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Abstract. We prove the norm convergence of multiple ergodic averages along cubes for several commuting transformations, and derive corresponding combinatorial results. The method we use relies primarily on the “magic extension” established recently by B. Host.

1. Introduction

1.1. Results. By a system, we mean a probability space endowed with a single or several commuting measure preserving transformations. We prove the following result regarding the convergence of multiple ergodic averages along cubes for several commuting transformations:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer and $(X, B, \mu, T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ be a system. Let $f_\epsilon, \epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d \setminus \{00 \ldots 0\}$, be $2^d - 1$ bounded measurable functions on $X$. Then the averages

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{n_i \in [M_i, N_i]} \prod_{\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d \setminus \{00 \ldots 0\}} T_1^{n_{1\epsilon}} \ldots T_d^{n_{d\epsilon}} f_{\epsilon} \]

converge in $L^2(\mu)$ for all sequences of intervals $[M_1, N_1], \ldots, [M_d, N_d]$ whose lengths $N_i - M_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$) tend to $\infty$.

To illustrate, when $d = 2$, the average (1) is

\[ \frac{1}{(N_1 - M_1) \cdot (N_2 - M_2)} \sum_{n_1 \in [M_1, N_1]} T_1^{n_1} f_{10} \cdot T_2^{n_2} f_{01} \cdot T_1^{n_1} T_2^{n_2} f_{11}. \]

When Theorem 1.1 is restricted to the case that each function $f_{\epsilon}$ is the indicator function of a measurable set, we have the following lower bound for these averages:

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37A05, 37A30.

Key words and phrases: averages along cubes, commuting transformations, magic system, ergodic seminorms.

DOI: 10.4064/sm196-1-2
Theorem 1.2. Let \((X, B, \mu, T_1, \ldots, T_d)\) be a system and let \(A \in B\). Then the limit of the averages
\[
\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{n_i \in [M_i, N_i]} \mu \left( \bigcap_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^d} T_1^{-n_1 \epsilon_1} \cdots T_d^{-n_d \epsilon_d} A \right)
\]
exists and is greater than or equal to \(\mu(A)^2d\) for all sequences of intervals \([M_1, N_1], \ldots, [M_d, N_d]\) whose lengths \(N_i - M_i\) \((1 \leq i \leq d)\) tend to \(\infty\).

Recall that the upper density \(d^*(A)\) of a set \(A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d\) is defined to be
\[
d^*(A) = \limsup_{N_i \to \infty} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} \frac{1}{N_i} |A \cap ([1, N_1] \times \cdots \times [1, N_d])|.
\]

A subset \(E\) of \(\mathbb{Z}^d\) is said to be syndetic if \(\mathbb{Z}^d\) can be covered by finitely many translates of \(E\).

We have the following corresponding combinatorial result:

Theorem 1.3. Let \(A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d\) with \(d^*(A) > \delta > 0\). Then the set of \(n = (n_1, \ldots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d\) such that
\[
d^* \left( \bigcap_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^d} \{A + (n_1 \epsilon_1, \ldots, n_d \epsilon_d)\} \right) \geq \delta^2 d
\]
is syndetic.

1.2. History of the problem. In the case where \(T_1 = \cdots = T_d = T\), the average (1) is
\[
\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{n_i \in [M_i, N_i]} \prod_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^d, \epsilon \neq 000 \cdots 0} T^{n_1 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + n_d \epsilon_d} f_{\epsilon}.
\]
The norm convergence of (4) was proved by Bergelson for \(d = 2\) in [4], and more generally, by Host and Kra for \(d > 2\) in [7]. The related pointwise convergence problem was studied by Assani who showed in [1] that the averages (4) converge a.e.

Some lower bounds for the average (3) were provided by Leibman in [8]. In the same paper, he gave an example showing that the average (2) can diverge if the transformations do not commute.

However, Assani showed in [11] that the averages
\[
\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n,m=1}^N f(T_1^n x)g(T_2^m x)h(T_3^{n+m} x)
\]
do converge a.e. even if the transformations do not necessarily commute. He extended this result to the case of six functions in [2].

The norm convergence of multiple ergodic averages with several commuting transformations of the form

\[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} T_1^n f_1 \cdots T_d^n f_d \]

was proved by Conze and Lesigne [5] when \( d = 2 \). The general case was originally proved by Tao [9], and subsequent proofs were given by Austin [3], Host [6] and Towsner [10].

1.3. Methods. The main tools we use in this paper are the seminorms and the existence of “magic extensions” for commuting transformations established by Host [6]. The magic extensions can be viewed as a concrete form of the pleasant extensions built by Austin in [3].

2. Seminorms and upper bound

2.1. Notation and definitions. Given a probability space \( (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \), in general we omit the \( \sigma \)-algebra from our notation and write \( (X, \mu) \).

For an integer \( d \geq 1 \), we write \([d] = \{1, \ldots, d\}\) and identify \(\{0, 1\}^d\) with the family of subsets of \([d]\). Therefore, the assertion “\( i \in \epsilon \)” is equivalent to \( \epsilon_i = 1 \). In particular, \( \emptyset \) is the same as \( 00 \ldots 0 \in \{0, 1\}^d \). We write \( |\epsilon| = \sum_i \epsilon_i \) for the number of elements in \( \epsilon \).

Let \((X, \mu, T_1, \ldots, T_d)\) be a system. For each \( n = (n_1, \ldots, n_d) \), \( \epsilon = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \subset [d] \), and for each integer \( 1 \leq k \leq d \), we write

\[ T_\epsilon = T_{n_1}^{i_1} \cdots T_{n_k}^{i_k}. \]

For any transformation \( S \) of some probability space, we denote by \( \mathcal{I}(S) \) the \( \sigma \)-algebra of \( S \)-invariant sets.

We define a measure \( \mu_1 \) on \( X^2 \) by

\[ \mu_1 = \mu \times \mathcal{I}(T_1) \mu_1. \]

This means that for \( f_0, f_1 \in L^\infty(\mu) \), we have

\[ \int (f_0 \otimes f_1)(x_0, x_1) d\mu_1(x_0, x_1) = \int \mathbb{E}(f_0 | \mathcal{I}(T_1)) \cdot \mathbb{E}(f_1 | \mathcal{I}(T_1)) d\mu. \]

For \( 2 \leq k \leq d \), we define a measure \( \mu_k \) (see [6]) on \( X^{2^k} \) by

\[ \mu_k = \mu_{k-1} \times \mathcal{I}(T_k^\Delta) \mu_{k-1}, \]  

where \( T_k^\Delta := \underbrace{T_k \times \cdots \times T_k}_{2^{k-1}} \).

We write \( X^* = X^{2^d} \), and points of \( X^* \) are written as \( x = (x_\epsilon : \epsilon \subset [d]) \). We write \( \mu^* := \mu_d \).
For $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$, define
\[ \|f\|_{T_1, \ldots, T_d} := \left( \prod_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^d} f(x_\epsilon) d\mu^*(x) \right)^{1/2^d}. \]

It was shown in Proposition 2 of [6] that $\| \cdot \|_{T_1, \ldots, T_d}$ is a seminorm on $L^\infty(\mu)$. We call this the box seminorm associated to $T_1, \ldots, T_d$.

For $\epsilon \subset [d]$, $\epsilon \neq \emptyset$, we write $\| \cdot \|_{\epsilon}$ for the seminorm on $L^\infty(\mu)$ associated to the transformations $T_i, i \in \epsilon$. For example, $\| \cdot \|_{110\ldots00}$ is the seminorm associated to $T_1, T_2$ because $\epsilon = 110\ldots0 \in \{0,1\}^d$ is identified with $\{1,2\} \subset [d]$.

By Proposition 3 in [6], if we rearrange the order of the digits in $\epsilon$, the seminorm $\| \cdot \|_{\epsilon}$ remains unchanged.

2.2. Upper bound. In the following, we assume that all functions $f_\epsilon$, $\epsilon \subset [d]$, are real-valued and satisfy $|f_\epsilon| \leq 1$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Under the above notation and hypotheses,
\[
\limsup_{N_i - M_i \to \infty} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{n \in [M_1, N_1] \times \cdots \times [M_d, N_d]} \prod_{\epsilon \subset [d]} T^n_\epsilon f_\epsilon \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \min_{\epsilon \subset [d]} \|f_\epsilon\|_{T_1 \ldots T_d}.
\]

Proof. We proceed by induction on $d$. For $d = 1$, we have
\[
\left\| \frac{1}{N_1 - M_1} \sum_{n_1 \in [M_1, N_1]} T^{n_1}_1 f_1 \right\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 \to \int E(f_1 | \mathcal{I}(T_1))^2 d\mu = \|f_1\|_{T_1}^2.
\]

Let $d \geq 2$ and assume that (6) is established for $d - 1$ transformations. We show that for every $\alpha \subset [d]$, $\alpha \neq \emptyset$, the lim sup on the left hand side of (6) is bounded by $\|f_\alpha\|_{T_1, \ldots, T_d}$. By a permutation of digits if needed, we can assume that $\alpha \neq 0\ldots01$ ($d - 1$ zeros). The square of the norm on the left hand side of (6) is equal to
\[
\left\| \frac{1}{N_d - M_d} \sum_{n_d \in [M_d, N_d]} T^{n_d}_d f_{0\ldots01} \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{m \in [M_1, N_1] \times \cdots \times [M_{d-1}, N_{d-1}]} \prod_{\eta \subset [d-1]} T^{n}_\eta (f_{\eta0} \cdot T^{n_d}_d f_{\eta1}) \right\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2.
\]
By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, this is less than or equal to
\[
\frac{1}{N_d - M_d} \sum_{n_d \in [M_d, N_d]} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{m \in [M_1, N_1] \times \cdots \times [M_{d-1}, N_{d-1}]} \prod_{\eta \in [d-1]} T^m_\eta (f_{\eta_0} \cdot T^{m_1}_d f_{\eta_1}) \right\|^2_{L^2(\mu)}.
\]

By the induction hypothesis, when \( N_i - M_i \to \infty \), \( i = 1, \ldots, d - 1 \), the lim sup of the square of the norm in (7) is less than or equal to
\[
\min_{\eta \in [d-1]} \| f_{\eta_0} \cdot T^{m_1}_d f_{\eta_1} \|^2_{T_1, \ldots, T_{d-1}},
\]
where \( \| \cdot \|_{T_1, \ldots, T_{d-1}} \) is the seminorm associated to the \( d - 1 \) transformations \( T_1, \ldots, T_{d-1} \).

Note that \( \alpha \) is equal to \( \eta_0 \) or \( \eta_1 \) for some \( \eta \subset [d-1] \), and by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have
\[
\lim_{N_d - M_d \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_d - M_d} \sum_{n_d \in [M_d, N_d]} \| f_{\eta_0} \cdot T^{m_1}_d f_{\eta_1} \|^2_{T_1, \ldots, T_{d-1}}
= \lim_{N_d - M_d \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_d - M_d} \sum_{n_d \in [M_d, N_d]} \left( \prod_{\eta \in [d-1]} T^m_\eta (f_{\eta_0} \cdot T^{m_1}_d f_{\eta_1}) \right) d\mu_{d-1}
= \left( \prod_{\eta \in [d-1]} \mathbb{E} \left( f_{\eta_0} \mathbb{I}(T^\Delta_d) \right) \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{\eta \in [d-1]} \mathbb{E} \left( f_{\eta_1} \mathbb{I}(T^\Delta_d) \right) \right) d\mu_{d-1}
\leq \left( \prod_{\alpha \subset [d]} \mathbb{E} \left( f_{\alpha} \mathbb{I}(T^\Delta_d) \right) \right)^{1/2} = \left( \prod_{\alpha \subset [d]} f_{\alpha} d\mu^* \right)^{1/2} = \| f_{\alpha} \|_{T_1, \ldots, T_d}^{d-1}.
\]
This completes the proof. ■

The following is a generalization of Proposition 2.1, although its proof depends upon that result.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( r \) be an integer with \( 1 \leq r \leq d \). Then
\[
\limsup_{N_i - M_i \to \infty} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{n \in [M_1, N_1] \times \cdots \times [M_d, N_d]} \prod_{\epsilon \subset [d]} T^m_{\epsilon} f_{\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \min_{\epsilon \subset [d]} \| f_{\epsilon} \|_{L^2(\mu)}.
\]

**Proof.** We show that for every \( \alpha \subset [d] \) with \( |\alpha| = r \), the lim sup in (8) is bounded by \( \| f_{\alpha} \|_{\alpha} \).

By a permutation of digits we can restrict to the case that \( \alpha = \underbrace{11 \ldots 1}_{r} 00 \ldots 0 \).
We show that

\[
\limsup_{N_i-M_i \to \infty} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{N_i-M_i} \sum_{n \in [M_1,N_1] \times \cdots \times [M_d,N_d]} \prod_{0 \leq |\epsilon| \leq r} T^n_{\epsilon} f_{\epsilon} \right|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \| f_{\alpha} \|_{\alpha}.
\]

The norm in (9) is equal to

\[
\left| \prod_{i=r+1}^{d} \frac{1}{N_i-M_i} \sum_{m \in [M_{r+1},N_{r+1}] \times \cdots \times [M_d,N_d]} \prod_{\epsilon \neq \emptyset} T^n_{\epsilon} f_{\epsilon} \right|_{L^2(\mu)}
\]

\[
\cdot \sum_{n \in [M_1,N_1] \times \cdots \times [M_r,N_r]} \left( \prod_{\theta \subset [d-r]} T^n_{\eta} \prod_{|\eta \theta| \leq r} T^n_{r+\theta} f_{\eta \theta} \right) \cdot (T^n_{1} \cdots T^n_{r} f_{\alpha}) \right|_{L^2(\mu)}.
\]

where \( r + \theta = \{ r + k : k \in \theta \} \). Let

\[
g_{\eta} = \begin{cases} 
\prod_{\theta \subset [d-r]} T^n_{r+\theta} f_{\eta \theta}, & 0 < |\eta| < r, \\
f_{\alpha}, & |\eta| = r.
\end{cases}
\]

Then (10) is equal to

\[
\left| \prod_{i=r+1}^{d} \frac{1}{N_i-M_i} \sum_{m \in [M_{r+1},N_{r+1}] \times \cdots \times [M_d,N_d]} \prod_{\epsilon \neq \emptyset} T^n_{\epsilon} f_{\epsilon} \right|_{L^2(\mu)}
\]

\[
\cdot \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{N_j-M_j} \sum_{n \in [M_1,N_1] \times \cdots \times [M_r,N_r]} \left( \prod_{\eta \subset [r]} T^n_{\eta} g_{\eta} \right) \right|_{L^2(\mu)}.
\]

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, the square of (11) is less than or equal to

\[
\prod_{i=r+1}^{d} \frac{1}{N_i-M_i}
\]

\[
\cdot \sum_{m \in [M_{r+1},N_{r+1}] \times \cdots \times [M_d,N_d]} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{N_j-M_j} \sum_{n \in [M_1,N_1] \times \cdots \times [M_r,N_r]} \left| \prod_{\eta \subset [r]} T^n_{\eta} g_{\eta} \right|_{L^2(\mu)} \right)^2.
\]

By Proposition 2.1 the lim sup of (12) as \( N_i-M_i \to \infty, i = 1, \ldots, r \), is bounded by

\[
\prod_{i=r+1}^{d} \frac{1}{N_i-M_i} \sum_{n_i \in [M_i,N_i]} \| f_{\alpha} \|_{T_1,\ldots,T_r}^2 = \| f_{\alpha} \|_{T_1,\ldots,T_r}^2.
\]

This completes the proof. ■
3. The case of the magic extension. We recall the definition of a "magic" system.

Definition 3.1 (Host [6]). A system \((X, \mu, T_1, \ldots, T_d)\) is called magic if whenever \(f \in L^\infty(\mu)\) is such that \(E(f | \bigvee_{i=1}^d \mathcal{I}(T_i)) = 0\), then \(\|f\|_{T_1,\ldots,T_d} = 0\).

Given a system \((X, \mu, T_1, \ldots, T_d)\), let \(X^*\) and \(\mu^*\) be defined as in Section 2.1. We denote by \(T_i^*\) the side transformations of \(X^*\), given by

\[
(T_i^* x)_\epsilon = \begin{cases} T_i x_\epsilon & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 0, \\ x_\epsilon & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 1. \end{cases}
\]

By Theorem 2 in [6], \((X^*, \mu^*, T_1^*, \ldots, T_d^*)\) is a magic system, and admits \((X, \mu, T_1, \ldots, T_d)\) as a factor.

For \(\epsilon \subset [d], \epsilon \neq \emptyset\), we write \(\| \cdot \|_{\epsilon}^*\) for the seminorm on \(L^\infty(\mu^*)\) associated to the transformations \(T_i^*, i \in \epsilon\). Moreover, we define the \(\sigma\)-algebra

\[ \mathcal{Z}_\epsilon^* := \bigvee_{i \in \epsilon} \mathcal{I}(T_i^*) \]

of \((X^*, \mu^*)\). For example, \(\mathcal{Z}_{\{1,2,3\}}^* = \mathcal{I}(T_1^*) \vee \mathcal{I}(T_2^*) \vee \mathcal{I}(T_3^*)\).

We prove Theorem 1.1 for the magic system \((X^*, \mu^*, T_1^*, \ldots, T_d^*)\).

Theorem 3.2. Let \(f_\epsilon, \epsilon \subset [d]\), be functions on \(X^*\) with \(\|f_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mu^*)} \leq 1\) for every \(\epsilon\). Then the averages

\[
\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{n \in [M_1, N_1] \times \cdots \times [M_d, N_d]} \prod_{\epsilon \in [d]} T_{\epsilon}^* f_\epsilon
\]

converge in \(L^2(\mu^*)\) for all sequences of intervals \([M_1, N_1], \ldots, [M_d, N_d]\) whose lengths \(N_i - M_i (1 \leq i \leq d)\) tend to \(\infty\).

Since the system \((X^*, \mu^*, T_1^*, \ldots, T_d^*)\) admits \((X, \mu, T_1, \ldots, T_d)\) as a factor, Theorem 3.2 implies our main result, Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.3. For every \(\epsilon \subset [d], \epsilon \neq \emptyset\), and every function \(f \in L^\infty(\mu^*)\),

\[
\text{if } E_{\mu^*}(f | \mathcal{Z}_\epsilon^*) = 0, \quad \text{then } \|f\|_{\epsilon}^* = 0.
\]

Proof. Assume \(|\epsilon| = r > 0\). By a permutation of digits we can assume that

\[ \epsilon = \{d - r + 1, d - r + 2, \ldots, d\}. \]

We define a new system \((Y, \nu, S_1, \ldots, S_r)\), where \(Y = X^{2^{d-r}}\) and \(\nu = \mu_{d-r}\), the \(d-r\) step measure associated to \(T_1^*, \ldots, T_{d-r}^*\). Define

\[ S_i = T_{d-r+i} \times \cdots \times T_{d-r+i} \]

2d-r
on $Y$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Note that by definition, $Y^*_i = X^{2^d} = X^*$, and

$$S^*_i = T^*_{d-r+i}, \quad S^\triangle_i = T^\triangle_{d-r+i}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Moreover,

$$\nu_1 = \nu \times I(S_1) \nu = \mu_{d-r} \times I(T^\triangle_{d-r+1}) \mu_{d-r} = \mu_{d-r+1}.$$

By induction,

$$\nu_{i+1} = \nu_i \times I(S^\triangle_{i+1}) \nu_i = \mu_{d-r+i} \times I(T^\triangle_{d-r+i+1}) \mu_{d-r+i} = \mu_{d-r+i+1},$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, r - 1$. Therefore $(X^*, \nu^*, T^*_1, \ldots, T^*_d)$ is just the magic extension $(Y^*, \nu^*, S^*_1, \ldots, S^*_r)$ of $(Y, \nu, S_1, \ldots, S_r)$. So

$$Z^*_\epsilon = \bigvee_{i \in \epsilon} I(T^*_i) = \bigvee_{i=1}^r I(S^*_i) := W^*_Y.$$

If $f \in L^\infty(\mu^*)$ with $\mathbb{E}_{\mu^*}(f \mid Z^*_\epsilon) = 0$, this is equivalent to $\mathbb{E}_{\mu^*}(f \mid W^*_Y) = 0$, and by Theorem 2 in [6], we have $\|f\|_{\mu^*} = 0$. Thus $\|f\|_{\epsilon} = \|f\|_{Z^*_1, \ldots, Z^*_r} = 0$.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $f_\epsilon, \epsilon \subseteq [d]$, be functions on $X^*$ with $\|f_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mu^*)} \leq 1$ for every $\epsilon$. Let $r$ be an integer with $1 \leq r \leq d$. Then the averages

$$\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{n \in [M_1, N_1] \times \cdots \times [M_d, N_d]} \prod_{0 < |\epsilon| \leq r} T^*_\epsilon f_\epsilon$$

converge in $L^2(\mu^*)$ for all sequences of intervals $[M_1, N_1], \ldots, [M_d, N_d]$ whose lengths $N_i - M_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$) tend to $\infty$.

We remark that Theorem 3.2 follows immediately from this proposition when $r = d$.

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on $r$. When $r = 1$, the average (15) is

$$\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{n_i \in [M_i, N_i]} T^*_{1} f_{10 \ldots 0} \cdots T^*_{d} f_{0 \ldots 01}.$$

By the Ergodic Theorem, this converges to

$$\mathbb{E}(f_{10 \ldots 0} \mid I(T^*_1)) \cdots \mathbb{E}(f_{0 \ldots 01} \mid I(T^*_d)).$$

Assume $r > 1$, and that the proposition is true for $r - 1$ transformations.

For $\alpha \subseteq [d], |\alpha| = r$, if $\mathbb{E}_{\mu^*}(f_\alpha \mid Z^*_\alpha) = 0$, then by Theorem 3.3 we have $\|f_\alpha\|_{\alpha} = 0$. By Proposition 2.1 the average (15) converges to 0. Otherwise, by a density argument, we can assume that

$$f_\alpha = \prod_{i \in \alpha} f_{\alpha, i}$$
Convergence of multiple ergodic averages

where \( f_{\alpha,i} \) is \( T_i^* \)-invariant. Then
\[
T^{*n}_\alpha f_\alpha = \prod_{i \in \alpha} T^{*n}_{\alpha \setminus \{i\}} f_{\alpha,i}.
\]
Thus
\[
\prod_{\epsilon \subset [d]} T^{*n}_\epsilon f_\epsilon = \prod_{\eta \subset [d]} T^{*n}_\eta g_\eta,
\]
where
\[
g_\eta = \begin{cases} f_\eta, & |\eta| < r - 1, \\ f_\eta \prod_{i \notin \eta} f_{\eta \cup i,i}, & |\eta| = r - 1. \end{cases}
\]
Therefore (15) converges by the induction hypothesis.

4. Combinatorial interpretation

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Apply Theorem 1.1 to the indicator function \( 1_A \).

We know that the limit of the averages
\[
(17) \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{N_i - M_i} \sum_{n_i \in [M_i, N_i]} \int \prod_{\epsilon \subset [d-1]} T^{n_1 \epsilon_1}_{1, \ldots, 1} \cdots T^{n_d \epsilon_d}_{d, d} 1_A d\mu
\]
exists. By Lemma 1 in [6], if we take the limit as \( N_1 - M_1 \to \infty \), then as \( N_2 - M_2 \to \infty, \ldots, \) and then as \( N_d - M_d \to \infty \), the average (17) converges to \( \| A \|_{T_1, \ldots, T_d}^2 \). Since the limit of the average (17) is \( \| A \|_{T_1, \ldots, T_d}^2 \). Thus the limit of the average (17) converges to \( \| A \|_{T_1, \ldots, T_d}^2 \). Since
\[
\| f \|_{T_1, \ldots, T_d}^2 = \left\| \mathbb{E} \left( \bigotimes_{\epsilon \subset [d-1]} f \bigg| \mathcal{I}(T_{d}^\Delta) \right) \right\|_{L^2(\mu_{d-1})}^2
\]
\[
\geq \left( \int \bigotimes_{\epsilon \subset [d-1]} f d\mu_{d-1} \right)^2 = \| f \|_{T_1, \ldots, T_{d-1}}^2,
\]
we have \( \| A \|_{T_1, \ldots, T_d} \geq \| A \|_{T_1} \geq \| A \|_{T_1} d\mu = \mu(A) \), and the result follows. ■

Theorem 1.2 has the following corollary:

Corollary 4.1. Let \((X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T_1, \ldots, T_d)\) be a system, where \( T_1, \ldots, T_d \) are commuting measure preserving transformations, and let \( A \in \mathcal{B} \). Then for any \( c > 0 \), the set of \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^k \) such that
\[
\mu \left( \bigcap_{\epsilon \subset [0,1]^d} T^{n_1 \epsilon_1}_{1, \ldots, 1} \cdots T^{n_d \epsilon_d}_{d, d} A \right) \geq \mu(A)^d - c
\]
is syndetic.

The proof is exactly the same as for Corollary 13.8 in [7].

Theorem 1.3 follows by combining Furstenberg’s correspondence principle and Corollary 4.1.
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