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#### Abstract

The present paper is a continuation of our previous paper [Topology 44 (2005), 747-767], where we extended the Burau representation to oriented tangles. We now study further properties of this construction.


1. Introduction. The Burau representation is a homomorphism from the group of braids on $n$ strands to the group of $(n \times n)$-matrices over the ring $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$. In our work [2], summarized below, we extended this representation to oriented tangles in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Since oriented tangles do not form a group, but a category, the result is a functor $\mathcal{F}$ from the category of oriented tangles to some algebraically defined category: the category of Hermitian modules and Lagrangian relations over $\Lambda$ (see Section 2). For braids, this functor is equivalent to the Burau representation. For string links, it is equivalent to a construction of Le Dimet [5]. We refer to [6, 7] and references therein for related work on invariants of tangles.

In the present paper, we study further properties of the functor $\mathcal{F}$. The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the construction of the functor and the main results of [2]. In Section 3, we give a recursive method for the computation of the Lagrangian relation $\mathcal{F}(\tau)$ for any tangle $\tau$ with no closed components. In Section 4, we discuss connexions between these Lagrangian relations and the Alexander polynomial of the link obtained as the closure of the tangle. (These connexions are traditionally studied in this context, see e.g. [4, Section 6].) Finally, Section 5 deals with two families of examples: rational tangles and 2 -strand tangles.
2. The functor Tangles $\rightarrow \mathbf{L a g r}_{\Lambda}$. This section consists of a summary of the main results of [2]. We refer to this article for the proofs and further details.

[^0]2.1. The category of Lagrangian relations. Fix throughout this subsection an integral domain $\Lambda$ (i.e., a commutative ring with unit and without zero-divisors) with ring involution $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda, \lambda \mapsto \widetilde{\lambda}$. A non-degenerate skewhermitian form on a $\Lambda$-module $H$ is a form $\omega: H \times H \rightarrow \Lambda$ such that:
(i) $\omega\left(\lambda x+\lambda^{\prime} x^{\prime}, y\right)=\lambda \omega(x, y)+\lambda^{\prime} \omega\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)$ for all $x, x^{\prime}, y \in H$ and all $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda ;$
(ii) $\omega(x, y)=-\widetilde{\omega(y, x)}$ for all $x, y \in H$;
(iii) if $\omega(x, y)=0$ for all $y \in H$, then $x=0$.

A Hermitian $\Lambda$-module is a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module $H$ endowed with a non-degenerate skew-Hermitian form $\omega$. The same module $H$ with the opposite form $-\omega$ will be denoted by $-H$.

Hermitian $\Lambda$-modules are the objects of our Lagrangian category. To define the morphisms, we need the following preliminary definitions. For a submodule $A \subset H$, denote by $\operatorname{Ann}(A)$ the annihilator of $A$ with respect to $\omega$, that is, the module $\{x \in H \mid \omega(x, a)=0$ for all $a \in A\}$. We say that $A$ is Lagrangian if $A=\operatorname{Ann}(A)$. Given a submodule $A$ of $H$, set

$$
\bar{A}=\{x \in H \mid \lambda x \in A \text { for a non-zero } \lambda \in \Lambda\} .
$$

Note that for any Lagrangian $A \subset H$, we have $A=\bar{A}$.
Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be Hermitian $\Lambda$-modules. A Lagrangian relation between $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ is a Lagrangian submodule of $\left(-H_{1}\right) \oplus H_{2}$ (the latter is a Hermitian $\Lambda$-module in the obvious way). For a Lagrangian relation $N \subset\left(-H_{1}\right) \oplus H_{2}$, we shall use the notation $N: H_{1} \Rightarrow H_{2}$. Given a Hermitian $\Lambda$-module $H$, the submodule

$$
\operatorname{diag}_{H}=\{h \oplus h \in(-H) \oplus H \mid h \in H\}
$$

of $H \oplus H$ is clearly a Lagrangian relation $H \Rightarrow H$. It is called the diagonal Lagrangian relation. Given two Lagrangian relations $N_{1}: H_{1} \Rightarrow H_{2}$ and $N_{2}: H_{2} \Rightarrow H_{3}$, their composition is defined by $N_{2} \circ N_{1}=\overline{N_{2} N_{1}}: H_{1} \Rightarrow H_{3}$, where $N_{2} N_{1}$ denotes the following submodule of $\left(-H_{1}\right) \oplus H_{3}$ :
$N_{2} N_{1}=\left\{h_{1} \oplus h_{3} \mid h_{1} \oplus h_{2} \in N_{1}\right.$ and $h_{2} \oplus h_{3} \in N_{2}$ for a certain $\left.h_{2} \in H_{2}\right\}$.
Theorem 2.1. The Hermitian $\Lambda$-modules, as objects, and Lagrangian relations, as morphisms, form a category.

We shall call this category the category of Lagrangian relations over $\Lambda$. It will be denoted by $\mathbf{L a g r}_{\Lambda}$. Lagrangian relations over $\Lambda$ can be understood as a generalization of unitary $\Lambda$-isomorphisms and unitary $Q$-isomorphisms, where $Q=Q(\Lambda)$ is the field of fractions of $\Lambda$. More precisely, let $\mathbf{U}_{\Lambda}$ be the category of Hermitian $\Lambda$-modules and unitary $\Lambda$-isomorphisms. Also, let $\mathbf{U}_{\Lambda}^{0}$ be the category of Hermitian $\Lambda$-modules, where the morphisms between $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are the unitary $Q$-isomorphisms between $H_{1} \otimes_{\Lambda} Q$ and $H_{2} \otimes_{\Lambda} Q$.

Finally, given such a unitary $Q$-isomorphism $\varphi$, set $\Gamma_{\varphi}^{0}=\{h \oplus \varphi(h) \mid$ $\left.h \in H_{1}, \varphi(h) \in H_{2}\right\} \subset H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$.

Theorem 2.2. The maps $f \mapsto f \otimes \operatorname{id}_{Q}$ and $\varphi \mapsto \Gamma_{\varphi}^{0}$ define embeddings of categories

$$
\mathbf{U}_{\Lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{U}_{\Lambda}^{0} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{L a g r}_{\Lambda}
$$

2.2. The category of oriented tangles. Let $D^{2}$ be the closed unit disk in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Given a positive integer $n$, denote by $x_{i}$ the point $((2 i-n-1) / n, 0)$ in $D^{2}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ be sequences of $\pm 1$ 's of respective length $n$ and $n^{\prime}$. An $\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$-tangle is the pair consisting of the cylinder $D^{2} \times[0,1]$ and its oriented piecewise linear 1-submanifold $\tau$ whose oriented boundary $\partial \tau$ is $\sum_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}, 1\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}\left(x_{i}, 0\right)$. Note that for such a tangle to exist, we must have $\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i}=\sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j}^{\prime}$.

Two $\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$-tangles $\left(D^{2} \times[0,1], \tau_{1}\right)$ and $\left(D^{2} \times[0,1], \tau_{2}\right)$ are isotopic if there exists an auto-homeomorphism $h$ of $D^{2} \times[0,1]$, keeping $D^{2} \times\{0,1\}$ fixed, such that $h\left(\tau_{1}\right)=\tau_{2}$ and $\left.h\right|_{\tau_{1}}: \tau_{1} \simeq \tau_{2}$ is orientation-preserving. We shall denote by $T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ the set of isotopy classes of $\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$-tangles, and by $\operatorname{id}_{\varepsilon}$ the isotopy class of the trivial $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$-tangle $\left(D^{2},\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}\right) \times[0,1]$.

Given an $\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$-tangle $\tau_{1}$ and an $\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)$-tangle $\tau_{2}$, their composition is the $\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)$-tangle $\tau_{2} \circ \tau_{1}$ obtained by gluing the two cylinders along the disk corresponding to $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ and shrinking the length of the resulting cylinder by a factor 2 (see Figure 1). Clearly, the composition of tangles induces a composition

$$
T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \times T\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

on the isotopy classes of tangles. The category of oriented tangles Tangles is defined as follows: the objects are the finite sequences $\varepsilon$ of $\pm 1$ 's, and the morphisms are given by $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)=T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$. The composition is clearly associative, and the trivial tangle $\mathrm{id}_{\varepsilon}$ plays the role of the identity endomorphism of $\varepsilon$.


Fig. 1. A tangle composition

An $\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$-tangle $\tau \subset D^{2} \times[0,1]$ is called an oriented braid if every component of $\tau$ is strictly increasing or strictly decreasing with respect to the projection to $[0,1]$. The finite sequences of $\pm 1$ 's, as objects, and the isotopy classes of oriented braids, as morphisms, form a subcategory Braids of the category of oriented tangles. Finally, an $\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$-tangle $\tau \subset D^{2} \times[0,1]$ is called an oriented string link if every component of $\tau$ joins $D^{2} \times\{0\}$ and $D^{2} \times\{1\}$. Oriented string links are the morphisms of a category Strings which satisfies

## Braids $\subset$ Strings $\subset$ Tangles,

where all the inclusions denote embeddings of categories.
2.3. The Lagrangian representation. We denote by $\mathcal{N}\left(\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}\right)$ an open tubular neighborhood of $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ in $D^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and by $S^{2}$ the 2 -sphere $\mathbb{R}^{2} \cup\{\infty\}$. Given a sequence $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right)$ of $\pm 1$ 's, let $\ell_{\varepsilon}$ be the $\operatorname{sum} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}$. We denote by $D_{\varepsilon}$ the compact surface

$$
D_{\varepsilon}= \begin{cases}D^{2} \backslash \mathcal{N}\left(\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}\right) & \text { if } \ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0, \\ S^{2} \backslash \mathcal{N}\left(\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}\right) & \text { if } \ell_{\varepsilon}=0,\end{cases}
$$

endowed with the counterclockwise orientation, a base point $z$, and a generating family $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(D_{\varepsilon}, z\right)$, where $e_{i}$ is a simple loop turning once around $x_{i}$ counterclockwise if $\varepsilon_{i}=+1$, clockwise if $\varepsilon_{i}=-1$. The same space with the clockwise orientation will be denoted by $-D_{\varepsilon}$.

The natural epimorphism $\pi_{1}\left(D_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, e_{i} \mapsto 1$, gives an infinite cyclic covering $\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow D_{\varepsilon}$. Choosing a generator $t$ of the group of the covering transformations endows the homology $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ with a structure of module over $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$. If $\ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$, then $D_{\varepsilon}$ retracts by deformation on the wedge of $n$ circles representing $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$, and one easily checks that $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a free $\Lambda$-module with basis $v_{1}=\widehat{e}_{1}-\widehat{e}_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}=\widehat{e}_{n-1}-\widehat{e}_{n}$, where $\widehat{e}_{i}$ is the path in $\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}$ lifting $e_{i}$ starting at some fixed lift $\widehat{z} \in \widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}$ of $z$. If $\ell_{\varepsilon}=0$, then $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)=\bigoplus_{i} \Lambda v_{i} / \Lambda \widehat{\gamma}$, where $\widehat{\gamma}$ is a lift of $\gamma=e_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \cdots e_{n}^{\varepsilon_{n}}$ to $\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}$. Note that in any case, $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a free $\Lambda$-module.

Let $\langle\rangle:, H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \times H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the ( $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear, skew-symmetric) intersection form induced by the orientation of $D_{\varepsilon}$ lifted to $\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}$. Consider the pairing $\omega_{\varepsilon}: H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \times H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda$ given by

$$
\omega_{\varepsilon}(x, y)=\sum_{k}\left\langle t^{k} x, y\right\rangle t^{-k} .
$$

It turns out that $\omega_{\varepsilon}$ is a non-degenerate skew-Hermitian form with respect to the involution $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ induced by $t \mapsto t^{-1}$. Therefore, $\left(H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right), \omega_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a Hermitian $\Lambda$-module.

Given an $\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$-tangle $\tau=\tau_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \tau_{\mu} \subset D^{2} \times[0,1]$, denote by $\mathcal{N}(\tau)$ an open tubular neighborhood of $\tau$ and by $X_{\tau}$ its exterior

$$
X_{\tau}= \begin{cases}\left(D^{2} \times[0,1]\right) \backslash \mathcal{N}(\tau) & \text { if } \ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0 \\ \left(S^{2} \times[0,1]\right) \backslash \mathcal{N}(\tau) & \text { if } \ell_{\varepsilon}=0\end{cases}
$$

Note that $\ell_{\varepsilon}=\ell_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$. We shall orient $X_{\tau}$ so that the induced orientation on $\partial X_{\tau}$ extends the orientation on $\left(-D_{\varepsilon}\right) \sqcup D_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$. If $\ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$, we have $H_{1}\left(X_{\tau}\right)=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\mu} \mathbb{Z} m_{j}$, where $m_{j}$ is a meridian of $\tau_{j}$ oriented so that its linking number with $\tau_{j}$ is 1 . If $\ell_{\varepsilon}=0$, then $H_{1}\left(X_{\tau}\right)=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\mu} \mathbb{Z} m_{j} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} e_{i}$. The composition of the Hurewicz homomorphism and the homomorphism $H_{1}\left(X_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, m_{j} \mapsto 1$, gives an epimorphism $\pi_{1}\left(X_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which extends the previously defined homomorphisms $\pi_{1}\left(D_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_{1}\left(D_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. As before, it determines an infinite cyclic covering $\widehat{X}_{\tau} \rightarrow X_{\tau}$, so the homology of $\widehat{X}_{\tau}$ is endowed with a natural structure of module over $\Lambda=$ $\mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$.

Let $i_{\tau}: H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)$ and $i_{\tau}^{\prime}: H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)$ be the homomorphisms induced by the obvious inclusion $\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon} \sqcup \widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \subset \widehat{X}_{\tau}$. Denote by $j_{\tau}$ the homomorphism $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)$ given by $j_{\tau}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=$ $i_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-i_{\tau}(x)$. Finally, set

$$
K(\tau)=\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{\tau}\right) \subset H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)
$$

It is proved in [2] that for any tangle $\tau$, the module $\overline{K(\tau)}$ is Lagrangian. It can also be checked that $K\left(\tau_{2} \circ \tau_{1}\right)=K\left(\tau_{2}\right) K\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ for any tangles $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$. This leads to the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Given a sequence $\varepsilon$ of $\pm 1$ 's, denote by $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon)$ the Hermitian $\Lambda$-module $\left(H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right), \omega_{\varepsilon}\right)$. For $\tau \in T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$, let $\mathcal{F}(\tau)$ be the module $\overline{K(\tau)} \subset H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a functor Tangles $\rightarrow \mathbf{L a g r}_{\Lambda}$ which fits in the commutative diagram

where the horizontal arrows are the embeddings of categories given in Subections 2.1 and 2.2.

Corollary 2.4. Let $\beta \in T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ be an oriented braid. Then there exists a unitary $\Lambda$-isomorphism $f_{\beta}: H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\beta)=K(\beta)$ is the graph of $f_{\beta}$.
3. The module $K(\tau)$. Clearly, any tangle $\tau$ can be written as a composition of the elementary tangles described in Figure 2, where the orientation of the strands is determined by the signs $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$. We now use this result to study the freeness of $K(\tau)$, and to compute this module recursively.


Fig. 2. The elementary tangles
3.1. Freeness of $K(\tau)$. In this subsection, we deal with the following question: Given a tangle $\tau \in T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$, is the module $K(\tau)$ free? Clearly, this module is contained in the free module $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)$. But since the $\operatorname{ring} \Lambda=\mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ is not a principal ideal domain, this is not sufficient to conclude that $K(\tau)$ is free. Nevertheless, we have the following result. Let us say that a tangle $\tau \in T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ is straight if it has no closed components, and if at least one strand of $\tau$ joins $D_{\varepsilon}$ with $D_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$.

Proposition 3.1. If $\tau$ is a straight tangle, then the $\Lambda$-module $K(\tau)$ is free.

We shall need the following lemma (see [2] for the proof).
Lemma 3.2. Consider an exact sequence of $\Lambda$-modules $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow$ $P \rightarrow F$, where $P$ and $F$ are free $\Lambda$-modules. Then $K$ is free.

Lemma 3.3. Let $H, H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ be finitely generated free $\Lambda$-modules. Consider free submodules $N_{1} \subset H \oplus H^{\prime}$ and $N_{2} \subset H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\left(N_{1} \oplus N_{2}\right) \cap\left(0 \oplus \operatorname{diag}_{H^{\prime}} \oplus 0\right)=0$. Then $N_{2} N_{1}$ is a free submodule of $H \oplus H^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. Denote by $f_{1}$ (resp. $f_{1}^{\prime}$ ) the homomorphism $N_{1} \subset H \oplus H^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi} H$ (resp. $N_{1} \subset H \oplus H^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} H^{\prime}$ ), where $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ are the canonical projections. Similarly, denote by $f_{2}^{\prime}$ and $f_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ the homomorphisms $N_{2} \subset H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ and $N_{2} \subset H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow H^{\prime \prime}$. Let $K$ be the kernel of $\left(-f_{1}^{\prime}\right) \oplus f_{2}^{\prime}: N_{1} \oplus N_{2} \rightarrow H^{\prime}$.

Our assumptions and Lemma 3.2 imply that $K$ is free. We have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(N_{1} \oplus N_{2}\right) \cap\left(0 \oplus \operatorname{diag}_{H^{\prime}} \oplus 0\right) \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{f_{1} \oplus f_{2}^{\prime \prime}} N_{2} N_{1} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Therefore, if $\left(N_{1} \oplus N_{2}\right) \cap\left(0 \oplus \operatorname{diag}_{H^{\prime}} \oplus 0\right)=0$, then $N_{2} N_{1}=K$ is free.
Lemma 3.4. Consider tangles $\tau_{1} \in T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ and $\tau_{2} \in T\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $\tau_{2} \circ \tau_{1}$ is straight. Then

$$
\left(K\left(\tau_{1}\right) \oplus K\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right) \cap\left(0 \oplus \operatorname{diag}_{H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)} \oplus 0\right)=0 .
$$

Proof. Denote by $\tau$ the tangle $\tau_{2} \circ \tau_{1}$. We claim that $H_{2}\left(X_{\tau}\right)=0$. Assume first that $\ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$. By excision,

$$
H_{2}\left(X_{\tau}\right)=H_{3}\left(D^{2} \times[0,1], X_{\tau}\right)=H_{3}\left(\tau \times D^{2}, \tau \times S^{1}\right)=0
$$

since $\tau$ has no closed components. If $\ell_{\varepsilon}=0$, consider the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence associated with the decomposition $X_{\tau}=\left(\left(D^{2} \times[0,1]\right) \backslash\right.$ $\mathcal{N}(\tau)) \cup\left(D^{2} \times[0,1]\right):$

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{2}\left(X_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \gamma \xrightarrow{i} H_{1}\left(\left(D^{2} \times[0,1]\right) \backslash \mathcal{N}(\tau)\right),
$$

where $\gamma$ is a 1 -cycle parametrizing $\partial D^{2}$. Since one strand of $\tau$ joins $D_{\varepsilon}$ to $D_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}$, we have $i(\gamma) \neq 0 \in H_{1}\left(\left(D^{2} \times[0,1]\right) \backslash \mathcal{N}(\tau)\right)=\mathbb{Z}^{\mu}$, where $\mu$ is the number of components of $\tau$. Therefore, $i$ is injective, so $H_{2}\left(X_{\tau}\right)=0$ and the claim is proved.

Since $X_{\tau}$ has the homotopy type of a 2-dimensional $C W$-complex and $H_{2}\left(X_{\tau}\right)=0$, we have $H_{2}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)=0$. The decomposition $X_{\tau}=X_{\tau_{1}} \cup X_{\tau_{2}}$ gives the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$
H_{2}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)=0 \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{j} H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau_{1}}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau_{2}}\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\operatorname{ker}(j)=\left\{x \in H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) \mid j_{\tau_{1}}(0 \oplus x)=j_{\tau_{2}}(x \oplus 0)=0\right\} \\
& \cong\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{\tau_{1}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{ker}\left(j_{\tau_{2}}\right)\right) \cap\left(0 \oplus \operatorname{diag}_{H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)} \oplus 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the lemma is proved.
Lemma 3.5. Let $\tau$ be an elementary tangle, as described in Figure 2. Then $K(\tau)$ is a free $\Lambda$-module.

Proof. Note that $X_{\tau}$ has the homotopy type of a 1-dimensional connected $C W$-complex $Y_{\tau}$ (unless $\tau$ is one of the 1-strand tangles $u$ and $\eta$, in which case $K(\tau)=0)$. Therefore, $H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)$ is the kernel of $\partial: C_{1}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow C_{0}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right)$. Since the latter two modules are free, Lemma 3.2 implies that $H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)$ is free. Now, consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow K(\tau) \hookrightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{j_{\tau}} H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right) .
$$

Since $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)$ and $H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)$ are free, the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.2.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Any tangle $\tau$ can be written as a composition of the elementary tangles given in Figure 2. Since $K\left(\tau_{2} \circ \tau_{1}\right)=K\left(\tau_{2}\right) K\left(\tau_{1}\right)$, the result follows from Lemmas 3.3-3.5.

Recall that for a $\Lambda$-module $K$, its rank $\mathrm{rk}_{\Lambda} K$ is defined by $\mathrm{rk}_{\Lambda} K=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{Q}\left(K \otimes_{\Lambda} Q\right)$, where $Q=Q(\Lambda)$ is the field of fractions of $\Lambda$.

Proposition 3.6. Consider $\tau \in T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ with $\varepsilon$ of length $n$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ of length $n^{\prime}$. Then the rank of $K(\tau)$ is given by

$$
\mathrm{rk}_{\Lambda} K(\tau)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n=n^{\prime}=0 \\ \left(n+n^{\prime}\right) / 2-1 & \text { if } \ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0 \text { or } n n^{\prime}=0 \text { and }\left(n, n^{\prime}\right) \neq(0,0) \\ \left(n+n^{\prime}\right) / 2-2 & \text { if } \ell_{\varepsilon}=0 \text { and } n n^{\prime}>0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since $K(\tau)$ is a Lagrangian submodule of $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{rk}_{\Lambda} K(\tau)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rk}_{\Lambda}\left(H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)\right)$. If $\varepsilon$ has length $n$, we know that

$$
\mathrm{rk}_{\Lambda} H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n=0 \\ n-1 & \text { if } \ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0 \\ n-2 & \text { if } \ell_{\varepsilon}=0 \text { and } n>0\end{cases}
$$

The result follows.
3.2. Recursive computation of $K(\tau)$. Throughout this subsection, let $I_{k}$ denote the identity $(k \times k)$-matrix. Consider two finitely generated free $\Lambda$-modules $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ with fixed bases. A homomorphism of $\Lambda$-modules $f: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ is canonically described by its matrix $M_{f}$, and the composition of homomorphisms corresponds to the product of matrices. What about morphisms in the Lagrangian category? A free submodule $N$ of $H \oplus H^{\prime}$ is determined by a matrix of the inclusion $N \subset H \oplus H^{\prime}$ with respect to a basis of $N$. We will say that $N \subset H \oplus H^{\prime}$ is encoded by this matrix. For example, the graph of an isomorphism $f: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ is encoded by the matrix $\binom{I}{M_{f}}$.

Let $H, H^{\prime}, H^{\prime \prime}$ be finitely generated free $\Lambda$-modules with fixed basis. Consider free submodules $N_{1} \subset H \oplus H^{\prime}$ and $N_{2} \subset H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime}$. A choice of a basis for $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ determines matrices $\binom{M_{1}}{M_{1}^{\prime}}$ and $\binom{M_{2}^{\prime}}{M_{2}^{\prime \prime}}$ of the inclusions $N_{1} \subset$ $H \oplus H^{\prime}$ and $N_{2} \subset H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime}$. By Lemma 3.3, if $\left(N_{1} \oplus N_{2}\right) \cap\left(0 \oplus \operatorname{diag}_{H^{\prime}} \oplus 0\right)=0$, then $N_{2} N_{1}$ is free. A natural question is: how can we compute a matrix of the inclusion $N_{2} N_{1} \subset H \oplus H^{\prime}$ from the matrices $\binom{M_{1}}{M_{1}^{\prime}}$ and $\binom{M_{2}^{\prime}}{M_{2}^{\prime \prime}}$ ?

LEMMA 3.7. If $\left(N_{1} \oplus N_{2}\right) \cap\left(0 \oplus \operatorname{diag}_{H^{\prime}} \oplus 0\right)=0$, then the inclusion of $N_{2} N_{1}$ in $H \oplus H^{\prime \prime}$ is encoded by the matrix $\binom{M_{1} W_{1}}{M_{2}^{\prime \prime} W_{2}}$, where $\binom{W_{1}}{W_{2}}$ is a matrix of the inclusion of $K=\left\{x \in N_{1} \oplus N_{2} \mid\left(-M_{1}^{\prime}, M_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cdot x=0\right\}$ in $N_{1} \oplus N_{2}$.

Proof. We will assume the notation of the proof of Lemma 3.3. By definition, $M_{1}, M_{1}^{\prime}, M_{2}^{\prime}$ and $M_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ are the matrices of $f_{1}, f_{1}^{\prime}, f_{2}^{\prime}$ and $f_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ with respect to the bases of $N_{1}, N_{2}, H, H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$. Furthermore, we saw in the proof of Lemma 3.3 that $K=\operatorname{ker}\left(\left(-f_{1}^{\prime}\right) \oplus f_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is free. Let $\binom{W_{1}}{W_{2}}$ be a matrix of the inclusion $K \subset N_{1} \oplus N_{2}$ with respect to a basis of $K$ and the fixed basis of $N_{1} \oplus N_{2}$. By definition, $N_{2} N_{1}=\left(f_{1} \oplus f_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)(K)$. Clearly, $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{1} \oplus f_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap K=\left(N_{1} \oplus N_{2}\right) \cap\left(0 \oplus \operatorname{diag}_{H^{\prime}} \oplus 0\right)$. Since the latter module is assumed to be trivial, $f_{1} \oplus f_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ restricted to $K$ gives an isomorphism onto $N_{2} N_{1}$. The lemma follows.

Lemma 3.7 gives the following recursive method for the computation of $K(\tau)$, where $\tau$ is a straight tangle.

Proposition 3.8. Let $\tau_{1} \in T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ and $\tau_{2} \in T\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be tangles such that $\tau_{2} \circ \tau_{1}$ is straight. Then $K\left(\tau_{1}\right), K\left(\tau_{2}\right)$ and $K\left(\tau_{2} \circ \tau_{1}\right)$ are free. Furthermore, if the inclusions $K\left(\tau_{1}\right) \subset H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)$ and $K\left(\tau_{2}\right) \subset H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) \oplus$ $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ are encoded by matrices $\binom{M_{1}}{M_{1}^{\prime}}$ and $\binom{M_{2}^{\prime}}{M_{2}^{\prime \prime}}$, then $K\left(\tau_{2} \circ \tau_{1}\right) \subset H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus$ $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is encoded by the matrix $\binom{M_{1} W_{1}}{M_{2}^{\prime \prime} W_{2}}$, where $\binom{W_{1}}{W_{2}}$ is a matrix of the inclusion $\left\{x \in K\left(\tau_{1}\right) \oplus K\left(\tau_{2}\right) \mid\left(-M_{1}^{\prime}, M_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cdot x=0\right\} \subset K\left(\tau_{1}\right) \oplus K\left(\tau_{2}\right)$.

Therefore, the computation of $K(\tau)$ for any straight tangle $\tau$ boils down to the computation of this module for the elementary tangles $u, \eta, \sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}^{-1}$. Let us state the result and refer to [2] for the easy proof.

Proposition 3.9. Let $\tau \in T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ be an elementary tangle with $\ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$. The inclusion $K(\tau) \subset H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)$ with respect to the canonical basis of $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)$ is encoded by the matrix $\binom{M}{M^{\prime}}$, where

- $M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & I_{n-3}\end{array}\right)$ and $M^{\prime}=\binom{1}{0} \oplus I_{n-3}$ if $\tau=u$;
- $M=\binom{1}{0} \oplus I_{n-3}$ and $M^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & I_{n-3}\end{array}\right)$ if $\tau=\eta$;
- $M=I_{n-1}$ and $M^{\prime}=M_{f_{i}}^{\varepsilon}$ if $\tau=\sigma_{i}^{\varepsilon}$, for $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, with

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{f_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-t^{\varepsilon_{2}} & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \oplus I_{n-3}, \quad M_{f_{n-1}}=I_{n-3} \oplus\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
t^{\varepsilon_{n}} & -t^{\varepsilon_{n}}
\end{array}\right), \\
M_{f_{i}}=I_{i-2} \oplus\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
t^{\varepsilon_{i+1}} & -t^{\varepsilon_{i+1}} & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \oplus I_{n-i-2} \quad \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

4. The Alexander polynomial. Let $\tau \subset D^{2} \times[0,1]$ be an $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ tangle, with $\varepsilon$ of length $n$. The closure of $\tau$ is the oriented link $\widehat{\tau} \subset S^{3}$ obtained from $\tau$ by adding $n$ oriented parallel strands in $S^{3} \backslash\left(D^{2} \times[0,1]\right)$ as indicated in Figure 3. The orientation of these strands is determined by $\varepsilon$ in order to obtain a well defined oriented link $\widehat{\tau}$. In this section, we show
how the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{\widehat{\tau}}$ of $\widehat{\tau}$ is related to the module $K(\tau) \subset$ $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$.


Fig. 3. The closure $\widehat{\tau}$ of an oriented tangle $\tau$
4.1. Basics. Let $\Lambda$ be a unique factorization domain. Consider a finite presentation $\Lambda^{r} \xrightarrow{f} \Lambda^{g} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of a $\Lambda$-module $M$. We will denote by $\Delta(M)$ the greatest common divisor of the $(g \times g)$-minors of the matrix of $f$. It is well known that, up to multiplication by units of $\Lambda$, the element $\Delta(M)$ of $\Lambda$ only depends on the isomorphism class of $M$. Furthermore, if $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of $\Lambda$-modules, then $\Delta(B) \doteq \Delta(A) \Delta(C)$, where $\doteq$ denotes equality up to multiplication by units of $\Lambda$.

We briefly recall the definition of the 1 -variable Alexander polynomial of an oriented link $L \subset S^{3}$. Denote by $X_{L}$ the exterior of $L$ in $S^{3}$, and consider the epimorphism $\pi_{1}\left(X_{L}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by the total linking number with $L$. It induces an infinite cyclic covering $\widehat{X}_{L} \rightarrow X_{L}$. The $\mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$-module $H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{L}\right)$ is called the Alexander module of $L$ and the Laurent polynomial $\Delta_{L}(t)=\Delta\left(H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{L}\right)\right)$ is the Alexander polynomial of $L$. It is defined up to multiplication by $\pm t^{\nu}$, with $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$.
4.2. A factorization of the Alexander polynomial. Throughout this subsection we use the notation of Section 2.

Lemma 4.1. For $\tau \in T(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ with $\ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$,

$$
\left(t^{\ell_{\varepsilon}}-1\right) \Delta_{\widehat{\tau}}(t) \doteq(t-1) \Delta(A),
$$

where $A$ is the cokernel of $i_{\tau}^{\prime}-i_{\tau}: H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)$.
Proof. Consider the compact manifold $Y_{\tau}$ obtained by pasting $X_{\tau}$ and $X_{\mathrm{id}_{\varepsilon}}$ along $D_{\varepsilon} \sqcup D_{\varepsilon}$. The epimorphisms $\pi_{1}\left(X_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_{1}\left(X_{\mathrm{id}_{\varepsilon}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ extend to an epimorphism $\pi_{1}\left(Y_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, which defines a $\mathbb{Z}$-covering $\widehat{Y}_{\tau} \rightarrow Y_{\tau}$. Hence, we have the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) & \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\alpha_{1}} H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_{1}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{0}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{0}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\alpha_{0}} H_{0}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{0}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}(x, y)=\left(x+y, i_{\tau}(x)+i_{\tau}^{\prime}(y)\right)$. Since $H_{0}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)=H_{0}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)=\Lambda /(t-1)$, the module $\operatorname{Im}(\partial)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ is equal to $\Lambda /(t-1)$. This and the equality $A=\operatorname{Im}(\beta)$ lead to the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow A \hookrightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda /(t-1) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Hence, $\Delta\left(H_{1}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right)\right) \doteq(t-1) \Delta(A)$.
Clearly, $X_{\widehat{\tau}}$ is the union of $Y_{\tau}$ and $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ along a torus $T \subset \partial Y_{\tau}$. The epimorphism $\pi_{1}\left(X_{\widehat{\tau}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by the total linking number with $\widehat{\tau}$ extends the previously defined epimorphism $\pi_{1}\left(Y_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the exact sequence of the pair ( $\widehat{X}_{\widehat{\tau}}, \widehat{Y}_{\tau}$ ) gives

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{2}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(\widehat{X}_{\hat{\tau}}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda /\left(t^{\ell_{\varepsilon}}-1\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\hat{\tau}}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Note that both $H_{2}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right)$ and $H_{2}\left(\widehat{X}_{\widetilde{\tau}}\right)$ are free $\Lambda$-modules. (This follows from the fact that $X_{\hat{\tau}}$ and $Y_{\tau}$ have the homotopy type of a 2-dimensional $C W$ complex, and from Lemma 3.2.) If $H_{2}\left(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{\tau}}\right)=0$, then we have $\Delta\left(H_{1}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right)\right) \doteq$ $\left(t^{\ell_{\varepsilon}}-1\right) \Delta\left(H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{\tau}}\right)\right)=\left(t^{\ell_{\varepsilon}}-1\right) \Delta_{\widehat{\tau}}(t)$ and the lemma holds. If $H_{2}\left(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{\tau}}\right) \neq 0$, then $H_{2}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right) \neq 0$ so both modules have positive rank. By an Euler characteristic argument, the rank of $H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{\tau}}\right)$ and $H_{1}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right)$ is also positive. Therefore, $\Delta\left(H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{\tau}}\right)\right)=\Delta\left(H_{1}\left(\widehat{Y}_{\tau}\right)\right)=0$, and the lemma is proved.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\tau \in T(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ be a tangle with $\ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$, such that $K(\tau)$ is free. Then

$$
\frac{t^{\ell_{\varepsilon}}-1}{t-1} \Delta_{\hat{\tau}}(t) \doteq \operatorname{det}\left(M^{\prime}-M\right) \Delta\left(\operatorname{coker}\left(j_{\tau}\right)\right)
$$

where $\binom{M}{M^{\prime}}$ is a matrix of the inclusion $K(\tau) \subset H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$.
Proof. Since $K(\tau)=\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{\tau}\right)$, we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow K(\tau) \hookrightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{j_{\tau}} H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{coker}\left(j_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

The module $A$ defined by the exact sequence $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{\tau}^{\prime}-i_{\tau}} H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right) \xrightarrow{p} A$ $\rightarrow 0$ fits in the sequence

$$
K(\tau) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta} A \xrightarrow{\gamma} \operatorname{coker}\left(j_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

where $\alpha(x, y)=y-x$ for $x, y \in H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right), \beta=p \circ i_{\tau}=p \circ i_{\tau}^{\prime}$, and $\gamma(\zeta)=\pi(z)$ for $\zeta=p(z) \in A, z \in H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)$. We leave to the reader the proof that this sequence is exact. It then splits into two exact sequences

$$
K(\tau) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta} \operatorname{Im}(\beta) \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Im}(\beta) \hookrightarrow A \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(j_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

The latter sequence implies that $\Delta(A) \doteq \Delta\left(\operatorname{coker}\left(j_{\tau}\right)\right) \Delta(\operatorname{Im}(\beta))$. By Lemma 4.1, we get $\left(t^{\ell_{\varepsilon}}-1\right) \Delta_{\widetilde{\tau}}(t) \doteq(t-1) \Delta(\operatorname{Im}(\beta)) \Delta\left(\operatorname{coker}\left(j_{\tau}\right)\right)$. The former sequence is just a finite presentation of the module $\operatorname{Im}(\beta)$. Furthermore, if a matrix of the inclusion $K(\tau) \subset H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is given by $\binom{M}{M^{\prime}}$, then
a matrix of $\alpha$ is given by $M^{\prime}-M$. Since $K(\tau)$ is a Lagrangian submodule of $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$, its rank is equal to the rank of $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Therefore, $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are square matrices and $\Delta(\operatorname{Im}(\beta)) \doteq \operatorname{det}\left(M^{\prime}-M\right)$.

We have the following generalization of [1, Theorem 3.11]. (There, all the strands of the braid must be oriented in the same direction.)

Corollary 4.3. If $\beta \in T(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ is an oriented braid with $\ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$, then

$$
\frac{t^{\ell_{\varepsilon}}-1}{t-1} \Delta_{\widehat{\beta}}(t) \doteq \operatorname{det}\left(M_{f_{\beta}}-I\right),
$$

where $M_{f_{\beta}}$ is a matrix of $f_{\beta}: H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)(c f$. Corollary 2.4) and $I$ is the identity matrix.

Proof. By Corollary 2.4, $K(\beta)$ is the graph of $f_{\beta}$. Therefore, its inclusion in $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is given by the matrix $\binom{I}{M_{f_{\beta}}}$. Furthermore, $\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}$ is a deformation retract of $\widehat{X}_{\beta}$, so the homomorphism $j_{\beta}$ is onto. The equality then follows from Theorem 4.2.

A tangle $\tau \in T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ is said to be topologically trivial if the oriented pair ( $\left.D^{2} \times[0,1], \tau\right)$ is homeomorphic to the oriented pair $\left(D^{2} \times[0,1], \mathrm{id}_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ for some $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$. For instance, the oriented braids are topologically trivial, as are the elementary tangles described in Figure 2. Note that a topologically trivial tangle with $\ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$ is always straight. Therefore, $K(\tau)$ is a free module if $\ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$.

Corollary 4.4. Consider a topologically trivial tangle $\tau \in T(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ with $\ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$, and let $\binom{M}{M^{\prime}}$ be a matrix of the inclusion $K(\tau) \subset H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Then there is a divisor $\delta \in \Lambda$ of $\left(t^{\ell_{\varepsilon}}-1\right) /(t-1)$ such that

$$
\delta \Delta_{\widehat{\tau}}(t) \doteq \operatorname{det}\left(M^{\prime}-M\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $h$ be the homeomorphism between ( $D^{2} \times[0,1], \tau$ ) and $\left(D^{2} \times[0,1]\right.$, id $\left._{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}\right)$. The induced isomorphism $h_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}\left(X_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(X_{\mathrm{id}_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}}\right)$ is compatible with the epimorphisms $\pi_{1}\left(X_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_{1}\left(X_{\mathrm{id}_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $h$ lifts to a homeomorphism $\widehat{h}: \widehat{X}_{\tau} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{\mathrm{id}_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}}$.

Denote by $B_{\varepsilon}$ the compact surface $\left(\partial D^{2} \times[0,1]\right) \cup\left(D_{\varepsilon} \times\{0,1\}\right)$. Since $\widehat{X}_{\text {id }_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}}$ retracts by deformation on $\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}} \subset \widehat{B}_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}$, the manifold $\widehat{X}_{\tau}$ retracts by deformation on $\widehat{C}=\widehat{h}^{-1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}\right) \subset \widehat{B}_{\varepsilon}$. This leads to the following commutative diagram of inclusion homomorphisms:

where $j \circ k$ is an isomorphism. Denote by $\pi: H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(j \circ i)$ and $\pi^{\prime}: H_{1}\left(\widehat{B}_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(i)$ the canonical projections. Consider the homomor$\operatorname{phism} \varphi: \operatorname{coker}(j \circ i) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(i)$ given by

$$
\varphi(\pi(x))=\pi^{\prime} \circ k \circ(j \circ k)^{-1}(x)
$$

for $x \in H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)$. We easily check that $\varphi$ is a well defined injective homomorphism. Therefore, $\Delta\left(\operatorname{coker}\left(j_{\tau}\right)\right)=\Delta(\operatorname{coker}(j \circ i))$ divides $\Delta(\operatorname{coker}(i))$. The exact sequence of the pair ( $\left.\widehat{B}_{\varepsilon}, \widehat{D}_{\varepsilon} \sqcup \widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ gives

$$
H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{i} H_{1}\left(\widehat{B}_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda /\left(t^{\ell_{\varepsilon}}-1\right) \rightarrow \Lambda /(t-1) \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore, $\Delta(\operatorname{coker}(i)) \doteq\left(t^{\ell \varepsilon}-1\right) /(t-1)$. The result now follows from Theorem 4.2.
5. Examples. Given a topologically trivial tangle $\tau \in T(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ with $\ell_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$, Propositions 3.8, 3.9 and Corollary 4.4 provide a method for the computation of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{\widehat{\tau}}$. We now give several examples of such computations.
5.1. Rational links. For integers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$, denote by $\sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ the following unoriented 3 -strand braid:

$$
\sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\sigma_{2}^{a_{1}} \sigma_{1}^{-a_{2}} \sigma_{2}^{a_{3}} \cdots \sigma_{2}^{a_{n}} & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ \sigma_{2}^{a_{1}} \sigma_{1}^{-a_{2}} \sigma_{2}^{a_{3}} \cdots \sigma_{1}^{-a_{n}} & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Consider the unoriented 3 -strand tangle $\tau\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\tau_{n} \circ \sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$, where

$$
\tau_{n}= \begin{cases}u \circ \eta & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ u \circ \eta \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \sigma_{1} & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

(Recall Figure 2 for the definition of the tangles $u, \eta$ and $\sigma_{i}$.) Finally, denote by $C\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ the unoriented link given by the closure of $\tau\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$. Such a link is called a rational link or a 2-bridge link (see [3] and Figure 4 for examples).


Fig. 4. Rational tangles and rational links

Consider the oriented link $L$ obtained by endowing $C\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ with an orientation. (Note that there is no canonical way to do so: $L$ is not uniquely determined by the integers $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ ) This turns $\sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ into an oriented braid $\beta$. Using Proposition 3.9, one easily computes the associated matrix $M_{f_{\beta}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22}\end{array}\right)$, where $m_{i j} \in \Lambda$ for $i, j=1,2$.

Proposition 5.1. The Alexander polynomial of $L$ is given by

$$
\Delta_{L}(t) \doteq \begin{cases}m_{21} & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ m_{11} & \text { if } n \text { is even } .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Assume first that $n$ is odd. Consider the decomposition $\tau=$ $\tau_{n} \circ \beta$. In the canonical bases $v_{1}, v_{2}$ of $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}$ of $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)$, the inclusion $K\left(\tau_{n}\right) \subset H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is encoded by the matrix $\binom{M^{\prime}}{M}$ with $M^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Furthermore, the inclusion $K(\beta) \subset$ $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)$ is encoded by the matrix $\binom{I}{M_{f_{\beta}}}$. Since $M_{f_{\beta}}$ is invertible, the solutions of the system $\left(-M_{f_{\beta}}, M^{\prime}\right) \cdot{ }^{\prime}=0$ are given by $\binom{W_{1}}{W_{2}}=\binom{M_{f_{\beta}}^{-1} M^{\prime}}{I}$. By Proposition 3.8, $K(\tau)$ is encoded by $\binom{M_{f_{\beta}}^{-1} M^{\prime}}{M}$. By Corollary 4.4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{L}(t) & \doteq \operatorname{det}\left(M-M_{f_{\beta}}^{-1} M^{\prime}\right) \doteq \operatorname{det}\left(M_{f_{\beta}} M-M^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
m_{11} & m_{12} \\
m_{21} & m_{22}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \doteq m_{21} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n$ is even, we have $M^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. This leads to $\Delta_{L}(t)$ $\doteq m_{11}$.

For example, consider an oriented knot $K$ obtained by orienting the knot $C(3,2)$ described in Figure 4. The corresponding oriented braid $\beta$ is the composition of five elementary braids, leading to

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{f_{\beta}} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-t^{\varepsilon} & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
t^{\varepsilon} & -t^{\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
t^{-\varepsilon} & -t^{-\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
t^{\varepsilon} & -t^{\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 t^{-2 \varepsilon}-3 t^{-\varepsilon}+2 & t^{-\varepsilon}-1 \\
2 t^{\varepsilon}-1 & -t^{\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is $\pm 1$ according to the orientation of $K$. By Proposition 5.1, we have $\Delta_{K}(t) \doteq 2 t-3+2 t^{-1}$.

Let $L$ be an oriented link obtained by orienting $C(2,2,2)$ so that the linking number of the components is +2 . Here, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{f_{\beta}} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
t^{\varepsilon} & -t^{\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-t^{\varepsilon} & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-t^{-\varepsilon} & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
t^{\varepsilon} & -t^{\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t^{2 \varepsilon}-2 t^{\varepsilon}+2 & t^{\varepsilon}-t^{2 \varepsilon} \\
2\left(t^{\varepsilon}-t^{2 \varepsilon}\right)\left(t^{2 \varepsilon}-t^{\varepsilon}+1\right) & 2 t^{4 \varepsilon}-2 t^{3 \varepsilon}+t^{2 \varepsilon}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $\operatorname{sign} \varepsilon= \pm 1$ is given by the global orientation of $L$. Therefore, $\Delta_{L}(t) \doteq 2(t-1)\left(t-1+t^{-1}\right)$. Finally, if we orient $C(2,2,2)$ so that the linking number of the components is -2 , the resulting oriented link $L^{\prime}$ has Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{L^{\prime}} \doteq(t-1)\left(t-4+t^{-1}\right)$.
5.2. 2 -strand tangles. In this subsection, we use the techniques introduced above to define an invariant of (2,2)-tangles formed by two arcs and having no closed components. This invariant is a pair of elements of $\Lambda$ defined up to simultaneous multiplication by a unit of $\Lambda$. We study the behavior of this invariant under the basic transformations of $(2,2)$-tangles introduced by Conway [3].

Consider a tangle $\tau \in T\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ with no closed components, where $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ are sequences of $\pm 1$ 's of length 2 . By bending $\tau$, we get a tangle $\tau^{b} \in T(\emptyset, \mu)$ where $\emptyset$ is the empty sequence and $\mu=\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{2}^{\prime},-\varepsilon_{2},-\varepsilon_{1}\right)$. This is illustrated in Figure 5.


Fig. 5. The tangle $\tau^{b}$ obtained by bending $\tau$

Lemma 5.2. The submodule $K\left(\tau^{b}\right)$ of $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu}\right)$ is free of rank one.
Proof. One can write $\tau^{b}$ as a composition $\tau^{b}=\tau^{\prime} \circ u$, where $u \in T(\emptyset, \widetilde{\varepsilon})$ is the elementary 1-strand "cup" tangle and $\tau^{\prime} \in T(\widetilde{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon)$ is a straight tangle. Since $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\emptyset}\right)=H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\widetilde{\varepsilon}}\right)=0$, we have $K(u)=0$. Now, $K\left(\tau^{b}\right)=K\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$, which is free by Proposition 3.1. Its rank is one by Proposition 3.6.

Recall from Subsection 2.3 that $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu}\right)=\left(\Lambda v_{1} \oplus \Lambda v_{2} \oplus \Lambda v_{3}\right) / \Lambda \widehat{\gamma}$, where $v_{i}=\widehat{e}_{i}-\widehat{e}_{i+1}$ and $\gamma=e_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \cdots e_{4}^{\varepsilon_{4}}$. Therefore, $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu}\right)$ is free with basis $v_{1}, v_{2}$. Using this fact and Lemma 5.2, the inclusion $K\left(\tau^{b}\right) \subset H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu}\right)$ is given by a matrix $\binom{m_{1}}{m_{2}}$ with $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \Lambda$, unique up to multiplication by $\pm t^{\nu}$ with $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote this fact by $\tau \sim\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$.

For concreteness, we shall assume throughout the rest of the discussion that $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\prime}=(-1,+1)$ as for the tangle $\tau$ in Figure 6. (The other five cases can be treated similarly.) Consider the tangles $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}$ and $\tau_{4}$ shown in Figure 6: $\tau_{1}$ is obtained from $\tau$ by a horizontal reflexion, $\tau_{2}$ by a rotation to the angle $\pi / 2, \tau_{3}$ by addition of a twist to the right, and $\tau_{4}$ by addition of a twist to the top.


Fig. 6. Tangles with two strands

Proposition 5.3. If $\tau \sim\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$, then $\tau_{1} \sim\left(m_{1},-m_{2}\right), \tau_{2} \sim$ $\left(m_{2},-m_{1}\right), \tau_{3} \sim\left(t m_{1}, m_{1}-m_{2}\right)$ and $\tau_{4} \sim\left(m_{2}-t m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$.

Proof. We have $\tau^{b} \in T(\emptyset, \mu)$ with $\mu=(-1,+1,-1,+1)$, while $\tau_{1}^{b}, \tau_{2}^{b} \in$ $T\left(\emptyset, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ where $\mu^{\prime}=(+1,-1,+1,-1)$. Hence, $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\Lambda v_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \Lambda v_{2}^{\prime} \oplus\right.$ $\left.\Lambda v_{3}^{\prime}\right) / \Lambda\left(v_{1}^{\prime}+v_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. The horizontal reflexion induces an isomorphism $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu}\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu^{\prime}}\right)$ given by $v_{1} \mapsto-v_{3}^{\prime}=v_{1}^{\prime}$ and $v_{2} \mapsto-v_{2}^{\prime}$. Hence, $\tau_{1} \sim\left(m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\binom{m_{1}^{\prime}}{m_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)\binom{m_{1}}{m_{2}}=\binom{m_{1}}{-m_{2}}$. Similarly, the rotation to the angle $\pi / 2$ induces an isomorphism $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu^{\prime}}\right)$ given by the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Thus, $\tau_{2} \sim\left(m_{2},-m_{1}\right)$. Note that $\tau_{3}^{b} \in T\left(\emptyset, \mu^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $\mu^{\prime \prime}=(-1,-1,+1,+1)$. The transformation from $\tau^{b}$ to $\tau_{3}^{b}$ can be understood as a composition $\tau_{3}^{b}=\sigma \circ \tau^{b}$, where $\sigma$ is a spherical braid. By the results of Subsection 3.2, the isomorphism $H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ corresponding to $\sigma$ is given by $v_{1} \mapsto v_{1}^{\prime \prime}+t^{-1} v_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $v_{2} \mapsto-t^{-1} v_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore, $\tau_{3} \sim\left(m_{1}, t^{-1}\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)\right)$, which is equivalent to $\left(t m_{1}, m_{1}-m_{2}\right)$. The case of $\tau_{4}$ is similar.

Proposition 5.4. If $\tau$ is topologically trivial and $\tau \sim\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$, then the oriented links $\tau^{D}$ and $\tau^{N}$ described in Figure 6 have the Alexander module

$$
H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau^{D}}\right)=\Lambda /\left(m_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau^{N}}\right)=\Lambda /\left(m_{2}\right) .
$$

In particular, $\Delta_{\tau^{D}}(t) \doteq m_{1}$ and $\Delta_{\tau^{N}}(t) \doteq m_{2}$.

Proof. Since $\tau$ is topologically trivial, $H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau^{b}}\right)=H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)=\Lambda$ and the inclusion homomorphism $j: H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu}\right)=\Lambda v_{1} \oplus \Lambda v_{2} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right)$ is onto (cf. the proof of Corollary 4.4). Therefore, the greatest common divisor of $j\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $j\left(v_{2}\right)$ is 1 . Hence, the kernel $K\left(\tau^{b}\right)$ of $j$ is generated by $j\left(v_{2}\right) v_{1}-j\left(v_{1}\right) v_{2}$, so $m_{1}=j\left(v_{2}\right)$ and $m_{2}=-j\left(v_{1}\right)$. Since the exterior of $\tau^{D}$ in $S^{3}$ can be written $X_{\tau D}=X_{\tau} \cup X_{\mathrm{id}}$, we have the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$
H_{1}\left(\widehat{D}_{\mu}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\mathrm{id}}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau D}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Clearly, $H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\text {id }}\right)=\Lambda v_{1}$ and a matrix of $\varphi$ is given by $\left.\binom{j\left(v_{1}\right)}{1} \begin{array}{c}j\left(v_{2}\right) \\ 0\end{array}\right)$. It is equivalent to $\left(j\left(v_{2}\right)\right)=\left(m_{1}\right)$, so $H_{1}\left(\widehat{X}_{\tau^{D}}\right)=\Lambda /\left(j\left(v_{2}\right)\right)=\Lambda /\left(m_{1}\right)$. With the notation of Figure 6, we have $\tau^{N}=\left(\tau_{2}\right)^{D}$. Hence, the formula for $\tau^{N}$ follows from the formula for $\tau^{D}$ and from Proposition 5.3.
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