

## Perturbations of bi-continuous semigroups

by

BÁLINT FARKAS (Tübingen and Budapest)

**Abstract.** The notion of bi-continuous semigroups has recently been introduced to handle semigroups on Banach spaces that are only strongly continuous for a topology coarser than the norm-topology. In this paper, as a continuation of the systematic treatment of such semigroups started in [20–22], we provide a bounded perturbation theorem, which turns out to be quite general in view of various examples.

**1. Introduction.** While the theory of  $C_0$ -semigroups is well understood and has found a wide range of applications, there are important examples of semigroups of bounded linear operators on Banach spaces that are not strongly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  with respect to the norm-topology (see, e.g., [5, 20–22, 24] and also [8, 12, 18, 19, 23]). To deal with such semigroups the notion of *bi-continuous semigroups* has been introduced recently by F. Kühnemund ([20–22]). Among the semigroups that fit into this setting are adjoint semigroups ([20, 24]), evolution semigroups on  $C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , semigroups induced by flows ([13–15]), implemented semigroups ([2, 3]) and the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup on  $C_b(H)$  ([9, 10, 20, 25]). In [21, 22], F. Kühnemund obtained generation and approximation theorems for such semigroups (see also [1, 6]). Although perturbation results for semigroups which are not strongly continuous were investigated for example in [11, 17] and [24, Ch. 4] in a different setting, a general perturbation theory of generators of bi-continuous semigroups is still lacking. Our aim is to close this gap and to provide a bounded perturbation theorem for bi-continuous semigroups. It turns out that some additional assumptions on the perturbing operator are needed.

In Section 2 we give some examples showing that we cannot expect that a bounded perturbation theorem holds in general. In Section 3 we prove the bounded perturbation theorem for bi-continuous semigroups, and we

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46A03, 47D06, 47A55.

*Key words and phrases*: bounded perturbation, non-strongly continuous semigroups, bi-continuous semigroups, equicontinuous semigroups.

The author was supported by the Marie Curie Host Fellowship “Spectral theory for evolutions equations” at AGFA Tübingen, contract number HPMT-CT-2001-00315.

also show the existence of a Dyson–Phillips expansion and a variation of parameters formula for the perturbed semigroup. In Section 4 we return to examples and collect some conditions on bounded perturbations of bi-continuous semigroups for various topologies.

Throughout this paper  $X$  denotes a Banach space which is also endowed with a locally convex, Hausdorff topology  $\tau$ . On the space  $(X, \tau)$  we always assume the following (see [20, Sec. 1.1]).

ASSUMPTION 1.1. (i) The norm topology is finer than  $\tau$ .

(ii) The locally convex space  $(X, \tau)$  is sequentially complete on  $\tau$ -closed, norm-bounded sets.

(iii) The dual space  $(X, \tau)'$  is norming for  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , i.e.,

$$\|x\| = \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in (X, \tau)' \\ \|\varphi\| \leq 1}} |\varphi(x)|.$$

The locally convex topology  $\tau$  is determined by a directed family  $\mathcal{P}$  of seminorms, and for simplicity we assume that all seminorms  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfy  $p(x) \leq \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$ .

We now recall the basic notions as introduced and studied in [20–22].

DEFINITION 1.2. A set  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$  of bounded linear operators is said to be *bi-equicontinuous* (for the topology  $\tau$ ), if for every norm-bounded  $\tau$ -null sequence  $x_n$

$$\tau\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = 0$$

uniformly for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . A family  $\{T(s) : s \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  of operators is *locally bi-equicontinuous*, if  $\{T(s) : s \in [0, t]\}$  is bi-equicontinuous for all  $t > 0$ .

DEFINITION 1.3. A function  $T : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  is called a *bi-continuous semigroup*, if

- (i)  $T(0) = I$  and  $T(s + t) = T(s)T(t)$  for all  $t, s \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $T$  is locally bounded, i.e.,  $T|_{[0, t]}$  is a norm-bounded function for some (and in this case for all)  $t > 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\{T(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  is locally bi-equicontinuous,
- (iv) the maps  $t \mapsto T(t)x$  are  $\tau$ -continuous for all  $x \in X$ .

Since

$$\|x\| = \sup\{p(x) : p \in \mathcal{P}\} \quad \text{for all } x \in X$$

by Assumption 1.1, the function  $\|\cdot\|$  is  $\tau$ -lower semicontinuous. This has an important consequence which we state explicitly in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.4. *The unit ball in  $X$  is  $\tau$ -closed.*

The following notion will become important in Section 3, when we extend a non-densely defined operator to the whole space  $X$ .

DEFINITION 1.5. Let  $Y \subseteq X$  be an arbitrary subset. It is called *bi-dense*, if for all  $x \in X$  there exists a norm-bounded sequence  $x_n$  which converges to  $x$  in  $\tau$ . If moreover there exists a  $\delta > 1$  such that for all  $x \in X$  there is a sequence  $x_n$   $\tau$ -convergent to  $x$  with

$$(1) \quad \|x_n\| \leq \delta \|x\| \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then we say that  $Y$  is  $\delta$ -*bi-dense* in  $X$  for the topology  $\tau$ .

The following two results show that requiring  $\delta$ -bi-denseness instead of bi-denseness is not so restrictive.

REMARK 1.6. Suppose that the topology  $\tau$  is metrisable. Then any bi-dense set  $D \subseteq X$  which contains 0 is  $\delta$ -bi-dense for arbitrary  $\delta > 1$ . This can be seen by means of a simple diagonal process. In fact, take an arbitrary  $x \in X$  and suppose that

$$p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_n \leq \dots$$

is cofinal in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and choose  $x_n \in D$  such that  $p_n(x_n - x) \leq 1/n$ . Then  $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$  and  $\|x_n\| \leq \delta \|x\|$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large.

PROPOSITION 1.7. Let  $T$  be a bi-continuous semigroup, and denote its generator by  $(A, D(A))$ . Then  $D(A)$  is  $\delta$ -bi-dense in  $X$  for an appropriate  $\delta > 1$ .

*Proof.* Take  $x \in X$ . Then it is shown in [20, Sec. 1.2] that

$$\tau\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nR(n, A)x = x.$$

Let  $M > 0$  and  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$(2) \quad \|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Since  $(A, D(A))$  is a Hille–Yosida operator, we have

$$\|nR(n, A)x\| \leq \frac{Mn}{n - \omega} \|x\| \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

hence taking  $x_n = nR(n, A)x$  and any  $\delta > M$  we see that (1) is satisfied for large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . ■

**2. Counterexamples.** In this section we show that in general the perturbation of the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup by a norm-bounded operator does not generate a bi-continuous semigroup. In fact, it suffices to show that a norm-bounded operator is not necessarily the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup.

The first example deals with adjoint semigroups which are bi-continuous for the weak\*-topology ([20, Sec. 3.5], [24]). Namely, we show that not all bounded operators on dual spaces are generators of weak\*-bi-continuous semigroups.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Consider the Banach spaces  $X := C([0, 1])$  and  $X' = \mathcal{M}([0, 1])$ , the space of all complex Borel measures on  $[0, 1]$ . Define the following shift operator on  $X'$ :

$$(B\mu)(S) = \mu((S - 3/4) \cap [0, 1]) \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \mathcal{M}([0, 1]), S \subseteq [0, 1] \text{ a Borel set.}$$

Then  $B$  is a norm-continuous operator on  $\mathcal{M}([0, 1])$  and clearly  $B^2 = 0$ . We show that  $B$  is not weak\*-continuous. Indeed, consider the sequence of Dirac measures  $\delta_{3/4-1/(n+1)}$ . It obviously converges to  $\delta_{3/4}$  in the weak\*-topology  $\sigma(X', X)$ . Further,  $B\delta_{3/4-1/(n+1)} = 0$ , whereas  $B\delta_{3/4} = \delta_0$ , showing that  $B$  is not continuous for the topology  $\sigma(X', X)$ . The  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T$  generated by  $B$  is given by

$$T(t) = I + tB, \quad t \geq 0,$$

which shows that  $T$  is not bi-continuous for the weak\*-topology  $\sigma(X', X)$ .

The following two examples are given on  $C_b(\mathbb{R})$ . Both rely on the fact that there exist Baire measures on the Stone-Ćech compactification  $\beta\mathbb{R}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  with support disjoint from  $\mathbb{R}$ .

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let  $X = C_b(\mathbb{R})$  and take  $x \in \beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  and consider the linear operator  $B = \mathbf{1} \otimes \delta_x$  on  $X$ . Then  $B$  is a contractive projection. Now, we show that  $B$  is not continuous with respect to the compact-open topology  $\tau_c$ . Let  $f_n$  be a sequence of continuous functions satisfying

$$f_n|_{[-n, n]} = 1, \quad \text{supp } f_n \subseteq [-(n + 1), n + 1].$$

Then  $f_n \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$  in the topology  $\tau_c$ , but  $Bf_n = 0$  since the function  $f_n$  vanishes outside a compact set of  $\mathbb{R}$ , while  $B\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ . Hence,  $B$  is not continuous with respect to  $\tau_c$ . As before, the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T$  generated by  $B$  is given by the series

$$T(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(Bt)^n}{n!} = I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} B = I - B + e^t B, \quad t \geq 0.$$

This implies that  $T(t)$  is not sequentially  $\tau_c$ -continuous on bounded sets unless  $t = 0$ , therefore  $B$  is not the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup with respect to  $\tau_c$ .

EXAMPLE 2.3. Again we work on  $X = C_b(\mathbb{R})$  and take a Banach limit, i.e., a linear functional  $\varphi$  with

$$\|\varphi\| = 1, \quad \varphi(\mathbf{1}) = 1, \quad \varphi(f(\cdot + r)) = \varphi(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in X \text{ and } r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For such a  $\varphi$ , we define the norm-bounded linear operator

$$B = \mathbf{1} \otimes \varphi.$$

As in Example 2.2, one shows that  $B$  is not  $\tau_c$ -continuous on norm-bounded sets, hence  $B$  is not the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup for the topology  $\tau_c$ . Now, we show that there exists a non-trivial bi-continuous semigroup

$S$  with generator  $(A, D(A))$  for which  $(A + B, D(A))$  is not the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup.

It is not hard to check that the translation group  $S$  on  $X$  is  $\tau_c$ -bi-continuous (cf. [20, Sec. 3.2]). Denote its generator by  $(A, D(A))$ . We now show that a bounded perturbation of  $S$  need not be bi-continuous. We take the above  $B$  as the perturbing operator and make use of the translation invariance of  $\varphi$ , which implies that  $B$  commutes with the semigroup  $S$ . Consider the function

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto T(t) = S(t)(I - B + e^t B) = (I - B + e^t B)S(t).$$

Then  $T$  obviously has the semigroup property and is  $\tau_c$ -strongly continuous. A straightforward computation shows that for all  $x \in D(A)$  the orbits  $t \mapsto T(t)x$  are  $\tau_c$ -differentiable with derivative  $(A + B)T(t)x$ . If  $(A + B, D(A))$  is the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup, then this semigroup has to coincide with  $T$ . However,  $T$  is not locally bi-equicontinuous, which can be seen by taking the sequence of functions  $f_n$  as before. Therefore  $(A + B, D(A))$  cannot be the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup.

**3. Bounded perturbation of bi-continuous semigroups.** We now turn to positive results concerning bounded perturbations of bi-continuous semigroups and prove that a bi-continuous semigroup can be perturbed by a bounded operator provided that the perturbing operator is also sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous on norm-bounded sets. We make use of abstract Volterra operators as in [16, Sec. III.1] and put all the necessary properties of a bi-continuous semigroup into the Banach space on which this operator acts.

DEFINITION 3.1. For  $t_0 > 0$  consider

$$\mathcal{X}_{t_0} := \{T : [0, t_0] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X) \text{ } \tau\text{-strongly continuous, norm-bounded, and } \{T(t) : t \in [0, t_0]\} \text{ bi-equicontinuous}\}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  is a linear space.

LEMMA 3.2. *The space  $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  is complete for the supremum norm*

$$\|T\|_\infty := \sup\{\|T(t)\| : t \in [0, t_0]\} \quad \text{for } T \in \mathcal{X}_{t_0}.$$

*Proof.* We show that  $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  is a closed subspace of the Banach space  $B([0, t_0], \mathcal{L}(X))$  of all bounded functions from  $[0, t_0]$  to the space of bounded linear operators  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  endowed with the supremum norm. Let  $T_n \in \mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  converge to  $T \in B([0, t_0], \mathcal{L}(X))$  and take any  $x \in X$ . Then  $T_n(\cdot)x$  converges to  $T(\cdot)x$  for  $\tau$  uniformly in  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . Indeed, for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  we have

$$p(T_n(t)x - T(t)x) \leq \|T_n(t)x - T(t)x\| \leq \|T_n - T\|_\infty \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence,  $T$  is  $\tau$ -strongly continuous. The norm-boundedness of  $T$  is trivial. In order to prove the bi-equicontinuity of the set  $\{T(t) : t \in [0, t_0]\}$  we take

a norm-bounded  $\tau$ -null sequence  $x_n$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|T_m - T\|_\infty < \varepsilon/2$ . Then we obtain

$$p(T(t)x_n) \leq p((T(t) - T_m(t))x_n) + p(T_m(t)x_n) \leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large, by the bi-equicontinuity of  $\{T_m(t) : t \in [0, t_0]\}$ . ■

LEMMA 3.3. *The Banach space  $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  is a Banach algebra.*

*Proof.* Take  $0 < t < t_0$  and  $h_n \in \mathbb{R}$  converging to 0. For  $S, T \in \mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  and  $x \in X$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} & T(t + h_n)S(t + h_n)x - T(t)S(t)x \\ &= T(t + h_n)S(t + h_n)x - T(t + h_n)S(t)x + T(t + h_n)S(t)x - T(t)S(t)x. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly we have

$$T(t + h_n)S(t)x - T(t)S(t)x \xrightarrow{\tau} 0.$$

From the bi-equicontinuity of  $\{T(t) : t \in [0, t_0]\}$  it also follows that

$$T(t + h_n)S(t + h_n)x - T(t + h_n)S(t)x = T(t + h_n)(S(t + h_n) - S(t))x \xrightarrow{\tau} 0.$$

The left (respectively right)  $\tau$ -strong continuity of  $T \cdot S$  at the endpoints of  $[0, t_0]$  can be proved analogously. The norm-boundedness of  $t \mapsto T(t)S(t)$  is obvious. It remains to show the bi-equicontinuity of  $\{T(t)S(t) : t \in [0, t_0]\}$ . Suppose the contrary, i.e., there exists a norm-bounded  $\tau$ -null sequence  $x_n$ , an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a seminorm  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $t_n \in [0, t_0]$  convergent and satisfying

$$p(T(t_n)S(t_n)x_n) > \varepsilon.$$

This leads to a contradiction since  $S(t_n)x_n$  is norm-bounded and  $\tau$ -convergent to 0 (by the bi-equicontinuity of  $S$ ), hence by the bi-equicontinuity of  $T$  we conclude that

$$p(T(t_n)S(t_n)x_n) \rightarrow 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

Take now a  $\tau$ -bi-continuous semigroup  $T$  and suppose that  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is also sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous on norm-bounded sets. The previous two lemmas enable us to define an abstract Volterra operator  $V_{t_0}$  associated to the semigroup  $T$  on the Banach space  $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  in the following way. For  $t \in [0, t_0]$  and  $x \in X$  set

$$(3) \quad [V_{t_0}S](t)x := \int_0^t T(t-s)BS(s)x \, ds, \quad x \in X,$$

for any  $S \in \mathcal{X}_{t_0}$ . The integral exists in the  $\tau$  topology since the mapping  $s \mapsto T(t-s)BS(s)$  is  $\tau$ -continuous by assumption and Lemma 3.3. We now prove that  $V_{t_0} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_{t_0})$  and compute its spectral radius  $r(V_{t_0})$ .

Notice that  $[V_{t_0}S](t)$  (for  $t \in [0, t_0]$ ) is independent of the particular choice of  $t_0$ .

LEMMA 3.4. *The linear operator defined in (3) maps  $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  into itself, is bounded, and has spectral radius  $r(V_{t_0}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $S \in \mathcal{X}_{t_0}$ ,  $t \in [0, t_0]$  and  $x \in X$ , and write

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad \|[V_{t_0}S](t)x\| &= \sup_{\substack{\phi \in (X, \tau)' \\ \|\phi\| \leq 1}} \left| \left\langle \int_0^t T(t-s)BS(s)x \, ds, \phi \right\rangle \right| \\
 &\leq \sup_{\substack{\phi \in (X, \tau)' \\ \|\phi\| \leq 1}} \int_0^t |\langle T(t-s)BS(s)x, \phi \rangle| \, ds \\
 &\leq t_0 \|T|_{[0, t_0]}\|_\infty \cdot \|B\| \cdot \|S\|_\infty \cdot \|x\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $[V_{t_0}S](t) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ .

Second, we show that  $\{[V_{t_0}S](t) : t \in [0, t_0]\}$  is bi-equicontinuous. To do this, consider a norm-bounded  $\tau$ -null sequence  $x_n$  and take  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 p([V_{t_0}S](t)x_n) &= p\left(\int_0^t T(t-s)BS(s)x_n \, ds\right) \\
 &\leq \int_0^t p(T(t-s)BS(s)x_n) \, ds \leq t_0\varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large since  $\{T(t-s)BS(s) : s \in [0, t_0]\}$  is bi-equicontinuous by Lemma 3.3. Clearly  $[V_{t_0}S](\cdot)$  is norm-bounded and  $\tau$ -strongly continuous, hence  $V_{t_0}S \in \mathcal{X}_{t_0}$ .

The operator  $V_{t_0}$  is the restriction of the abstract Volterra operator defined on the space of all strongly continuous functions  $C([0, t_0], \mathcal{L}_s(X))$ , hence it is bounded and has spectral radius  $r(V_{t_0}) = 0$  (see [16, III.1.5]). In fact,

$$(5) \quad \|V_{t_0}^n S\| \leq \frac{(t_0 \cdot \|T|_{[0, t_0]}\|_\infty \cdot \|B\|)^n}{n!} \|S\|_\infty \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } S \in \mathcal{X}_{t_0}. \blacksquare$$

The previous lemma plays a key role in the following since it implies  $1 \in \varrho(V_{t_0})$ . Furthermore, the resolvent of  $V_{t_0}$  at 1 is given by

$$R(1, V_{t_0}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_{t_0}^n,$$

the series converging in the operator norm of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_{t_0})$ . We are now ready to prove our main theorem.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let  $T$  be a bi-continuous semigroup with generator  $(A, D(A))$  and suppose that  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous on norm-bounded sets. Then  $(A + B, D(A))$  is also the generator of a bi-continuous*

semigroup  $S$ . Moreover  $S$  is given by the Dyson–Phillips series

$$(6) \quad S(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

which is uniformly norm-convergent on compact intervals. Here,

$$T_0(t) := T(t), \quad T_n(t) := \int_0^t T(t-s)BT_{n-1}(s) ds \quad \text{for } n > 0,$$

where the integral is understood in the  $\tau$ -strong topology.

*Proof.* Let  $t_0 > 0$  arbitrary, and define the abstract Volterra operator  $V_{t_0}$  on the space  $\mathcal{X}_{t_0}$  as above. Further set

$$(7) \quad S_{t_0}(t) := \delta_t(R(1, V_{t_0})T|_{[0, t_0]})$$

for each  $t \in [0, t_0]$  ( $\delta_t$  is the Dirac measure at  $t$ ). In the following we will write  $T$  instead of the restriction  $T|_{[0, t_0]}$ . From the definition of  $V_{t_0}$ , it is immediate that

$$S_{t_0}(t)x = S_{t'_0}(t)x \quad \text{for all } t \leq t'_0 \leq t_0 \text{ and } x \in X.$$

This enables us to define

$$S(t) := \begin{cases} I & \text{for } t = 0, \\ S_t(t) & \text{for } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

First, we show that  $S$  is a semigroup. As a first step, we prove that

$$S_{t_0}(t+s) = S_{t_0}(t)S_{t_0}(s)$$

whenever  $0 \leq s, t \leq s+t \leq t_0$ . Indeed, for such  $t$  and  $s$ , by definition we have

$$S_{t_0}(t)S_{t_0}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [V_{t_0}^n T](t) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [V_{t_0}^n T](s).$$

Since the series converges in the operator norm, the Cauchy product yields

$$S_{t_0}(t)S_{t_0}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n [V_{t_0}^k T](t)[V_{t_0}^{n-k} T](s).$$

Therefore it remains to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n [V_{t_0}^k T](t)[V_{t_0}^{n-k} T](s) = [V_{t_0}^n T](t+s),$$

which obviously holds for  $n = 0$  and then can be proved by induction.

Since  $S|_{[0, t_0]} = S_{t_0} \in \mathcal{X}_{t_0}$ , we see immediately that  $S$  is a bi-continuous semigroup and from the definition it is straightforward that  $T_n(t) = (V_t^n T|_{[0, t]})(t)$  and hence (6) is satisfied. The uniform convergence on compact intervals follows from the continuity of  $\delta_t$ .



We claim that the generator  $(C, D(C))$  of  $S$  is  $(A + B, D(A))$ . To prove this, we first remark that  $(A, D(A))$  is a Hille–Yosida operator (cf. [20, Sec. 1.5] and [21]) and therefore its bounded perturbation  $(A + B, D(A))$  is also a Hille–Yosida operator (see, e.g., [4, Theorem 3.5.5]), in particular its resolvent set is not empty. Now, take  $x \in X$  arbitrary. Then

$$\frac{[V_{t_0}T](h)x}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h T(h-s)BT(s)x \, ds,$$

from which we deduce that

$$(8) \quad \frac{[V_{t_0}T](h)x}{h} \xrightarrow{\tau} Bx.$$

Indeed, by the  $\tau$ -continuity of the orbits  $s \mapsto S(s)Bx$  one can choose  $0 < \delta < t_0$  for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$p(S(h-s)Bx - Bx) \leq \varepsilon/2$$

whenever  $h \in [0, \delta]$  and  $s \in [0, h]$ . Further, by taking  $\delta$  possibly smaller, we see by the bi-equicontinuity of  $\{S(s) : s \in [0, t_0]\}$  and the  $\tau$ -continuity of  $s \mapsto BT(s)x$  that

$$\begin{aligned} p\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h S(h-s)BT(s)x \, ds - Bx\right) &\leq \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h p(S(h-s)Bx - Bx) \, ds + \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h p(S(h-s)(BT(s)x - Bx)) \, ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For  $x \in D(A)$  we have

$$(9) \quad \frac{S(h)x - x}{h} = \frac{T(h)x - x}{h} + \frac{[V_{t_0}T](h)x}{h} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[V_{t_0}^n T](h)x}{h}.$$

Using (5) we see that

$$(10) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[V_{t_0}^n T](h)x}{h} \right\| \leq h \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{h^{n-2} (\|T\|_{\infty} \cdot \|B\|)^n}{n!} \|T\|_{\infty} \cdot \|x\| \leq hC\|x\|$$

for all  $x \in X$  and for some constant  $C > 0$ , which shows that the third term in (9) converges to zero in the topology  $\tau$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . Putting (8) and (9) together we obtain

$$\frac{S(h)x - x}{h} \xrightarrow{\tau} Ax + Bx,$$

proving that  $A + B \subseteq C$ . This together with the above remark on the non-empty resolvent set of  $A + B$  implies that  $A + B = C$ . ■

COROLLARY 3.6. *If  $T$  is a bi-continuous semigroup with generator  $(A, D(A))$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous on norm-bounded sets, then the semigroup  $S$  generated by  $(A + B, D(A))$  satisfies the integral equation*

$$(IE) \quad S(t)x = T(t)x + \int_0^t T(t-s)BS(s)x \, ds,$$

$$(IE') \quad T(t)x = S(t)x - \int_0^t S(s)BT(t-s)x \, ds$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t \geq 0$ , where the integral is understood in the  $\tau$ -Riemannian sense. As a consequence

$$\|T(t) - S(t)\| \leq tM$$

for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$  and some constant  $M > 0$ .

*Proof.* The equation (IE) is just a reformulation of (7). The integral equation (IE') can be deduced from (IE) by applying the bounded perturbation theorem with the operator  $-B$ . For the third assertion, let  $t_0 \geq 0$  be arbitrary and set

$$M := \|B\| \cdot \sup\{\|T(t)\| : t \in [0, t_0]\} \cdot \sup\{\|S(t)\| : t \in [0, t_0]\}.$$

Then the desired inequality follows immediately from (IE). ■

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let  $T$  be a bi-continuous semigroup with generator  $(A, D(A))$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  an operator which is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous on norm-bounded sets. Denote by  $S$  the semigroup generated by  $(A + B, D(A))$ . Then the operators*

$$U(0) := 0 \quad \text{and} \quad U(t) := \frac{S(t) - T(t)}{t} \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

form a locally bi-equicontinuous family.

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$  be a norm-bounded sequence. We make use of (IE) and write, for  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$p(U(t)x_n) = \frac{1}{t} p(S(t)x_n - T(t)x_n) \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t p(T(t-s)BS(s)x_n) \, ds \leq \frac{1}{t} \cdot t\varepsilon = \varepsilon,$$

the last inequality being true for sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  since the family of operators  $\{T(t-s)BS(s) : s \in [0, t]\}$  is bi-equicontinuous by Lemma 3.3. ■

We show that these two corollaries together characterise bounded perturbations of a given bi-continuous semigroup  $T$  (cf. [16, III, Corollary 3.12]).

THEOREM 3.8. *Let  $T$  and  $S$  be bi-continuous semigroups with generators  $(A, D(A))$  and  $(C, D(C))$  respectively, and suppose that*

(i) there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\|T(t) - S(t)\| \leq tM$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

(ii) the family

$$U(0) := 0, \quad U(t) := \frac{S(t) - T(t)}{t} \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

is locally bi-equicontinuous.

If  $D(A) \cap D(C)$  is  $\delta$ -bi-dense for some  $\delta > 0$ , then there exists a bounded operator  $B$  which is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous on norm-bounded sets such that  $C = A + B$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in D(A) \cap D(C)$ . Then

$$(11) \quad U(1/n)x = n \cdot (S(1/n)x - x) + n \cdot (x - T(1/n)) \xrightarrow{\tau} Cx - Ax$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We define

$$Bx := \tau\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(1/n)x$$

for  $x \in D(A) \cap D(C)$ . Now, let  $x \in X$  be arbitrary, and take a norm-bounded sequence  $x_n \in D(A) \cap D(C)$  which is  $\tau$ -convergent to  $x$  and satisfies (1). Then, for arbitrary  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  we conclude by (ii) that

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} p(B(x_m - x_k)) &\leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(U(1/n)(x_m - x_k)) \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(U(1/n)(x_m - x)) + \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(U(1/n)(x - x_k)) \leq 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

if  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$  are sufficiently large. Therefore,  $Bx_m$  is a norm-bounded,  $\tau$ -Cauchy sequence, hence it is convergent. So we can extend  $B$  to the whole space  $X$  by

$$Bx := \tau\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Bx_m.$$

We claim that  $B$  is a bounded operator. Indeed, it follows from (i) and Proposition 1.4 that

$$\|Bx\| \leq \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|Bx_m\| \leq \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|U(1/n)x_m\| \leq \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} M\|x_m\| \leq M\delta\|x\|$$

for all  $x \in X$ , proving  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . From (12) it is straightforward that  $B$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous on norm-bounded sets.

We conclude the proof by showing that  $A + B = C$ . To this end, let  $\bar{S}$  be the bi-continuous semigroup generated by  $(A + B, D(A))$  and consider  $x \in D(A) \cap D(C)$ . Then it is straightforward from (11) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\bar{S}(t)x - S(t)x) = 0$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ , taking the derivative in the  $\tau$  topology. Also

$$\bar{S}(0)x - S(0)x = 0,$$

therefore

$$(\bar{S}(t) - S(t))x = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in D(A) \cap D(C).$$

Since  $D(A) \cap D(C)$  is bi-dense in  $X$ , we obtain  $\bar{S} = S$ , hence  $A + B = C$ . ■

**4. Examples.** It is shown in [20, Sec. 3.5] that adjoint semigroups are bi-continuous with respect to the weak\*-topology. Therefore, we consider bounded perturbations of bi-continuous semigroups with respect to various topologies on the dual space  $X'$ . First, we remark that if  $T$  is a weak\*-continuous semigroup on  $X'$  with generator  $(A, D(A))$  and  $X$  is invariant under the operators  $T(t)'$ , then  $T$  is the dual of a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $S$  (since  $T'|_X$  is weakly, hence strongly continuous). From the bounded perturbation theorem for  $C_0$ -semigroups it follows that if  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , then  $(A + B', D(A))$  is the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup with respect to the weak\*-topology  $\sigma(X', X)$ . We now show that weak\*-bi-continuous semigroups are, in certain cases, adjoint semigroups of  $C_0$ -semigroups. To do this, we need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X')$  and suppose that  $T$  is weak\*-continuous on norm-bounded sets. Then there exists  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $S' = T$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $T' \in \mathcal{L}(X'')$  leaves  $X$  invariant. This follows if we prove that  $T$  has a weak\*-adjoint

$$T'^{w*} : X \rightarrow X$$

which, in this case, coincides with  $T'|_X$ . For  $x \in X$  consider the linear form

$$\varphi_x(\cdot) := \langle T \cdot, x \rangle.$$

Then the restriction  $\varphi_x|_B$  to the unit ball  $B$  in  $X'$  is weak\*-continuous, so  $\ker \varphi_x \cap B$  is weak\*-closed. From the Krein-Šmulian Theorem (see [26, Ch. IV, Sec. 6]) it follows that  $\ker \varphi_x$  is weak\*-closed, hence  $\varphi_x$  is weak\*-continuous. Therefore  $T$  has a weak\*-adjoint  $T'^{w*}$  given by  $T'^{w*} x = \varphi_x$ . ■

An immediate consequence of this lemma and the previous remarks is the characterisation of certain weak\*-bi-continuous semigroups.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *If  $T$  is a weak\*-bi-continuous semigroup in  $X'$  and  $T(t)$  is weak\*-continuous on norm-bounded sets for  $t \geq 0$ , then there exists a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $S$  in  $X$  with  $S' = T$ .*

The assumptions of the previous theorem are satisfied, for example, when  $T$  is a weak\*-bi-continuous semigroup on  $X'$  and  $X$  is separable, because in this case the unit ball  $B(0, 1) \subseteq X'$  is metrisable for the weak\*-topology. Thus the following proposition becomes trivial in view of the bounded perturbation theorem for  $C_0$ -semigroups. Nevertheless, the proposition is valid for all weak\*-bi-continuous semigroups.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let  $T$  be a bi-continuous semigroup on  $X'$  with respect to the weak\*-topology with generator  $(A, D(A))$ . Then for every  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ ,  $(A + B', D(A))$  is the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup on  $X'$  with respect to the weak\*-topology  $\sigma(X', X)$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 3.5, it suffices to show  $B'$  is  $\sigma(X', X)$ -continuous (on norm-bounded sets) for any operator  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . To this end, consider the  $\sigma(X', X)$  open neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 in  $X'$  determined by the seminorms  $p_i(\cdot) = |\langle x_i, \cdot \rangle|$ ,  $x_i \in X$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and the positive real number  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$U = \{x' : x' \in X', p_i(x') < \varepsilon, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then we have to find a  $\sigma(X', X)$ -neighbourhood of 0 for which  $B'V \subseteq U$ . However, it is obvious that the  $\sigma(X', X)$ -neighbourhood  $V$  determined by the seminorms  $q_i(\cdot) = |\langle Bx_i, \cdot \rangle|$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  fulfills this requirement. ■

Next, we prove a similar result for the Mackey topology  $\mu(X', X)$  (see [26, Ch. IV, Sec. 3]), i.e., the topology determined by the family of seminorms

$$\{\sup_{x \in K} |\langle x, x' \rangle| : K \subseteq X \text{ is weakly compact, absolutely convex}\}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let  $T$  be a bi-continuous semigroup on  $X'$  with respect to the Mackey topology with generator  $(A, D(A))$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . Then  $(A + B', D(A))$  is the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup on  $X'$  with respect to the Mackey topology.*

*Proof.* We show first that an operator  $B'$  satisfying the above assumptions is also continuous for the Mackey topology. In Proposition 4.3 it is shown that  $B$  is weakly continuous. Next, we suppose that  $K \subseteq X$  is a weakly compact, absolutely convex set. Then  $BK \subseteq X$  is also weakly compact and absolutely convex. For a given  $\mu(X', X)$ -neighbourhood  $U$  of 0,

$$U := \{x' : x' \in X', p_i(x') < \varepsilon, i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

with

$$p_i(x') = \sup\{|\langle x, x' \rangle| : x \in K_i\} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

we have to find a  $\mu(X', X)$ -neighbourhood  $V \subseteq X'$  of 0 such that  $B'V \subseteq U$ . But the neighbourhood  $V$  determined by the seminorms

$$q_i(x') = \sup\{|\langle x, x' \rangle| : x \in BK_i\}$$

and  $\varepsilon > 0$  fulfills this requirement. We have seen that the assumptions of Theorem 3.5 are satisfied, therefore our statement is proved. ■

Finally, we give some examples of  $\tau_c$ -continuous operators.

REMARK 4.5. Let  $(\Omega, \tau)$  be a locally compact, Hausdorff topological space, and suppose that  $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is continuous. Then the linear operator  $B$  on  $C_b(\Omega)$  defined as

$$(Bf)(x) = f(\Phi(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega,$$

is continuous on  $C_b(\Omega)$  with respect to the compact-open topology  $\tau_c$ . Hence Theorem 3.5 can be applied to semigroups induced by jointly continuous flows (see [13–15]) and for these “local” perturbations.

REMARK 4.6. For a given function  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$  the multiplication operator

$$V_f : C_b(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_b(\mathbb{R}), \quad V_f(g) := f \cdot g,$$

is norm-bounded and  $\tau_c$ -continuous on norm-bounded sets.

As an application, we consider the heat equation in  $C_b(\mathbb{R})$  with bounded, continuous potential  $V = V_f$ :

$$(HE) \quad \begin{cases} u'(t) = \Delta u(t) + V u(t) & \text{for all } t \geq 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad u_0 \in C_b(\mathbb{R}). \end{cases}$$

Let

$$D(\Delta) := \{f : f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}), f'' \text{ exists, } f'' \in C_b(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $(\Delta, D(\Delta))$  is the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup. Indeed, it generates the Gaussian semigroup

$$[P(t)f](x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|y-x|^2/4t} f(y) dy \quad \text{for } f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

In order to solve the abstract Cauchy problem (cf. [7]) corresponding to the equation (HE) it suffices to show that  $(\Delta + V, D(\Delta))$  is the generator of a bi-continuous semigroup. This is, however, a straightforward consequence of Theorem 3.5 and Remark 4.6 above.

**Acknowledgements.** During the preparation of this work, I was a Marie Curie fellow at the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Funktionalanalysis (AGFA), Universität Tübingen. I gratefully acknowledge the support received from the University and the European Community. My warmest thanks go to the AGFA team and, in particular, to Susanna Piazzera, Rainer Nagel, Franziska Kühnemund and Abdelaziz Rhandi for their help in many respects.

## References

- [1] A. A. Albanese and E. Mangino, *Trotter–Kato theorems for bi-continuous semigroups*, preprint, 2002.
- [2] J. Alber, *On implemented semigroups*, Semigroup Forum 63 (2001), 371–386.
- [3] —, *Implementierte Halbgruppen*, Diplomarbeit, Universität Tübingen, 1999.
- [4] W. Arendt, C. J. K. Batty, M. Hieber and F. Neubrander, *Vector-Valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, Monogr. Math. 96, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [5] A. Bobrowski, *On the generation of non-continuous semigroups*, Semigroup Forum 54 (1997), 237–252.
- [6] S. Cerrai, *A Hille–Yosida theorem for weakly continuous semigroups*, *ibid.* 49 (1994), 349–367.

- [7] S. Cerrai and F. Gozzi, *Strong solutions of Cauchy problems associated to weakly continuous semigroups*, J. Differential Equations 8 (1995), 465–486.
- [8] Y. H. Choe,  *$C_0$ -semigroups on locally convex space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 106 (1985), 293–320.
- [9] G. Da Prato, *A new regularity result for Ornstein–Uhlenbeck generators and applications*, J. Evol. Equ. 3 (2002), 485–498.
- [10] G. Da Prato and A. Lunardi, *On the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator in spaces of continuous functions*, J. Funct. Anal. 131 (1995), 94–114.
- [11] B. Dembart, *Perturbation of semigroups on locally convex spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973), 986–991.
- [12] —, *On the theory of semigroups of operators on locally convex spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 16 (1974), 123–160.
- [13] J. R. Dorroh and J. W. Neuberger, *Lie generators for semigroups of transformations on a Polish space*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 1 (1993), 1–7.
- [14] —, —, *A theory of strongly continuous semigroups in terms of Lie generators*, J. Funct. Anal. 136 (1996), 114–126.
- [15] —, —, *Linear Extension of Nonlinear Semigroups*, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 42, Birkhäuser, 2000.
- [16] K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, Grad. Texts in Math. 194, Springer, 2000.
- [17] C. Kaiser and L. Weis, *A perturbation theorem for operator semigroups in Hilbert spaces*, Semigroup Forum 67 (2003), 63–75.
- [18] H. Komatsu, *Semi-groups of operators in locally convex spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 16 (1964), 230–262.
- [19] T. Kōmura, *Semigroups of operators in locally convex spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 2 (1968), 258–296.
- [20] F. Kühnemund, *Bi-continuous semigroups on spaces with two topologies: theory and applications*, PhD thesis, Eberhard-Karls Univ. Tübingen, 2001.
- [21] —, *A Hille–Yosida theorem for bi-continuous semigroups*, Semigroup Forum 67 (2003), 205–225.
- [22] —, *Approximation of bi-continuous semigroups*, J. Approx Theory, to appear.
- [23] I. Miyadera, *Semigroups of operators in Fréchet spaces and applications to partial differential equations*, Tôhoku Math. J. 11 (1959), 162–183.
- [24] J. M. A. A. van Nerveen, *The Adjoint of a Semigroup of Linear Operators*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1184, Springer, 1992.
- [25] E. Priola, *On a class of Markov type semigroups in spaces of uniformly continuous and bounded functions*, Studia Math. 136 (1999), 271–295.
- [26] H. H. Schaefer, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Grad. Texts in Math. 3, Springer, 1980.

Mathematisches Institut  
 Universität Tübingen  
 Auf der Morgenstelle 10  
 D-72076 Tübingen, Germany  
 E-mail: bafa@fa.uni-tuebingen.de

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics  
 Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
 Reáltanoda u. 13–15  
 H-1053 Budapest, Hungary  
 E-mail: farkasb@renyi.hu

*Received July 8, 2002*  
*Revised version June 10, 2003*

(4984)