 3, and define inductively*

$$Y_i = \left\{ y \in Y \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i-1} Y_k : \exists K_y^1, \dots, K_y^{i+1} \in \varepsilon_y \right. \\ \left. \text{such that } K_y^1 \cap \dots \cap K_y^{i+1} = \emptyset \right\} \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

*Then  $Y = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} Y_i$  and  $Y_i$  are pairwise disjoint  $F_\sigma$ -sets.*

---

<sup>(2)</sup> It is easy to see that  $|\varepsilon_y| = 1$  for all  $y \in Y$  if and only if  $f$  is inductively perfect.

*Proof.* Note that if  $y \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$ , then there exist  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $K_y^1, \dots, K_y^{i+1} \in \varepsilon_y$  such that  $K_y^1 \cap \dots \cap K_y^{i+1} = \emptyset$ . By the normality of  $X$ , there are open sets  $O_j \supset K_y^j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, i+1$ ) such that  $\bigcap_j O_j = \emptyset$ , and by the definition of  $\varepsilon_y$  (Proposition 2) there is an open set  $O \ni y$  such that for every  $y' \in O$  one has  $K_{y'}^j \subset O_j$  for some  $K_{y'}^j \in \varepsilon_{y'}$ . Since  $\bigcap_j O_j = \emptyset$  we obtain  $\bigcap_j K_{y'}^j = \emptyset$  and hence  $y' \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$  for  $y' \in O$ . This implies that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is open in  $Y$  and  $Y_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n Y_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} Y_i$  is of type  $F_\sigma$ , for each  $n > 0$ .

Suppose  $y \in Y \setminus Y_0$ . Then  $\bigcap \{K : K \in \varepsilon_y\} = \emptyset$ . Since the sets  $K$  are compact, there are finitely many  $K^j \in \varepsilon_y$  such that  $\bigcap_j K^j = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $y$  belongs to some  $Y_i$  and  $Y = \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty Y_i$ .

## 2. $s$ -covering mappings with finite families $\varepsilon_y$

LEMMA 5. *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $s$ -covering mapping with finite families  $\varepsilon_y$ , and let  $Y_i$  be as in Lemma 4. Then for every  $y \in Y_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) there is an open subset  $O(y)$  of  $Y$  such that the restriction of  $f$  to  $f^{-1}(O(y) \cap Y_i)$  is an  $s$ -covering map onto  $O(y) \cap Y_i$  with a family  $\varepsilon_y^1 \subsetneq \varepsilon_y$ , hence,  $\text{card}(\varepsilon_y^1) \leq \text{card}(\varepsilon_y) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 4 there are open sets  $O_j \supset K_y^j \in \varepsilon_y$  such that  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{i+1} O_j = \emptyset$  and, hence,

$$(1) \quad O_1 \cap \bigcap_{j=2}^{i+1} O_j = \emptyset.$$

Let  $O(y)$  be an open set such that for every  $y' \in O(y) \cap Y_i$  and every  $O_j$  there is  $K_{y'}^j \subset O_j$  for which  $K_{y'}^j \in \varepsilon_{y'}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, i+1$ ). Since  $y' \in Y_i$ , and hence  $y' \notin Y_{i-1}$ , we have

$$(2) \quad \bigcap_{j=2}^{i+1} K_{y'}^j \neq \emptyset.$$

CLAIM. *There is  $j > 1$  such that  $K_{y'}^j \not\subset O_1$ .*

Suppose not; then  $K_{y'}^j \subset O_1$  for all  $j = 2, \dots, i+1$ , and hence

$$(3) \quad \bigcap_{j=2}^{i+1} K_{y'}^j \subset O_1.$$

Since  $K_{y'}^j \subset O_j$ , it follows that

$$(4) \quad \bigcap_{j=2}^{i+1} K_{y'}^j \subset \bigcap_{j=2}^{i+1} O_j.$$

The conditions (2), (3), (4) contradict (1).

For every  $y' \in O(y) \cap Y_i$ , there is  $j$  such that  $K_{y'}^j \not\subset O_1$ . It follows that the restriction of  $f$  to  $O_1 \cap f^{-1}(O(y) \cap Y_i)$  is an  $s$ -covering map onto  $O(y) \cap Y_i$  with a family  $\varepsilon_y^1$  such that  $\text{card}(\varepsilon_y^1) \leq \text{card}(\varepsilon_y) - 1$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $s$ -covering mapping with finite families  $\varepsilon_y$ . Then  $Y$  is a countable union of closed subsets  $Z_i$  such that every restriction  $f|f^{-1}(Z_i)$  is an inductively perfect mapping.*

Indeed, it follows from Lemma 4 that every set  $O(y) \cap Y_i$  is  $F_\sigma$  in  $Y$ . If  $Y \subset 2^\omega$ , it is well known that the open cover  $\{O(y)\}_{y \in Y_i}$  of the zero-dimensional space  $Y_i$  has a refinement consisting of clopen (in  $Y_i$ ) pairwise disjoint sets  $F_{i_r}$ . Hence,  $Y_i = \bigcup_{i_r} F_{i_r}$  is a countable union of pairwise disjoint subsets closed in  $Y$ . In the general case ( $Y \not\subset 2^\omega$ ), the open cover  $\{O(y)\}_{y \in Y_i}$  has a locally finite open refinement and the sets  $F_{i_r}$  are only closed in  $Y$  and not pairwise disjoint.

Now Theorem 6 results from step-by-step application of Lemma 5 to the sets  $F_{i_r}$ , etc.

### 3. Application to Borel sets

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $s$ -covering finite-to-one mapping of a Borel set  $X \subset 2^\omega$  of additive or multiplicative class  $\alpha \geq 1$  onto  $Y \subset 2^\omega$ , then  $Y$  is a Borel set of the same class.*

*Proof.* If  $X$  is of additive class  $\alpha$ , then, by Theorem 1 and by the theorem on preservation of the Borel class under perfect mappings <sup>(3)</sup>, every  $Z_i$  is of additive class  $\alpha$ . It is obvious that  $Y$  is of additive class  $\alpha$  because it is the countable union of the  $Z_i$ .

Let  $X$  be of multiplicative class  $\alpha$ . If  $\alpha = 1$ , then by [4, Main result],  $Y$  is of multiplicative class 1.

For  $\alpha > 1$  we consider in  $\mathbf{C} = 2^\omega$  according to Theorem 1 the sets  $L_i = [Z_i]_{\mathbf{C}} \setminus Z_i$  of additive class  $\alpha$ . Obviously,

$$Y = \bigcup_i Z_i = \bigcup_i ([Z_i]_{\mathbf{C}} \setminus L_i) = \bigcup_i [Z_i]_{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \bigcup_i L_i,$$

where  $\bigcup_i [Z_i]_{\mathbf{C}}$  is of multiplicative class 2 and  $\bigcup_i L_i$  is of additive class  $\alpha$ . This implies that  $Y$  is of multiplicative class  $\alpha$ .

**QUESTION.** I do not know whether the conclusion of Theorem 6 is still true if the condition that  $f$  is an  $s$ -covering mapping with finite families  $\varepsilon_y$  is replaced by the condition that  $f$  is an  $s$ -covering mapping with compact fibers and each set in any family  $\varepsilon_y$  is finite.

---

<sup>(3)</sup> A. D. Taimanov proved [6, Theorem 6] that the image of a Borel set of class  $\xi$  under a perfect mappings is of the same class if  $\xi \geq \omega_0$ , and of class  $\xi + 1$  if  $1 < \xi < \omega_0$ . J. Saint Raymond proved the preservation in the case  $1 < \xi < \omega_0$  [5].

## References

- [1] G. Debs and J. Saint Raymond, *Compact covering properties of finite-to-one mappings*, Topology Appl. 81 (1997), 55–84.
- [2] W. Just and H. Wicke, *Some conditions under which tri-quotient or compact-covering maps are inductively perfect*, Topology Appl. 55 (1994), 289–305.
- [3] A. Ostrovsky, *New classes of maps related to  $k$ -covering maps*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. 1 Mat. Mekh. 1994, no. 4, 24–28 (in Russian); English transl.: Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. 49 (1994), no. 4, 20–23.
- [4] —, *Maps of Borel sets*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 252 (2006), 225–247.
- [5] J. Saint Raymond, *Fonctions boréliennes sur un quotient*, Bull. Sci. Math. 100 (1976), 141–147.
- [6] A. D. Taimanov, *On closed mappings. II*, Mat. Sb. 52 (1960), 579–588 (in Russian).

Bundeswehr University Munich  
D-85577 Neubiberg, Germany  
E-mail: Alexey.Ostrovskiy@UniBw.de

*Received 25 April 2006;  
in revised form 23 November 2006*