

## The diagonal mapping in mixed norm spaces

by

GUANGBIN REN (Hefei and Aveiro) and JIHUAI SHI (Hefei)

**Abstract.** For any holomorphic function  $F$  in the unit polydisc  $U^n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we consider its restriction to the diagonal, i.e., the function in the unit disc  $U$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\mathcal{D}F(z) = F(z, \dots, z)$ , and prove that the diagonal mapping  $\mathcal{D}$  maps the mixed norm space  $H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$  of the polydisc onto the mixed norm space  $H^{p,q,|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)}(U)$  of the unit disc for any  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $U^n$  be the polydisc in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $T^n$  be its Shilov boundary (see [Ru1]). Denote by  $dm_n$  the normalized volume measure in  $U^n$ , and by  $d\sigma_n$  the normalized surface measure on  $T^n$ . For any Lebesgue measurable function  $f$  in  $U^n$ , we define

$$(1.1) \quad M_q(r, f) = \left( \int_{T^n} |f(r\zeta)|^q d\sigma_n(\zeta) \right)^{1/q},$$

where  $0 < q < \infty$  and  $r\zeta = (r_1\zeta_1, \dots, r_n\zeta_n)$ . When  $q = \infty$ , as usual, we define  $M_\infty(r, f)$  to be the essential supremum of  $|f(r\zeta)|$  over  $\zeta \in T^n$ . If  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$ , and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\alpha_j > -1$ ,  $\forall j = 1, \dots, n$ , let

$$(1.2) \quad \|f\|_{p,q,\alpha}^p = \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j^2)^{\alpha_j} M_q^p(r, f) dr,$$

where  $I^n = [0, 1]^n$  and  $dr = dr_1 \cdots dr_n$ . The *mixed norm space*  $L^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$  is then defined to be the space of functions  $f$  in  $U^n$  such that  $\|f\|_{p,q,\alpha} < \infty$ , and the *holomorphic mixed norm space*  $H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$  is its subspace consisting of holomorphic functions. The mixed norm spaces have been studied extensively; see, for example, [BP], [AJ], [J], [L], [Sh2], [Pa] and [SR].

The main purpose of this article is to consider the action of the diagonal mapping on mixed norm spaces on  $U^n$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 32A37, 47B38.

*Key words and phrases*: diagonal mapping, mixed norm spaces, weighted Bergman projection.

The first author was supported in part by the NNSF of China (#10001030) and a Post-doctoral Fellowship of the University of Aveiro, UI&D "Matemática e Aplicações".

To each holomorphic function  $F$  in the unit polydisc  $U^n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we associate a function  $\mathcal{D}F$ , defined on the unit disc  $U$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  by

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{D}F(z) = F(z, \dots, z).$$

The operator  $\mathcal{D}$  is called the *diagonal mapping*. In his book [Ru1], Rudin suggested the study of this mapping. Afterwards, the diagonal mapping has been completely investigated in the Hardy spaces and Bergman spaces; see [Ru1], [HO], [DS], [Sha], [MR], [Sh], [Djs], and [RL]. For instance, Shapiro [Sha] and Shamoian [Sh] proved that

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{D}H^{p,p,\alpha}(U^n) = H^{p,p,|\alpha|+2n-2}(U)$$

for any  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha_j > -1, \forall j = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ .

In view of (1.4), the interesting phenomenon in weighted Bergman spaces is that for any given weight  $\alpha$ , the resulting weight  $|\alpha| + 2n - 2$  is independent of  $p$ . But this fails in mixed norm spaces, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n) \neq H^{p,q,|\alpha|+2n-2}(U).$$

In fact, taking  $f(z_1, z_2) = (1 - z_1)^{-\beta_1}(1 - z_2)^{-\beta_2}$  with  $\beta_i = (1 + \alpha_i)/2 + 4/5$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , one can easily verify that  $f \in H^{2,1,\alpha}(U^2)$  but  $\mathcal{D}f \notin H^{2,1,|\alpha|+2}(U)$ .

Our main result is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty$  and let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \alpha_j > -1, \forall j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then*

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{D}H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n) = H^{p,q,|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)}(U).$$

Theorem 1.1 also shows that, by the closed graph theorem, the composition operator  $C_\Phi$  defined by

$$C_\Phi F = F \circ \Phi,$$

where  $\Phi(z) = (z, \dots, z)$  for any  $z \in U$ , is bounded from  $H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$  onto  $H^{p,q,|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)}(U)$ . For the theory of composition operators, we refer to [CM].

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we provide an integral representation for the diagonal mapping, given by the diagonalization of weighted Bergman operators of  $U^n$ . Similarly, we provide an integral representation for a right inverse operator of the diagonal mapping, given by the polarization of weighted Bergman operators of  $U$ . In the third section, we extend Hardy's inequalities ([HL], [Fl], [AB]) to higher dimensions, which is a key tool to proving the boundedness of integral operators in mixed norm spaces. In the fourth section, we show that the weighted Bergman projection  $\mathcal{T}_\beta$ , which is the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(U^n, \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |u_j|^2)^{\beta_j} dm_n(u))$  onto  $H^{2,2,\beta}(U^n)$ , induces a bounded operator from  $L^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$  to  $H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$ .

**2. Diagonalization and polarization.** Set  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ .

HYPOTHESIS 2.1. *Throughout the paper, we assume that*

- (i)  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\alpha_j > -1, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n$ ;
- (iii)  $\beta_j > \frac{\alpha_j + 1}{p} + \frac{1}{\min(q, 1)} - 2, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n$ .

By Hypothesis 2.1, it is clear that always  $\beta_j > -1$ . We remark that Hypothesis 2.1(iii) is required only to assure the validity of technical lemmas in our applications, i.e., Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 4.2 below.

For any  $u \in U^n$  and  $r \in I^n$ , we write  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  and  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ . We shall often use the *polar coordinates formula*:

$$\int_{U^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |u_j|^2)^{\beta_j} |F(u)|^p dm_n(u) = 2^n \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n r_j (1 - r_j^2)^{\beta_j} M_p^p(r, F) dr$$

for any measurable function  $F$  in  $U^n$ . Further, if  $F$  is holomorphic, then it is well known (see [Sh1]) that

$$\int_{U^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |u_j|^2)^{\beta_j} |F(u)|^p dm_n(u) \simeq \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j^2)^{\beta_j} M_p^p(r, F) dr,$$

where  $A \simeq B$  means  $K^{-1}A \leq B \leq KA$ . Here and afterwards,  $K$  always denotes some positive absolute constant which may vary from line to line.

Our starting point is the weighted Bergman projection operator. For any function  $F$  in  $U^n$  and  $v \in U^n$ , we let

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{T}_\beta F(v) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\beta_j + 1) \int_{U^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |u_j|^2)^{\beta_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - v_j \bar{u}_j)^{\beta_j + 2}} F(u) dm_n(u).$$

It is well known that  $\mathcal{T}_\beta$  is the orthogonal projection from the Hilbert space  $L^2(U^n, \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |u_j|^2)^{\beta_j} dm_n(u))$  onto its holomorphic Hilbert subspace, i.e. the weighted Bergman space  $H^{2,2,\beta}(U^n)$ .

We also consider the diagonalization and polarization of the Bergman projection. For any functions  $F$  in  $U^n$  and  $f$  in  $U$ , we define functions  $\mathcal{D}_\beta F$  in  $U$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\beta f$  in  $U^n$ :

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{D}_\beta F(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\beta_j + 1) \int_{U^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |u_j|^2)^{\beta_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - z \bar{u}_j)^{\beta_j + 2}} F(u) dm_n(u),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{E}_\beta f(u) = (|\beta| + 2n - 1) \int_U \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{|\beta| + 2n - 2}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - u_j \bar{z})^{\beta_j + 2}} f(z) dm_1(z).$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{D}_\beta$  is the diagonalization of the weighted Bergman operator  $\mathcal{T}_\beta$  of  $U^n$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_\beta$  is the polarization of the weighted Bergman projection  $\mathcal{T}_{|\beta|+2n-2}$  of  $U$ . We shall see that, when restricted to holomorphic mixed norm spaces,  $\mathcal{D}_\beta$  coincides with the diagonal mapping  $\mathcal{D}$ , while  $\mathcal{E}_\beta$  plays the role of a right inverse of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Under Hypothesis 2.1,*

- (i)  $\mathcal{T}_\beta f = f$  for every  $f \in H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{D}_\beta : H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n) \rightarrow H^{p,q,|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)}(U)$  is bounded;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{E}_\beta : H^{p,q,|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)}(U) \rightarrow H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$  is bounded.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Under Hypothesis 2.1, for any  $F \in H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}F \in H^{p,q,|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)}(U)$  and*

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_\beta|_{H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)}.$$

*Proof.* From (1.3), Theorem 2.2(i), (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{D}F(z) = F(z, \dots, z) = \mathcal{T}_\beta F(z, \dots, z) = \mathcal{D}_\beta F(z).$$

Therefore Theorem 2.2(ii) shows that  $\mathcal{D}F \in H^{p,q,|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)}(U)$ . ■

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Under Hypothesis 2.1, for  $f \in H^{p,q,|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)}(U)$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}_\beta f \in H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$  and*

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_\beta f) = f.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be a multi-index satisfying Hypothesis 2.1. For any  $f \in H^{p,q,|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)}(U)$ , Theorem 2.2(iii) shows that  $\mathcal{E}_\beta f \in H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$ .

Let  $A(U^n)$  be the space of functions holomorphic in  $U^n$  and continuous in the closure of  $U^n$ . When  $n = 1$ , it is known [Sh2 (I), Proposition 2.3] that  $A(U)$  is dense in  $H^{p,q,\gamma}(U)$  for any  $\gamma > -1$ . From (2.4) and the boundedness of  $\mathcal{D}_\beta$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\beta$ , as shown in Theorem 2.2(ii), (iii), we need only show that

$$\mathcal{D}_\beta(\mathcal{E}_\beta f) = f, \quad \forall f \in A(U).$$

Fix  $w \in U$  and define

$$h_w(u) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - u_j \bar{w})^{-(\beta_j+2)}, \quad u \in U^n.$$

Then  $h_w$  is a bounded holomorphic function in  $U^n$ , so that  $\mathcal{T}_\beta h_w = h_w$  by Theorem 2.2(i). Recalling  $\Phi(z) = (z, \dots, z)$ , we thus have

$$\mathcal{T}_\beta h_w(\Phi(z)) = h_w(\Phi(z)) = (1 - z \bar{w})^{-(|\beta|+2n)}.$$

From (2.2) and (2.3), Fubini's theorem shows that for any  $f \in A(U)$  and  $z \in U$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\beta(\mathcal{E}_\beta f)(z) &= (|\beta| + 2n - 1) \int_U (1 - |w|^2)^{|\beta|+2n-2} \mathcal{T}_\beta h_w(\Phi(z)) f(w) dm_1(w) \\ &= (|\beta| + 2n - 1) \int_U \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{|\beta|+2n-2}}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{|\beta|+2n}} f(w) dm_1(w) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{|\beta|+2n-2} f(z) = f(z). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4.

**3. Extended Hardy inequalities.** In order to prove the boundedness of integral operators in mixed norm spaces, we need to establish some useful inequalities concerning mixed integrals over  $I^n$  or  $I$ , which are closely related to Hardy’s inequalities when  $n = 1$  (see [HL], [F], [AB]).

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $b_j > a_j > 0, c_j > 0, \forall j = 1, \dots, n, \delta > 0$ , and let  $g : I^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be measurable. Assume either  $0 < k < 1$  and  $g$  is increasing in each variable, or  $1 \leq k < \infty$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \int_I (1 - \varrho)^{k|a|-1} \left( \int_{I^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{c_j-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{b_j}} g(r) dr \right)^k d\varrho \\ & \leq K(a, b, c, k) \int \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{k(a_j-b_j+c_j)-1} g^k(r) dr; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \int \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{ka_j-1} \left( \int_{I^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j)^{c_j-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j t_j)^{b_j}} g(t) dt \right)^k dr \\ & \leq K(a, b, c, k) \int \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{k(a_j-b_j+c_j)-1} g^k(r) dr; \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \int \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{ka_j-1} \left( \int_I \frac{(1 - \varrho)^{\delta-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{b_j}} g(\varrho) d\varrho \right)^k dr \\ & \leq K(a, b, \delta, k) \int_I (1 - \varrho)^{k(|a|-|b|+\delta)-1} g^k(\varrho) d\varrho. \end{aligned}$$

For the proof we need some technical lemmas.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $b_j > a_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $r \in I^n$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \int_I \frac{(1 - \varrho)^{|a|-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{b_j}} d\varrho \leq K(a, b) \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{b_j-a_j}}; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \int_{I^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j)^{a_j-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j t_j)^{b_j}} dt \leq K(a, b) \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{b_j-a_j}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (i) When  $n = 1$ , the inequality is well known (see for example [SW]). We now apply induction on  $n$  to deal with the general case. Assume that (i) holds for  $n - 1$ . For any given  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in I^n$ , let  $r_0 = \min\{r_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r_0}^1 \frac{(1 - \varrho)^{|a|-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{b_j}} d\varrho &\leq \frac{(1 - r_0)^{a_1}}{(1 - r_0^2)^{b_1}} \int_{r_0}^1 \frac{(1 - \varrho)^{a_2 + \dots + a_n - 1}}{\prod_{j=2}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{b_j}} d\varrho \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1 - r_1)^{b_1 - a_1}} \frac{K}{\prod_{j=2}^n (1 - r_j)^{b_j - a_j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{-b_j} \leq \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \varrho)^{-b_j}$  for any  $\varrho \in [0, 1)$ , we also have

$$\int_0^{r_0} \frac{(1 - \varrho)^{|a|-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{b_j}} d\varrho \leq K \frac{1}{(1 - r_0)^{|b|-|a|}} \leq K \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{b_j - a_j}}.$$

This proves (i).

(ii) This is obvious since the integral can be decomposed as the product of integrals over  $I$ . ■

Applying the standard technique of Hardy–Littlewood [HL], we obtain the following inequality in the case of small indices.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $0 < p \leq 1$  and  $b_j \geq 0, c_j > 0, \forall j = 1, \dots, n$ , and let  $g : I^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be increasing in each variable. Then there exists  $K = K(p, b)$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \left\{ \int_{I^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j)^{c_j - 1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j t_j)^{b_j}} g(t) dt \right\}^p \leq K \int_{I^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j)^{pc_j - 1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j t_j)^{pb_j}} g^p(t) dt.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_{k_j} = 1 - 2^{-k_j}$  and  $I_k = \prod_{j=1}^n [\lambda_{k_j - 1}, \lambda_{k_j})$ . Then in  $I_k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \lambda_{k_j} &\leq 1 - t_j \leq 2(1 - \lambda_{k_j}), & 1 - \varrho \lambda_{k_j} &\leq 1 - \varrho t_j \leq 2(1 - \varrho \lambda_{k_j}), \\ g(t) &\leq g(\lambda_k), & |I_k| &= \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \lambda_{k_j}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_k = (\lambda_{k_1}, \dots, \lambda_{k_n})$ ,  $\varrho \in I$  and  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in I_k$ .

We claim that

$$(3.2) \quad \left\{ \int_{I_k} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j)^{c_j - 1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j t_j)^{b_j}} g(t) dt \right\}^p \leq K \int_{I_{k+1}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j)^{pc_j - 1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j t_j)^{pb_j}} g^p(t) dt.$$

Indeed, the integrand on the left side of (3.2) is enlarged if we replace  $t_j$  by the constant  $\lambda_{k_j}$  and  $g(t)$  by the constant  $g(\lambda_k)$ , up to a constant independent of  $k$ . Then we can calculate the resulting integral and its  $p$ th power. The result is further enlarged if we replace  $\lambda_k$  by  $t \in I_{k+1}$ , so (3.2) holds.

Now we write the integral over  $I^n$  as the sum of integrals over  $I_k$ , and then apply the inequality  $(a + b)^p \leq a^p + b^p$  for  $0 < p < 1$ . The desired conclusion follows from (3.2) by summing over  $k$ . ■

Now we can prove the generalized Hardy inequality.

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* (i) First assume that  $1 < k < \infty$ . Set

$$J = \int_{I^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{c_j-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{b_j}} g(r) dr.$$

Rewrite the integrand of  $J$  as the product of

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{b_j - a_j - \varepsilon - 1/k'} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \varrho r_j)^{a_j - b_j}$$

and

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{c_j - b_j + a_j + \varepsilon - 1/k} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \varrho r_j)^{-a_j} g(r).$$

Here  $\varepsilon$  is a sufficiently small positive number and  $k'$  is the conjugate index of  $k$ . Applying Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.2(ii), we have

$$(3.3) \quad J^k \leq \frac{K}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \varrho)^{k\varepsilon}} \int_{I^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{k(c_j - b_j + a_j + \varepsilon) - 1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \varrho r_j)^{ka_j}} g^k(r) dr.$$

Note that here we used the assumption  $b_j > a_j$ .

For  $0 < k \leq 1$ , Lemma 3.3 shows that

$$J^k \leq K \int_{I^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{kc_j - 1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \varrho r_j)^{kb_j}} g^k(r) dr.$$

Consequently, for any  $0 < k < \infty$ ,  $J^k$  can be estimated by the integral over  $I^n$ , so that Fubini's theorem and Lemma 3.2(i) yield the desired result.

(ii) Since the expression in brackets in (ii) is again  $J$ , and  $J^k$  can be estimated by the integral over  $I^n$ , the desired result follows from Fubini's theorem.

(iii) Set

$$\tilde{J} = \int_I \frac{(1 - \varrho)^{\delta - 1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{b_j}} g(\varrho) d\varrho.$$

For  $1 < k < \infty$ , we take  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small and rewrite the above integrand as the product of

$$(1 - \varrho)^{|b| - |a| - n\varepsilon - 1/k'} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{a_j - b_j}$$

and

$$(1 - \varrho)^{\delta - |b| + |a| + n\varepsilon - 1/k} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{-a_j} g(\varrho).$$

Then from Hölder’s inequality and Lemma 3.2(i),

$$\tilde{J}^k \leq K \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{k\varepsilon}} \int_I \frac{(1 - \varrho)^{k(\delta - |b| + |a| + n\varepsilon) - 1}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{ka_j}} g^k(\varrho) d\varrho.$$

If  $0 < k < 1$ , Lemma 3.3 shows that  $\tilde{J}^k$  can be estimated by the integral over  $I$ . The desired result now follows from Fubini’s theorem and Lemma 3.2(ii). ■

**4. Weighted Bergman projections.** In this section, we consider the boundedness of weighted Bergman operators on  $L^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$ . We refer to [FR], [SW] for boundedness properties of weighted Bergman operators on  $L^p$  spaces in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Under Hypothesis 2.1,*

- (i)  $\mathcal{T}_\beta : L^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n) \rightarrow H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$  is bounded provided  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{T}_\beta : H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n) \rightarrow H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$  is always bounded.

Let  $\delta_{jk}$  be the Kronecker delta, i.e.,  $\delta_{jk} = 1$  if  $j = k$ , and 0 otherwise.

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Let  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in U^n$  and  $r_j = |u_j|$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . If  $\alpha_j > 1$ , then for any  $\varrho \in I$  and  $t \in I^n$ ,*

- (i) 
$$\int_T \frac{d\sigma_1(\zeta)}{\prod_{j=1}^n |1 - \varrho\zeta\bar{u}_j|^{\alpha_j}} \leq K(\alpha) \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \varrho r_j)^{\alpha_j - \delta_{j,1}}};$$
- (ii) 
$$\int_{T^n} \frac{d\sigma_n(\zeta)}{\prod_{j=1}^n |1 - t_j\zeta_j\bar{u}_j|^{\alpha_j}} \leq K(\alpha) \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j r_j)^{\alpha_j - 1}}.$$

*Proof.* The case of  $n = 1$  is well known (see [D]). Assertion (i) then follows from the inequality

$$\prod_{j=2}^n |1 - \varrho\zeta\bar{u}_j|^{-\alpha_j} \leq \prod_{j=2}^n (1 - \varrho r_j)^{-\alpha_j}.$$

Assertion (ii) is obvious since it can be reduced to the case  $n = 1$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* For any function  $F$  in  $U^n$  and

$$\beta_j > \frac{\alpha_j + 1}{p} + \frac{1}{\min\{q, 1\}} - 2, \quad \alpha_j > -1, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n,$$

let

$$(4.1) \quad G_v(u) = F(u) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \bar{v}_j u_j)^{-(\beta_j+2)}$$

for any  $v, u \in U^n$ . By (2.1) and the polar coordinates formula,

$$(4.2) \quad |\mathcal{T}_\beta F(v)| \leq K \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{\beta_j} M_1(r, G_v) dr.$$

Assume that  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . Minkowski's inequality shows that

$$(4.3) \quad M_q(t, \mathcal{T}_\beta F) \leq K \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{\beta_j} M_q(t, M_1(r, G_v)) dr.$$

Let  $v, u \in U^n$  and  $t_j = |v_j|$ ,  $r_j = |u_j|$ . We claim that

$$(4.4) \quad M_q(t, M_1(r, G_v)) \leq K M_q(r, F) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j r_j)^{-(\beta_j+1)}.$$

From this claim together with (4.3), we find

$$(4.5) \quad M_q(t, \mathcal{T}_\beta F) \leq K \int_{I^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{\beta_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j r_j)^{\beta_j+1}} M_q(r, F) dr.$$

Therefore, applying Proposition 3.1(ii) with  $b_j = c_j = \beta_j+1$ ,  $a_j = (\alpha_j+1)/p$ ,  $k = p$  and  $g(r) = M_q(r, F)$  we get

$$\int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j^2)^{\alpha_j} M_q^p(t, \mathcal{T}_\beta F) dt \leq K \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j^2)^{\alpha_j} M_q^p(r, F) dr.$$

Here we used Hypothesis (2.1)(iii), which assures  $b_j > a_j$ .

To prove the case  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , it remains to prove claim (4.4). Rewrite  $G_v(u) = G_v^{(1)}(u)G_v^{(2)}(u)$ , where

$$G_v^{(1)}(u) = F(u) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \bar{v}_j u_j)^{-(\beta_j+2)/q},$$

$$G_v^{(2)}(u) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \bar{v}_j u_j)^{-(\beta_j+2)/q'}.$$

From Hölder's inequality we have

$$(4.6) \quad M_1(r, G_v) \leq M_q(r, G_v^{(1)})M_{q'}(r, G_v^{(2)})$$

$$\leq K(q, \beta)M_q(r, G_v^{(1)}) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j r_j)^{-(\beta_j+1)/q'}.$$

If  $q = \infty$ , then  $G_v^{(1)} = F$  and  $q' = 1$ , so that (4.4) follows from (4.6). If  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , notice that by (1.1), the definition of  $G_v^{(1)}$  and Lemma 4.2(ii),

$$\begin{aligned} M_q^q(t, M_q(r, G_v^{(1)})) &= \int_{T^n} \int_{T^n} |G_{t\eta}^{(1)}(r\zeta)|^q d\sigma_n(\zeta) d\sigma_n(\eta) \\ &\leq K M_q^q(r, F) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j r_j)^{-(\beta_j+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the claim also follows from (4.6).

Assume now that  $0 < q < 1$  and that  $F$  is holomorphic in  $U^n$ . Then  $G_v$  is holomorphic in  $U^n$  for any given  $v \in U^n$ , so that  $M_1(r, G_v)$  is increasing in each  $r_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus from (4.2) and Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{T}_\beta F(v)|^q \leq K \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{q(\beta_j+1)-1} M_1^q(r, G_v) dr.$$

Combining this with

$$M_1^q(r, G_v) \leq K(q) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{q-1} M_q^q(r, G_v),$$

which holds since  $G_v$  is holomorphic (see [Fr]), we deduce that

$$|\mathcal{T}_\beta F(v)|^q \leq K \int_{U^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |u_j|^2)^{q(\beta_j+2)-2}}{\prod_{j=1}^n |1 - v_j \bar{u}_j|^{q(\beta_j+2)}} |F(u)|^q dm_n(u).$$

Now, integrating over  $T^n$  and changing the order of integration, from Lemma 4.2(ii) we have

$$M_q^q(t, \mathcal{T}_\beta F) \leq K \int_{I^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{q(\beta_j+2)-2}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j r_j)^{q(\beta_j+2)-1}} M_q^q(r, F) dr.$$

By applying Proposition 3.1(ii), we obtain

$$\int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j^2)^{\alpha_j} M_q^p(t, \mathcal{T}_\beta F) dt \leq K \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j^2)^{\alpha_j} M_q^p(r, F) dr.$$

This completes the proof. ■

**5. Proof of Theorem 2.2.** This section is devoted to proving Theorem 2.2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.2(i).* By applying the method of [Sh2 (I), Proposition 2.3], every function in  $L_a^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$  can be approximated by its slice functions, so  $A(U^n)$  is dense in  $H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)$ . We claim that

$$\mathcal{T}_\beta|_{A(U^n)} = \text{Id}.$$

In fact the case  $n = 1$  is well known (see [FR]) and the general case follows from this special case by iteration. More precisely, let  $F \in A(U^n)$  and rewrite (2.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_\beta F(v) &= \prod_{k=2}^n (\beta_k + 1) \int_{U^{n-1}} \frac{\prod_{k=2}^n (1 - |u_k|^2)^{\beta_k}}{\prod_{k=2}^n (1 - v_k \bar{u}_k)^{\beta_k+2}} dm_1(u_2) \cdots dm_1(u_n) \\ &\quad \cdot \int_U (\beta_1 + 1) \frac{(1 - |u_1|^2)^{\beta_1}}{(1 - v_1 \bar{u}_1)^{\beta_1+2}} F(u_1, \dots, u_n) dm_1(u_1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the second integral is equal to  $F(v_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ . By continuing this procedure, we finally have

$$\mathcal{T}_\beta F(v_1, \dots, v_n) = F(v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

as desired. Thus the boundedness of  $\mathcal{T}_\beta$  ensured by Theorem 4.1(ii) implies that

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{T}_\beta|_{H^{p,q,\alpha}(U^n)} = \text{Id.} \blacksquare$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.2(ii).* Let  $z \in U$ ,  $u \in U^n$  and  $\varrho = |z|$ ,  $r_j = |u_j|$ . Let

$$(5.2) \quad G_z(u) = F(u) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \bar{z}u_j)^{-(\beta_j+2)}.$$

By (2.2) and the polar coordinates formula,

$$(5.3) \quad |\mathcal{D}_\beta F(z)| \leq K \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{\beta_j} M_1(r, G_z) dr.$$

Assume that  $0 < q < 1$  and that  $F$  is holomorphic on  $U^n$ . Then  $G_z$  is holomorphic on  $U^n$  for any given  $z \in U$ . By Lemma 3.3,

$$|\mathcal{D}_\beta F(z)|^q \leq K \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{q(\beta_j+1)-1} M_1^q(r, G_z) dr.$$

Since  $M_1^q(r, G_z) \leq K(q) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{q-1} M_q^q(r, G_z)$  (see [Fr]), we have

$$|\mathcal{D}_\beta F(z)|^q \leq K \int_{U^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |u_j|^2)^{q(\beta_j+2)-2}}{\prod_{j=1}^n |1 - z\bar{u}_j|^{q(\beta_j+2)}} |F(u)|^q dm_n(u).$$

Consequently, Lemma 4.2(i) shows that

$$M_q^q(\varrho, \mathcal{D}_\beta F) \leq K \int_{I^n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{q(\beta_j+2)-2}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \varrho r_j)^{q(\beta_j+2)-\delta_{j1}}} M_q^q(r, F) dr.$$

Applying Proposition 3.1(i), we obtain

$$\int_I (1 - \varrho)^{|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)} M_q^p(\varrho, \mathcal{D}_\beta F) d\varrho \leq K \int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{\alpha_j} M_q^p(r, F) dr.$$

This proves the case  $0 < q < 1$ .

Let  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . By (5.3), Minkowski's inequality shows that

$$M_q(\varrho, \mathcal{D}_\beta F) \leq K \int \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{\beta_j} M_q(\varrho, M_1(r, G_z)) dr.$$

We claim that

$$(5.4) \quad M_q(\varrho, M_1(r, G_z)) \leq K M_q(r, F) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{-(\beta_j+1+(1-\delta_{j1})/q)}.$$

From (5.4), we have

$$(5.5) \quad M_q(\varrho, \mathcal{D}_\beta F) \leq K \int \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{\beta_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \varrho r_j)^{\beta_j+1+(1-\delta_{j1})/q}} M_q(r, F) dr,$$

and apply Proposition 3.1(i) to obtain the desired result.

It remains to prove (5.4). We rewrite  $G_z(u) = G_z^{(1)}(u)G_z^{(2)}(u)$ , where

$$G_z^{(1)}(u) = F(u) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \bar{z}u_j)^{-(\beta_j+2)/q},$$

$$G_z^{(2)}(u) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \bar{z}u_j)^{-(\beta_j+2)/q'}.$$

From Hölder's inequality and Lemma 4.2 we have

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} M_1(r, G_z) &\leq M_q(r, G_z^{(1)})M_{q'}(r, G_z^{(2)}) \\ &\leq K(q, \beta)M_q(r, G_z^{(1)}) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |z|r_j)^{-(\beta_j+1)/q'}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $q = \infty$ , then  $G_z^{(1)} = F$  and  $q' = 1$ , so that claim (5.4) follows from (5.6).

If  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , notice that

$$\begin{aligned} M_q^q(\varrho, M_q(r, G_z^{(1)})) &= \int \int_{T T^n} |G_{\varrho\eta}^{(1)}(r\zeta)|^q d\sigma_n(\zeta) d\sigma_1(\eta) \\ &\leq K M_q^q(r, F) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{-(\beta_j+2-\delta_{j1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence claim (5.4) also follows from (5.6). This ends the proof. ■

*Proof of Theorem 2.2(iii).* Let  $f$  be holomorphic on  $U$  and let

$$(5.7) \quad G_u(z) = f(z) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - z\bar{u}_j)^{-(\beta_j+2)}$$

for any  $u \in U^n$  and  $z \in U$ . From (2.3),

$$(5.8) \quad |\mathcal{E}_\beta f(u)| \leq K \int_I (1 - \varrho)^{|\beta|+2n-2} M_1(\varrho, G_u) d\varrho.$$

First assume that  $0 < q < 1$ . Since  $G_u$  is holomorphic on  $U$  for any given  $u \in U^n$ , it follows from Lemma 3.3 with  $n = 1$  that

$$|\mathcal{E}_\beta f(u)|^q \leq K \int_I (1 - \varrho)^{q(|\beta|+2n-1)-1} M_1^q(\varrho, G_u) d\varrho.$$

Notice that  $M_1^q(\varrho, G_u) \leq K(q)(1 - \varrho)^{q-1} M_q^q(\varrho, G_u)$ . Recalling the definition of  $G_u$  in (5.7) we have

$$|\mathcal{E}_\beta f(u)|^q \leq K \int_U \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{q(|\beta|+2n)-2}}{\prod_{j=1}^n |1 - z\bar{u}_j|^{q(\beta_j+2)}} |f(z)|^q dm_1(z),$$

so that Lemma 4.2(ii) gives

$$M_q^q(r, \mathcal{E}_\beta f) \leq K \int_I \frac{(1 - \varrho)^{q(|\beta|+2n)-2}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \varrho r_j)^{q(\beta_j+2)-1}} M_q^q(\varrho, f) d\varrho,$$

and Proposition 3.1(iii) implies

$$\int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{\alpha_j} M_q^p(r, \mathcal{E}_\beta f) dr \leq K \int_I (1 - \varrho)^{|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)} M_q^p(\varrho, f) d\varrho.$$

Now we assume that  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . Then, from (5.8), Minkowski's inequality shows that

$$(5.9) \quad M_q(r, \mathcal{E}_\beta f) \leq K \int_I (1 - \varrho)^{|\beta|+2n-2} M_q(r, M_1(\varrho, G_u)) d\varrho.$$

We claim that, for  $r \in I^n$  defined by  $r_j = |u_j|$  and  $\varrho = |z| \in I$ ,

$$(5.10) \quad M_q(r, M_1(\varrho, G_u)) \leq K M_q(\varrho, f) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{-(\beta_j+1+(1-\delta_{j1})/q')}.$$

From this claim and (5.9), we find

$$(5.11) \quad M_q(r, \mathcal{E}_\beta f) \leq K \int_I \frac{(1 - \varrho)^{|\beta|+2n-2}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \varrho r_j)^{\beta_j+1+(1-\delta_{j1})/q'}} M_q(\varrho, f) d\varrho.$$

Therefore, applying Proposition 3.1(iii) we get the desired result:

$$\int_{I^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)^{\alpha_j} M_q^p(r, \mathcal{E}_\beta f) dr \leq K \int_I (1 - \varrho)^{|\alpha|+(p/q+1)(n-1)} M_q^p(\varrho, f) d\varrho.$$

It remains to prove the claim in (5.10). To this end, write  $G_u(z) = G_u^{(1)}(z)G_u^{(2)}(z)$ , where

$$G_u^{(1)}(z) = f(z) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - z\bar{u}_j)^{-(\beta_j+2)/q},$$

$$G_u^{(2)}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - z\bar{u}_j)^{-(\beta_j+2)/q'}.$$

From Hölder's inequality and Lemma 4.2(i) we have, for any  $\beta_j > -1$ ,

$$(5.12) \quad M_1(\varrho, G_u) \leq M_q(\varrho, G_u^{(1)})M_{q'}(\varrho, G_u^{(2)}) \\ \leq K(q, \beta)M_q(\varrho, G_u^{(1)}) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |u_j| \varrho)^{-(\beta_j+2-\delta_{j1})/q'}.$$

If  $q = \infty$ , then  $G_u^{(1)} = f$  and  $q' = 1$  so that (5.10) follows directly from (5.12). If  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , notice that in virtue of (1.1), Fubini's theorem and Lemma 4.2,

$$M_q^q(r, M_q(\varrho, G_u^{(1)})) = \int_{T^n} \int_T |G_{r\eta}^{(1)}(\varrho\zeta)|^q d\sigma_1(\zeta) d\sigma_n(\eta) \\ \leq KM_q^q(\varrho, f) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j \varrho)^{-(\beta_j+1)}.$$

Then (5.10) follows from (5.12) and Lemma 3.2(i). This completes the proof. ■

### References

- [AB] P. Ahern and J. Bruna, *Maximal and area integral characterizations of Hardy-Sobolev spaces in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 4 (1988), 123–153.
- [AJ] P. Ahern and M. Jevtić, *Duality and multipliers for mixed norm spaces*, Michigan Math. J. 30 (1983), 53–64.
- [BP] A. Benedek and R. Panzone, *The space  $L^p$ , with mixed norm*, Duke Math. J. 28 (1961), 301–324.
- [CM] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [Det] J. Detraz, *Restriction à la diagonale des classes de Hardy du bidisque*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 287 (1978), 997–999.
- [DjS] A. E. Džrbashian and F. A. Shamoian, *Topics in the Theory of  $A_\alpha^p$  Spaces*, Leipzig, Teubner, 1988.
- [D] P. L. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [DS] P. L. Duren and A. L. Shields, *Restrictions of  $H^p$  functions to the diagonal of the polydisc*, Duke Math. J. 42 (1975), 751–753.
- [Fl] T. M. Flett, *The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 38 (1972), 746–765.
- [FR] F. Forelli and W. Rudin, *Projections on spaces of holomorphic functions in the ball*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 24 (1974), 593–602.
- [Fr] A. P. Frazier, *The dual space of  $H^p$  of the polydisc for  $0 < p < 1$* , Duke Math. J. 39 (1972), 369–379.
- [HL] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some properties of functional integrals II*, Math. Z. 34 (1932), 403–439.
- [HLP] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1934.
- [HO] C. Horowitz and D. Oberlin, *Restriction of  $H^p$  functions to the diagonal of  $U^n$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. 24 (1975), 767–772.

- [J] M. Jevtić, *Projection theorems, fractional derivatives and inclusion theorems for mixed-norm spaces on the ball*, Analysis 9 (1989), 83–105.
- [L] D. H. Luecking, *Embedding theorems for spaces of analytic functions via Khinchine's inequality*, Michigan Math. J. 40 (1993), 333–358.
- [MR] B. Moulin et J. P. Rosay, *Sur la restriction des fonctions pluri-sous-harmoniques à la diagonale du polydisque*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 26 (1977), 869–973.
- [Pa] M. Pavlović, *Decompositions of  $L^p$  and Hardy spaces of polyharmonic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 216 (1997), 499–509.
- [RL] G. B. Ren and C. W. Liu, *Diagonal mappings on bounded symmetric domains*, Chinese J. Contemp. Math. 22 (2001), 11–22.
- [Ru1] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in Polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [Ru2] —, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [Sh] F. A. Shamoian, *Diagonal mapping and problems of representation in anisotropic spaces of functions that are holomorphic in a polydisc*, Siberian Math. J. 31 (1990), 350–365.
- [Sha] J. H. Shapiro, *Mackey topologies, reproducing kernels, and diagonal maps on the Hardy and Bergman spaces*, Duke Math. J. 43 (1976), 187–202.
- [Sh1] J. H. Shi, *Inequalities for the integral means of holomorphic functions and their derivatives in the ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 328 (1991), 619–637.
- [Sh2] —, *Duality and multipliers for mixed norm spaces in the ball (I), (II)*, Complex Variables 25 (1994), 119–130; 131–157.
- [SR] J. H. Shi and G. B. Ren, *Boundedness of the Cesàro operator on mixed norm spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 3553–3560.
- [SW] A. L. Shields and D. L. Williams, *Bounded projections, duality and multipliers in spaces of harmonic functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 299/300 (1978), 256–279.

Department of Mathematics  
 University of Science and Technology of China  
 Hefei, Anhui 230026, P.R. China  
 E-mail: rengb@ustc.edu.cn  
 shijh@ustc.edu.cn

*Current address of G. B. Ren:*  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Aveiro  
 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal  
 E-mail: ren@mat.ua.pt

*Received August 13, 2002*  
*Revised version December 4, 2003*

(5017)